

# COMPARATIVE STUDIES ON THE EFFICIENCY OF LEMNA MINOR L., EICCHORNIACRASSIPES AND PISTIASTRATIOTES IN THE PHYTOREMEDIATION OF REFINERY WASTE WATER

\*Ugya, A.Y.<sup>1</sup>, Toma, I.M.<sup>2</sup> and Abba, A.<sup>3</sup>

\*Corresponding Author E-mail: [ugya88@yahoo.com](mailto:ugya88@yahoo.com)

<sup>1</sup>Biological Sciences Department, Bayero University Kano, Kano State.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Vector and Parasitology, Institute of Trypanosomiasis Research, Kaduna, Kaduna State. Nigeria.

<sup>3</sup>Biological Sciences Department, Federal University Lokoja, Kogi State.

## ABSTRACT

The studies involves a laboratory experiment on the comparative study on the efficiency of *Lemna minor* L., *Eicchorniacrassipes* and *Pistiastratiotes* in the phytoremediation of a stream polluted by waste water from kaduna refinery and Petro-chemical Company. Water samples were collected from Kaduna refinery effluent point, Romi up and Romi down from June to August, 2014. The physico-chemical parameters including some heavy metals were determined before and after the treatment to help in the determination of percentage reduction. The weight of the test plants was also determined before and after treatment for the determination of Net Primary Productivity (NPP). The research shows that *Lemnaminoris* the best plant to be use in the phytoremediation of water from Kaduna Refining and Petro-chemical Company since it shows the highest percentage reduction ability and the highest net primary productivity.

**Keywords:** Net Primary Productivity, Percentage Reduction, Romi Stream, Heavy Metals

## INTRODUCTION

The waste water released from crude oil refineries are characterized by the presence of large quantities of polycyclic and aromatic hydrocarbons, phenols, metal derivatives, surface active substances, sulphides, naphthalene, acids and other chemicals (Suleimanov, 1995). As a result of ineffectiveness in the purification systems, this waste water lead to the accumulation of toxic products in the receiving waste water bodies with potentially consequences on the ecosystem (Aghalino and Eyinla, 2009; Ugya, 2012).

Kuehn *et al.*, (1995) observed that refinery effluent contaminated with aromatic hydrocarbons produces poor health and lethal toxicity in fishes and two species of tilapia. Onwumere and Oladimeji (1990) earlier demonstrated accumulation of heavy metals with accompanying histopathology in *Oreochromis niloticus* exposed to treated petroleum refinery effluent from the Kaduna Refining and Petro-chemical Company.

*Lemna minor* L., *Pistiastratiotes*, *Eicchorniacrassipes* were selected for comparison in the phytoremediation of Kaduna Refining and Petro-chemical Company waste water, since the waste water have become a menace to the people of Romi and Rido communities.

## Water Sampling

Water samples were collected at three different points (point A, point B and point C); The point C samples were collected at about 8 km away from the point of discharge of the refinery effluents into the Romi stream, while the point A samples were point of discharge of the refinery effluents into the stream. The point B samples were collected at about 4 km away from the point of discharge of the refinery effluents into the stream.

## Plant Sampling

*Lemna minor* L., *Pistiastratiotes* and *Eicchorniacrassipes* were collected from 2 ponds located at Kinkinau, U/Ma'azu Kaduna, Kaduna State. These ponds were selected because it is believed that pollution in the ponds were minimal due to the fact that the ponds are abandoned ponds which dry up during dry season.

The collected plants samples were cleaned with borehole water and washed with distilled. The plants were kept in a pond with borehole water under sunlight for one week to let them adapt to the new environment, then plants of the same size were selected for the experiments.

