INFORMATION LITERACY SKILLS AND KNOWLEDGE SHARING PRACTICES AMONG FEDERAL COLLEGE OF FORESTRY STUDENTS IN IBADAN, OYO-STATE, NIGERIA

Olaseigbe, Yetunde Faith

Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria, Jericho, Ibadan, Oyo State

Ogundana, Ayomide Ayoola

Venite University, Iloro-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria.

Giwa, Ayokunle Oluwadamilola

Ekiti State Polytechnic, Isan-Ekiti, Nigeria.

Rotimi, Racheal Ajibola

University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria.

Ogunojemite, Abosede Tola

Afe Babalola University Library, Ado-Ekiti, Ekiti State

Ozonuwe, Opene Sunday

Crawford University Library, Igbesa, Ogun State.

Abstract

The study investigated information literacy skills and knowledge-sharing practices among the Federal College of Forestry students in Ibadan, Oyo-state, Nigeria. Survey research was conducted to determine the influence of Information Literacy Skills (ILS) as a predictor of Knowledge Sharing Practices (KSP) among Federal College of Forestry Students in Ibadan, Oyo-State. The study sought to find the types, tools, purposes and challenges of sharing knowledge among Federal College of Forestry students Ibadan. The study adopted a quantitative method and deployed a questionnaire-based survey research design. Its population consists of 361 respondents from the Federal College of Forestry Students. The sample size was drawn using a random sampling technique. Data were analysed using descriptive and inferential statistics. The findings showed that the common types of knowledge shared are class notes/handouts, previous examination papers and entertainment such as music, video games, movies, Fashion, and sports. The tools mostly used were face-to-face, social media and mobile phones and the least tools used were Laptops. The purposes of sharing knowledge are to solve study-related problems, clarify or discuss the

examination-related matter and discuss requirements of class projects, term papers, or assignments. Challenges to sharing knowledge among federal forestry college students include time constraints, Fear of providing wrong information and poor mobile and internet networks. The findings showed that the respondents had a high level of information literacy skills. The results also showed that information literacy skills have a significant positive relationship with the knowledge-sharing practices of Federal Forestry College students. Information literacy skills were found to have a significant positive relationship with knowledge-sharing practices, underscoring their importance in fostering a collaborative academic environment. To further enhance the positive impact of information literacy on knowledge sharing, the college should integrate comprehensive information literacy training into its curriculum. This will ensure that all students are equipped with the necessary skills to share knowledge effectively

Keywords: Information literacy skills, Knowledge sharing practices, Federal College of Forestry

Introduction

Federal College of Forestry has the goal of expanding education and skills in environmental protection, conservation, and sustainable forest management. The colleges provide a wide range of academic programmes that are specifically designed to address the changing demands of the forestry industry. Academic programmes of the Federal College of Forestry in Ibadan are designed to give students a comprehensive grasp of forestry concepts, methods, and practices. These courses usually cover diploma, certificate, and degree programmes, among other educational levels. A wide range of topics are covered in the curriculum, including forest ecology, silviculture, biodiversity protection, forest engineering, forest economics, and forest policy. To reinforce their learning, students participate in research projects, laboratory tasks, and active fieldwork, with a concentration on both theoretical knowledge and practical abilities.

Depending on the academic programme they have selected, students at the Federal College of Forestry in Ibadan advance through different years of study. Diploma and certificate programmes usually have a one to four years study period. Students go through an intense course of study that builds on fundamental knowledge and gradually goes deeper into specialized fields of environmental sciences and forestry during their academic careers. The people who are considered students at the Federal College of Forestry in Ibadan are a varied set of people who are brought together by their enthusiasm for environmental

stewardship and forestry. Each of these students has specific aims and professional goals within the forestry industry, and they may include aspiring foresters, environmentalists, conservationists, legislators, and researchers (Federal College of Forestry, Ibadan, n.d., accessed 2024). Despite coming from different backgrounds, they are united by a shared goal: gaining the information, expertise, and real-world experience necessary to effectively contribute to the protection and sustainable management of forest resources. Students at Forestry College must share their knowledge, as this might lead to an understanding of the connections between the lessons they have learned and the wider implications for efficient forest management and conservation initiatives.

Ahamad and Karim (2019) define knowledge sharing as the exchange of expertise, advice, and abilities connected to one's line of work with others to help each other accomplish daily chores, find solutions to problems, and come up with fresh concepts. To achieve knowledge growth, Wang (2020) said that knowledge sharing is the process by which knowledge demanders and owners exchange information using a variety of communication techniques. Asterhan and Bouton (2017) state that several aspects of knowledge sharing need to be taken into account. These constituents can facilitate the assessment of individual conduct about the exchange of information among one another. But it is not limited to just one person; it can also be used to describe how information is shared among companies and institutions, especially educational ones like the Federal College of Forestry. Among the elements that might help in better understanding knowledge-sharing practices are the kinds of knowledge conveyed, the purpose of knowledge sharing, the methods utilized for knowledge sharing, and the challenges of knowledge sharing.

Students' sharing of information has become a more essential subject in the knowledge economy age, as it may assist them address the problems of more integrated knowledge (Xu et al., 2016). First and foremost, knowledge-sharing may help college students learn more by allowing them to absorb more information from others' expertise, which can enlarge their knowledge base and enhance their ability to learn. Furthermore, it can convert individual information into group knowledge, include useful resources, enhance the knowledge system, and optimize the significance of knowledge (Cao, Lee and Nguyen 2022). Furthermore, knowledge-sharing learning fosters college students' capacity for autonomous thought as well as their capacity for collaborative teamwork. College students may enhance their teamwork skills by practicing reciprocal communication and sharing, as suggested by Cheruvelil et al. (2014).

Therefore, it is crucial to research students' knowledge-sharing practices to raise learning effectiveness, quality, and vitality, develop students' creative

thinking, encourage resource sharing, boost students' comprehensive ability, strengthen students' sense of social responsibility, and develop exceptional talents for society. The relationship between individuals can have a big influence on knowledge sharing. However, the sharing of information is not always spontaneous; there are several factors that might impact it, one of which is information literacy skills.

