# Awareness Of Occupational Hazards, Use of Personal Protective Equipment and Workplace Risk Assessment Among Welders in Mechanic Village, Abakaliki, South-East Nigeria

<sup>1,2</sup>Adaoha Pearl Agu, \*<sup>2,3</sup>Chukwuma David Umeokonkwo, <sup>2,3</sup>Azuka Stephen Adeke, <sup>2,3</sup>Chukwuka Richard Nnabu, <sup>1,2</sup>Edmund Ndudi Ossai, <sup>1,2</sup>Benedict Ndubueze Azuogu

<sup>1</sup>Department of Community Medicine, Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki, Ebonyi State Nigeria <sup>2</sup>Department of Community Medicine, Alex Ekwueme Federal University Teaching Hospital, Abakaliki Ebonyi State Nigeria <sup>3</sup>Nigeria Field Epidemiology and Laboratory Training Program, Abuja Nigeria

## **Abstract**

**Background**: Occupational health service delivery, includes the creation of a safe and healthy work environment. The protection and promotion of workers' health; is at its infantile stages in the informal sector such as the welding industry in Nigeria. We determined the level and determinants of awareness of occupational hazards, determinants of use of personal protective equipment (PPE) and carried out a workplace risk assessment among the welders at the mechanic village in Abakaliki, Ebonyi State.

**Methodology**: We conducted a cross-sectional study among welders who work in the mechanic village, Abakaliki. A structured, pretested interviewer-administered questionnaire was used to extract information on awareness of occupational hazards, frequency of use of PPE, health-related complaints amongst others. Chi-square test and logistic regression analysis were conducted at a 5% level of significance.

**Result:** All the respondents were male with a mean age of  $27.6\pm10.1$  years. We found a good awareness of occupational hazards in 60% of the respondents and though this was associated with being older than 30 years (p=0.03), being an expert compared to apprentice (p=0.003), duration of work more than 5 years (p=0.004), none of these factors, when modelled in logistic regression, were predictors of good awareness. Regular use of PPE was reported in 49.79% of respondents. Cuts, sparks, foreign bodies in the eye were the most common hazards they were aware of. Cuts were the most reported health complaint. Good awareness of hazards was associated with higher odds of sustaining cuts(adjusted odds ratio [AOR]: 3.1, 95% CI:1.46 – 6.69) and a predictor of regular use of PPE (AOR:2.6, 95%CI:1.12 – 5.88). The workplace risk assessment revealed a work environment not conducive to occupational safety and health.

**Conclusion:** A good awareness of occupational hazards was moderately high, a predictor of regular use of PPE and not protective of sustaining cuts in the suboptimal work environment.

**Keywords:** Personal Protective Equipment; Risk Assessment; Injury; Welder; Hazards.

#### Introduction

Work-related accidents and illnesses cause unacceptable human suffering and economic losses worldwide (ILO). The ILO promotes a preventative safety and health culture in the workplace and is committed to improving occupational safety and health conditions in small and medium-sized enterprises and the informal economy as part of the focus of its strategies to address this important issue.

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:

Website:

www.nigerianmedjournal.org

Welding is a common and hazardous industrial process and is often in the informal sector in developing countries including Nigeria. Welders are

Corresponding Author: \*Chukwuma David Umeokonkwo Department of Community Medicine, Alex Ekwueme Federal University Teaching Hospital, Abakaliki Ebonyi State Nigeria. chukwumau@gmail.com

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercilly, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

**How to cite this article:** Agu PA, Umeokonkwo CD, Adeke AS, Nnabu CR, Ossai EN, Azuogu BN. Awareness of occupational hazards, use of personal protective equipment and workplace risk assessment among welders in mechanic village, Abakaliki, Southeast Nigeria. Niger Med J 2021; 62; (3):113-121.

