

Original Research Article

Effect of natural growth promoters on immunity, and biochemical and haematological parameters of broiler chickens

Syed Muddassar Hussain Gilani^{1*}, Sitwat Zehra², Faiz-ul-Hassan³, Saddia Galani², Asma Ashraf⁴

¹Department of Agriculture and Agribusiness Management, ²Karachi Institute of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering (KIBGE), University of Karachi, Karachi, ³Institute of Animal Sciences, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, ⁴Department of Zoology, Government College University, Faisalabad, Pakistan

*For correspondence: **Email:** gilani1294@gmail.com; **Tel:** 009-23218237926

Sent for review: 8 December 2017

Revised accepted: 19 March 2018

Abstract

Purpose: To investigate the efficacy of promising alternatives to antibiotic growth promoters (organic acids, phytobiotics, and their combinations) as feed additives in poultry feed.

Methods: Different feed treatments were formulated with organic acids, phytobiotics and their combinations, and their effects on blood profile, serum enzymes and immunity parameters were evaluated in broilers at 21 and 42 days of age.

Results: Cholesterol, triglyceride and HDL levels of the 21- and 42-day old broilers were significantly ($p < 0.05$) affected by the feed additives. The effect of albumin and albumin/globulin ratios varied significantly ($p < 0.05$) from that of the control group at 42 days of age. Haematological analysis did not show significant changes ($p > 0.05$) in parameters except hematocrit, RBC, MCH, MCHC, WBC at age 21 days. However, among the serum enzymes assayed, only gamma-glutamyl transferase activity was altered for the modified feed group.

Conclusion: These results suggest that supplementation with organic acids and phytobiotics can be used as alternatives to antibiotic growth promoters without interfering with the overall health and performance of broilers.

Keywords: Broilers, Antibiotic growth promoters, Phytobiotics, Organic acids, Biochemical parameters

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited.

Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research is indexed by Science Citation Index (SciSearch), Scopus, International Pharmaceutical Abstract, Chemical Abstracts, Embase, Index Copernicus, EBSCO, African Index Medicus, JournalSeek, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ), African Journal Online, Bioline International, Open-J-Gate and Pharmacy Abstracts

INTRODUCTION

The use of antibiotic growth promoters (AGPs) in poultry feed was banned in 2006, notwithstanding their beneficial roles in growth performance and disease prevention in poultry,

due to the development of resistance in bacteria and presence of drug residues in meat [1]. Efforts have been focused on the use of alternatives to AGPs such as organic acids and phytobiotics [2]. Organic acids and their blends (acetic acid, formic acid, lactic acid, propionic

acid and isobutyric acid) can be used to enhance poultry production and performance through improved nutrient digestion and absorption by reducing enteric pathogenic microbial loads and intestinal pH in birds. The buffering capacity of diets enhanced by supplementation with organic acids contributes to the maintenance of good health status in poultry [3].

The content of active substances in the products may vary widely within phytobiotic feed additives, depending on the part of the plant used (e.g. seeds, leaf, root or bark); harvesting season, and geographical location [4]. The physiological effects of various active compounds derived from plants and their possible interactions have been investigated in terms of growth, production performance, immune response and gut health of animals [5,6]. Kidney and liver functions are efficiently regulated by phytobiotic supplementation. Overall, it has been observed that serum biochemical and hematological parameters are improved by inclusion of phytobiotics in broiler diets [7].

Serum biochemical and hematological parameters are reliable indicators of health status of animals, and may have important roles in diagnosis, prognosis, and treatments of poultry diseases. For example, alanine transaminase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) are considered diagnostic enzymes for liver diseases [9]. These parameters are generally influenced by nutrition, season, climate change, age, sex, type of birds and management systems [8]. The present study was conducted to evaluate the effects of natural growth promoters (as alternatives to AGPs) on the health status of broiler chicks, with respect to biochemical, hematological, and serum enzyme parameters, and immunity against Newcastle disease (ND).

