Date received: April 07, 2024; Date revised: September 26, 2024; Date accepted: September 28, 2024 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/sinet.v47i3.6

# ALMOST ANALYTIC EXTENSIONS AND ULTRADIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTIONS

JEMAL YESUF <sup>1</sup> AND TADESSE ABDI<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Samara University, Samara, Ethiopia. Email: jemalyesuf@su.edu.et <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Email: tadesse.abdi@aau.edu.et

ABSTRACT. The notion of almost analytic extension has found numerous applications in various fields. This paper provides a comprehensive characterization of ultradifferentiable functions by examining the existence of almost analytic extensions.

Key words/phrases: Ultradifferentiable classes, almost analytic extensions

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The construction of almost analytic extensions has been explored by varios authors. In chapter 8 of Dimassi and Sjöstrand (1999), two different almost analytic constructions were presented for  $f \in C_0^{\infty}$ . The first one is following Hörmander's approach, based on Borel's construction.

$$\tilde{f}(x+iy) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(k)}(y)}{k!} (iy)^k \chi(\lambda_k y),$$

where  $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  equal to 1 near 0 and  $\lambda_k$ tending to  $\infty$  sufficiently fast. The other is the construction introduced by Mather, Jensen and Nakamura based on Fourier inversion formula: If  $\chi(x) \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  equal to 1 in a neighborhood of the support of  $f.\chi$  as above.

$$\tilde{f}(x+iy) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{(x+iy)\xi} \chi(y\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi,$$

where  $\hat{f}$  is the Fourier transform of f.

These constructions have garnered significant attention due to their potential applications in diverse fields. By extending analytic functions to almost analytic ones, these constructions offer a broader range of mathematical tools and techniques for analysis and problem-solving. In recent years, researchers have delved deeper into the intricacies of these constructions, seeking to refine and expand upon the existing methodologies. By investigating the properties and limitations of almost analytic extensions, they aim to uncover new insights and possibilities for their utilization in various domains.

The comprehensive almost analytic description of ultradifferentiable classes can be traced back to Petzsche and Vogt (2009). In Berhanu and Hailu (2017), the authors discussed the local and microlocal characterization of Gevrey functions as boundary values of almost analytic functions. A natural extension of the Gevrey classes can be achieved by considering a sequence of real numbers  $M = (M_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  that satisfy certain properties. The objective of this study is to characterize ultradifferentiable functions based on the existence of almost analytic extentions. To accomplish this, we utilize the higher dimensional version of the inhomogeneous Cauchy integral formula to construct almost analytic extension of ultradifferentiable functions.

The structure of this paper is as follows: Section 1 deals with introduction. Section 2 presents the definition of ultradifferentiable functions and explores some of their properties. In Section 3, we establish the characterization of ultradifferentiable functions in terms of the existence of almost analytic extensions.

### 2. Ultradifferentiable functions and some properties

We will start by recalling a sequence of numbers that possess certain properties as mentioned in Jemal and Tadesse (2020). Consider a sequence  $M = (M_j)$  consisting of positive real numbers that satisfy the following conditions. (1) Initial Conditions:

$$M_0 = M_1 = 1. (2.1)$$

(2) Non-quasianalyticity:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{M_j}{M_{j+1}} < \infty.$$
(2.2)

(3) Stability under differential operators: There exists a constant A, H > 0, independent of j, k, such that for all  $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$M_{j+k} \le AH^{j+k}M_jM_k. \tag{2.3}$$

(4) Invariance under composition: For all  $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $0 \le j \le k$ , we have

$$\binom{k}{j}M_{k-j}M_j \le M_k. \tag{2.4}$$

(5) Logarithmic convexity: For all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$M_j^2 \le M_{j-1} M_{j+1}, \tag{2.5}$$

and this in turn implies that for all  $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$M_j M_k \le M_{j+k}.\tag{2.6}$$

(6) Invariance under division: The sequence  $Q_0 = 1$  and  $Q_j = \left(\frac{M_j}{3!}\right)^{\frac{1}{j}}$  for  $j \ge 1$  is increasing, that

for all 
$$j < k$$

$$Q_j \le Q_k. \tag{2.7}$$

For the reader who are interested in delving deeper into to this sequence and its properties, as well as the subsequent function spaces, we highly recommend referring to the papers Adwan and Hoepfner, (2010), and Adwan and Hoepfner, (2015), Komatsu, (1973) and references herein. Here we remark that:

fiere we remark that.