exposed to workplace hazards such as welding fumes and gases, heat, radiation, noise, flying metal chips and undesirable postures. The resulting adverse effects of burns, arc eye, metal fume fever, musculoskeletal disorders, injuries, pulmonary and fertility symptoms make it imperative that safety measures be employed to control these hazards. Unfortunately, occupational safety and health management systems that would ensure implementation, are poorly developed in the informal sector in Nigeria. While there has been published research among welders in Nigeria, this is limited and none in Ebonyi State at the time of the study. In Abakaliki, the capital of Ebonyi State in Southeast Nigeria, welders are usually located at mechanic workshops, the mechanic village and along the streets and there is no provision of occupational health services for them. We aimed therefore to determine the level of awareness of occupational hazards and their determinants, the reported use and determinants of use of PPEs, the health-related complaints and to carry out a workplace risk assessment among the welders within the mechanic village. The study was carried out to provide a database for intervention to prevent or control the effects of identified hazards in conjunction with the relevant stakeholders and for a comprehensive provision of occupational health services and policy advocacy for these and other groups of informal workers in the state and country.

### **Materials and Methods**

The study took place in Abakaliki, the capital of Ebonyi State, Nigeria. The state has 13 Local Government Areas (LGAs). Abakaliki metropolis is made up of parts of Abakaliki and Ebonyi LGAs. The mechanic village along Ogoja road, Abakaliki, is the only place where a large cluster of welders exists in both LGAs.

The study population was welders (experts and apprentices) in the mechanic village in Abakaliki. All welders (experts and apprentices) who work in the mechanic village in Abakaliki, were registered with the National Automobile Technician Association (NATA) and gave informed consent were included in the study while apprentices that were less than 10 years of age were excluded. We conducted a cross-sectional study among the welders in February 2016 using a pretested interviewer-administered questionnaire to assess their knowledge, attitude and use of PPE. A walk-through survey was also done to conduct a workplace risk assessment at the same period.

Using the formula for a single independent proportion we estimated the minimum sample size required of 190 after adjusting for finite population correction. All welders who responded during the 2-day prearranged sessions in the course of the study and met the inclusion criteria were interviewed. The population of registered welders at the time was 225.

We used a semi-structured interviewer-administered questionnaire to extract information on sociodemographic characteristics, awareness of occupational hazards, awareness, ownership and use of PPE and health-related complaints associated with their work as some of the variables. Data quality was ensured by training of the research assistants on data collection to ensure consistency in the administration of the questionnaire, field supervision and checking of the questionnaires for completeness and accuracy by the principal investigator and supervisors. The questionnaire was translated into the local language and back-translated into English.

Data were entered, cleaned and analyzed using Epi Info version 7.2 statistical software. We estimated the frequency and proportion of respondents who were aware of hazards in their workplace. Those that were aware of3 or fewer hazards were categorized as having poor awareness while those that we're able to identify 4 or more hazards were categorized as having good awareness. Regular use of PPE was taken as the response always for frequency of use of PPE. The relationship between good awareness of a hazard and socio-demographic characteristics was estimated using the chi-square. Those factors that were associated with good awareness of hazards, having injuries at the workplace and regular use of PPE at a pvalue of 0.2 were modelled in logistic regression to identify predictors of good awareness, having injuries at the workplace and regular use of PPE respectively. Ethical approval was obtained from the Research and Ethics Committee of Federal Teaching Hospital Abakaliki and permission was sought from the chairman of the National Automobile Technicians Association, Abakaliki branch. Written Informed consent was obtained from respondents.

### Results

A total of 191 welders were interviewed. All the respondents were males with a mean age of 27.6±10.1 years. The age group 21-30 years had the highest percentage of respondents (48.2%). A greater proportion were apprentices (53.9%; Table 1) Majority of the participants (60.2%) have worked for

five years or less, 49.2% work with gas welding while 14% do both gas and arc welding. Cuts, Sparks, foreign body in the eyes, heat and electric shock were the most common hazards the respondents were aware of (Table 2). The awareness of PPE among the respondents was high (95.3%) and the majority of the respondents knew about the PPE through their employers (68.5%). Goggles, hand gloves and boots were the most common PPEs they were aware of, owned and used. 49.7% of respondents fell into the category of regular use of PPE (Table 3). 90.6% of the respondents had ever used PPE (Table 3). A majority (71.7%) of the workers procure their PPE. All the workers believe that PPEis beneficial, important and protects the workers.

