

FULL LENGTH RESEARCH ARTICLE

LOCALISATION IN COMMUTATIVE RINGS AT A PRIME IDEAL  $p = (0), (2)$

\*APINE, E. & JELTEN, B. N.  
Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Natural Sciences  
University of Jos, Jos, Nigeria.  
\*(Corresponding author)  
apineen@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This paper discusses localization, one of the most important concepts in commutative algebra. A process by which new rings are constructed. The nature of the resulting new ring depends on that of a multiplicative subset of the given ring. This paper also focuses on the extension of the ring of integers  $Z$  to that of rational numbers  $Q$  that is embedding the integers into the rational numbers. Some results are stated in this paper.

**Keywords:** localization, rings

INTRODUCTION

The formation of rings of fractions (new rings) and the associated process of localization are the most important technical tools in commutative algebra. The geometric notion of concentrating attention near a point has as the algebraic analogue the important processes of localizing a ring at the prime ideal  $p$ . Commutative algebra is essentially the study of commutative rings. It developed from two sources:

- i algebraic geometry which began with the study of polynomial rings in finitely many indeterminates over a field  $k$ ;
- ii algebraic number theory which began with the study of the ring of rational numbers, the central notion in commutative algebra is that of a prime ideal which provides a common generalization of the primes of arithmetic and the points of geometry. The most important properties of localization are that: it preserves exactness and the Noetherian property. In his paper  $R$  is a commutative ring with identity and  $p$ , a prime ideal.

Definitions of fundamental concepts:

Definition 1 (Jacob 1969)

A non-empty subset  $I$  of a commutative ring  $R$  with unity is called an ideal if it is an additive subgroup of  $R$  and it is such that  $RI \subseteq I$ , that is for any  $x \in R, y \in I$  then  $xy \in I$ .

Definition 2 (Kuku 1980)

An ideal  $I \in R$  is called a prime ideal if

- i.  $I \neq (1)$ ,
- ii.  $xy \in I \rightarrow x \in I$  or  $y \in I$ . In this paper we denote this ideal by  $p$ .

Definition 3

An ideal  $I \neq (1) \in R$  is called a maximal ideal if there does not exist any other ideal  $J \neq (1)$  such that  $I \subseteq J \subseteq R$ .

Definition 4 (Jacob 1969)

Localization is a technique or method in modern ring theory by which new rings are constructed by concentrating attention near a point (or prime)  $p$ .

Definition 5 (Jacob 1969)

A subset  $T$  of a ring  $R$  is called a multiplicative subset of  $R$  if:  $1 \in T$  and  $a, b \in T$  implies  $ab \in T$ .

Definition 6 (Cohn 1977)

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $T$  a subset of  $R$ , a homomorphism  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R'$  is  $T$ -inverting if  $\alpha$  maps the elements of  $T$  to invertible elements of  $R'$ .

Definition 7

The ring  $R$  is called a local ring if it has exactly one maximal ideal.

Definition 8

An element  $x \in R$  is said to be a unit or an invertible in  $R$  if  $x$  divides 1, that is there exists an element  $y \in R$  such that  $xy = 1$ .

Definition 9

A ring  $D$  is said to be embedded in a ring  $R$  if there is an isomorphism  $\beta$  of  $D$  onto a sub ring  $R'$  of  $R$  then  $R$  is called an extension or an overing of  $D$ .  $\beta$  is called an embedding of  $D$  onto  $R$ .

Definition 10 (Cohn 1977)

Suppose  $R$  is an integral domain and  $T$  a multiplicative subset of  $R$ . We construct a ring of quotient or field of fraction from  $R$  as an extension of the process involve in construction of the rational field  $Q$  from the integers  $Z$  with respect to  $T$ . The relation on  $RXT$  is

defined by considering the set  $\{\frac{r}{t} : r \in R, t \in T\}$  and let  $\frac{r}{t}$  and  $\frac{r_1}{t_1}$

be equivalent, then there exists  $u \in T$  such

$(r, t) \sim (r_1, t_1) \Leftrightarrow (rt_1 - tr_1)u = 0$  ----- (1) for some  $u \in T$ . This is clearly an equivalence relation defined on  $RXT$ .