Information literacy is a set of skills that requires people to "recognize when information is required and have the ability to locate, evaluate, and effectively use the needed information." (American Library Association, 2024). Having information literacy skills enables individuals from all walks of life, especially federal forestry college students, to find, assess, use, and produce information successfully to meet their social, professional, academic, and personal objectives. People who are information literate can get information about their surroundings, health, education, and employment, and can use that knowledge to make important life decisions (UNESCO, 2023). According to Catts and Lau (2008) cited by Oladejo, Alonge and Oyewole (2020), there are several elements which give a detailed picture of the design of information literacy skills such as definition and articulation of information; location of and access to information; assessment of information; organization of information; use of information; communication and ethical use of information and other skills. The primary objective of federal forestry college students' information search is to close a knowledge gap. Consequently, the students have to have the abilities. sum up, this research will provide insight into the crucial interactions among knowledge-sharing practices, and information literacy abilities among Federal College of Forestry students. Through the examination of these interrelated elements, important insights into the opportunities and problems facing forestry education and practice may be found. A successful forestry profession requires excellent information literacy and knowledge sharing; nevertheless, there are still gaps in understanding and practice, which made this research urgently necessary. To better understand information literacy, and knowledge-sharing practices of Federal College of Forestry students in Ibadan, Oyo State, this study is imperative.

Statement of the Problem

In the globalized world of today, knowledge sharing is essential to education, creativity, and the advancement of society. It facilitates communication between people, allowing them to share knowledge, perspectives, and ideas to promote teamwork and collective intelligence. Effective information sharing is

critical to fostering a culture of sustainability and well-informed decision-making, especially in educational institutions such as the Federal College of Forestry in Ibadan, where students are deeply engaged in the study of natural resources and environmental management. It is crucial to comprehend the dynamics of college students' knowledge-sharing practices to support their academic achievement as well as to equip them with the skills necessary to handle challenging issues in environmental conservation and forestry as competent professionals.

Although the importance of sharing information has been acknowledged, existing studies have primarily addressed general student groups or certain fields, ignoring the particular context of forestry education. This accounts for a lack of knowledge regarding the attitudes and actions of Federal Forestry College students concerning knowledge sharing in Ibadan. This disparity makes it more difficult to create treatments and plans that are specifically designed to improve Federal college of Forestry students' information literacy skills, and knowledge-sharing practices. To determine the factors impacting forestry students' participation in knowledge-sharing activities, it is necessary to investigate these variables within the student population. To bridge this knowledge gap, the present study aims to shed light on the intricate relationships between information literacy skills and knowledge-sharing practices among Federal College of Forestry students in Ibadan.

Research Questions

The following are the research questions to guide the study:

- 1. What is the level of information literacy skills possessed by Federal College of Forestry students Ibadan?
- 2. What types of knowledge are shared by Federal College of Forestry students Ibadan?
- 3. What tools are used to share knowledge among Federal College of Forestry students Ibadan?
- 4. What are the purposes for sharing knowledge among Federal College of Forestry students Ibadan?
- 5. What challenges are been encountered when sharing knowledge among Federal College of Forestry students Ibadan?

Hypothesis

H1. There is no significant relationship between information literacy skills and knowledge-sharing practices of the Federal College of Forestry students Ibadan.

Literature Review

Kalu, Usiedo and Chdi-Ka;u (2019) examined knowledge-sharing practices and patterns among academic students using the electrical engineering technology students of the National Institute of Technology Edo, Nigeria. It was survey research with a population of 27 students. The questionnaire was used to collect data. Findings showed the most effective channel of knowledge sharing among the students is online chat with 100% agreeing to this. Also, 100% of the respondents agreed that what motivates them to share knowledge is trust. When asked about the barriers of knowledge sharing 100% of the respondents agree that illiteracy is the major cause and 52% of the respondents are okay with sharing knowledge among themselves.

An investigation of postgraduate students' knowledge-sharing practices at a few Nigerian universities was carried out by Opeke and Kehinde (2014). The research approach utilized in this study was a descriptive survey, with 503 postgraduate students making up the study population. The mechanism for gathering data was a questionnaire. Subjects with the greatest proportion (89.9) were found to be most eager to share new ideas with colleagues, according to the examination of the postgraduate students' knowledge-sharing habits. This suggests that the majority of PG students have adequate knowledge-sharing skills. Furthermore, the results showed that, among postgraduate students, knowledge related to their fields of study is most frequently shared (92.8%). Sports news (32.6%), social news (63.6%), campus news (61.6%), library experience (68.9%), religion news (68.8%), and political news (72.2%) are among the other topics that people often share knowledge about. Finally, the study revealed that the majority of postgraduate students (55.6%) favoured in-person knowledge sharing over other media (tools for information sharing). The survey indicates that postgraduate students share information at a high frequency.

In the same vein, to determine the level of information literacy skills among students several studies have been conducted, for instance, Nyarigoti (2020) accessed the information literacy skills of undergraduate students in the United States of America. A descriptive survey research design was used for the study with a population of 179 students. A questionnaire was used to retrieve data from the respondents. The results revealed that 78% of the respondents confirmed finding, critically evaluating and effectively using information as considered to be important indices before one becomes information literate. The respondents (65%) pointed out that the use of a reference page in the article is the most efficient source.

Olubiyo, Oguniyi, Ademilua and Akanmidu-Fagbemi (2019) surveyed information literacy skills among undergraduates of Adeyemi College of

Education, Ondo, Nigeria. The study used a survey research method with a population of 180 students. Data from the respondents were obtained through a questionnaire and the result showed that 76.2% of the respondents are aware of what information literacy skills are. When asked about the course they were taught information literacy skills 34% of the respondents attested that it was through library instruction programmes, then 12% of the respondents indicated it was through the use of a computer. While 19.3% of the respondents said they got to learn information literacy skills through the use of English. The result, it shows the respondents are fully aware of information literacy skills and were duly taught from different courses. As such the information literacy skills of the students is sufficient.

Aghanche, Nkamnebe and Nkamnebe (2019) investigated the information literacy skills of 283 undergraduates in Paul University Awka, Anambra State, Nigeria. Using a survey design, questionnaire and achievement test as data collection instruments. The results of the study indicated that 80% of the participants are proficient in the definition and articulation of information, 72% are vast in the assessment of information, 79% are proficient in the proper evaluation of information, and 75% of the participants attested they understand ethical and legal use of information. This study implies that the undergraduates are highly proficient in information literacy skills, making them information literate. To show the relationship between information literacy skills and knowledge-sharing practices, Keshavarz (2021) in Nyarigoti (2020) investigated personality factors and knowledge-sharing in information services: the mediating role of information literacy competencies. The study adopted a sequential mixedmethod approach. With a path coefficient of 0.47, the results showed that information literacy competencies had a positive and substantial impact on knowledge-sharing practices.

Methodology

A descriptive survey research technique was used in this study. Students from the Federal College of Forestry in Ibadan, Oyo State, make up the research population. There are 361 Federal College of Forestry regular students enrolled in the school, based on information gathered from the Academic Planning Unit in 2023. A sample size of 116 students was selected using a random sampling technique. The tool used to gather the data was a questionnaire adapted from Oladejo, Alonge and Oyewole (2020). The statistical package for the social sciences (SPPS) was used to analyse the data, and the results were presented in form of descriptive statistics of frequency counts, percentages, mean and standard deviation.