Eighty-eight percent of the respondents had reported injuries associated with welding. Cuts (85%), waistpain (69.6%) and injury to the eye (57.1%) were the most commonly reported injuries. None of the respondents had ever received any health or safety training. Patent medicine vendors serve as the main source of first aid (90.6%). Good awareness of hazards was seen in 60% of the respondents using the criteria outlined in the methods section. Being older than 30 years is significantly associated with good awareness of hazards at the workplace (p=0.03; Table 5). Similarly, a higher proportion of experts had a good awareness of the hazards in the workplace compared to the apprentice and this difference in proportion was found to be statistically significant (p=0.003). A higher proportion of those who had worked for more than 5 years had a good awareness of hazard compared to those that had worked for 5 years or less (p=0.004; Table. However, when these factors were modelled in logistic regression, none of the factors significantly predicted good awareness. Though the experts and those who had worked for more than five years were twice more likely to have a good awareness of hazard the higher odds were not statistically significant (Table6). None of the factors examined (age, marital status, level of expertise, ever used PPE, duration of work experience, good awareness of PPE), were associated with having an injury at the workplace. Good awareness of hazards was associated with regular use of PPE (aOR: 2.6; 95%CI: 1.12 - 5.88; Table 7) and having cuts (aOR: 3.195% CI: 1.46 - 6.69; Table 8). The workplace risk assessment (Table 9) showed that the work environment was not conducive (Figure 1, 2 & 3).

**Table 1:** Sociodemographic characteristics of the respondents

| Variables          | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Age group (years)  |           |                |
| 11-20              | 44        | 23.0           |
| 21-30              | 92        | 48.2           |
| 31-40              | 34        | 17.8           |
| 41-50              | 13        | 6.8            |
| >50                | 8         | 4.2            |
| Marital status     |           |                |
| Married            | 63        | 33.0           |
| Single             | 128       | 67.0           |
| Level of education |           |                |
| No formal          | 18        | 9.4            |
| Primary            | 101       | 52.9           |
| Secondary          | 69        | 36.1           |
| Tertiary           | 3         | 1.6            |
| Religion           |           |                |
| Christianity       | 177       | 92.7           |
| Islam              | 12        | 6.3            |
| Traditional        | 2         | 1.1            |
| Level of expertise |           |                |
| Apprentice         | 103       | 53.9           |
| Expert             | 88        | 46.1           |

**Table 2:** Respondents work experience and hazard awareness

| Variable                         | Frequency                             | Per cent (%) |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Type of welding                  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |              |
| Arc welding                      | 70                                    | 36.7         |
| Gas welding                      | 94                                    | 49.2         |
| Both                             | 27                                    | 14.1         |
| Duration of work experience as a |                                       |              |
| welder                           |                                       |              |
| <1year                           | 22                                    | 11.5         |
| 1-5years                         | 93                                    | 48.7         |
| 6-10years                        | 28                                    | 14.7         |
| 11-15years                       | 19                                    | 10.0         |
| 16-20years                       | 8                                     | 4.2          |
| >20years                         | 21                                    | 11.0         |
| Hazard Awareness                 |                                       |              |
| Cuts                             | 157                                   | 82.2         |
| Sparks                           | 142                                   | 74.4         |
| Foreign body/injury in the eye   | 128                                   | 67.0         |
| Heat                             | 123                                   | 64.4         |
| Electric shock                   | 121                                   | 63.4         |
| Noise                            | 110                                   | 57.6         |
| Fire and explosions              | 93                                    | 48.7         |
| Dust                             | 72                                    | 37.7         |
| Fumes and gases                  | 60                                    | 31.4         |
| Falls                            | 14                                    | 7.3          |

**Table 3:** Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) awareness, ownership, use and attitudes by the respondents