EXPERIMENTAL

Bird husbandry

A total 315 day-old Hubbard broiler chicks were purchased from a commercial hatchery. The birds were randomly divided into five groups, each group having 63 chicks with three replicates (21 birds/replicate). All experimental birds were vaccinated against infectious bronchitis, ND and infectious bursal disease according to the vaccine schedule provided by a local day-old Hubbard chick producing company (Mega Poultry Company Pvt.). The broiler birds were vaccinated against Newcastle disease at day 5 and day 26 of age for the estimation of ND titres, and at day 21 and 42 of age. All procedures used in the animal experiments were approved by

KIBGE Ethical Review Board (no. DG/AA-089) in accordance with the Care and Use of Agricultural Animals in Research [10].

Experimental rations and formulations

Two basal diets were formulated according to the Hubbard classic nutrient requirements and offered as broiler starter (0 - 21 days of age) and broiler finisher rations (21 to 42 days of age). Each diet was analysed as described by AOAC [11] for proximate composition [12]. The feed ingredients and chemical composition of the experimental diets are presented in Table 1. Birds were provided with iso-nitrogenous and iso-caloric basal diets through the inclusion of different feed additives. Group 1 (Control) was given basal diet without AGP; Group 2 (AGP) received basal diet + 40 gm AGP (virginimycine) per metric ton (mt) of feed; while Group 3 (organic acid group) had basal diet + 4 kg organic acids (blend of 11.5 % propionic acid, 45 % formic acid, and 15 % citric acid per mt of feed. Group 4 (phytobiotics) had basal diet + 3 kg phytobiotics (blend of *Zingiber officinale* (ginger) and *Glycyrrhiza glabra* (liquorice), *Withania somnifera* (Ashwagandha), *Camellia sinensis* (green tea), *Nigella sativa* (black seed) per mt of feed. Group 5 (combination group) was given basal diet + combination (organic acids + phytobiotics at the same dose (per mt of feed) as Groups 3 and 4).

Blood collection

Each replicate of birds was reared in individual pens. Three birds were selected randomly from each group on day 21 and day 42 of age, and 2 ml blood samples were collected from each bird through the brachial vein, using sterile needles and syringes. The blood samples were put in properly labelled and sterilized anticoagulant (EDTA) tubes and used for haematological analysis. In addition, 2ml of blood was collected from each bird into tubes without anticoagulant, for estimation of biochemical and enzyme indices, as well as ND antibody titres. The blood-containing tubes were placed in slanting position at room temperature for 6 h and incubated overnight in the refrigerator at 4 °C to obtain serum. The serum samples were kept at -20 °C prior to biochemical analysis.

Assessment of biochemical indices

The following biochemical analyses were estimated: total protein, albumin, globulin, creatinine, albumin/globulin ratio (AG ratio), glucose, cholesterol, triglyceride, high density lipoprotein (HDL), low density lipoprotein (LDL).

Evaluation of hematological indices

The samples were subjected to hematological analysis with respect to hemoglobin (Hb) concentration, packed cell volume (PCV), red blood cells (RBC), mean cell hemoglobin (MCH), mean cell volume (MCV), mean cell Hb concentration (MCHC), white blood Cells (WBC), neutrophils, lymphocytes (LY), eosinophils, monocytes, basophils (BA), using Hematological Analyzer Sysmex XP- 100 (Japan).

Determination of serum enzyme indices

The serum samples were analyzed for AST, ALT and gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT) using automatic Biochemical Analyzer (Clinic 200).

Determination of ND virus antibodies (ND titres)

Two-fold serial dilution of each serum sample was made in a 96-well microtitre plate. Serum

dilutions were prepared ranging from 1: 2 to 1: 48. Then, 25µL of buffer, pH 7.2 - 7.4, 25 µL of serum and 25 µL of ND virus antigen were added to all wells except controls and incubated for 10 min at 37 °C. Erythrocyte suspension (50 µL, 0.5 %) was added to each well and left for 30 min. A positive control serum, a negative control serum, erythrocytes and antigens were also included as controls. The highest dilution of serum causing complete inhibition of erythrocyte agglutination was recorded [13].