Data Analysis, Presentation, and Summering of the Findings Figure: 1. Name of Department of the Respondents

The above chart shows the representation of the respondents from the various departments selected for the study. The Forestry Technology department had the largest number of respondents with 24 (20.69%) followed by Wood Technology with 20 (17.24%), while the least number of respondents was Agri Business Management 3 (2.59%).

Data Analysis and Discussion

Research Question One: What is the level of information literacy skills possessed by Federal College of Forestry students?

Table 1 shows the results on the level of information literacy skills possessed by the Federal College of Forestry Students, Ibadan, Oyo state.

Table 1: Level of information literacy skills possessed by Federal College of Forestry students

	SA		A		D		SD		Mean	SD
	N		N		N	%	N			
	%		%				%			
Definition and Articulation	n of i	nforn	natio	n nee	d					
I can recognize when I	52	44.8	64	55.2	0	0	0	0	3.45	.499
need information										
I understand the need to	42	36.2	70	60.3	3	2.6	1	0.9	3.32	.569
use information resources										
to fill my knowledge gap										
I can identify the exact	63	54.3	49	42.2	4	3.4	0	0	3.51	.567
kind of information I need										
I can express my	52	44.8	58	50	5	4.3	1	0.9	3.39	.615
information needs in clear										
terms										
I possess the requisite	58	50	52	44.8	5	4.3	1	0.9	3.44	.623
skills to recognize										
appropriate information										
resources e.g. reference										
sources, journals,										
textbooks, eBooks,										
websites etc.										

									2.42	<i> </i>
weighted mean	•	4•							3.42	.574
Location and Access to inf			50	50.0		1.0			2.41	575
I know where and how to	52	44.8	59	50.9	5	4.3	0	0	3.41	.575
find necessary										
information resources to										
complete my assignment										
and research										
I am used to everyday	48	41.4	48	41.4	20	17.2	0	0	3.24	.730
online and offline search										
tools										
I can use keywords to	51	44	56	48.3	8	6.9	1	0.9	3.35	.649
search for information										
materials on the web										
I have the skills to	35	30.2	70	60.3	8	6.9	3	2.6	3.18	.667
construct complex										
searches and search access										
to a wide range of										
databases using different										
user interfaces, redefining										
terms and repeating										
searches as required.										
I know the right search	52	44.8	62	53.4	1	0.9	1	0.9	3.42	.562
engines to use to find										
necessary information										
material										
I have the skills to find the	42	36.2	65	56	7	6	2	1.7	3.27	.651
exact information I need										
within a particular										
reference material										
weighted mean									3.31	.638
Assessment of information	1									
I can adequately and	34	29.3	78	67.2	4	3.4	0	0	3.26	.513
efficiently identify when										
information is from a										
reliable source										
I can identify specific	43	37.1	60	51.7	11	9.5	2	1.7	3.24	.693
information sources that										
are accurate and relevant										
based on author,										

Dublisher, date of publication etc.
I cannot evaluate the accuracy and credibility of the information I understand the concept of accuracy, relevance and comprehensiveness of information resources I can select, retrieve and summarize information resources to suit my need I can filter information I know how to merge new information with existing thought to create new information I understand how to use logic and reasoning to synthesize information I understand that existing information can be combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information
accuracy and credibility of the information I understand the concept of accuracy, relevance and comprehensiveness of information resources I can select, retrieve and summarize information resources to suit my need I can filter information I know how to merge new information with existing thought to create new information I understand that existing information I understand that existing information I understand that existing information can be combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information I understand that experiment and analysis to produce new information I understand that existing the information can be combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information I understand that existing thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information I understand that existing thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information I understand that existing thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information I understand that existing thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information I understand that existing thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information I understand that existing thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information I understand that existing thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information I understand that existing thought, experiment and the information that existing thought the information that existing the information that existing the information that existing the information that exist the information that ex
the information I understand the concept of accuracy, relevance and comprehensiveness of information resources I can select, retrieve and summarize information resources to suit my need I can filter information I know how to merge new information with existing thought to create new information I understand how to use logic and reasoning to synthesize information I understand that existing information can be combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information I understand the concept of 12 44 60 51.7 4 3.4 1 0.9 3.39 .601 8
I understand the concept of accuracy, relevance and comprehensiveness of information resources I can select, retrieve and summarize information resources to suit my need I can filter information I know how to merge new information I understand how to use logic and reasoning to synthesize information I understand that existing information can be combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information
of accuracy, relevance and comprehensiveness of information resources I can select, retrieve and summarize information resources to suit my need I can filter information I can filter information I know how to merge new information with existing thought to create new information I understand how to use logic and reasoning to synthesize information I understand that existing information can be combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information I can select, retrieve and 29 25 76 65.5 11 9.5 0 0 3.16 .569 Selection 42 42.2 52 44.8 13 11.2 2 1.7 3.28 .729 Weighted mean 3.15 .652 Organization of information I understand how to use 48 41.4 56 48.3 9 7.8 3 2.6 3.29 .720 Selection 4 41.4 56 48.3 9 7.8 3 2.6 3.29 .720 I understand that existing information I understand that existing information can be combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information
comprehensiveness of information resources I can select, retrieve and summarize information resources to suit my need I can filter information I can filter information I know how to merge new information with existing thought to create new information I understand how to use logic and reasoning to synthesize information I understand that existing information can be combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information Value Va
information resources I can select, retrieve and summarize information resources to suit my need I can filter information I can filter information I know how to merge new information with existing thought to create new information I understand how to use logic and reasoning to synthesize information I understand that existing information can be combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information Value Value
I can select, retrieve and summarize information resources to suit my need I can filter information I can filter information I know how to merge new information with existing thought to create new information I understand how to use logic and reasoning to synthesize information I understand that existing information can be combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information 29
summarize information resources to suit my need I can filter information I can filter information I can filter information I know how to merge new information with existing thought to create new information I understand how to use logic and reasoning to synthesize information I understand that existing information I understand that existing information I understand with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information Value V
resources to suit my need I can filter information
I can filter information4942.25244.81311.221.73.28.729weighted mean3.15.652Organization of informationI know how to merge new information with existing thought to create new information3328.47262.1119.5003.19.589I understand how to use logic and reasoning to synthesize information4841.45648.397.832.63.29.720I understand that existing information can be combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information58504841.497.810.93.41.672
weighted mean3.15.652Organization of informationI know how to merge new information with existing thought to create new information3328.47262.1119.5003.19.589I understand how to use logic and reasoning to synthesize information4841.45648.397.832.63.29.720I understand that existing information can be combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information58504841.497.810.93.41.672
Organization of information I know how to merge new information with existing thought to create new information I understand how to use logic and reasoning to synthesize information I understand that existing information can be combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information Organization of information 33 28.4 72 62.1 11 9.5 0 0 3.19 .589 A
I know how to merge new information with existing thought to create new information I understand how to use logic and reasoning to synthesize information I understand that existing information can be combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information 33 28.4 72 62.1 11 9.5 0 0 3.19 .589 48 41.4 56 48.3 9 7.8 3 2.6 3.29 .720 48 41.4 9 7.8 1 0.9 3.41 .672 48 41.4 9 7.8 1 0.9 3.41 .672 49 70 70 70 70 70 40 70 70 70 70 40 70 70 70 41 72 62.1 11 9.5 0 0 0 3.19 .589 48 41.4 56 48.3 9 7.8 3 2.6 3.29 .720 40 70 70 70 70 70 70 40 70 70 70 70 70 70 40 70 70 70 70 70 40 70 70 70 70 70 40 70 70 70 70 40 70 70 70 70 40 70 70 70 40 70 70 70 70 40 70 70 40 70 70 70 40 70 7
information with existing thought to create new information I understand how to use logic and reasoning to synthesize information I understand that existing information can be combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information I understand that existing information can be combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information
thought to create new information I understand how to use logic and reasoning to synthesize information I understand that existing information can be combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information I understand that existing information can be combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information I understand how to use 48 41.4 56 48.3 9 7.8 3 2.6 3.29 .720 A 1 0.9 3.41 .672
information I understand how to use logic and reasoning to synthesize information I understand that existing information can be combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information I understand that existing information can be combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information I understand how to use 48 41.4 56 48.3 9 7.8 3 2.6 3.29 .720 A 1 0.9 3.41 .672
I understand how to use logic and reasoning to synthesize information I understand that existing information can be combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information I understand how to use 48 41.4 56 48.3 9 7.8 3 2.6 3.29 .720 Solution 2 48 41.4 9 7.8 1 0.9 3.41 .672
logic and reasoning to synthesize information I understand that existing information can be combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information I understand that existing information inform
synthesize information I understand that existing information can be combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information I understand that existing information information is seen as a second information in the synthesize in the synthesize information in the synthesize in the sy
I understand that existing information can be combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information
information can be combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information
combined with original thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information
thought, experiment and analysis to produce new information
analysis to produce new information
information
I can reflect on problems 38 32.8 65 56 10 8.6 3 2.6 3.19 .697
encountered
weighted mean 3.27 .670
Use of information
I can apply new and prior 57 49.1 52 44.8 5 4.3 2 1.7 3.41 .660
information to my task
I can apply my critical 32 27.6 75 64.7 8 6.9 1 0.9 3.19 .589
thinking and analytical
skills effectively
I can use the information 29 25 75 64.7 12 10.3 0 0 3.15 .579
obtained to solve the