| Variable                             | Frequency | Per cent (%) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Awareness of PPE                     |           |              |
| Yes                                  | 182       | 95.3         |
| No                                   | 9         | 4.7          |
| Source of awareness of PPE           |           |              |
| Customers                            | 4         | 2.2          |
| Employers                            | 125       | 68.7         |
| Fellow workers                       | 50        | 27.5         |
| Friends                              | 2         | 1.1          |
| Others                               | 1         | 0.6          |
| PPEs the respondents were aware of   |           |              |
| Goggles                              | 180       | 98.9         |
| Gloves                               | 140       | 76.9         |
| Boots                                | 135       | 74.2         |
| Aprons                               | 95        | 52.2         |
| Hand shield                          | 81        | 44.5         |
| Welding helmets                      | 34        | 18.7         |
| Fire-resistant clothing              | 32        | 17.6         |
| Respirators                          | 29        | 15.9         |
| Earmuffs                             | 10        | 5.5          |
| Earplugs                             | 2         | 1.1          |
| Ownership of PPEs                    | 161       | 88.5         |
| Goggles<br>Boots                     | 43        | 23.6         |
| Gloves                               | 36        | 19.8         |
| Aprons                               | 15        | 8.2          |
| Hand shield                          | 15        | 8.2          |
| Fire-resistant clothing              | 10        | 5.5          |
| Welding helmets                      | 4         | 2.2          |
| Earmuffs                             | 1         | 0.6          |
| Ever Used PPE                        | 1         | 0.0          |
| Yes                                  | 173       | 90.6         |
| No                                   | 18        | 9.4          |
| PPE used                             |           |              |
| Goggles                              | 165       | 95.4         |
| Boots                                | 39        | 22.5         |
| Gloves                               | 34        | 19.7         |
| Aprons                               | 17        | 9.8          |
| Hand shield                          | 11        | 6.4          |
| Fire-resistant clothing              | 9         | 5.2          |
| Welding helmets                      | 3         | 1.7          |
| Earplugs                             | 1         | 0.6          |
| Frequency of PPE use                 | -         | ***          |
| Always                               | 86        | 49.7         |
| Rarely                               | 10        | 5.8          |
| •                                    | 76        |              |
| Sometimes                            |           | 43.9         |
| When forced by an employer or agency | 1         | 0.6          |
| Reasons for working without PPE      |           |              |
| (multiple options)                   |           |              |
| When I am doing small work           | 50        | 27.5         |
| When PPE are not available           | 35        | 19.2         |
| When in a hurry to work fast         | 23        | 12.6         |
| No obvious reason                    | 9         | 5.0          |
| When I am tired of using PPE         | 4         | 2.2          |
| Enforcement team                     | 7         | Mari e Mari  |
|                                      | 101       | 100.00       |
| No                                   | 191       | 100.00       |
| PPE=Personal Protective Equipment    |           |              |

Table 4: Health-related complaints associated with wielding

| Variable                         | Frequency | Per cent (%) |
|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Injuries associated with welding |           |              |
| Yes                              | 168       | 88.0         |
| No                               | 23        | 12.0         |
| Nature of injury                 |           |              |
| Cuts                             | 143       | 85.1         |
| Waist/back pain                  | 117       | 69.6         |
| Foreign body/ Injury to the eye  | 96        | 57.1         |
| Electric shock                   | 76        | 45.2         |
| Burns                            | 54        | 32.1         |
| Impaired vision                  | 18        | 10.7         |
| Injury from falls                | 3         | 1.8          |
| Fractures                        | 1         | 0.6          |

**Table 5:** Factors associated with good awareness of the hazard in the workplace

| Variable                    | Good       | Poor      | Chi-Squared | p-value |
|-----------------------------|------------|-----------|-------------|---------|
|                             | awareness  | Awareness |             |         |
| Age                         |            |           |             |         |
| dom:                        | 102 (75.0) | 34 (25.0) | 4.697       | 0.030   |
| >30 years                   | 49 (89.1)  | 6 (10.9)  |             |         |
| Marital Status              |            |           |             |         |
| Married                     | 54 (87.1)  | 8 (12.9)  | 3.583       | 0.058   |
| Not currently married       | 97 (75.2)  | 32 (24.8) |             |         |
| Education                   |            |           |             |         |
| Primary education or less   | 92 (77.3)  | 27 (22.7) | 0.582       | 0.446   |
| Secondary education or more | 59 (81.9)  | 13 (18.6) |             |         |
| Level of Expertise          |            |           |             |         |
| Apprentice                  | 73 (70.9)  | 30 (29.1) | 9.043       | 0.003   |
| Expert                      | 78 (88.6)  | 10 (11.4) |             |         |
| Duration of work experience |            |           |             |         |
| ≤5 years                    | 83 (72.2)  | 32 (27.8) | 8.272       | 0.004   |
| >5 years                    | 68 (89.5)  | 8 (10.5)  |             |         |