is,

Remark 2.1. i) From (??), (??), and (??), it follows that

(7) Faá di Bruno: For all  $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , if n = jk, there is a constant C > 1, independent of n, so that

$$M_j^k \le C^n M_{n-k}. \tag{2.8}$$

This condition is utilized when there is a requirement to apply the multi-variable Faá di Bruno formula for calculating the derivatives of composition of function.

ii) If M satisfies (??) and (??), then it satisfies the following: For all j = 1, 2, ...

$$M_j \ge j!. \tag{2.9}$$

**Example 2.2.** Let s > 1 be a real number and choose

$$M_j = (j!)^s.$$

Then  $M = (M_j)$  satisfies (??) to (??). If  $M_j = j!$ , then  $M = (M_j)$  satisfies all conditions except (??).

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  be an open set and  $(M_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of positive real numbers that is increasing and satisfies certain properties mentioned above. The ultradifferentiable (Denjoy-Carleman) spaces, denoted as  $\mathcal{E}^M(\Omega)$ , is defined as the set of all functions f in  $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$  that satisfies the following property: for each  $K \subset \Omega$  there exist a constant C > 0, depending on K and f, such that

$$|\partial^{\alpha} f(x)| \le C^{|\alpha|+1} M_{|\alpha|}, \ \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{m}, \ \forall x \in K.$$
(2.10)

**Example 2.4.** Let s > 1 be a real number and

$$M_j = (j!)^s$$

Then  $\mathcal{E}^{M}(\Omega) = G^{s}(\Omega)$  denotes the *s*- Gevrey space. If  $M_{j} = j!$ , then  $\mathcal{E}^{M}(\Omega) = C^{\omega}(\Omega)$  (the space of real analytic functions).

**Example 2.5.** (For more examples, see Rainer, (2009)) a) Let q > 1. Put  $M_j = q^{j^2}$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . The corresponding  $\mathcal{E}^M$  functions are called q-Gevrey regular. Then  $M = (M_j)$  is non-quasianalytic.

b) Let 
$$\delta > 0$$
 and  $M_j = \left(\log(j+e)\right)^{j}$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .  
Then  $M = (M_j)$  is quasianalytic for  $0 < \delta \leq 1$  and non-quasianalytic for  $\delta > 1$ .

Note that if  $M = (M_j)$  and  $N = (N_j)$  satisfy  $M_j \leq C^j N_j$ ,  $\forall j$  and a constant C, then  $\mathcal{E}^M(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{E}^N(\Omega)$ . The converse is true as well by the logarithmic convexity assumption. In particular, if  $f \in G^s(\Omega)$  and  $s \leq t$ , then  $f \in G^t(\Omega)$ . Thus  $G^1 \subset G^s$ ,  $\forall s \geq 1$ .

## 3. Ultradifferentiable functions and Almost analytic extensions

We begin the section by defining the M- almost analytic extensions.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  be an open set and  $f = f(x) \in \mathcal{E}^M(\Omega)$ . A function  $F = F(x, y) \in \mathcal{E}^M(\Omega \times (-1, 1)^m)$  is said to be an M- almost analytic extension of f if the following holds: i) F(x, 0) = f(x) for all  $x \in \Omega$ ; and

ii) for all  $(x, y) \in \Omega \times (-1, 1)^m$  and for all  $N = 1, 2, \ldots$  there exists a constant C > 0, independent of N, such that, for all  $j = 1, \ldots, m$  it holds

the 
$$\left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial \overline{z}_j}(z)\right| \leq \frac{C^{N+1}}{N!} M_N |y|^N$$
  
(b) where  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}_j} = \frac{1}{2} (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}).$ 

We will use the following Faá di Bruno generalized formula to prove a lemma in this section and in the subsequent section.