## Plant Identification

Collected plants were identified according Penfound and Earle (1948), Holm *et al.*, (1977), Hutchinson (1975), Mitchell (1976), Wolverton and Mc Donald (1979), Van and Steward (1982), Scurthorpe (1985), Kasselmann (1995), Vandiver (1999), Hugh (2002) and Simpson and Sanderson (2002)

The identified plant samples were taken to the Department of Biological Sciences herbarium, Ahmadu Bello University Zaria, Kaduna State for confirmation. Below is the accession number of the plant identified:

**Table 1:** Sampled Plants Accession Number

SN	Plant	Common Name	Accession Number
1	<i>Lemna minor</i> L.	Duckweed	L.6935
2	<i>Eicchorniacrassipes</i>	Water Hyacinth	3268
3	<i>Pistiastratiotes</i>	Water Lettuce	1977

### Experimental Setup

To assess the phytoremediation capacity of *Lemna minor* L., *Pistiastratiotes* and *Eicchorniacrassipes* in the removal of refinery pollutants, an offsite culture experiment was conducted in General Biology Laboratory of Kaduna State University (KASU).

The initial weight of test plants were taken after keeping them on a filter paper to remove excess water. The plants were then transferred into a plastic trough having capacity of five litres containing waste water from different stations. The experiment was grouped into three, each group consist of 9 troughs each containing five litres of waste water from each station, the experimenting each group was divided into three sets.

Set 1: *Lemna minor* L. was inoculated in waste water of different concentration

1. 100% Wastewater
2. 75% wastewater and 25% borehole water

3. 100% borehole waters (control) (Padhi *et al.*, 2012)

Set 2: *Eicchorniacrassipes* was inoculated in waste water of different concentration

1. 100% Wastewater
2. 75% wastewater and 25% borehole
3. 100% borehole waters (control) (Padhi *et al.*, 2012)

Set 3: *Pistiastratiotes* was inoculated in waste water of different concentration

1. 100% Wastewater
2. 75% wastewater and 25% borehole
3. 100% borehole water (control) (Padhi *et al.*, 2012)

After 21 days analysis of treated water was re-taken for physico-chemical parameters and again the fresh biomass of the plants after phytoremediation was noted for the determination of net primary productivity

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Table 2:** Mean Reduction Percentage of Physico-chemical Parameter (100% Waste Water)

Parameter	<i>E. crassipes</i>			<i>L. minor</i>			<i>P. stratiotes</i>		
	Initial	Final	R%	Initial	Final	R%	Initial	Final	R%
<b>BOD (mg/l)</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>56.3</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>Cd (µg/l)</b>	15.3	0.233	98	15.3	0.056	96	15.3	0.1974	99
<b>Conductivity µm/cm</b>	422	408	2	422	234	45	422	292	30
<b>COD (mg/l)</b>	68.3	42.3	38	68.3	15.3	77	68.3	61	10
<b>DO (mg/l)</b>	6.1	5.5	9	6.1	2.1	66	6.1	3.7	39
<b>Hg (µg/l)</b>	6.7	0.151	97	6.7	0.8574	87	6.7	0.1021	98
<b>Mn (µg/l)</b>	56.9	0.920	98	56.9	0.5187	99	56.9	0.1047	
<b>Nitrate (mg/l)</b>	1.98	0.095	95	1.98	0.1003	95	1.98	0.0227	99
<b>Pb (µg/l)</b>	28	0.123	100	28	0.1794	100	28	0.0155	100
<b>pH</b>	6.9	7.3	-	8.37	7.5	-	6.9	7.4	-
<b>TDS (mg/l)</b>	286	87	69	286	44.6	84	286	78.3	73
<b>TS (mg/l)</b>	444	118	73	444	55.7	87.4	444	37	92
<b>TSS (mg/l)</b>	158	31	80	158	11	80	158	36.7	77
<b>Turbidity (NTU)</b>	94.3	73	23	94.3	37.3	60	94.3	30	68
<b>Zn (µg/l)</b>	72.1	1.166	100	72.1	2.5384	96	72.1	0.9847	99