Statistical analysis

Statistix 8.1 software was employed for statistical analysis (one way ANOVA) in a completely randomized design. Treatment means were compared using Least Significant Difference (LSD) method for all pairwise comparisons. Statistically significant difference was determined at $p < 0.05$.

Table 1: Feed additives of the experimental diets (starter and finisher rations)

Feed ingredient	Starter Inclusion (%)	Finisher Inclusion (%)	Nutrients	Calculated composition	
				Starter	Finisher
Corn Gluten	59	62	*ME ⁸ , Kcal /kg	2900	3000
Soya bean meal (44 %)	19.43	28	Crude protein (%)	21.5	19.17
Canola meal	10	0	Calcium (%)	1	0.9
Fish meal (55 % crude protein)	3	0	Available phosphorous (%)	0.47	0.4
Corn gluten (60 % crude protein)	3	2.5	Dig. lysine (%)	1.19	1.07
*PPM ¹ (50% crude protein)	2	2	Dig. methionine (%)	0.54	0.56
Limestone	1.3	1.4	Dig. Methionine + cysteine (%)	0.88	0.88
Vegetable Oil	0.36	1.6	Dig. tryptophan (%)	0.23	0.2
DL-Methionine	0.18	0.26			
Lysine sulphate 55 %	0.47	0.39			
*Vitamin premix ²	0.05	0.05			
*Mineral premix ³	0.05	0.05			
L-threonine	0.16	0.15			
Salt (Nacl)	0.2	0.2			
Sodium-bi-carbonate	0.25	0.23			
*Anti –coccidial ⁴	0.02	0			
*Anti –coccidial ⁵	0	0.05			
* MDCP ⁶ 21 %	0.3	0.99			
Choline chloride 60 %	0.2	0.1			
Quantum Blue (phytase)	0.02	0.02			
*Seldox ⁷ (antioxidant)	0.015	0.015			
Total	100	100			

¹PPM = Poultry by Product Meal, ²Vitamin premix: composition per kg (vitamin A, 20,000 KIU/Kg; vitamin D3,5,400 KIU/kg; vitamin E, 48,000 mg/kg; vitamin K3, 4,000mg/kg; vitamin B1,4,000 mg/kg; vitamin B2,9,000 mg/kg; vitamin B6, 7,600 mg/kg; vitamin B12,20 mg/kg; Niacine,60,000 mg /kg; Folic acids, 1,600 mg/kg; Pantothenic Acid,20,000 mg/kg; Biotin, 200mg/kg); ³Mineral premix: composition per kg (Iron , 60.000 mg/kg; Zinc, 120,000mg/kg; Manganese,130.000 mg/kg, Copper, 10,000 mg/kg; Iodine, 1,800 mg/kg; Selenium, 360 mg /kg ; Cobalt , 400 mg/kg); ⁴Anti –Coccidial⁴ Diclazuril 0.5 %; ⁵Anti-coccidial: maduramycine 1 %; ⁶MDCP 21 % mono dicalcium phosphate; ⁷Seldox: contained butylated hydroxy anisole, butylated hydroxy toluene, ethoxyquin and citric acid; ⁸ME: metabolizing energy

RESULTS

Biochemical indices

The results of serum biochemical analysis of birds in different groups revealed that cholesterol, triglyceride, HDL, LDL levels differed significantly ($p < 0.05$) among all feed groups at the 21st and 42nd days of age, except LDL at 42nd day of age ($p > 0.05$). Phytobiotics, organic acids and their combinations resulted in decreased cholesterol, triglycerides and LDL, and increased HDL level at both stages (Table 2 and Table 3). Statistical analysis revealed significant differences ($p < 0.05$) in glucose, and non-significant differences ($p > 0.05$) in creatinine levels among the treatment groups (Table 3). Higher serum glucose was found in the phytobiotics group and their combination-supplemented groups, while total proteins and globulin showed non-significant ($p > 0.05$) differences at both stages of rearing for all groups. Albumin and AG ratios were significantly ($p < 0.05$) different at 42 days, but the differences were non-significant at 21 days of age (Table 2 and Table 3).