problem on the ground										
I am unable to use	37	31.9	37	31.9	39	33.6	3	2.6	2.93	.872
bibliographic references										
in research reports										
									3.17	.675
Ethical use of information										
I can cite (give reference)	35	30.2	71	61.2	8	6.9	2	1.7	3.20	.635
to the consulted										
information sources in an										
appropriate manner										
I can avoid plagiarism and	27	23.3	76	65.5	9	7.8	4	3.4	3.09	.667
use information ethically										
I can present the new	58	50	47	40.5	9	7.8	2	1.7	3.39	.707
knowledge I have created										
to others										
I can understand the	38	32.8	66	56.9	10	8.6	2	1.7	3.21	.666
ethical, legal and socio-										
economic issues										
surrounding information.										
			•						3.22	.669
Grand Total									94.52	18.67

Key: SA= Strongly agree; A= Agree; D= Disagree; SD= Strongly disagree Table 3: Interval table for the level of information literacy skills of the respondents

Interval	Overall mean score image	Remark
0- 38.66	-	Low
38.7 – 77.3	-	Moderate
77.4 – 116	94.52	High

To find the level of information literacy skills, a test of norm of conducted. The overall mean for the level of information literacy skills as indicated by the responses of the federal forestry college students is 94.52 which falls between the scale "77.4-116" and this showed a high level of information literacy skills.

Research questions two, three, four and five: What are the types, tools, purposes and challenges of knowledge-sharing practices of Federal College of Forestry Students?

Table 3: Knowledge-sharing practices of the respondents

Types	SA		A		D		SD		Mean	SD
	N		N		N	%	N			
	%		%				%			
Class notes/handouts	68	58.6	47	40.5	1	0.9	0	0	3.58	.513
Current affairs	21	18.1	72	62.1	22	19	1	0.9	2.97	.639
Previous examination	76	65.5	29	25	10	8.6	1	0,9	3.55	.690
papers										
URLs of useful websites	35	30.2		54.3		13.8	2	1.7	3.13	.704
Previous assignments/term	44	37.9	58	50.0	13	11.2	1	0.9	3.25	.684
papers										
Entertainment (music,	68	58.6	32	27.6	12	10.3	4	3.4	3.41	.814
video games, movies,										
Fashion, sports)										
Politics	25	21.6		49.1	24	20.7	10	8.6	2.84	.864
Scholarships	59	50.9	34	29.3	23	19.8	0	0	3.31	.785
Health-related	40	34.5	60	51.7	14	12.1	2	1.7	3.19	.709
Total									29.23	6.40
Tools										
E-mail	49	42.2	56	48.3	8	6.9	3	2.6	3.30	.713
Face-to-face	67	57.8	48	41.4	0	0	1	0.9	3.56	.548
Online forums/discussion	46	39.7	62	53.4	7	6.0	1	0.9	3.32	.627
boards										
Short messaging service	51	44	47	40.5	16	13.8	2	1.7	3.27	.762
(SMS)										
Mobile phone	53	45.7	57	49.1	5	4.3	1	0.9	3.40	.617
Social media	65	56	44	37.9	6	5.2	1	0.9	3.49	.639
Laptops	41	35.3	62	53.4	6	5.2	7	6.0	3.18	.787
Total									23.52	4.69
Purposes										
to clarify or discuss	68	58.6	45	38.8	2	1.7	1	0.9	3.55	.580
examination-related										
matters.										
to solve study related	79	68.1	32	27.6	4	3.4	1	0.9	3.63	.598
problems.										
to clarify or discuss certain		44	60	51.7	5	4.3	0	0	3.40	.573
concepts learned in the										
class.										