**Theorem 3.2** ([?], Corollary 2.10). Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$ and  $h(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = f(g(x_1, \ldots, x_d))$  with  $g \in C^{\alpha}(U_{x_0})$  and  $f \in C^{|\alpha|}(V_{y_0})$ , where  $y_0 = g(x_0)$ , and  $U_{x_0} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $V_{y_0} \subset \mathbb{R}$  open neighborhoods of  $x_0$  and  $y_0$ , respectively. Then

$$\partial^{\alpha} h = \sum_{r=1}^{|\alpha|} \partial^{r} f \sum_{p(\alpha,r)} (\alpha!) \prod_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \frac{(\partial^{\alpha_{j}} g)^{k_{j}}}{k_{j}! (\alpha_{j}!)^{k_{j}}}$$

where

 $p(\alpha, r) = \{(k_1, \dots, k_{|\alpha|}; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{|\alpha|})$ for some  $1 \le s \le |\alpha|, k_i = 0$  and  $\alpha_i = 0$ 

for  $1 \le i \le |\alpha| - s$ ;  $k_i > 0$  for  $|\alpha| - s + 1 \le i \le |\alpha|$ ;

and  $0 < \alpha_{|\alpha|-s+1} < \ldots < \alpha_{|\alpha|}$  are such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{|\alpha|} k_i = r, \ \sum_{i=1}^{|\alpha|} k_i \alpha_i = \alpha \}.$$

In particular, we have (see [?], page 515) that there exist C > 0 such that

$$r! \sum_{p(\alpha,r)} \prod_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \frac{1}{k_j!} = \binom{|\alpha| - 1}{r - 1} \le C^{|\alpha|}.$$
 (3.1)

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$  and  $h(x) = |x|^{-2m}$ . Then there exist C > 0 such that

$$|\partial^{\alpha} h(x)| \le C^{|\alpha|+1}(m+|\alpha|-1)!|x|^{-2m-|\alpha|}$$

Proof: Let

$$f(t) = t^{-m}, \ g(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_j^2$$

so that h(x) = f(g(x)). We will use Faa di Bruno formula to compute this derivative, that is,

$$\begin{split} \partial^{\alpha}h(x) = \\ \sum_{r=1}^{|\alpha|} f^{(r)}(g(x)) \sum_{P(\alpha,r)} \alpha! \prod_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \frac{(\partial^{\alpha_j}g)^{k_j}}{k_j!(\alpha_j!)^{k_j}}, \end{split}$$

where

$$p(\alpha, r) = \{(k_1, \dots, k_{|\alpha|}; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{|\alpha|})$$
for some  $1 \le s \le |\alpha|, k_j = 0$  and  $\alpha_j = 0$   
for  $1 \le j \le |\alpha| - s; k_j > 0$   
for  $|\alpha| - s - 1 \le j \le |\alpha|;$  and  
 $0 < \alpha_{|\alpha|-s+1} < \dots < \alpha_{|\alpha|}$  are such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{|\alpha|} k_j = r, \ \sum_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} k_j \alpha_j = \alpha \}.$$

Now  $f'(t) = -mt^{-m-1}, f''(t) = -m(-m-1)t^{-m-2}$  and in general

$$f^{(r)}(t) = (-1)^r r! \binom{m+r-1}{r} t^{-m-r}$$
$$= (-1)^r (m+r-1)(m+r-2) \dots m t^{-m-r}$$

Let  $\alpha_j = (\alpha_j^1, \dots, \alpha_j^m), \ j = 1, 2, \dots, |\alpha|$ . Then  $\partial^{\alpha_j} g(x) = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha_j|}}{\partial x_1^{j} \dots \partial x_m^{j}} g(x) = 0$  except when  $\alpha_j =$ 

 $e_j = (0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$  in that case it is  $2x_j$  and when  $\alpha_j = 2e_j$  the derivative is 2.

Thus when  $\alpha_j = e_j$  from  $\sum_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} k_j = r$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} k_j \alpha_j = \alpha$  we have  $|\alpha| = r, \alpha = (k_1, \dots, k_{|\alpha|})$ . When  $\alpha_j = 2e_j$ , we have  $|\alpha| = 2r$  and  $\alpha = 2(k_1, \dots, k_{|\alpha|})$ .