Haematological indices

There were no significant differences in levels of haemoglobin, lymphocytes, neutrophils, eosinophils, monocytes, basophils and platelets among all treatment groups at 21 and 42 days of age (Tables 4 and 5). However, the levels of WBC and MCHC were significantly increased at 21 and 42 days of age in the organic acid- and phytobiotic-supplementation groups, as well as the combination group, when compared to the control group (Table 4 and Table 5).

Serum enzyme indices

No significant variations were found in serum concentration of the liver enzymes ALT and AST between the treatment groups, while GGT was significantly different in the treatment groups when compared with controls ($p < 0.05$) at 42 days of age (Table 6).

ND virus antibody titres

There were no significant changes in ND titres in the feed treatment groups at 21 days of age. However, at 42 days of age, only the phytobiotic- and combination-fed groups showed significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher ND titres than control (Table 7).

Table 2: Effect of different feed treatments on biochemical parameters of broiler chickens at 21 days of age

Parameter	Control	AGP	Organic acids	Phytobiotics	Combination	*SEM	P- value
Cholesterol (mg/dL)	65.81 ^{ab}	68.00 ^a	64.00 ^{ab}	55.33 ^c	62.00 ^b	1.33	0.0005
Triglyceride (mg/dL)	66.00 ^a	68.00 ^a	43.00 ^c	48.00 ^b	38.67 ^c	1.42	0.001
HDL (mg/dL)	35.67 ^b	36.27 ^b	39.77 ^a	40.67 ^a	41.33 ^a	1.08	0.01
LDL (mg/dL)	44.63 ^a	32.07 ^c	25.33 ^d	34.67 ^{bc}	38.00 ^b	1.75	0.002
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.17 ^{ab}	0.27 ^a	0.10 ^b	0.17 ^{ab}	0.17 ^{ab}	0.039	0.133
Glucose random (mg/dL)	156.37 ^{bc}	150.29 ^c	133.44 ^d	160.28 ^b	186.37 ^a	2.81	0.001
Total Protein (mg/dL)	2.60 ^a	3.13 ^a	2.67 ^a	2.73 ^a	2.67 ^a	0.27	0.676
Albumin (mg/dL)	1.23 ^a	1.47 ^a	1.30 ^a	1.27 ^a	1.20 ^a	0.12	0.633
Globulin (mg/dL)	1.37 ^a	1.67 ^a	1.37 ^a	1.47 ^a	1.47 ^a	0.15	0.674
**A/G Ratio (mg/dL)	0.87 ^a	0.87 ^a	0.93 ^a	0.83 ^a	0.77 ^a	0.06	0.462

Means bearing different superscripts (a, b, c) in a column differ significantly ($p < 0.05$)*, *SEM = standard error of the mean

Table 3: Effect of different feed treatments on biochemical parameters of broiler chickens at 42 days of age