Class projects, term papers, or assignments.			1				1 1		1		1
or assignments. 38 32.8 64 55.2 12 10.3 2 1.7 3.19 .684 entertainment/relaxation purposes. so that I can feel appreciated / rewarded by my colleagues. 50 43.1 40 34.5 19 16.4 7 6.0 3.15 .907 my colleagues. 38 32.8 64 55.2 11 9.5 3 2.6 3.18 .705 heard on a topic 56 48.3 53 45.7 6 5.2 1 0.9 3.41 .633 level 56 48.3 53 45.7 6 5.2 1 0.9 3.41 .633 level 56 48.3 53 45.7 6 5.2 1 0.9 3.41 .633 school 56 48.3 53 25 42 36.2 4 3.4 2.92 .925 students from other groups while working on group projects or assignment 54 46.6 <th< td=""><td>to discuss requirements of</td><td>64</td><td>55.2</td><td>45</td><td>38.8</td><td>4</td><td>3.4</td><td>3</td><td>2.6</td><td>3.47</td><td>.691</td></th<>	to discuss requirements of	64	55.2	45	38.8	4	3.4	3	2.6	3.47	.691
for entertainment/relaxation purposes. so that I can feel appreciated / rewarded by my colleagues. to let my opinion/ ideas be leard on a topic to increase my confidence level to gain more popularity in students from other groups while working on group projects or assignment Total Total 38 32.8 64 55.2 12 10.3 2 1.7 3.19 6.84 10.00 3.15 9.00 3.15 9.00 3.15 10.00 3.15 9.00 3.15 10.00 3.15 9.00 3.15 10.00 3.15 9.00 3.15 10.00 3.15 1											
entertainment/relaxation purposes. so that I can feel appreciated / rewarded by my colleagues. to let my opinion/ ideas be heard on a topic to increase my confidence to gain more popularity in school to share ideas with students from other groups while working on group projects or assignment Total Challenges Time constraints 54 46.6 38 32.8 44 37.9 20 17.2 25 21.6 2.63 1.068 relationship Fear of providing wrong class of the properties of the providing wrong information Poor mobile and internet and purposes. So that I can feel so 43.1 40 34.5 19 16.4 7 6.0 3.15 .907 43.1 40 34.5 19 16.4 7 6.0 3.15 .907 44.3 5.2 11 9.5 3 2.6 3.18 .705 45.2 11 0.9 3.41 .633 45.7 6 5.2 1 0.9 3.41 .633 45.7 6 5.2 1 0.9 3.41 .633 45.7 6 5.2 1 0.9 3.41 .633 45.7 6 5.2 1 0.9 3.41 .633 46.8 35.8 50 15 12.9 1 0.9 3.22 .695 47.8 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2											
Durposes		38	32.8	64	55.2	12	10.3	2	1.7	3.19	.684
so that I can feel appreciated / rewarded by my colleagues. 50 43.1 40 34.5 19 16.4 7 6.0 3.15 .907 to let my opinion/ ideas be leard on a topic 38 32.8 64 55.2 11 9.5 3 2.6 3.18 .705 to increase my confidence level 56 48.3 53 45.7 6 5.2 1 0.9 3.41 .633 to gain more popularity in school 41 35.3 29 25 42 36.2 4 3.4 2.92 .925 school 3 36.2 58 50 15 12.9 1 0.9 3.22 .695 to share ideas with students from other groups while working on group projects or assignment 42 36.2 58 50 15 12.9 1 0.9 3.22 .695 Challenges 54 46.6 38 32.8 24 20.7 0 0 3.26 .782 Lack of knowledge sharing relat	entertainment/relaxation										
appreciated / rewarded by my colleagues. to let my opinion/ ideas be heard on a topic to increase my confidence level to gain more popularity in school to share ideas with students from other groups while working on group projects or assignment Total Total 28 24.1 33 28.8 44 37.9 20 17.2 25 21.6 2.63 1.068 relationship Fear of providing wrong elationship Poor mobile and internet rotal Poor mobile and internet rotal Total 10 14.7 23 19.8 48 41.4 28 24.1 2.25 .986 rotal relationship Total Poor mobile and internet to share Total	* *										
to let my opinion/ ideas be heard on a topic to increase my confidence level to gain more popularity in school to share ideas with students from other groups while working on group projects or assignment Total Challenges Time constraints 54 46.6 38 32.8 54 57.9 6 5.2 1 0.9 3.41 6.33 Lack of knowledge sharing culture Lack of depth in relationship Fear of providing wrong from poor mobile and internet networks I do not think that I have the right content to share Total Total 7 14.7 23 19.8 48 41.4 28 24.1 2.25 .986 Time constraints to let my opinion/ ideas be a series of the state of the share ideas with size of the state	so that I can feel	50	43.1	40	34.5	19	16.4	7	6.0	3.15	.907
to let my opinion/ ideas be heard on a topic to increase my confidence level to gain more popularity in school to share ideas with students from other groups while working on group projects or assignment Total Challenges Time constraints 54 46.6 38 32.8 44 37.9 20 17.2 25 21.6 2.63 1.068 Lack of knowledge sharing culture Lack of depth in relationship Fear of providing wrong proor mobile and internet networks I do not think that I have the right content to share Total 38 32.8 64 55.2 11 9.5 3.41 .633 29 25 42 36.2 4 3.4 2.92 .925 .925 40 36.2 58 50 15 12.9 1 0.9 3.22 .695 .695 40 33.12 6.99 41 35.3 28.4 40 34.5 15 12.9 2.64 .990 .990 .990 .990 .990 .990 .990 .99	appreciated / rewarded by										
heard on a topic colored a											
to increase my confidence level	to let my opinion/ ideas be	38	32.8	64	55.2	11	9.5	3	2.6	3.18	.705
Level Leve	heard on a topic										
to gain more popularity in school to share ideas with students from other groups while working on group projects or assignment Total Challenges Time constraints 54 46.6 38 32.8 24 20.7 0 0 3.26 .782 Lack of knowledge sharing culture Lack of depth in 27 23.3 44 37.9 20 17.2 25 21.6 2.63 1.068 relationship Fear of providing wrong information Poor mobile and internet networks I do not think that I have the right content to share Total 41 35.3 29 25 42 36.2 4 36.2 4 3.4 2.92 .925 .986 .925 58 50 15 12.9 1 0.9 3.22 .695 15 12.9 1 0.9 3.22 .695 16 6.99 17 18 33.12 6.99 18 34.5 15 12.9 2.64 .990 18 34.5 15 12.9 2.64 .990 18 35.3 28.4 39 33.6 41 35.3 3 2.6 2.88 .856 19 16.4 4 3.4 2.98 .722 19 16.4 4 3.4 2.98 .856	to increase my confidence	56	48.3	53	45.7	6	5.2	1	0.9	3.41	.633
school deast with students from other groups while working on group projects or assignment 42 36.2 58 50 15 12.9 1 0.9 3.22 .695 Total 33.12 6.99 Challenges 54 46.6 38 32.8 24 20.7 0 0 3.26 .782 Lack of knowledge sharing culture 28 24.1 33 28.4 40 34.5 15 12.9 2.64 .990 Lack of depth in relationship 27 23.3 44 37.9 20 17.2 25 21.6 2.63 1.068 Fear of providing wrong information 25 21.6 68 58.6 19 16.4 4 3.4 2.98 .722 Poor mobile and internet networks 33 28.4 39 33.6 41 35.3 3 2.6 2.88 .856 I do not think that I have the right content to share 17 14.7 23 19.8 48 41.4 28 24.1 2.25 .986 Total 16.64 5.40	level										