We claim that  $T$  consists of entirely non-zero divisors, then (1) becomes  $rt_1 - tr_1 = 0$  ----- (2) or just  $rt_1 = tr_1$  and (1) defines an equivalence relation on  $RXT$ .

Now let  $\frac{r}{t}$  be the equivalence class containing  $(r, t)$  and  $T^{-1}R$  or

$R_t$ , the set of distinct equivalence classes of  $(r, t)$ , that is an

element of  $R_t$  has the form  $\frac{r}{t}, r \in R, t \in T$ . We make  $R_t$  into a

ring as follows:  $\frac{r}{t} + \frac{r_1}{t_1} = \frac{rt_1 + tr_1}{tt_1}$  and  $\frac{r}{t} \cdot \frac{r_1}{t_1} = \frac{rr_1}{tt_1}, t, t_1 \neq 0$ . As

the operations (+) and (.) are well defined we have that  $R_t$  is a ring with  $\frac{0}{1}$  and  $\frac{1}{1}$  as the zero and unit elements respectively. This

new ring  $R_t$  is called the ring of fraction of  $R$  by  $T$  or ring of fraction of  $R$  with respect to  $T$  or simply the ring of fractions (with denominators in  $T$ ).

The elements  $\frac{r}{t}$  of  $R_t$  are constructed from the elements  $a$  say of

$R$  hence there exists a natural homomorphism or mapping  $\beta$  from

$R$  to  $R_t$  denoted by  $\beta : R \rightarrow R_t$  defined by  $\beta(r) = \frac{r}{1}$

or  $\beta : r \rightarrow \frac{r}{1}$ . This implies that  $\beta$  maps each elements of  $T$  to a

unit in  $R_t$ , that is the homomorphism is  $T$ -inverting.

Remarks

(i) The ring  $R_t$  under the operations defined above is a commutative ring with identity called the ring of fractions.

(ii) The homomorphism  $\beta : R \rightarrow R_t$  defined by  $\beta : r \rightarrow \frac{r}{t}$  is not injective in general.

If  $R$  is an integral domain and  $T$  the set of all non-zero elements (non-zero divisors) of  $R$ , that is  $T = R - \{0\}$  then the homomorphism  $\beta : R \rightarrow R_t$  is injective hence  $R_t$  is in particular the field of fractions,  $\{0\} \neq R$ .

(iii) If  $T$  does not contain the zero element that is  $0 \notin T$  then (1) becomes  $(r, t) \sim (0, 1) \Rightarrow (r \cdot 1 - t \cdot 0)u = 0$  hence:  $\frac{r}{t} = 0, r$  and  $t$  as defined in (1) and so  $R_t = 0$ . This result is trivial.

(iv). Where  $R$  consists of all non-zero divisors, then  $R_t$  is called the total ring of fractions. However, this is not so if  $R$  is non-commutative.

Example

For every  $d \in R$  the set of  $d^n, n \in \mathbb{N}$  is a multiplicative subset of  $R$ .

Proposition (Atiyah & Macdonald 1969)

(1). If  $0 \in T$  then  $R_t = 0$

(2)  $\theta$  is injective if and only if  $T$  contains no zero divisors given  $\theta : R \rightarrow R_t$ .

Proof: (1). Recall that the zero element of  $R_t$  is  $\frac{0}{1}$  as

$\frac{r}{t} + \frac{0}{1} = \frac{r}{t}$ . If  $0 \in T$ , and  $\frac{r}{t} \in R_t$ , then  $\frac{r}{t} = \frac{0}{1}$  since  $0(r \cdot 1 - 0t) = 0$ . Hence  $R_t$  reduces to just the zero- element.

(3)  $r \in \ker \theta$  if and only if  $\theta(r) = \frac{r}{1} = 0 \in R_t$  if and only if there

exists  $t \in T$  such that  $tr = 0$ . Thus  $\ker \phi = 0$  if and only if  $T$  contains no zero element.

