Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research January 2025; 24 (1): 97-102 ISSN: 1596-5996 (print); 1596-9827 (electronic)

> Available online at http://www.tjpr.org http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/tjpr.v24i1.13

# **Original Research Article**

# Recovery of phenolic-rich extracts from *Handeuleum* leaves using mixture design modeling

# Feda A Makiyyah<sup>1</sup>, Nelly Marliani<sup>2</sup>, Eldiza P Rahmi<sup>1</sup>, Waras Nurcholis<sup>2,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Medicine, University of Pembangunan Nasional Veteran, Jakarta 12450, <sup>2</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Bogor Agricultural University, Bogor 16680, West Java, <sup>3</sup>Tropical Biopharmaca Research Center, Bogor Agricultural University, Bogor 16151, West Java, Indonesia

\*For correspondence: Email: wnurcholis@apps.ipb.ac.id

Sent for review: 16 May 2024

Revised accepted: 12 January 2025

# Abstract

**Purpose:** To determine the best solvent for extracting phenolic compounds from Handeuleum leaves, which are rich in phenolic compounds.

**Methods:** In optimizing the extraction solvent, the simplex centroid mixture design was used together with the extractor components, namely water, acetone, methanol, and ethanol, which were designed to produce 15 formulas. Total phenolic analysis was carried out based on the Follin-Ciocalteu method, and the results were expressed in milligrams of gallic acid equivalent per gram of dry weight of the sample.

**Results:** The quadratic model with an  $R^2$  value of 0.8407 is relied upon for total phenolic recovery. The best-mixed formula yielded a desirability value of 0.913 with a quarternary mixture of water (0.6910), acetone (0.3054), methanol (0.0002), and ethanol (0.0031) as solvents, obtaining a total phenolic content of 2.9613 mg GAE/g dry weight. The optimal condition validation results are also stated to be very accurate, with a residual standard error (RSE) of 0.905 %.

**Conclusion:** The optimized solvent combination for extracting high amounts of phenolic compounds from Handeuleum leaves has been reported which may be upscaled for commercial purposes.

Keywords: Solvent, Handeuleum, Phenolic, Mixture Design, Graptophyllum pictum

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited.

Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research is indexed by Scopus, Chemical Abstracts, Embase, Index Copernicus, EBSCO, African Index Medicus, JournalSeek, Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ), African Journal Online, Bioline International, Open-J-Gate and Pharmacy Abstracts

# INTRODUCTION

Bioactive compounds derived from plants are believed to have health benefits. One of them is phenolics which are mostly commonly found in every plant, especially the leaves. Phenolics play an important role in providing health-enhancing effects due to their antioxidant properties [1], anti-diabetic effect, antibacterial, cytotoxic [2], anticancer [3], anti-inflammatory [4], and diseases associated with oxidative stress [5]. A large number of phenolic compounds have different structures, and this determines their solubility in solvents with different polarities, so the extraction process has a very significant effect on obtaining the desired phenolic compounds [6]. Polar and non-polar solvents offer many choices because of their varying phenolic characteristics. Previous studies have used ethanol, methanol, hexane, acetone, and water as solvents for extracting phytochemicals.

There are several reports relating to optimizing the extraction of phenolic content. Previous study on Juniperus procera leaves used methanol as the best solvent [7]. However, acetone water was used on the fruit of the dates obtained. This shows that each plant matrix will exhibit different

© 2025 The authors. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

optimal results [8]. Graptophyllum pictum, also known as Handeuleum, is part of the Acanthaceae family. This herbal plant is used as a traditional medicine, especially its leaves. empirically Handeuleum has antioxidant. antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, and anti-diabetic properties. Besides these activities, Handeuleum is a rich source of phenolic compounds [9], steroids, tannins, saponins, alkaloids, flavonoids [10], essential oils in the form of hexahydro farnesyl acetone, phytol, n-nonaosane [11], vomifoliol, and triterpenoids [12]. The diversity of these compounds holds potential for the development of drugs for health. This study is the first attempt to identify the best solvent for the extraction of phenolic compounds using mixture design modeling.