to share ideas with students from other groups while working on group projects or assignment Total Challenges Time constraints 54 46.6 38 32.8 24 20.7 0 0 3.26 .782 Lack of knowledge sharing culture Lack of depth in 27 23.3 44 37.9 20 17.2 25 21.6 2.63 1.068 relationship Fear of providing wrong information Poor mobile and internet 33 28.4 39 33.6 41 35.3 3 2.6 2.88 .856 networks I do not think that I have the right content to share Total 42 36.2 58 50 15 12.9 1 0.9 3.22 .695 33.12 6.99 33.12 6.99 33.12 6.99 33.12 6.99 33.12 6.99 33.12 6.99 33.12 6.99 33.12 6.99 33.12 6.99 33.12 6.99 33.12 6.99 33.12 6.99 33.12 6.99 34.4 37.9 20 17.2 25 21.6 2.64 .990 25 21.6 68 58.6 19 16.4 4 3.4 2.98 .722 35 21.6 2.88 .856 36 21 9 16.4 4 3.4 2.98 .722 37 22 19.8 48 41.4 28 24.1 2.25 .986	to gain more popularity in	41	35.3	29	25	42	36.2	4	3.4	2.92	.925
students from other groups while working on group projects or assignment Total 33.12 6.99 Challenges 54 46.6 38 32.8 24 20.7 0 0 3.26 .782 Lack of knowledge sharing culture 28 24.1 33 28.4 40 34.5 15 12.9 2.64 .990 Lack of depth in relationship 27 23.3 44 37.9 20 17.2 25 21.6 2.63 1.068 Fear of providing wrong information 25 21.6 68 58.6 19 16.4 4 3.4 2.98 .722 Poor mobile and internet networks 33 28.4 39 33.6 41 35.3 3 2.6 2.88 .856 I do not think that I have the right content to share 17 14.7 23 19.8 48 41.4 28 24.1 2.25 .986	school										
while working on group projects or assignment 33.12 6.99 Total 33.12 6.99 Challenges 2 46.6 38 32.8 24 20.7 0 0 3.26 .782 Lack of knowledge sharing culture 28 24.1 33 28.4 40 34.5 15 12.9 2.64 .990 Lack of depth in relationship 27 23.3 44 37.9 20 17.2 25 21.6 2.63 1.068 Fear of providing wrong information 25 21.6 68 58.6 19 16.4 4 3.4 2.98 .722 Poor mobile and internet networks 33 28.4 39 33.6 41 35.3 3 2.6 2.88 .856 I do not think that I have the right content to share 17 14.7 23 19.8 48 41.4 28 24.1 2.25 .986	to share ideas with	42	36.2	58	50	15	12.9	1	0.9	3.22	.695
Total James of the providing wrong information 25 21.6 68 58.6 19 16.4 4 33.12 6.99 Total Jack of knowledge sharing culture 28 24.1 33 28.4 40 34.5 15 12.9 2.64 .990 Lack of knowledge sharing culture 28 24.1 33 28.4 40 34.5 15 12.9 2.64 .990 Lack of depth in relationship 27 23.3 44 37.9 20 17.2 25 21.6 2.63 1.068 Fear of providing wrong information 25 21.6 68 58.6 19 16.4 4 3.4 2.98 .722 Poor mobile and internet networks 33 28.4 39 33.6 41 35.3 3 2.6 2.88 .856 I do not think that I have the right content to share 17 14.7 23 19.8 48 41.4 28 24.1 2.25 .986	students from other groups										
Total Challenges Summer of providing wrong information 54 46.6 38 32.8 24 20.7 0 0 0 3.26 .782 33.12 6.99 Lack of knowledge sharing culture 28 24.1 33 28.4 40 34.5 15 12.9 2.64 .990 .990 Lack of depth in relationship 27 23.3 44 37.9 20 17.2 25 21.6 2.63 1.068 1.068 Fear of providing wrong information 25 21.6 68 58.6 19 16.4 4 3.4 2.98 .722 .722 Poor mobile and internet networks 33 28.4 39 33.6 41 35.3 3 2.6 2.88 .856 .856 I do not think that I have the right content to share 17 14.7 23 19.8 48 41.4 28 24.1 2.25 .986 .986 Total 16.64 5.40	while working on group										
Challenges 54 46.6 38 32.8 24 20.7 0 0 3.26 .782 Lack of knowledge sharing culture 28 24.1 33 28.4 40 34.5 15 12.9 2.64 .990 Lack of depth in relationship 27 23.3 44 37.9 20 17.2 25 21.6 2.63 1.068 Fear of providing wrong information 25 21.6 68 58.6 19 16.4 4 3.4 2.98 .722 Poor mobile and internet networks 33 28.4 39 33.6 41 35.3 3 2.6 2.88 .856 I do not think that I have the right content to share 17 14.7 23 19.8 48 41.4 28 24.1 2.25 .986 Total 16.64 5.40	projects or assignment										
Time constraints 54 46.6 38 32.8 24 20.7 0 0 3.26 .782 Lack of knowledge sharing culture 28 24.1 33 28.4 40 34.5 15 12.9 2.64 .990 Lack of depth in relationship 27 23.3 44 37.9 20 17.2 25 21.6 2.63 1.068 Fear of providing wrong information 28 28.4 39 33.6 41 35.3 3 2.6 2.88 .856 Total 19 16.4 28 24.1 2.25 .986	Total									33.12	6.99
Lack of knowledge sharing culture 28 24.1 33 28.4 40 34.5 15 12.9 2.64 .990 Lack of depth in relationship 27 23.3 44 37.9 20 17.2 25 21.6 2.63 1.068 Fear of providing wrong information 25 21.6 68 58.6 19 16.4 4 3.4 2.98 .722 Poor mobile and internet networks 33 28.4 39 33.6 41 35.3 3 2.6 2.88 .856 I do not think that I have the right content to share 17 14.7 23 19.8 48 41.4 28 24.1 2.25 .986 Total 16.64 5.40	Challenges										
Culture Lack of depth in relationship 27 23.3 44 37.9 20 17.2 25 21.6 2.63 1.068 Fear of providing wrong information 25 21.6 68 58.6 19 16.4 4 3.4 2.98 .722 Poor mobile and internet networks 33 28.4 39 33.6 41 35.3 3 2.6 2.88 .856 I do not think that I have the right content to share 17 14.7 23 19.8 48 41.4 28 24.1 2.25 .986 Total 16.64 5.40	Time constraints	54	46.6	38	32.8	24	20.7	0	0	3.26	.782
Lack of depth in relationship 27 23.3 44 37.9 20 17.2 25 21.6 2.63 1.068 Fear of providing wrong information 25 21.6 68 58.6 19 16.4 4 3.4 2.98 .722 Poor mobile and internet networks 33 28.4 39 33.6 41 35.3 3 2.6 2.88 .856 I do not think that I have the right content to share 17 14.7 23 19.8 48 41.4 28 24.1 2.25 .986 Total 16.64 5.40	Lack of knowledge sharing	28	24.1	33	28.4	40	34.5	15	12.9	2.64	.990
relationship 25 21.6 68 58.6 19 16.4 4 3.4 2.98 .722 Poor mobile and internet networks 33 28.4 39 33.6 41 35.3 3 2.6 2.88 .856 I do not think that I have the right content to share 17 14.7 23 19.8 48 41.4 28 24.1 2.25 .986 Total 16.64 5.40	culture										
Fear of providing wrong information 25 21.6 68 58.6 19 16.4 4 3.4 2.98 .722 Poor mobile and internet networks 33 28.4 39 33.6 41 35.3 3 2.6 2.88 .856 I do not think that I have the right content to share 17 14.7 23 19.8 48 41.4 28 24.1 2.25 .986 Total 0 0 0 0 0 16.64 5.40	Lack of depth in	27	23.3	44	37.9	20	17.2	25	21.6	2.63	1.068
information 33 28.4 39 33.6 41 35.3 3 2.6 2.88 .856 networks 1 14.7 23 19.8 48 41.4 28 24.1 2.25 .986 the right content to share 1 16.64 5.40	relationship										
information 33 28.4 39 33.6 41 35.3 3 2.6 2.88 .856 networks 1 14.7 23 19.8 48 41.4 28 24.1 2.25 .986 the right content to share 1 16.64 5.40	Fear of providing wrong	25	21.6	68	58.6	19	16.4	4	3.4	2.98	.722
networks Ido not think that I have the right content to share 17 14.7 23 19.8 48 41.4 28 24.1 2.25 .986 Total Image: Total state of the right content to share the											
I do not think that I have the right content to share 17 14.7 23 19.8 48 41.4 28 24.1 2.25 .986 Total 0 0 0 0 0 0 16.64 5.40	Poor mobile and internet		28.4	39	33.6	41	35.3	3	2.6	2.88	.856
the right content to share Total 16.64 5.40	networks										
Total 16.64 5.40	I do not think that I have	17	14.7	23	19.8	48	41.4	28	24.1	2.25	.986
Total 16.64 5.40	the right content to share										
Crand Total 102 51 22 49										16.64	5.40
Grand rotal	Grand Total									102.51	23.48