Definition 11 (Cohn 1977)

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $T$  a multiplicative subset of  $R$ . A homomorphism  $\lambda : R \rightarrow R_t$  is said to be universal

$T$ -inverting if it is  $T$ -inverting and for every

$T$ -inverting homomorphism  $\beta : R \rightarrow R'$  there exist a unique homomorphism  $\beta' : R_t \rightarrow R'$  such that  $\beta = \lambda\beta'$ . This property

determines  $R_t$  up to isomorphism. The elements of  $R_t$  can be written

as fractions  $\frac{r}{t}, (r \in R, t \in T)$  where  $\frac{r}{t} = \frac{r_1}{t_1}$  if and only if

$(rt_1 - tr_1)u = 0$  for some  $u \in T$  and

$\ker \lambda = \{r \in R : ru = 0, u \in S\}$

Proposition (Cohn 1977)

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $T$  a multiplicative subset of  $R$ . There exist a ring  $R_t$  and a homomorphism  $\lambda : R \rightarrow R_t$  which is universal  $T$ -inverting.

Proof: We observe that  $\lambda$  is  $T$ -inverting. Next let

$\beta : R \rightarrow R'$  be any  $T$ -inverting homomorphism and defined a mapping  $\beta' : RXT \rightarrow R'$  by  $(r, t)\beta' = (r\beta)(t\beta)^{-1}$ . This holds as  $\beta$  is  $T$ -inverting,  $\beta'$  takes the same values in equivalent pairs: if  $(rt_1 - tr_1)u = 0$ , then

$(r\beta.t_1\beta - t\beta.r_1\beta)u\beta = 0$  and hence  
 $r\beta(t\beta)^{-1} = r_1\beta.(t_1\beta)^{-1}$ . We obtained a well defined mapping  
 $\beta' : R_t \rightarrow R'$ . This mapping has the property that  $(\frac{r}{1})\beta' = r\beta^*$ .  
 That is  $\lambda\beta' = \beta$  and it is the only such mapping for the equation \*  
 determines values of  $\beta'$  on the elements of  $\frac{r}{1}$  and its value on  $\frac{1}{t}$   
 must then be the inverse of its value on  $\frac{t}{1}$ .  $R_t$  is unique by  
 universality. Finally, we take  $r \in \ker \lambda$ , this implies that:  $\frac{r}{1} = \frac{0}{1}$ ,  
 that is for some  $ru = 0$  for  $u \in T$ .

Remark  
 Where  $T$  does not contain the zero element, then  $R_t \neq 0$   
 and (2) fails to hold.

If  $0 \notin T$  where  $T$  is the compliment of a prime ideal  $p$ , we replace  
 $t$  in  $R_t$  with  $p$  to obtain  $R_p$ . Note that as  $0 \notin T$ , then  $0 \in p$ . So  
 the prime ideal  $p$  of  $R$  here corresponds to the unique maximal  
 ideal of  $R_p$  containing all non-units.

The ring  $R_p$  just constructed is a local ring called the local ring of  
 $R$  at  $p$ . The process of constructing  $R_p$  is called localization and  
 so  $R_p$  is the localization of  $R$  at the prime ideal  $p$ .

Definition 12  
 Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity,  $p$  a prime ideal of  $R$  and  
 $R - p$  is a multiplicative subset of  $R$ . Then the ring of fractions  
 $R_p$  is a local ring.

Remark  
 No confusion should arise in the use of  $R/p$  and  $R_p$  as in the  
 former ordinarily speaking is obtained by 'putting the elements  
 in  $p$  equal to zero while the latter is obtained by making the elements  
 outside  $p$  invertible.

Properties of the ring  $R_p$  and the homomorphism  $\beta : R \rightarrow R_p$  :

- $t \in T \Rightarrow \beta(t)$  is a unit in  $R_p$ .
- $\beta(r) = 0 \Rightarrow rt = 0$  for some  $t \in T$ .
- Every element of  $R_p$  is of the form  $\beta(r)\beta(t)^{-1}$  for  
 some  $r \in R, t \in T$ .

These conditions determine  $R_p$  up to isomorphism and we state  
 precisely the following proposition without proof.