### EXPERIMENTAL

#### Sample preparation

Handeuleum leaves or Graptophyllum pictum were grown in June 2022 at the LPPM IPB's Tropical Biopharmaceutical Cultivation Conservation Unit in Dramaga (6°3'49" S and 106°42'57"t). The leaves were collected, rinsed with running water, and dried for three days in an oven at 50 °C. The dried leaves were crushed with a disk mill and sieved through an 80-mesh sieve.

#### Mixture design optimization

The optimization of solvent extraction was done using a simplex centroid method from Design Expert 13.0 software (Stat-Ease Inc. Minneapolis, USA). Independent variables include single solvents (ethanol, methanol, acetone, and water), binary mixtures, ternary mixtures, and quaternary mixtures (Figure 1). The dependent variable is the concentration of total phenolic content. Because each component of the mixture was in the proportion range of 0 to 1. the appropriate model was picked for each response. Figure 1 below shows a graph that includes the symbols from the design results consisting of A (water); B (acetone); C (methanol); and D (ethanol) for a single solvent, AB; BC; CD; AD; AC for binary mixture, and ABCD for a quaternary mixture.

#### **Sample extraction**

Dry *Handeuleum* leaves (4 g) were mixed with the solvent following the simplex centroid design formula (Table 2) with a total volume of 40 mL (1:10 b/v). The sample was sonicated to mix for 30 mins and then macerated using a water bath shaker (DAIHAN Wise bath) for 3 h at 30 °C. The mixture was concentrated with a rotary evaporator (Hanvapor HS-2005V, Hanshin Scientific, Korea). The resulting filtrate was obtained and prepared at a concentration of 0.2 g/mL.



**Figure 1:** Simplex centroid design in optimizing solvent extraction of phenolic content through the design expert program

#### **Evaluation of parameter/indices**

#### Total phenolic content

The extracted sample (20 µL) was transferred into 96-well microplate, and 120 µL of 10 % Follin-Ciocalteu solution was added and incubated for 5 min. Thereafter, 80 µL Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was added and incubated for 30 min. Absorbance was measured using microplate reader (Spectrostar Nano, BMG Labtech, Ortenberg, Germany) at 759 nm. Gallic acid values ranging from 20 to 300 ppm were used in the calibration curve. Total phenolic content concentration was represented in milligrams dry weight of Handeuleum leaves (DW) as comparable to gallic acid (GAE).

#### Data analysis

Statistical data testing based on one-way analysis variance with Duncan's further test (confidence level 95 %) was carried out. Optimization was done using Design Expert program (Stat-Ease Inc, Minneapolis, MN).

# RESULTS

#### Model fitting and ANOVA

For the simplex centroid design modeling, 15 trials (runs) were obtained resulting from the Design Expert program (Table 2). The best-suggested model was the quadratic model with the fit statistic shown in Table 1(p > 0.05, *F*-value of 2.93). The R<sup>2</sup> coefficient value was 0.8407 or 84.07 %, the R<sup>2</sup> (an indicator of the extent to

*Trop J Pharm Res, January 2025; 24(1): 98* 

which the experimental factors and their interactions may account for the observed response value's variability), CV. The model is said to be getting better when  $R^2$  is close to 1.00 and when the  $R^2$  is smaller it indicates an irrelevant model. Coefficient of variation (CV) is a comparison between the level of precision and the experiment, the higher the CV, the lower the reliability of the experiment. In this study the CV obtained was 13 %. Furthermore, the Adeq precision of 5.5691 indicates an adequate signal if the value exceeds 4.00, where this parameter is used as an evaluation of the model in navigating the design space.

 Table 1: ANOVA summary of quadratic model for total phenolic content

Fit Statistics	Value
Р	0.1243
F	2.93
R <sup>2</sup>	0.8407
Adjusted R2	0.5541
Mean	2.38
CV %	13.00
Adeq Precision	5.5691
Standard Deviation	0.3095
0	

Significant at p < 0.05

#### Effect of the solvent system

Each treatment resulted in a response value of total phenolic concentration that was significantly different (p < 0.05). Total phenolic content of *Handeuleum* leaf extract ranged from 1.608 to 3.089 mg GAE/g dry weight. The solvent used was polar, and the results obtained depended on the chemical characteristics of the phenolic structure found in *Handeuleum* leaves.