Thus, there are nonzero terms only when  $r = |\alpha|$  and  $2r = |\alpha|$ . Hence, using the fact that  $\big(\tfrac{|\alpha|}{2}\big)! {m+\tfrac{|\alpha|}{2}-1 \choose \frac{|\alpha|}{2}} \le |\alpha|! {m+|\alpha|-1 \choose |\alpha|}, \text{ we have }$ 

$$\begin{split} |\partial^{\alpha}h(x)| &\leq |\alpha|! \binom{m+|\alpha|-1}{|\alpha|} |x|^{-2m-2|\alpha|} \left| \sum_{k_1+\ldots+k_{|\alpha|}=|\alpha|} k_1!\ldots k_{|\alpha|}! \prod_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \frac{(2x_j)^{k_j}}{k_j!} \right| \\ &+ |\alpha|! \binom{m+|\alpha|-1}{|\alpha|} |x|^{-2m-|\alpha|} \sum_{2k_1+\ldots+2k_{|\alpha|}=|\alpha|} (2k_1)!\ldots (2k_{|\alpha|})! \prod_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \frac{(2)^{k_j}}{k_j! (2!)^{k_j}} \\ &= |\alpha|! \binom{m+|\alpha|-1}{|\alpha|} |x|^{-2m-|\alpha|} 2^{|\alpha|} \left| x^{(k_1,\ldots,k_{|\alpha|}|)} \right| \sum_{k_1+\ldots+k_{|\alpha|}=|\alpha|} 1 \\ &+ |\alpha|! \binom{m+|\alpha|-1}{|\alpha|} |x|^{-2m-|\alpha|} \sum_{2k_1+\ldots+2k_{|\alpha|}=|\alpha|} (2k_1)!\ldots (2k_{|\alpha|})! \prod_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \frac{1}{k_j!} \\ &\leq |\alpha|! \binom{m+|\alpha|-1}{|\alpha|} |x|^{-2m-|\alpha|} \left( 2^{|\alpha|} \sum_{k_1+\ldots+k_{|\alpha|}=|\alpha|} 1+|\alpha|! \sum_{2k_1+\ldots+2k_{|\alpha|}=|\alpha|} \prod_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \frac{1}{k_j!} \right) \\ &\leq |\alpha|! \binom{m+|\alpha|-1}{|\alpha|} |x|^{-2m-|\alpha|} \left( 2^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|+C^{|\alpha|} \right) \text{ from } (??) \\ &\leq |\alpha|! \binom{m+|\alpha|-1}{|\alpha|} |x|^{-2m-|\alpha|} \left( 2^{2|\alpha|}+C^{|\alpha|} \right) \\ &\leq C^{|\alpha|+1} |\alpha|! \binom{m+|\alpha|-1}{|\alpha|} |x|^{-2m-|\alpha|} . \end{split}$$

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  be an open set.  $\Omega \times (-1,1)^m$  for some constant C > 0. (Of course  $f \in \mathcal{E}^{M}(\Omega) \text{ if and only if there exist } F(x,y) \in$ it suffice to assume that  $F \in C^{1}(\Omega \times (-1,1)^{m})$ .  $\mathcal{E}^{M}(\Omega \times (-1,1)^{m}) \text{ such that}$ (1)  $F(x,0) = f(x) \text{ on } \Omega \text{ and}$ We will show that  $f \in \mathcal{E}^{M}(\Omega)$ . It suffice to show that  $f \in \mathcal{E}^{M}(B_{r})$  for each sufficiently small ball

(2)  $\left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_j}(z) \right| \leq \frac{C^{N+1}}{N!} M_N |y|^N, \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ on  $\Omega \times (-1, 1)^m$  for some constant C > 0, where  $z_j = x_j + i y_j.$ 

## Proof:

Suppose there exist  $F = F(x,y) \in \mathcal{E}^M(\Omega \times$  $(-1,1)^{m})$  such that

(1) 
$$F(x,0) = f(x)$$
 on  $\Omega$  and

(1)  $|a_k(x,0) - f(x)| = 0$  and (2)  $\left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial \overline{z}_j}(z)\right| \leq \frac{C^{N+1}}{N!} M_N |y|^N, \ \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, m \text{ on }$  where  $d\overline{z}_k$  is removed. Let  $\sigma_n$  denotes the area of the unit sphere  $S^{n-1}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

in  $\Omega$ . Let  $B_{2r} = \{x \in \Omega : |x| < 2r\}$  such that  $\overline{B_{2r}} \subset \Omega$ . Let F(x, y) be as given above on a neighborhood of the closure of  $\Omega_r = B_{2r} \times B_r$ . We may assume that F(x, y) = 0 for  $y \in B_r \setminus (-1, 1)^m$ . Set  $\omega(z) = dz_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dz_m$ . We will identify  $\mathbb{C}^m$ with  $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$ . For  $k = 1, \ldots, m$ , let

$$\omega_k(\overline{z}) = (-1)^{k-1} d\overline{z}_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d\overline{z}_{k-1} \wedge d\hat{\overline{z}}_k \wedge d\overline{z}_{k+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d\overline{z}_m,$$

