

### **Mammography reporting at Tygerberg Hospital, Cape Town, South Africa**

**To the Editor:** The evasive response of Apffelstaedt *et al.*<sup>[1]</sup> with regard to the actual mammographic reporting methodology that forms the basis of their research<sup>[2]</sup> highlights concerns reflected in our letter<sup>[3]</sup> and raises clinical, ethical and scientific questions.

Apffelstaedt *et al.* need to explain exactly how they, as clinicians, independently report Tygerberg Hospital mammograms when their only access to the required digital reporting monitors is at a joint weekly meeting with radiologists, in the Division of Radiodiagnosis, at which time reports already generated by radiologists are reviewed.

**Richard Pitcher** (*Head of Division of Radiodiagnosis*), **Jan Lotz**,  
**Christelle Ackermann**, **Asif Bagadia**, **Razaan Davis**,  
**Anne-Marie du Plessis**, **Stephanie Griffith-Richards**,  
**Retha Hattingh**, **Georg Wagener**

*Division of Radiodiagnosis, Department of Medical Imaging and Clinical Oncology,  
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Tygerberg Hospital and Stellenbosch  
University, Tygerberg, Cape Town, South Africa  
pitcher@sun.ac.za*

1. Apffelstaedt J, Dalmayer L, Baatjes K. Mammography reporting at Tygerberg Hospital, Cape Town, South Africa: Response. *S Afr Med J* 2014;104(7):456-457. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.7196/SAMJ.8455>]
2. Apffelstaedt JR, Dalmayer L, Baatjes K. Mammographic screening for breast cancer in a resource-restricted environment. *S Afr Med J* 2014;104(4):294-296. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.7196/SAMJ.7246>]
3. Pitcher R, Lotz J, Ackermann C, et al. Mammography reporting at Tygerberg Hospital, Cape Town, South Africa. *S Afr Med J* 2014;104(7):456-457. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.7196/SAMJ.8455>]

*S Afr Med J* 2014;104(8):521. DOI:10.7196/SAMJ.8689