Key: SA= Strongly agree; A= Agree; D= Disagree; SD= Strongly disagree

The findings showed that the common types of knowledge shared are class notes/handouts (mean 3.58), previous examination papers (mean 3.55) and

entertainment such as music, video games, movies, Fashion, and sports (mean 3.41) while the least shared is Politics (mean 2.84). The tools mostly used are face-to-face (mean 3.56), social media (mean 3.49) and mobile phones (3.40) and the least tools used are Laptops (3.18).

The purposes of sharing knowledge are to solve study-related problems (mean 3.63), clarify or discuss examination-related matters (3.55) and to discuss requirements of class projects, term papers, or assignments (3.47) while a small number of the respondents shared knowledge to gain more popularity in school (2.92).

The results also revealed that the major challenges of sharing knowledge by the federal forestry college students are time constraints (mean 3.26), fear of providing wrong information (mean 2.98) and poor mobile and internet networks (2.88).

Hypothesis

The First Hypothesis Test

The first hypothesis states that there is no significant relationship between information literacy skills and knowledge-sharing practices of the Federal Forestry College students. To investigate the relationship between the two variables, Pearson's Product Moment Correlation (PPMC) was used. Table 4 showed that information literacy skills (r = .449***; df =115; p < 0.05) have a significant positive relationship with the knowledge-sharing practices of Federal Forestry College students. This implies that there is a positive linear association between information literacy skills and knowledge-sharing practices. Thus, the null hypothesis stating that there is no significant relationship between information literacy skills and knowledge-sharing practices of Federal Forestry College students is hereby rejected.

Table 4: The relationship between information literacy skills and knowledgesharing behaviour

Variab	Variables		Mean	St. Dev	Df	R	P	Sig
Information	literacy	116	94.52	18.67	11.5	4.40**	000	
skills Knowledge	sharing	116	141.84	36.17	115	.449**	.000	S
behaviour	δ							

Discussion of findings

On the level of information literacy skills possessed by Federal Forestry College students, the findings showed that the respondents had a high level of information literacy skills. An indication of this high level of information literacy skills was evident as the majority of the respondents indicated that they can define and articulate information needs, locate and access information and organize information. These findings are in line with the study of Aghanche, Nkamnebe and Nkamnebe (2019) who found that the level of information literacy skills of undergraduates is high and the participants are proficient in definition and articulation of information, vast in the assessment of information and proficient in the proper evaluation of information. This study implies that the undergraduates are highly proficient in information literacy skills, making them information literate.

The findings showed

that the common types of knowledge shared are class notes/handouts, previous examination papers and entertainment such as music, video games, movies, Fashion, sports while the least shared is Politics. The reason could be narrowed to the fact that these are directly related to academic success. Sharing these resources helps students perform better in their studies, which is a primary concern in educational environments. On the other hand, politics can be polarizing and controversial. People may avoid sharing political content to prevent conflict or to keep discussions light and uncontroversial. These findings align with the study of Opeke and Opele (2020) who confirmed that students shared knowledge in areas of their studies such as sharing information and knowledge that will improve their academic performance and others.

The tools mostly used are face-to-face, social media and mobile phones and the least tools used are Laptops. These are commonly used for sharing knowledge because they offer convenience, and immediacy, and are widely accessible. These tools support quick, real-time communication and are integrated into daily life. The results affirmed the position of Opeke and Opele (2020) who posited that the commonest media for sharing knowledge among postgraduate students in Nigerian Universities are face-to-face, Internet and mobile phones. This position is also consistence with the findings of Kalu, Useido and Kalu (2019) which showed that online charts such as Facebook, WhatsApp and other social media channels are the most effective channels for knowledge sharing among students.