Parameter	Control	AGP	Organic acids	Phytobiotics	Combination	*SEM	P-value
Cholesterol (mg/dL)	66.59 ^a	60.29 ^b	57.00 ^{bc}	54.00 ^c	64.33 ^a	1.19	0.0001
Triglyceride (mg/dL)	66.67 ^a	61.11 ^b	59.00 ^c	53.00 ^d	56.00 ^e	0.65	0.0001
HDL (mg/dL)	35.33 ^b	36.00 ^b	37.00 ^b	39.33 ^a	41.17 ^a	0.59	0.002
LDL (mg/dL)	17.00 ^a	14.0 ^a	15.66 ^a	14.16 ^a	16.66 ^a	1.08	0.244
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.37 ^a	0.40 ^a	0.37 ^a	0.20 ^a	0.50 ^a	0.13	0.622
Glucose random (mg/dL)	170.07 ^b	198.11 ^a	169.77 ^b	193.00 ^a	176.85 ^b	2.97	0.001
Total protein (mg/dL)	2.67 ^a	2.77 ^a	2.90 ^a	2.83 ^a	2.73 ^a	0.25	0.972
Albumin (mg/dL)	1.57 ^b	1.53 ^b	1.43 ^b	1.43 ^b	1.88 ^a	0.07	0.01
Globulin (mg/dL)	1.10 ^a	1.23 ^a	1.47 ^a	1.30 ^a	1.27 ^a	0.39	0.973
**A/G Ratio (mg/dL)	1.40 ^b	1.27 ^b	1.07 ^b	1.33 ^b	2.30 ^a	0.25	0.04

Means bearing different superscripts (a, b, c) in a column differ significantly ($p < 0.05$)*, *SEM; standard error of the mean, ** A/G ratio; albumin/globulin ratio

Table 4: Effect of different feed treatments on haematological parameters of broiler chickens at 21 days of age

Parameter	Organic					*SEM	P- value
	Control	AGP	acids	Phytobiotics	Combination		
Haemoglobin (mg/dL)	9.13 ^b	10.00 ^{ab}	10.04 ^a	9.77 ^{ab}	10.07 ^a	0.27	0.177
Haematocrit (%)	27.93 ^c	30.10 ^{bc}	34.40 ^a	31.33 ^{ab}	31.20 ^{abc}	1.07	0.021
Red blood cells (10 ¹² /L)	2.16 ^b	2.33 ^b	2.64 ^a	2.43 ^{ab}	2.44 ^{ab}	0.09	0.05
*MCV (FL)	127.00 ^a	129.00 ^a	129.50 ^a	128.33 ^a	129.00 ^a	1.38	0.741
**MCHC (%)	32.0 ^{bc}	33.47 ^{ab}	34.00 ^a	31.26 ^c	32.44 ^{abc}	0.51	0.023
***MCH (PG)	41.00 ^{bc}	43.39 ^a	43.00 ^{ab}	39.67 ^c	42.00 ^{abc}	0.75	0.723
White blood cells (10 ⁹ /L)	253.95 ^b	254.56 ^b	283.79 ^a	287.13 ^a	289.84 ^a	2.18	0.001
Neutrophils (%)	2.00 ^a	3.33 ^a	2.95 ^a	2.92 ^a	2.66 ^a	0.49	0.45
Lymphocytes (%)	92.33 ^a	91.33 ^a	94.33 ^a	94.00 ^a	94.33 ^s	1.04	0.303
Eosinophils (%)	1.04 ^a	1.03 ^a	1.05 ^a	1.05 ^a	1.03 ^a	0.01	0.773
Monocytes (%)	1.09 ^a	1.13 ^a	1.15 ^a	1.15 ^a	1.21 ^a	0.09	0.926
Basophils (%)	0.48 ^a	0.52 ^a	0.40 ^a	0.56 ^a	0.59 ^a	0.1	0.733
Platelets (10 ⁹ /L)	10000.0 ^a	9666.7 ^a	10000.3 ^a	10666.03 ^a	11000.0 ^a	494.41	0.364

Means bearing different superscripts (a, b, c) in a column differ significantly ($p < 0.05$)*, *SEM = standard error of the mean

Table 5: Effect of different feed treatments on haematological parameters of broiler chickens at 42 days of age