#### Contour and surface response plots

The contour plot (Figure 2) shows that phenolic recovery is optimal with the formulation of a quaternary mixture or a mixture of water, acetone, methanol, and ethanol with a total phenolic value of 3.089 mg GAE/g dry weight. This result was also not significant with the ternary mixture of water-methanol-acetone with a value of 2.970 mg GAE/g dry weight. The polynomial equation represents the synergy of the solvent effect in Eq 1.

Total phenolic content = 2.43A + 1.52B + 1.87C + 2.21D + 3.83AB + 2.42AC + 1.24AD + 0.5379BC + 0.2899BD + 2.13CD .......(1)

The polynomial equation above represents the quantitative effect of factor interactions on total phenolic response by comparing the factor coefficients. A positive sign implies that there is a synergistic interaction impact, whereas a negative sign suggests that there is antagonistic interaction effect. It was observed from the equation that the factors involved gave a synergistic effect, namely the binary mixture of water and acetone was the highest, followed by water, then ethanol. While the smallest synergistic effect is a mixture of binary acetone and methanol

# Optimal conditions for improving phenolic extraction

Results of the total phenolic response were optimized to obtain desirability values. The final optimal conditions obtained showed a desirability of 0.913 with a total phenolic of 2.9613 mg GAE/g dry weight.

Table 2: Observed response of the total phenolic content with mixture design simplex centroid

Run	Solvents			Total phenolic content	
	Α	В	С	D	(mg GAE/g dry weight)
1	0	0	0.5	0.5	2.589±0.12 <sup>b</sup>
2	0.5	0	0.5	0	2.644±0.13 <sup>b</sup>
3	0	0	0	1	2.235±0.09°
4	0	0.5	0	0.5	1.826±0.13 <sup>de</sup>
5	0.5	0.5	0	0	2.692±0.29 <sup>b</sup>
6	0	0	1	0	1.926±0.14 <sup>d</sup>
7	0.5	0	0	0.5	2.629±0.07 <sup>b</sup>
8	0	0.33	0.33	0.33	2.188±0.03 <sup>c</sup>
9	0	0.5	0.5	0	1.608±0.13 <sup>e</sup>
10	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	3.089±0.02 <sup>a</sup>
11	1	0	0	0	2.483±0.01 <sup>b</sup>
12	0.33	0.33	0.33	0	2.970±0.08 <sup>a</sup>
13	0.33	0.33	0	0.033	2.673±0.18 <sup>b</sup>
14	0	1	0	0	1.610±0.22 <sup>e</sup>
15	0.33	0	0.33	0.33	2 546+0 11 <sup>b</sup>

**Note:** A: water; B: acetone; C: methanol; D: ethanol; mg: milligram; GAE: gallic acid equivalent. Mean values followed by different superscripts (a, b, c, d, e) indicate significant differences at a 95% confidence level based on Duncan's further test

Makiyyah et al



Figure 2: (A): Predicted versus actual plot, (B): contour plot, and (C): 3-D surface plot of the effect of four components solvents on total phenolic contents

Solvent	Formulation	Total phenolic content (mg GAE/g DW)	Desirability value
Water	0.6910		
Acetone	0.3054	2 0612	0.913
Methanol	0.0002	2.9013	
Ethanol	0.0031		

Table 3: The estimated optimal conditions for extraction with desirability value

Table 4: Predicted and actual response values for the optimized extraction

Solvent	Formulation	Predicted value of TPC (mg GAE/g DW)	Actual value of TPC (mg GAE/g DW)	RSE (%)
Water	0.6910			
Acetone	0.3054	2.0612	2.9881	0.905
Methanol	0.0002	2.9013		
Ethanol	0.0031			

#### Validation of the optimal condition

The validation stage uses the percentage of residual standard error (RSE) to assess the suitability of ideal conditions at the end of phenolic extraction. The validation results obtained an actual value of 2.9881 mg GAE/g dry weight, and RSE was 0.905 %. These results explain that the model applied in this study is very adequate (Table 4).