R = % Reduction

**Table 3:** Mean Reduction Percentage of Physico-chemical Parameters (75% Waste Water)

Parameter	<i>E. crassipes</i>			<i>L. minor</i>			<i>P. stratiotes</i>		
	Initial	Final	R%	Initial	Final	R%	Initial	Final	R%
COD (mg/l)	115	30	74	115	20	-	115	150	-
Cd (µg/l)	8	0	100	8	0	100	8	0.0921	84
Conductivity µm/cm	561	520	7.3	561	542	6.6	561	86	85
COD (mg/l)	68.3	84.3	38	68.3	15.3	77	68.3	61	10
DO (mg/l)	1.49	0.4	73	1.49	0.4	73	1.49	3	-
Hg (µg/l)	5	0.2613	96	5	0.2574	95	5	0.0921	99
Mn (µg/l)	40	0.0577	100	40	0.0141	100	40	0.2376	99
Nitrate (mg/l)	0.116	0.023	80	0.116	0.0078	93	0.116	0.019	84
pH	7.39	7.31	1	7.39	7.41	-	7.39	7.5	-
Pb (µg/l)	8.4	0.0310	100	8.4	0.021	100	8.4	0.0245	99
TDS (mg/l)	445	9	98	445	18	96	445	180	59
TSS (mg/l)	16	8	50	16	9	43	16	6	63
TS (mg/l)	18	27	80	418	27	94	187	187	55
Turbidity (NTU)	195	21	89.2	195	27	86	195	7	96
Zn (µg/l)	37	1.0210	97	37	0.9283	97	37	0.0234	99

R = % Reduction

Comparative study of the test plants shows that *Eicchorniacrassipes* and *Lemna minor* L. perform better than *Pistiastratiotes*, as such have higher Net Primary Productivity (NPP) value than *Pistiastratiotes*. The increase in weight of *Eicchorniacrassipes* and *Lemna minor* L. is attributed to the high uptake of nitrogen and phosphorus by the plants compared to *Pistiastratiotes* (Piyush *et al.*, 2012). Aoi and Hayashi. (1996) reported that N, P and Ash contents of biomass were about 1.5 times lesser in *Pistiastratiotes* than in *Eicchorniacrassipes*.

Since *Eicchorniacrassipes* and *Lemna minor* L. perform better, positive NPP was recorded for both plants while negative NPP was recorded for *Pistiastratiotes*. The negative NPP recorded by *Pistiastratiotes* shows that the rate of decomposition or respiration by the plant over powered the rate of carbon absorption. This rapid decomposition or respiration could be attributed to the fact that the plant was grown in the laboratory as such no abundant sunlight.

The test of significant for the correlation coefficient of *Lemna minor* L., *Eicchorniacrassipes*, and *Pistiastratiotes* at 0.05 level of significant shows that there is no significant difference between the test plants in the removal of pollutants. Aoi and Ohba. (1995) also reported that *Pistiastratiotes* and *Eicchorniacrassipes* have similar nitrate removal capacity, other researchers such as Piyush *et al.* (2008), Lehn and Bopp. (1987), El-Lebondi *et al.* (2008) and Ayyasamy *et al.* (2009) reported that similarities could occur in the removal of water pollutants by plants.

#### Conclusion

This research shows that *Pistiastratiotes*, *Lemna minor* L. and *Eicchorniacrassipes* can be effectively used in the treatment of the Kaduna Refinery waste water there by reducing the toxicity on the flora and fauna since it is able to remove and degrade pollutants present in the stream to a significant level in all points.

Although, *Lemna minor* L. is better in the reduction of pollutant than *Pistiastratiotes* and *Eicchorniacrassipes* and has the highest NET Primary Productivity in comparison to both plants.

#### REFERENCES

Aghalino, S. O. and Eyinla, B. (2009); Oil Exploration and Marine Pollution: Evidence from the Niger Delta, Nigeria", *Journal of Human Ecology*, 28 (3), 177-82.

Aoi, T. and Ohba, E. (1995); Rates of Nutrient Removal and Growth of the Water Lettuce (*Pistiastratiotes*)., In: Proc. of the 6th International Conference on the Conservation and Management of Lakes Kasumigaura.

Aoi, T. and Hayashi, T (1996) Nutrient Removal by Water Lettuce (*Pistia stratiotes*)., *Water Sci. Technol.*, 34(7-8),407-412.