Parameter	Organic					*SEM	P- value
	Control	AGP	acids	Phytobiotics	Combination		
Haemoglobin (mg/dL)	8.50 ^a	8.93 ^a	8.23 ^{ab}	7.33 ^b	8.30 ^{ab}	0.35	0.089
Haematocrit (%)	27.67 ^{ab}	29.93 ^a	27.10 ^{ab}	25.20 ^b	27.87 ^{ab}	1.13	0.133
Red blood cells (10 ¹² /L)	2.05 ^a	2.14 ^a	2.02 ^a	1.87 ^a	2.15 ^a	0.09	0.334
*MCV (FL)	129.07 ^b	138.74 ^a	134.00 ^{ab}	134.83 ^{ab}	136.10 ^a	1.91	0.05
**MCHC (%)	29.80 ^{ab}	30.07 ^{ab}	30.33 ^a	29.13 ^b	30.70 ^a	0.31	0.04
***MCH (PG)	39.63 ^b	41.77 ^a	40.70 ^{ab}	39.23 ^b	40.53 ^{ab}	0.52	0.05
White blood cells (10 ⁹ /L)	250.67 ^d	258.67 ^c	266.00 ^{ab}	261.00 ^{bc}	266.47 ^a	1.73	0.04
Neutrophils (%)	3.66 ^a	4.3 ^a	3.00 ^a	3.66 ^a	3.33 ^a	0.53	0.53
Lymphocytes (%)	93.33 ^a	93.67 ^a	95.00 ^a	94.33 ^a	94.33 ^a	0.9	0.722
Eosinophils (%)	1.05 ^a	1.08 ^a	1.06 ^a	1.07 ^a	1.07 ^a	0.02	0.936
Monocytes (%)	1.25 ^a	1.33 ^a	1.10 ^a	1.44 ^a	1.23 ^a	0.17	0.712
Basophils (%)	0.63 ^b	0.85 ^a	0.77 ^{ab}	0.79 ^{ab}	0.80 ^{ab}	0.06	0.278
Platelets (10 ⁹ /L)	10000.0 ^a	11666.6 ^a	11333.3 ^a	11000.0 ^a	11800.0 ^a	694.58	0.424

Means bearing different superscripts (a, b, c) in a column differ significantly ($p < 0.05$)*; *SEM; standard error of the mean

Table 6: Effect of different feed treatments on serum enzymes of broiler chickens at 42 days of age

Parameter	Control	AGP	Organic acid	Phytobiotic	Combination	*SEM	P- value
ALT (U/L)	4.00 ^a	3.00 ^a	4.50 ^a	4.00 ^a	2.50 ^a	1.14	0.723
AST (U/L)	2.67 ^{ab}	259.33 ^{ab}	232.00 ^b	277.33 ^a	303.00 ^a	14.34	0.058
*GGT (U/L)	16 ^c	20 ^b	24 ^a	20.5 ^b	25 ^a	1.1	0.001

Means bearing different superscripts (a, b, c) in a column differ significantly ($p < 0.05$)*, *SEM; Standard error of the mean *GGT: Gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase

Table 7: Effect of different feed treatments on Newcastle disease titers of broiler chickens at 21 and 42 days of age

ND titre	Organic					*SEM	P- value
	Control	AGP	acid	Phytobiotics	Combination		
At 21 days of age	3.33 ^a	3.66 ^a	4.00 ^a	4.66 ^a	4.33 ^a	0.471	0.351
At 42 days of age	3.66 ^b	5.00 ^a	5.33 ^a	6.00 ^a	5.66 ^a	.365	0.009

Means bearing different superscripts (a, b, c) in a column differ significantly ($p < 0.05$)*, *SEM = Standard error of the mean

DISCUSSION

The results of this study demonstrate that supplementation of broiler feed with organic acids and phytobiotics may serve as effective

alternative to synthetic antibiotics. The inclusion of phytobiotics such as green tea leaves, peppermint, and roots of *Glycyrrhiza glabra* in the diets of broilers reduced their serum cholesterol, triglycerides, and LDL, and improved their serum HDL levels. This may be attributed to

the unique properties of phytobiotics, such as anti-atherosclerotic, antimicrobial, and immunomodulatory potential [14]. Different phytobiotics such as black seed [15], cumin seed [7], and ginger [16] have been associated with hypocholesterolemic effects. Plants have volatile oils which can inhibit the activity of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase (HMG-CoA reductase), a liver enzyme that regulates the biosynthesis of cholesterol, thereby reducing its level in the serum [17].