# DISCUSSION

This study investigated the effect of extraction solvent in obtaining the best levels of phenolic compounds through a mixed design approach, namely the simplex centroid design. Selectivity of the extraction of phenolic compounds is achieved with different solvents or mixed solvents by modeling the simplex centroid mixture design. This design involves water, ethanol, methanol,

*Trop J Pharm Res, January 2025; 24(1): 100* 

and acetone as solvents. The four types of solvents were designed to produce 15 treatments which include pure solvents, binary mixtures, ternary mixtures, and quaternary mixtures. This technique is based on statistics which are usually used to improve performance and produce optimal processes [13]. Measurement of total phenolic levels according to the Folin-Ciocalteu . method forms blue complex а (phosphotungstate-phosphomolybdenum), measured using spectrophotometer at 759 nm. This method is well-known and widely used because of its accuracy. specificity and sensitivity [14]. Recovery of phenolic compounds depends on the solvent used in the extraction and its polarity [15]. Water, methanol, ethanol, and acetone have different polarity indices. However, water is the most polar solvent, followed by methanol and ethanol, while acetone is the least polar solvent. Phenolics extracted using a less polar solvent gave a smaller total phenolic value compared to a more polar solvent with varying proportions of water content. This means that the phenolic compounds extracted

The results of the total phenolic response are optimized to obtain desirability values. This value is an evaluation of the level of confidence from the optimal conditions of the extraction process based on the mixture design modeling, a value closer to 1.00 indicates the highest level of confidence from the optimization results. In the final optimal, various solutions provided by the Design Expert program were produced, then the solution that showed the highest desirability value was selected and then validation was carried out on the formulation obtained. An RSE value of less than 5 shows that there is no significant difference between the actual and projected values, indicating that the model developed in this study is quite acceptable [14].

would be mostly polar.

It is known that water gives a better effect in pure solvent conditions and with other solvent mixtures as evidenced by a higher total phenolic value. Water has the highest polarity index, while acetone has the lowest among the test solvents used [16]. This is supported by findings from previous studies [13].

High phenolic extraction in water-miscible solvents is possible because water helps the diffusion of extractable compounds through plant tissues [17]. The use of mixed solvents is better than a single solvent for extracting phenolic compounds in plant samples [18]. However, using a different plant matrix, a previous study revealed that the highest recovery of phenolic compounds was produced by a mixture of several organic solvents compared to a single solvent [19]. Furthermore, a ternary mixture of water, ethanol, and methanol gave simultaneous results in total phenolic extraction from *Pimpinella anisum* [20]. This shows that the optimizing technique using a mixture design is the right thing in extracting bioactive compounds, this technique is considered to shorten the time in the optimizing process.

# CONCLUSION

This study describes the variance of the data better through a quadratic model, and a quaternary mixture of water, acetone, methanol, and ethanol as the optimal condition for increasing phenolic extraction with a high desirability. Validation of optimal conditions shows a high level of accuracy with a lower percentage residual standard error. This study provides commercially valuable information for the future development of phenolic compounds from Handeuleum leaves.

# DECLARATIONS

# Acknowledgement/Funding

We would like to express our gratitude to the Institute for Research and Community Research at Universitas Pembangunan Nasional Veteran Jakarta.

#### Ethical approval

None provided.

# Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### **Conflict of interest**

No conflict of interest is associated with this work.

#### Contribution of authors

We declare that this work was done by the author(s) named in this article, and all liabilities pertaining to claims relating to the content of this article will be borne by the authors.

#### **Open Access**

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or

their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/rea d), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited.