**Table 6:** Logistic regression of the factors associated with good awareness of hazard in the workplace

| Variable                        | AOR  | 95% CI       | p-value |
|---------------------------------|------|--------------|---------|
| Age                             |      |              |         |
| ≤30 years                       | 1    |              |         |
| >30 years                       | 1.2  | 0.21 - 7.14  | 0.818   |
| <b>Marital Status</b>           |      |              |         |
| Married                         | 1    |              |         |
| Not currently married           | 1.6  | 0.34 - 7.15  | 0.562   |
| Level of Expertise              |      |              |         |
| Apprentice                      | 1    |              |         |
| Expert                          | 2.2  | 0.59 - 8.39  | 0.238   |
| <b>Duration of work experie</b> | ence |              |         |
| ≤5 years                        | 1    |              |         |
| >5 years                        | 2.0  | 0.36 - 10.84 | 0.427   |

**Table 7:** Factors associated with regular use of PPE among the wielders

| Variable                    | Regular use of I | PPE       | p-value* | aOR (95%CI)       |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------|----------|-------------------|
|                             | Yes              | No        | •        |                   |
| Age                         |                  |           |          |                   |
| ≤30 years                   | 54 (45.4)        | 65 (54.6) | 0.091    | 1                 |
| >30 years                   | 32 (59.3)        | 22 (40.7) |          | 1.7 (0.59 - 4.77) |
| Marital Status              |                  |           |          |                   |
| Married                     | 33 (55.9)        | 26 (44.1) | 0.239    |                   |
| Not currently married       | 53 (46.5)        | 61 (53.5) |          |                   |
| Level of Expertise          |                  |           |          |                   |
| Apprentice                  | 42 (47.2)        | 47 (52.8) | 0.495    |                   |
| Expert                      | 44 (52.4)        | 40 (47.6) |          |                   |
| Level of education          |                  |           |          |                   |
| Primary or less             | 54 (48.7)        | 57 (51.3) | 0.798    |                   |
| Secondary or more           | 32 (51.6)        | 30 (48.4) |          |                   |
| Duration of work experience |                  |           |          |                   |
| ≤5years                     | 46 (45.1)        | 56 (54.9) | 0.146    | 1                 |
| >5 years                    | 40 (56.3)        | 31 (43.7) |          | 0.9 (0.35 - 2.54) |
| Awareness of Hazard         |                  |           |          |                   |
| Good awareness              | 76 (54.3)        | 64 (45.7) | 0.013    | 2.6 (1.12 - 5.88) |
| Poor awareness              | 10 (30.3)        | 23 (69.7) |          | 1                 |

\*p-value of Chi-square statistics

Table 8: Factors associated with cuts

| Variable                |            | Cut       | p-value* | aOR (95%CI)      |
|-------------------------|------------|-----------|----------|------------------|
|                         | Yes        | No        |          |                  |
| Age                     |            |           |          |                  |
| ≤30 years               | 100 (73.5) | 36 (26.5) | 0.502    |                  |
| >30 years               | 43 (78.2)  | 12 (21.8) |          |                  |
| Marital Status          |            |           |          |                  |
| Married                 | 51 (82.3)  | 11 (17.7) | 0.103    | 1                |
| Not currently married   | 92 (71.3)  | 37 (28.7) |          | 0.6(0.22-1.57)   |
| Level of Expertise      |            |           |          |                  |
| Apprentice              | 73 (70.9)  | 30 (29.1) | 0.168    | 1                |
| Expert                  | 70 (79.6)  | 18 (20.4) |          | 0.9(0.38 - 2.31) |
| Level of education      |            |           |          |                  |
| Primary or less         | 90 (75.6)  | 29 (24.4) | 0.755    |                  |
| Secondary or more       | 53 (73.6)  | 19 (26.4) |          |                  |
| <b>Duration of work</b> |            |           |          |                  |
| experience              |            |           |          |                  |
| ≤5 years                | 84 (73.0)  | 31 (27.0) | 0.474    |                  |
| >5 years                | 59 (77.6)  | 17 (22.4) |          |                  |
| Awareness of Hazard     |            |           |          |                  |
| Good awareness          | 121 (80.1) | 30 (19.8) | 0.001    | 3.1(1.46 - 6.69) |
| Poor awareness          | 22 (55.0)  | 18 (45.0) |          | 1                |