It is evident from the results that organic acid inclusion to broiler feeds significantly reduced the cholesterol and total blood lipids profile of the chicks at 21 and 42 days of age. This reduction may be due to decreases in gut pH. Reduction in gut pH may interfere with the activities of microbial enzymes in the gut, thereby stimulating the bacterial cells to expend energy to expel the protons, leading to an intracellular accumulation [18].

In addition, the results of this study suggest that glucose level was significantly enhanced in the phytobiotic-supplemented group, relative to the control group at 21 and 42 days of age. This is consistent with the hyperglycemic activity of phytobiotics [19].

The supplementation of fennel and ginger had no significant effects on serum lymphocytes, eosinophils, monocytes and basophils of the birds. However, PCV, RBC and WBC were significantly increased by supplementation with organic acids at 21 and 42 days of age, most likely due to their antimicrobial interactions and stimulation of immune system resulting in enhanced immunity [20,21]. A phytobiotic such as licorice is used as blood purifier and immunity enhancer because it boosts white blood cells and ultimately increases interferon levels. The results of the current investigation support the fact that supplementation of feed with *Ashwagandha* root powder may protect RBC from oxidative stress due to its antioxidant activity [22].

Elevated levels of ALT and AST are sensitive indicators of hepatocellular disease. In this study, there were no significant changes in the serum levels of ALT and AST in the treatment groups when compared to control. Interestingly, although there were significant changes in GGT levels in the treatment groups, the birds were in good health without biliary cholestasis and duct hyperplasia. However, the diagnostic value of changes in GGT for hepatic injury depends on species of birds [23].

Immunity is a key factor for overcoming the problem of infections in poultry so as to enhance the growth performance and health status of poultry. The results obtained in the current investigation revealed that phytobiotics supplementation provide several compounds which may improve ND and IBD titres, and ultimately improve immunity by preventing liver damage and lipid peroxidation. Supplementation with black seed [16] and ginger rhizome has been proven to improve the immunity in birds through increased antibody production against ND virus [24].

CONCLUSION

Phytobiotics and their combination may boost the immunity and health of birds without any pronounced pathological conditions and changes in the blood profile of broiler chicks. This shows that phytobiotics are potential alternatives to AGPs.

DECLARATIONS

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Ideal Feeds and Experimental Units, Karachi for providing the research facilities for this work.

Conflict of Interest

No conflict of interest associated with this work.

Contribution of Authors

The authors declare that this work was done by the authors named in this article and all liabilities pertaining to claims relating to the content of this article will be borne by them.

REFERENCES

1. Hashemi S, Davoodi H. Phytochemicals as new class of feed additive in poultry industry. *J Anim Vet Adv* 2010; 9: 2295-2304.
2. Elagib H, El-Amin W, Malik HE. Effect of dietary garlic (*Allium sativum*) supplementation as feed additive on broiler performance and blood profile. *J Anim Sci Adv* 2013; 3: 58-64.
3. Papatsiros V, Christodoulopoulos G, Filippopoulos L. The use of organic acids in monogastric animals (swine and rabbits). *J Cell Anim Biol* 2012; 6: 154-159.
4. Karangiya V, Savsani H, Patil SS, Garg D, Murthy K, Ribadiya N, Vekariya S. Effect of dietary supplementation of garlic, ginger and their combination