Proposition  
 If  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R'$  is a ring homomorphism such that:

- $t \in T \Rightarrow \alpha(t)$  is a unit in  $R'$
- $\alpha(r) = 0 \Rightarrow rt = 0$  for some  $t \in T$ .
- Every element of  $R'$  is of the form  $\alpha(r)\alpha(t)^{-1}$

Then there is a unique homomorphism  $\pi : R_p \rightarrow R'$  such  
 that  $\alpha = \pi.\beta$ .

Proposition (Atiyah & Macdonald 1969)  
 Let  $p$  be a prime ideal of  $R$  then  $T = R - p$  is multiplicatively  
 closed and  $R_p$  is a local ring.

Proof: Elements  $\frac{r}{t}$  with  $r \in p$  form an ideal  $m$  in  $R_p$ . If  $\frac{b}{c} \notin m$   
 then  $b \notin p$  hence  $b \in T$ .

And so  $\frac{b}{c}$  is a unit in  $R_p$ . Hence, if  $q$  is an ideal in  $R_p$  and  $q \not\subset m$ ,  
 then  $q$  contains a unit and hence is the whole ring. Therefore  $m$  is  
 the only maximal ideal in  $R_p$  that is,  $R_p$  is a local ring.

Proposition  
 To every ideal  $I$  in  $R$  we associate the expanded ideal  $I_t$  of  $R_t$   
 generated by the image  $\lambda : I_t = \{\frac{i}{t} : i \in I, t \in T\}$ . We need to  
 show that  $I_t$  is an ideal.

Proof: If  $\frac{i}{t}, \frac{i_1}{t_1} \in I_t$ , then  $\frac{i}{t} + \frac{i_1}{t_1} = \frac{(it_1 + t_1i)}{tt_1} \in I_t$  and for any  
 $\frac{h}{k} \in R_t, \frac{i}{t} \cdot \frac{h}{k} = \frac{ih}{tk} \in I_t$  hence  $I_t$  is an ideal. This theorem shows  
 the relationship between ideals in  $R$  and  $R_t$ .

Conclusion  
 In conclusion we state the following results, ending with the  
 significance of localization.

- If  $p = (2)$  and  $R = Z$ , the localization of  $R$ , at the  
 prime ideal  $p$  is the ring of rational numbers with odd  
 denominators and is denoted by  $R_{(2)}$ .
- If  $p = (0)$  given that  $R$  is an integral domain, the  
 localization of  $R$  at the prime ideal  $p$  is the set of all non-  
 zero divisors of  $R$ , denoted by  $R_{(0)}$  and its called the  
 quotient field of  $R$  which is indeed a field.
- Let  $R$  be a ring and  $R[x]$  be the ring of polynomials in  $x$   
 indeterminates, then  $R_p$  is the ring of all rational functions,  
 $\frac{h}{j}$  where  $p$  is a prime ideal in  $R$  and  $j \notin p$ .
- Let  $R = Z$ ,  $p$ , a prime ideal then

$$R_p = \left\{ \frac{a}{b}, (b, p) = 1, a \in R \right\}.$$

5. Let  $p$  be an ideal of  $R$  for  $R - p$  to be a multiplicative subset of  $R$  it is necessary and sufficient that  $p$  be prime.

#### Significance of localization

1. It serves as a unifying idea in commutative ring theory.
2. It preserves exactness-by this localization plays an important role in homological algebra which plays a very important role in modern development.
3. Localization preserves the Noetherian property in commutative rings.

4. It is the generalization of the process in the construction of new rings (ring of fractions)
5. The technique is applied in proving some important results about unique factorizations.

#### REFERENCES

- Atiyah, M. F. & Macdonald, I. G. 1969. *Introduction to commutative algebra*. Addison Wesley Publishing company Inco.
- Cohn, P. M. 1977. *Algebra* Vol. 2, Bedford Collage University of London, John Willey and sons, Ltd.
- Jacob, B. 1969. *Topics in ring theory*, New York
- Kuku A. O. 1980. *Abstract Algebra*, Ibadan University Press