<sup>\*</sup>p-value of Chi-square statistics

Table 9: Result of the workplace risk assessment

| Hazard                                       | Who might be harmed and how  | What is already<br>being done | Further action needed  | Action by who   |
|--|--|-------------------------------|--|---|
| Direct contact with used engine oil which is | All workers, visitors  |                               | Use of PPE- gloves, overalls, boots                                | National Automobile Technicians<br>Association management |
| drained onto the ground                      | Dermatitis, increasedsk<br>of skin cancer, soil<br>contamination         |                               | Worker training on hazardoussubstances&<br>need for use of PPEs    | Workers   |
|  |  |                               | Management training  | Ministry of Commerce and Industry                         |
|  |  |                               | Regular hand hygiene   |   |
|  |  |                               | Drain the oil into a waterproof                                    |   |
|  |  |                               | Periodic medical examinations                                      |   |
| Inhalation of fumes and gases plus fire      | All workers, visitors  | Use of PPE-<br>facemask,      | Use of PPEsfacemask, goggles, helmepowered respirator with a visor | Workers   |
| explosions from the gases                    | Eye irritation; respiratory problemssuch as cough, wheezing, increasedsk | goggles                       | Worker training on hazard and control                              | National Automobile Technicians<br>Association management |
|  | of lung cancer; burns,<br>death  |                               | Management training  | Employers   |
|  |  |                               | Use of local exhaust ventilation                                   | Ministry of Commerce and Industry                         |
|  |  |                               | Shift work   |   |

|  |   |                                       | Provision of fire extinguishers& training workers to use  Periodic medical examination |  |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| UV radiation  IR radiation                                   | At-risk- Workers  | Use of PPEs<br>goggles                | Use of PPEs-goggles, helmets, visors, lead shields                                     | Workers  |
| Visible light  | Effect- Arc eye,<br>cataract, pterygia,<br>pinguecula, band-                    |                                       | Worker training on radiation exposure  | National Automobile Technicians<br>Association management              |
|  | shaped keratopathy, skin<br>burns, increasedisk of<br>skin cancer               |                                       | Need for PPE use   | Ministry of Commerce and Industry                                      |
|  |   |                                       | Shift work   |  |
|  |   |                                       | Radiationmonitorsat the arc weldingpower-<br>supply areas                              |  |
|  |   |                                       | Use of personal dosimeters   |  |
|  |   |                                       | Regular checking & maintenance of the welding machines                                 |  |
|  |   |                                       | Periodic medical examinations  |  |
|  |   |                                       | Management training  |  |
| Flying metal chips,<br>fragments, stone and<br>sand          | At-risk- workers,<br>visitors  Effect-Foreignbody in<br>the eye, ocularinjuries | Use of PPEs=<br>goggles and<br>visors | Use of PPEs- goggles, helmets, visors  | Workers  National Automobile Technicians Association management        |
|  | inceye, ocuraring ures  |                                       |  | Employers  |
|  |   |                                       |  | Ministry of Commerce and Industry                                      |
| Noise from welding and generators                            | At-risk- workers,<br>visitors   |                                       | Use of PPEs-earmuffs, earplugs, noise guards for machines                              | Workers  |
|  | Effect-Temporary deafness, permanent  |                                       | Training of workers on their use   | National Automobile Technicians<br>Association management              |
|  | deafness, fatigue,<br>headache, loss of<br>concentration, accident              |                                       |  | Employers  |
|  |   |                                       |  | Ministry of Commerce and Industry                                      |
| Obstructions to passageways with tools,                      | At-risk- workers,<br>visitors   |                                       | Creation of safe clear passage ways with designated areas for welding                  | Workers  |
| gas cylinders,metal and<br>wooden scraps, vehicles,<br>wires | EffectSlips,trips,falls<br>which can lead to<br>sprains injuries,<br>fractures  |                                       | The orderlyarrangementof tools and scraps in use                                       | National Automobile Technicians<br>Association management<br>Employers |
|  |   |                                       |  |  |