- on feed intake, growth performance and economics in commercial broilers. *Vet World* 2016; 9: 245-250.
5. Ghazalah A, Ali A. Rosemary leaves as a dietary supplement for growth in broiler chickens. *Int J Poul Sci* 2008; 7: 234-239.
 6. Figueiredo AC, Barroso JG, Pedro LG, Scheffer JJ. Factors affecting secondary metabolite production in plants: volatile components and essential oils. *Flavour Fragr J* 2008; 23: 213-226.
 7. Al-Kassie GAM. The effect of thyme and cinnamon on the microbial balance in gastro intestinal tract on broiler chicks. *Int J Poult Sci* 2010; 9: 495-498.
 8. Yokus, B, Cakir DU, Kanay Z, Gulden T, Uysal E. Effects of seasonal and physiological variations on the serum chemistry, vitamins and thyroid hormone concentrations in sheep. *J Vet Med* 2006; 53: 271-276.
 9. Al-Jaff FK. Effect of coriander seeds as diet ingredient on blood parameters of broiler chicks raised under high ambient temperature. *Int J Poult Sci* 2011; 10: 82-86.
 10. FASS. Guide for the care and use of agricultural animals in research and teaching. 3rd Ed. Federation of animal sciences societies. 2010. Publ. Sanoy, IL, USA.
 11. AOAC. Animal Feed. In: Official methods of analysis. 18th Edition, Association of official Analytical Chemists, Gaithersburg, USA, 2005 ; pp 27-48.
 12. Ghazalah A, Atta A, Elkloub K, Moustafa ME, Shata RF. Effect of dietary supplementation of organic acids on performance, nutrients digestibility and health of broiler chicks. *Int J Poul Sci* 2011; 10: 176-184.
 13. OIE. Newcastle disease. *Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals. Chapter 2.3.* 2012. <http://www.oie.int/international-standard-setting/terrestrial-manual/access-online>.
 14. Sharifi SD, Saeedeh H, Khorsandi A, Khadem A, Salehi A, Moslehi HR. The effect of four medicinal plants on the performance, blood biochemical traits and ileal microflora of broiler chicks. *Vet Arhiv* 2013; 83: 69-80.
 15. Yaseen SA. Potential antiviral activity of *Nigella sativa* extracts. *Iraq J Vet Sci* 2003; 17:37-40.
 16. Saeid JM, Mohamed AB, Al-Baddy MA. Effect of aqueous extract of ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) on blood biochemistry parameters of broiler. *Int J Poult Sci* 2010; 9: 944-947.
 17. Fujioka T, Kondou R, Fukuhara A. Efficacy of glycyrrhizin suppository for treatment of chronic hepatitis C: a pilot study. *Hepato Res* 2003; 26: 103-117.
 18. Young KM, Foegeding PM. Acetic, lactic and citric acids and pH inhibition of *Listeria monocytogenes* Scott and the effect on intracellular pH. *J Appl Bacteriol* 1993; 74: 515-520.
 19. Mehrdad R, Khalilia M, Mahdavia F. The effect of chronic administration of *Withania somnifera* on learning and memory deficits of diabetic rats. *J Alzheimers Dement* 2006; 2: 231.
 20. Nasiroleslami M, M Torki. Including Essential Oils of Fennel (*Foeniculum Vulgare*) and Ginger (*Zingiber Officinale*) to Diet and Evaluating Performance of Laying Hens, White Blood Cell Count and Egg Quality Characteristics. *Adv Environ Biol* 2010; 4: 341-345.
 21. Zarehshahneh AK, Abdelahi MR, Kamyab AR, Nikkhah A. Effect of different levels of bacterial probiotics on broilers performance and some of blood factors. *J Agric Sci Nat Res* 2007; 14:162-170.
 22. Sujatha V, Pandurang J, Rastogi, SK, Madan AK, Maini S, Ravikanth K. Amelioration of oxidative stress in broilers during summer. *Biotechnol Anim Husbandry* 2010; 26: 361-381.
 23. Tennant BC. Hepatic Function. In: *Clinical Biochemistry of Domestic Animals.* (Kaneko JJ, Harvey JW, Bruss ML. Eds.) 5th ed., Academic Press. San Diego, London, Boston, New York, Sydney, Tokyo, Toronto. 1997; pp. 327-352.
 24. Azhir D, Zakeri A, Kargare-Rezapour A. Effect of ginger powder rhizome on humoral immunity of broiler chickens. *Eur J Exp Biol* 2012; 2: 2090-2092.