Then for each  $x \in B_r$ , from the higher dimensional version of the inhomogeneous Cauchy Integral Formula, we have

$$f(x) = F(x,0) = \frac{2(2i)^{-m}}{\sigma_{2m}} \int_{\partial\Omega_r} F(w) \sum_{k=1}^m (\overline{w}_k - x_k) |w - x|^{-2m} \omega_k(\overline{w}) \wedge \omega(w) - \frac{2(2i)^{-m}}{\sigma_{2m}} \int_{\Omega_r} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\partial F}{\partial\overline{w}_k}(w) (\overline{w}_k - x_k) |w - x|^{-2m} \omega(\overline{w}) \wedge \omega(w) = f_1(x) + f_2(x).$$

Since  $f_1(x)$  is real analytic on  $B_r$ ,  $f_1 \in \mathcal{E}^M(B_r)$ . It remains to show that  $f_2 \in \mathcal{E}^M(B_r)$ . Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m)$ . Then

$$\partial^{\alpha} f_2(x) = -\frac{2(2i)^{-m}}{\sigma_{2m}} \int_{\Omega_r} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\partial F}{\partial \overline{w}_k}(w) \partial_x^{\alpha} \left[ (\overline{w}_k - x_k) |w - x|^{-2m} \right] \omega(\overline{w}) \wedge \omega(w).$$

For  $x \neq w$ ,

$$\partial^{\alpha} \left[ (\overline{w}_k - x_k) | w - x |^{-2m} \right] = \sum_{\beta \le \alpha} {\alpha \choose \beta} \partial_x^{\beta} (\overline{w}_k - x_k) \partial_x^{\alpha - \beta} [|w - x|^{-2m}]$$
$$= (\overline{w}_k - x_k) \partial_x^{\alpha} [|w - x|^{-2m}] - \frac{\alpha!}{(\alpha - e_k)!} \partial_x^{\alpha - e_k} [|w - x|^{-2m}]$$
$$= (\overline{w}_k - x_k) \partial_x^{\alpha} [|w - x|^{-2m}] - \alpha_k \partial_x^{\alpha - e_k} [|w - x|^{-2m}].$$

Using Lemma ??, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\partial^{\alpha} \left[ (\overline{w}_{k} - x_{k}) |w - x|^{-2m} \right] | \leq \\ &|w - x| |\partial^{\alpha}_{x} [|w - x|^{-2m}] | + \alpha_{k} |\partial^{\alpha - e_{k}}_{x} [|w - x|^{-2m}] | \\ &\leq (m + |\alpha| - 1)! \left( C^{|\alpha| + 1} |w - x| |w - x|^{-2m - |\alpha|} + \alpha_{k} C^{|\alpha| - 1} |w - x|^{-2m - |\alpha| + 1} \right) \\ &\leq C^{|\alpha| + 1} (m + |\alpha| - 1)! |w - x|^{-2m - |\alpha| + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} |\partial^{\alpha} f_{2}(x)| &= -\frac{2^{1-m}}{\sigma_{2m}} \int_{\Omega_{r}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial \overline{w}_{k}}(w) \right| |\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \Big[ (\overline{w}_{k} - x_{k}) |w - x|^{-2m} \Big] ||\omega(\overline{w}) \wedge \omega(w)| \\ &\leq \frac{22^{-m}}{\sigma_{2m}} c^{|\alpha|+1} (m + |\alpha| - 1)! C^{N+1} \frac{M_{N}}{N!} \int_{\Omega_{r}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{|\Im w|^{N}}{|w - x|^{2m+|\alpha|-1}} \omega(\overline{w}) \wedge \omega(w)| \\ &\leq \frac{22^{-m}}{\sigma_{2m}} c^{|\alpha|+1} (m + |\alpha| - 1)! C^{N+1} \frac{M_{N}}{N!} \int_{\Omega_{r}} |\Im w|^{N-2m-|\alpha|+1} dv \\ &\leq \frac{22^{-m}}{\sigma_{2m}} c^{|\alpha|+1} (m + |\alpha| - 1)! C^{|\alpha|+2m} \frac{M_{2m+|\alpha|-1}}{(2m+|\alpha|-1)!} \int_{\Omega_{r}} dv \ (\text{let } N = 2m + |\alpha| - 1) \\ &\leq \frac{22^{-m}}{\sigma_{2m}} c^{|\alpha|+1} C^{|\alpha|+2m} M_{2m+|\alpha|-1} \\ &\leq \frac{22^{-m}}{\sigma_{2m}} c^{|\alpha|+1} C^{|\alpha|+2m} A H^{2m+|\alpha|-1} M_{2m-1} M_{|\alpha|} \\ &\leq C'^{|\alpha|+1} M_{|\alpha|}. \end{split}$$