|  |  |  | Disposal of useless scraps and abandoned vehicles                                    | Ministry of Commerce and Industry  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Electricalequipmente.g<br>exposed wires                  | At-risk- workers,<br>visitors  Effect- Burns, electric<br>shock, death, destruction<br>of property | Use of boots and<br>gloves made of<br>rubber | Fire-resistant clothing  Functional fire extinguishers  Train workers in fire drills | Workers  National Automobile Technicians Association management  Employers |
|  |  |  | Boots and gloves made of rubber  Insulation of electric wires and earthing           | Ministry of Commerce and Industry  |
| Sharps tools on walls<br>and floors plus                 | At-risk- workers,<br>visitors  | Use of safety<br>boots                       | Placement of sharp tools on shelves  | Workers  |
| unguardedparts of used<br>machinery for cutting<br>metal | Effect- Cuts   |  | Provision of safety boots and thick protective gloves for workers                    | National Automobile Technicians<br>Association management                  |
|  |  |  | Educate workers on their use  Training of workers on the properuse of these          | Employers  Ministry of Commerce and  |
|  |  |  | Regularinspection of these machines and repair/replace when faulty                   | Industry   |
|  |  |  | Cutting machines should be placed away   |  |
| Hot metal  | At-risk- workers,<br>visitors  | Use of gloves                                | Use of PPE-gloves, overalls, boots   | Workers  |
|  | Effect- Thermal burns  |  | Worker training  | National Automobile Technicians<br>Association management                  |
|  |  |  |  | Employers  |
|  |  |  |  | Ministry of Commerce and Industry  |



Picture 1: Apprentice learning without protective equipment



**Picture 2:** Welder working without protective goggle



Picture 3: Workplace environment

### Discussion

A good awareness of occupational hazards, their effects and the understanding of the need to and willingness, therefore, to comply with safety measures instituted, on the part of the worker; combined with government and management's commitment to the provision of these measures including health and safety training of workers and provision of occupational health services, would make the welding occupation less hazardous for the workers.

A similar finding to the all-male gender of the welders in this study has been reported in studies among welders in other parts of the country and attributed possibly to the hazardous nature of the job. Thelargestnumber of the respondents were in the 21-30yearagegroup(48%), similar to the findings of Isah and Okogie in Benin (40.3%) but contrasting with the study in Kaduna and East Nepal where the 30-39 year age group had the highest percentage of respondents (44.5%). The Ebonyi State program of free primary and secondary education for close to 20 years may account for the percentage of those who had primary education (52%) in this study is more than twice the national average figure for men in the National Demographic Health Survey 2018. Studies in Benin, Ilorin and Kaduna though have secondary education as the highest level attained for the highest percentage of the welders studied. The South-EastzonewhereEbonyi State belongs has earned a reputation for sending off children to learn apprenticeship for a marketable skill at an early age as can be seen by the lowest age group in this study (11-20 years) having as much as 23% of respondents and apprentices making up 53.9% of the respondents. Health systems research to understand the sociocultural contextual factors at play beyond the economic factors may help in addressing the issue of formal school education for children.

Even though older age, higher level of expertise and duration of work experience of 5 or more years were significantly associated with good awareness of hazards (recognition of four or more hazards) as is to be expected and has been reported elsewhere, none of these factors was a predictor of good awareness. Cuts were the most commonly identified hazard among the respondents (82.2%) and the commonest health-related complaint experienced (85.1%). A good awareness of hazards was significantly associated with not having cuts and predicted outcomes in the logistic regression. Good awareness was also a

predictor of regular use of PPE emphasizes its importance in keeping the workers safe and healthy. None of the workers had formal health and safety training and the majority of their awareness of PPE (and therefore possibly hazards) were from their employers. Only 86 respondents (45%) used PPE regularly. Studies in South-eastern Nigeria Southwestern Nigeria have reported low levels of use of welding goggles. It is expected that an increase in good awareness of hazards would improve the regular use of PPE and reduce the number of people experiencing cuts. However, some of the reasons given for working without PPE such as when the work is perceived as being small or speed is of the essence implies that effort is needed not only to increase awareness of the multiple hazards through formal health and safety training but explore further the reasons why they are not being used even when they are aware of its importance through qualitative studies and behavioural change communication interventions.