# REFERENCES

- Chen J, Yang J, Ma L, Li J, Shazad N, Kim CK. Structure-antioxidant activity relationship of methoxy, phenolic, hydroxyl, and carboxylic acid groups of phenolic acids. Sci Rep 2020; 10: 2611.
- Miklasinska-Majdanik M, Kepa M, Wojtyczka RD, Idzik D, Wasik TJ. Phenolic compounds diminish antibiotic resistance of Staphylococcus Aureus clinical strains. IJERPH 2018; 15(10): 2321.
- Ghasemzadeh A and Ghazemzadeh N. Flavonoids and phenolic acids: role and biochemical activity in plants and humans. J Med Plant Res 2011; 5(31): 6697-6703.
- Boo YC. Can plant phenolic compounds protect the skin from airborne particulate matter? Antioxidants 2019; 8(9): 379.
- Yasir M, Sultana B, Amicucci M. Biological activities of phenolic compounds extracted from Amaranthaceae plant and their LC/ESI-MS/MS profiling. J Funct Foods 2016; 26: 645-656.
- Sepahpour S, Selamat J, Manap MYA, Khatib A, Razis AFA. Comparative analysis of chemical composition, antioxidant activity and quantitative characterization of some phenolic compounds in selected herbs and spices in different solvent extraction systems. Mol 2018; 23(2): 402.
- Salih AM, Al-Qurainy F, Nadeem M, Tarroum M, Khan S, Shaikhaldein HO, Al-Hashimi A, Alfagham A, Alkahtani. Optimization method for phenolic compounds extraction from medicinal plant (Juniperus procera) and phytochemicals screening. Mol 2021; 26(24): 7454.
- Jdaini K, Alla F, Mansouri F, Parmar A, Elhoumaizi MA. Optimizing the extraction of phenolic antioxidants from date fruit by simplex centroid design solvent mixture design. Heliyon 2023; 9: e12738.
- Jiangseubchatveera N, Liawruangrath S, Teerawutglurag A, Santiarworn D, Pyne SG, Liawruangrath B. Phytochemical screening, phenolic and flavonoid contents, antioxidant and cytotoxic activities of Graptophyllum pictum (L) Griff. Chiang Mai J Sci 2017; 44(1): 193-202.
- 10. Juniarit DE, Kusumaningsih T, Juliastuti WS, Soetojo A, Wungsu ND. Phytochemical analysis and antibacterial

activity of purple leaf extract (Graptophyllum pictum (L.) Griff) against Streptococcus mutans. Acta Med Philipp 2021; 55(8).

- 11. Jiangseubchatveera N, Liawruangrath B, Liawruangrath S, Teerawutgulrag A, Santiarworn D, Korth J, Pyne SH. The chemical constituents and the cytotoxicity, antioxidant and antibacterial activities of the essential oil of Graptophyllum pictum (L.) Griff. J Essent Oil-Bear Plants 2015; 18(1): 11-17.
- Makiyyah F, Rahmi EP, Revina R, Susantiningsih T, Setyaningsih Y. Graptophyllum pictum (L.) Griff. (Syn: Justicia picta Linn.) and its effectiveness: a well-known Indonesian plant. Pharmacogn J 2021; 13(3): 835-838.
- 13. Fadil M, Lebrazi S, Aboulghazi A, Guaouguaou F-E, Rais C, Slimani C, Es-Safi NE. Multi-response optimization of extraction yield, total phenols-flavonoids contents, and antioxidant activity of extracts from Moroccan Lavandula stoechas leaves: predictive modeling using simplexcentroid design. Biocatal Agric Biotechnol 2022; 43: 102430.
- 14. Sulaiman ISC, Basri M, Masoumi HRF, Chee WJ, Ashari SE, Ismail M. Effects of temperature, time, and solvent ratio on the extraction of phenolic compounds and the anti-radical activity of Clinacanthus nutans Lindau leave by response surface methodology. Chem Cent J 2017; 11(54).
- Shi L, Zhao W, Yang Z, Subbiah V, Suleria HAR. Extraction and characterization of phenolic compounds and their potential antioxidant activities. Environ Sci Pollut Res 2022; 29: 81112-81129.
- Pereyra RG, Asar ML, Carignano MA. The role of acetone dipole moment in acetone-water mixture, J Chem Phys Lett 2011; 507(4-6): 240-243.
- 17. Cowan MM. Plants product as antimicrobial agents. Clin Microbiol Rev 1999; 12(564).
- Zlotek U, Mikulska S, Nagajek M, Swieca M. The effect of different solvents and number of extraction steps on the polyphenol content and antioxidant capacity of basil leaves (Ocimum basilicum L.) extracts. Saudi J Biol Sci 2016; 23(5): 623-633.
- Marliani N, Artika IM, Nurcholis W. Optimization extraction for total phenolic, flavonoid contents, and antioxidant activity with different solvents and UPLC-MS/MS metabolite profiling of Justicia gendarussa Burm.f. CMUJ Nat Sci 2022; 21: e2022046.
- Soussi M, Fadil M, Al Yaagoubi W, Benjelloun M, El Ghadraoui L. Simultaneous optimization of phenolic compounds and antioxidant abilities of Moroccan Pimpinella anisum extracts using mixture design methodology. Processes 2022; 12: 2580. https://doi.org/10.3390/pr10122580.