Ayyasamy, P.M., Rajakumar, S., Sathiskumar, M., Swaminathan, K., Shanthi, K., Lakshmanaperumalsamy, P. and Lee, S. (2009) Nitrate Removal from Synthetic Medium and Ground Water with Aquatic Macrophytes., *Desalination*, 242, 286-296.

EL-Leboudi, A.E, Abd-Elmoniem, E.M., Soliman, E.M. and El-Sayed, O.F. (2008); Removal of Some Heavy Metals from Treated Waste Water by Aquatic Plants., In: 3rd International Conference on Water Resources and Arid Environments and The 1st Arab Water Forum, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Hutchinson G.E. (1975); A Treatise or Limnology: Vol 3; Limnological Botany. New York. John Wiley and Sons.

Holm, L.G., Plucknett, D.L., Pancho, J.V. and Herberge J.P. (1977); *The World's Weeds.Distribution and Biology*. Honolulu: University Press of Hawaii, 609pp

Kasselmann C. (1995); Aquariepflanzenegenulmer GMBH and co, stuttgart.472pp (in German).

Kuehn, R. L., Berlin, K. D., Hawkins, W. E. and Ostrander, G. K. (1995) "Relationships among Petroleum Refining, Water and Sediment Contamination, and Fish Health", *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health*, Vol. 46, pp. 101-16.

Lehn, H. and Bopp, M. (1987); Prediction of Heavy- Metal Concentrations in Mature Plants By Chemical Analysis of Seedlings.,*Plant Soil*, 101, 9-14.

Mitchel .D.S. (1976); The Growth and Management of *Eicchorniacrassipes* and *Salvinia spp.* in their Native Environment and in Alien situations., In: Aquatic Weeds in Southeast Asia, C.K. Varshney and J. Rzoska (eds.), Dr. W. Junk Publisher, The Haque, Netherlands, pp. 167-175.

Onwumere, B. G. and Oladimeji, A. A. (1990); Accumulation of Metals and Histopathology in *Oreochromis Niloticus* Exposed to Treated NNPC Kaduna (Nigeria) Petroleum Refinery Effluent", *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, Vol. 19, pp. 123-3.

Padhi, S.K., Sahu, S.K., Kumari A., Bharati, S. and Ansari S. (2012) Phytoremediation as an Alternative for the Treatment of Paper Industry Effluent by using Water Hyacinth (*Eicchornia crassipes*) – A Polishing Treatment.*INT Journal of Research in Chemistry and Environment*. 2(95-99), 2248-9649

Piyush, G., Surendra R. and Amit B.M.(2012). Treatment of Water Using Water Hyacinth, Water Lettuce and Vetiver Grass - A Review.*Resources and Environment*, 2(5): 202-215.

Penfound W.T. and Earle T.T. (1948); The Biology of The Water Hyacinths. *EcolMonogr*. 18:44972

Suleimanov, A. Y. (1995); Conditions of Waste Fluid Accumulation at Petrochemical and Processing Enterprise Prevention of their Harm to Water Bodies", *Meditsina Truda Promyswe Nnaia Ekologila*, Vol. 12, pp. 31-36.

Scurthorpe, D. (1985); The Biology of Aquatic Vascular Plants Koeltz Scientific Books ISBN 9783874292573

Simpson, D and Sanderson H. (2002); *Eicchorniacrassipes* Curtis's Bot. Mag. 19(1):28-34

Ugga, A.Y. (2012); Flora and Fauna of Romi Stream: A case study of NNPC Petrochemical Polluted Stream, Kaduna, Nigeria. Unpublish BSc Thesis. Kaduna State University.

Van T.K. and Steward K.K. (1982); Evaluation of Chemicals for Aquatic Plant Control. Annual Report 1981, Ft Lauderdale, Ft, 66pp.

Wolverton, B.C. and McDonald, R.C. (1979); The Water Hyacinth: From Prolific Pest to Potential Provider., *Ambio*, 8, 1-12.