Therefore,  $f_2 \in \mathcal{E}^M(B_r)$  and hence  $f \in \mathcal{E}^M(B_r)$ .

Conversely, Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{E}^{M}(\Omega)$ . Let  $h \in D^{M}(\mathbb{R}^{m})$  such that  $h \equiv 1$  for  $|y| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $h \equiv 0$  for  $|y| \geq 1$ . Let  $\{\mu_{k}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  be increasing sequence of positive numbers to be chosen appropriately such that  $\mu_{k} \to \infty$ . Define

$$F(x,y) = \sum_{\gamma} \frac{\partial_x^{\gamma} f(x)}{\gamma!} (iy)^{\gamma} h(\mu_{|\gamma|} y)$$
(3.2)

Clearly, F(x,0) = f(x). Fix  $y \neq 0$ . Then since  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \mu_k |y| = \infty$ , there is  $k_0 \ge 1$  such that

$$\mu_k |y| \ge 1, \ \forall k \ge k_0.$$

Then  $h(\mu_{|\gamma|}y) = 0 \ \forall |\gamma| \ge k_0$ . Hence,

$$F(x,y) = \sum_{|\gamma| \le k_0} \frac{\partial_x^{\gamma} f(x)}{\gamma!} (iy)^{\gamma} h(\mu_{|\gamma|} y),$$

which is a finite sum. Therefore, F is well-defined. We will show that F is in  $\mathcal{E}^M$  for y in a neighborhood of 0.

For this, let  $K \subset \subset \Omega$  and fix  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$ . Then for  $x \in K$ 

Set  $C_{\beta} = \sup_{\beta' \leq \beta} \left\{ \left( \partial_{y}^{\beta'} h \right)(y) : y \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \right\}$ . Then  $C_{\beta} \leq C_{2}^{|\beta|+1} M_{|\beta|}$ . Thus

$$\begin{split} \left| \partial_{y}^{\beta} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \left( \frac{\partial_{x}^{\gamma} f(x)}{\gamma!} (iy)^{\gamma} h(\mu_{|\gamma|} y) \right) \right| \\ &\leq M_{|\alpha|+|\gamma|} C_{1}^{|\alpha|+|\gamma|+1} C_{\beta} \sum_{\delta \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\delta} \frac{1}{(\mu_{|\gamma|})^{|\gamma|-|\beta|}} \\ &\leq M_{|\alpha|+|\gamma|} C_{1}^{|\alpha|+|\gamma|+1} C_{\beta} \sum_{\delta \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\delta} \frac{1}{(\mu_{|\gamma|})^{|\gamma|-|\beta|}} \\ &= M_{|\alpha|+|\gamma|} C_{1}^{|\alpha|+|\gamma|+1} C_{\beta} 2^{|\beta|} \frac{1}{(\mu_{|\gamma|})^{|\gamma|-|\beta|}} \\ &\leq C_{1}^{|\alpha|+|\beta|+1} M_{|\alpha|+|\gamma|} C_{2}^{|\beta|+1} M_{|\beta|} 2^{|\beta|} \frac{1}{(\mu_{|\gamma|})^{|\gamma|-|\beta|}} \\ &\leq C^{|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|+1} M_{|\alpha|} M_{|\gamma|} M_{|\beta|} \frac{1}{(\mu_{|\gamma|})^{|\gamma|-|\beta|}} (\text{by } \ref{eq:production} \end{split}$$