The purposes of sharing knowledge are to solve study-related problems, clarify or discuss examination-related matters and discuss requirements of class projects, term papers, or assignments while a small number of the respondents shared knowledge to gain more popularity in school. These activities

are directly tied to academic success, which is a primary concern for students. In contrast, sharing knowledge to gain popularity is less common, as the primary motivation for most students is educational achievement rather than social recognition. The focus remains on improving grades and understanding course material, with popularity being a secondary concern for a smaller group. This conforms with the position of Opeke and Opele (2020) that one of the paramount purposes of sharing information and knowledge among students is to improve academic performance. The commonest challenges of sharing knowledge among federal forestry college students include time constraints, as students often juggle multiple responsibilities, leaving little time to share resources. Fear of providing wrong information, as students worry about the accuracy of their notes or answers. **Poor mobile and internet networks** hinder the easy and quick exchange of information, thereby making it difficult to share large files or engage in online discussions. These factors collectively limit effective knowledge sharing. These findings is similar to Ong and Tan (2022) where it was reported that some of the limiting factors to knowledge sharing are ICT issues such as the quality of the Internet connection, lack of time and lack of self-confidence, for instance, shyness and being over concerned about providing others with wrong information (and the consequences).

The results also showed that information literacy skills have a significant positive relationship with the knowledge-sharing behaviour of Federal Forestry College students. Information literacy skills enhance students' ability to locate, evaluate, and use information effectively. This competence boosts their confidence in sharing accurate and relevant knowledge, fostering a positive relationship with knowledge-sharing behaviour. The findings align with that of Jafari, Torkzadeharani and Bita (2019) that there is a significant and multiple relationship between information literacy (Definition of information needs, location. information information evaluation. information organization. information exchange) and knowledge sharing (Knowledge donation and knowledge gathering) by students.

Conclusion and Recommendations

The study revealed that knowledge sharing among Federal Forestry College students predominantly revolves around academic-related materials, with entertainment also being a significant category. The preference for these types of knowledge is likely driven by their direct impact on academic success. Politics, on the other hand, is the least shared, possibly due to its divisive nature. The tools most commonly used for knowledge sharing are face-to-face interactions, social

media, and mobile phones, which offer convenience and immediacy. Despite the high levels of information literacy among students, challenges such as time constraints, fear of providing incorrect information and internet networks hinder effective knowledge sharing. Importantly, information literacy was found to have a significant positive relationship with knowledge-sharing behaviors.

The study recommended that to further enhance the positive impact of information literacy on knowledge sharing; the college should integrate comprehensive information literacy training into its curriculum. This will ensure that all students are equipped with the necessary skills to share knowledge effectively; the college should create a supportive environment where students feel comfortable sharing knowledge without fear of judgment. To further enhance the positive impact of information literacy on knowledge sharing, the college should integrate comprehensive information literacy training into its curriculum. This will ensure that all students are equipped with the necessary skills to share knowledge effectively.

References

- Ahmad, F and Karim, M. (2019). Impacts of knowledge sharing: a review and directions for future research. *Journal of Workplace Learning*, 31(3), 207–230. https://doi.org/10.1108/JWL-07-2018-0096
- American Library Association, 2024. *Presidential committee on information literacy: American Library Association, 2024.* [Google Scholar]
- Asterhan, C. S. C., and Bouton, E. (2017). Teenage peer-to-peer knowledge sharing through social network sites in schools. *Computers & Education*, 110, 16-34.
- Aghauche, E. E., Nkamnebe, C. B., and Nkamnebe, E. C. (2019). Information literacy skills of undergraduates in Paul University Awka, Anambra State. *Unizik Journal of Research in Library and Information Science*, 4(1), 94-109.
- Cao, T.T., Le, P.B. and Nguyen, N.T.M. (2022). Impacts of high-involvement HRM practices on organisational innovation capability: the mediating mechanism of tacit and explicit knowledge sharing. *International Journal of Innovation Science*, 14 (5), 733-749. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJIS-05-2021-0091

- Catts, R., and Lau, J. (2008). *Towards information literacy indicators*. UNESCO. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000158723
- Cheruvelil, K. S., Soranno, P. A., Weathers, K. C., Hanson, P. C., Goring, S. J., Filstrup, C. T., and Read, E. K. (2014). MACROSYSTEMS ECOLOGY: Creating and maintaining high-performing collaborative research teams: The importance of diversity and interpersonal skills. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 12(1), 31-38, https://doi.org/10.1890/130001
- Jafari H.R., Torkzadeharani, z., and Bita, M., (2019) Determining structural relationship between knowledge management and creativity with the mediating role of psychological empowerment among staff of departments of education in Qom, Iran. *Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal)*. 3054. https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/3054
- Kalu, C. O., Usiedo, B. A., and Chidi-Kalu, E. I. (2019). Knowledge sharing behaviours and patterns among academic students: A case study of electrical engineering technology students of National Institute of Construction Technology (NICT), Uromi, Edo State. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, (4183). https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/4183
- Nyarigoti, N. (2020). An assessment of information literacy skills of undergraduate students at the United States International University-Africa. *Journal of Language, Technology & Entrepreneurship in Africa*, 11(1), 152-171
- Oladejo, O., Alonge, A. J. Dr, and Oyewole, O., (2020). Information literacy skills and attitude towards plagiarism by students of three theological institutions in south-west, Nigeria. *Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal)* (4047). https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/4047
- Olubiyo, P. O., Ogunniyi, S. O. PhD, Ademilua, S. O. and Akanmidu-Fagbemi, V. Y. (2019). A Survey of Information Literacy Skills among Undergraduates of Adeyemi College of Education, Ondo, Nigeria. *Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal)* (2353). https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/2353

- Opeke, R. O., and Opele, J. K. (2020). Assessment of knowledge sharing behaviours of postgraduate students in selected Nigerian universities. *Information and Knowledge Management*, 4(11), 102–107
- Ong E. C., and Tan C. L. (2022). Soft TQM, agility, and knowledge management deliver organizational performance: A study of Malaysian manufacturing organizations in the Electrical and Electronics Sector. *Global Business and Organizational Excellence*, 41(4), 28–47. https://doi.org/10.1002/joe.22155
- United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. (2023). *Media and Information Literacy*. Media and Information Literacy | UNESCO
- Wang, W.-T., Wang, Y.-S., and Chang, W.-T. (2019). Investigating the effects of psychological empowerment and interpersonal conflicts on employees' knowledge sharing intentions. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 23(6), 1039–1076. https://doi.org/10.1108/JKM-07-2018-0423
- Xu, L., Li, J., and Zeng, D. (2016). How does a knowledge network affect a firm's explorative innovation? The contingent role of R&D collaborations. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 29(9), 973–987. https://doi.org/10.1080/09537325.2016.1260105
- Yeboah, A. (2023). Knowledge sharing in organization: A systematic review. *Cogent Business & Management*, 10(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2195027