Choose  $\{\mu_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  such that  $\mu_0 = \mu_1 = \ldots = \mu_{|\beta|-1}$  and for  $k \ge |\beta|$ 

$$\mu_k = \sup\left\{\left(\mu_0 M_{|\gamma'|} |\gamma'|!\right)^{\frac{1}{|\gamma'| - |\beta'|}} : |\gamma'| \le k, |\beta'| \le |\gamma'|\right\} + k,$$

where  $\mu_0$  is chosen that  $\mu_0|y| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  for |y| < N, N > 0. Thus  $\{\mu_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  increases to  $\infty$  and for  $|\gamma| \geq |\beta|$ 

$$\mu_{|\gamma|} \ge \left(\mu_0 M_{|\gamma|} |\gamma|!\right)^{\frac{1}{|\gamma| - |\beta|}}.$$

Thus for  $|\gamma| \ge |\beta|$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \partial_{y}^{\beta} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \left( \frac{\partial_{x}^{\gamma} f(x)}{\gamma!} (iy)^{\gamma} h(\mu_{|\gamma|} y) \right) \right| &\leq C^{|\alpha|+|\beta|+1} M_{|\alpha|} M_{|\beta|} \frac{C^{|\gamma|}}{|\gamma|!} \\ &\leq C^{|\alpha|+|\beta|+1} M_{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \frac{C^{|\gamma|}}{|\gamma|!} \text{ (by ??)} \end{aligned}$$

Also, for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and for  $|\gamma| < |\beta|$  since  $h(\mu_{|\gamma|}y) \equiv 1$ ,

$$\left|\partial_{y}^{\beta}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial_{x}^{\gamma}f(x)}{\gamma!}(iy)^{\gamma}h(\mu_{|\gamma|}y)\right)\right|=0,$$

we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{\gamma} \left| \partial_{y}^{\beta} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \left( \frac{\partial_{x}^{\gamma} f(x)}{\gamma!} (iy)^{\gamma} h(\mu_{|\gamma|y}) \right) \right| \\ &= \sum_{|\gamma| \ge |\beta|} \left| \partial_{y}^{\beta} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \left( \frac{\partial_{x}^{\gamma} f(x)}{\gamma!} (iy)^{\gamma} h(\mu_{|\gamma|y}) \right) \right| \\ &\leq C^{|\alpha|+|\beta|+1} M_{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \sum_{\gamma} \frac{C^{|\gamma|}}{|\gamma|!} \\ &\leq C^{|\alpha|+|\beta|+1} M_{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \sum_{\gamma} \frac{C^{|\gamma|}}{\gamma!} \\ &\leq C^{|\alpha|+|\beta|+1} M_{|\alpha|+|\beta|} e^{Cm} \end{split}$$

Therefore, letting

$$g_{\gamma}(x,y) = rac{\partial_x^{\gamma} f(x)}{\gamma!} (iy)^{\gamma} h(\mu_{|\gamma|} y),$$

we have shown that the series  $\sum_{\gamma}g_{\gamma}(x,y)$  and any series of the derivatives

$$\sum_{\gamma}\partial_y^{\beta}\partial_x^{\alpha}g_{\gamma}(x,y)$$

converges uniformly on  $K \times \mathbb{R}^m$ . For each  $k \ge 1$ , let

$$h_k(x,y) = \sum_{|\gamma| \le k} g_{\gamma}(x,y).$$

Then  $h_k(x, y) \to F(x, y)$  and

$$\partial_y^\beta \partial_x^\alpha h_k(x,y) = \sum_{|\gamma| \le k} \partial_y^\beta \partial_x^\alpha g_\gamma(x,y) \to \sum_{\gamma} \partial_y^\beta \partial_x^\alpha g_\gamma(x,y)$$

uniformly on  $K \times \mathbb{R}^m$ . Therefore,  $F(x, y) \in \mathcal{E}^M(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m)$  and

$$\partial_y^{\beta} \partial_x^{\alpha} F(x,y) = \sum_{\gamma} \partial_y^{\beta} \partial_x^{\alpha} g_{\gamma}(x,y).$$

We are left to show that

$$\left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial \overline{z}_j}(x,y)\right| \le C^{N+1} \frac{M_N}{N!} |y|^N.$$

Now for all  $|\alpha| \ge 0$ ,

$$\partial_y^{\alpha} F(x,y)|_{y=0} = \sum_{\gamma} \partial_y^{\alpha} \left( \frac{\partial_x^{\gamma} f(x)}{\gamma!} (iy)^{\gamma} h(\mu_{|\gamma|} y) \right)|_{y=0} = (i)^{|\alpha|} \partial_x^{\alpha} f(x).$$

Therefore, for all  $\alpha$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_y^{\alpha} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial \overline{z}_j}(x, y) \right) |_{y=0} &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_y^{\alpha} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j}(x, y) + i \frac{\partial F}{\partial y_j}(x, y) \right) |_{y=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [\partial_{x_j} \partial_y^{\alpha} F(x, y) + i \partial_{y_j} \partial_y^{\alpha} F(x, y)] |_{y=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [\partial_{x_j} \partial_y^{\alpha} F(x, y) |_{y=0} + i(i)^{|\alpha|+2} \partial_x^{\alpha+e_j} f(x)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [\partial_{x_j} \partial_y^{\alpha} F(x, y) |_{y=0} + (i)^{|\alpha|+2} \partial_x^{\alpha+e_j} f(x)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [\partial_{x_j} \partial_y^{\alpha} F(x, y) |_{y=0} - (i)^{|\alpha|} \partial_x^{\alpha+e_j} f(x)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{\gamma} \frac{(i)^{|\gamma|}}{\gamma!} \partial_y^{\alpha} \left( y^{\gamma} h(\mu_{|\gamma|} y) \partial_x^{\alpha+e_j} f(x) \right) |_{y=0} - (i)^{|\alpha|} \partial_x^{\alpha+e_j} f(x) \right] \\ &= 0, \ j = 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Taylor's theorem for  $x \in \Omega'$  and |y| < M, (M > 0) there is a point  $y_0 = y_0(x, y, \alpha)$  between 0 and y such that

$$\begin{split} \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial \overline{z}_{j}}(x,y) \right| &= \left| \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \left( \partial_{y}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \overline{z}_{j}} \right)(x,y_{0}) y^{\alpha} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \left| \left( \partial_{y}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \overline{z}_{j}} \right)(x,y_{0}) \right| |y|^{N} \\ &\leq C^{N+1} M_{N} |y|^{N} \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \text{ since } \frac{\partial F}{\partial \overline{z}_{j}} \in \mathcal{E}^{M} \\ &\leq C^{N+1} \frac{M_{N}}{N!} |y|^{N} \end{split}$$

#### References

- Adwan, Z., & Hoepfner, G. (2010). Approximate solutions and micro-regularity in the Denjoy–Carleman classes. Journal of Differential Equations, 249(9), 2269-2286.
- [2] Adwan, Z., & Hoepfner, G. (2015). Denjoy-Carleman classes: boundary values, approximate solutions and applications. The Journal of Geometric Analysis, 25, 1720-1743.
- [3] Barostichi, Rafael F., and Gerson Petronilho. Gevrey micro-regularity for solutions to first order nonlinear PDE. Journal of Differential Equations 247(6), (2009): 1899-1914.
- [4] Berhanu, S., & Hailu, A. (2017). Characterization of Gevrey regularity by a class of FBI transforms. Recent Applications of Harmonic Analysis to Function Spaces, Differential Equations, and Data Science: Novel Methods in Harmonic Analysis, 2, 451-482.
- [5] Constantine, G., & Savits, T. (1996). A multivariate Faa di Bruno formula with

applications. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, **348**(2), 503-520.

- [6] Dimassi, M., & Sjöstrand, J. (1999). Spectral asymptotics in the semi-classical limit (No. 268). Cambridge university press.
- [7] Komatsu, H. (1973). Ultradistributions I. Structure theorems and a characterization. J. Fac. Sci. Tokyo, Ser. IA, 20, 25-105.
- [8] Petzsche, H. J., & Vogt, D. (1984). Almost analytic extension of ultradifferentiable functions and the boundary values of holomorphic functions. Mathematische Annalen, 267, 17-35.
- [9] Rainer, A. (2009). Invariant functions in Denjoy–Carleman classes. Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry, 35, 249– 266.
- [10] Yesuf, J., & Tadesse, A. (2020). FBI Transform Characterization of Ultradifferentiablity on Maximally Real Submanifolds. African Diaspora Journal of Mathematics, 23(1),1–23.