Goggles being the commonest PPE the workers were aware of was also reported in Benin, Ilorin, Ile-Ife and Kaduna. This can be attributed to the fact that various studies in Nigeria, some as far back as three decades ago, have reported eye disorders in welders and in recognition of the risk the UV radiation from the welding process poses to the eyes, health education and awareness campaigns as was recommended by some and even their distribution is expected to have been carried out across the country.

For almost all the respondents, the point of first aid treatment is patent medicine vendors as was also reported in a study on healthcare-seeking behaviour conducted among all the groups of artisans at the same study site. Provision of occupational health services is therefore needed.

From the results of the workplace risk assessment, the work environment of the welders is not in optimum condition and it is necessary that a stakeholders meeting of management, workers and the overseeing government ministry be convened and the results of this study disseminated. Engineering controls, the establishment of a safety committee, drafting of an organizational policy, training of workers and health surveillance are some actions needed to keep their workplace health and safety compliant.

#### Conclusion

This study found a good awareness of occupational

hazards in close to two-thirds of the welders, with cuts, sparks and foreign bodies in the eyes being the most frequently mentioned, while regular use of PPE was reportedly practised by only half of the welders. The work environment was not in optimal condition. A good awareness of occupational hazards was the only predictor of regular use of PPE, in addition to being associated with risk of sustaining the commonest reported health complaint and injury, cuts. Given its importance, therefore, coupled with the high level of reported injuries, the departments in the relevant ministries charged with the overseeing of activities of the welders in the study area in conjunction with experts from the academia, should ensure that interventions that increase the awareness of occupational hazards and implement control measures including behavioural change communication programs to improve the regular use of PPE are carried out as part of the provision of occupational health services to safeguard their health and safety. This should be extended to other occupational groups in the study area.

#### Reference

- 1. Anand T, Kishore J, Danielsen T, Ingle G, Chauhan A. Occupational hazard exposure and general health profile of welders in rural Delhi. *Indian J Occup Environ Med* 2014;**18**:21.
- 2. Antonini JM. Health Effects of Welding. *Crit Rev Toxicol* 2003;**33**:61-103.
- 3. Fiebai B, Awoyesuku E. Ocular injuries among industrial welders in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. *Clin Ophthalmol* 2011;**5**:1261-3.
- 4. Ajayeoba A, Onawumi A, Adebiyi K, Olagunju T, Oyediran D. Assessment of Musculoskeletal Disorders (MSDs) in Workers in Selected Metalworking Micro-Enterprises (MMEs) in South-Western Nigeria. *Advances Multidiscip Sci Res* 2016;**2**:115-26.
- Sabitu K, Iliyasu Z, Dauda MM. Awareness of occupational hazards and utilization of safety measures among welders in Kaduna metropolis, northern Nigeria. Ann Afr Med 2009;8:46-51.
- 6. Okoye OI, Umeh RE. Eye health of industrial workers in Southeastern Nigeria. *West Afr J Med* 2002;**21**:132-7.
- 7. Iyiade A, Omotoye O. Pattern of eye diseases among welders in a Nigeria community. *Afr Health Sci* 2012;**12**:210-216.
- 8. Isah E, Okojie O. Occupational Health Problems of Welders in Benin City, Nigeria. *J Med Biomed Res* 2009;**5**:64-69.

- 9. Budhathoki SS, Singh SB, Niraula SR, Pokharel PK. Morbidity patterns among the welders of eastern Nepal: a cross-sectional study. *Ann Occup Environ Med* 2016;**28**:62.
- National Population Commission, ICF. Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey 2018 Key Indicators Report. Abuja; 2019. Available from: https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/PR118/PR11 8.pdf[Accessed 19 July 2019]
- 11. Adewoye KR, Awoyemi AO, Ibirongbe DO, Babatunde OA, Ibrahim T. Knowledge on the Health Effects of Welding Smoke, Use of PPE Among Electric-Arc Welders in Ilorin South, North Central Nigeria. *J Asian Sci Res* 2013;3:924-932.
- 12. Hodges T. National Planning Commission., UNICEF. Children's and women's rights in Nigeria?: a wake-up call?: situation assessment and analysis, 2001. Abuja?: National Planning Commission; 2001 Available from: https://searchworks.stanford.edu/view/563863 2[Accessed Jul 3 2019]
- 13. Omolase C, Mahmoud A. The Welders protective goggles: An evaluation of its appreciation. *Niger J Surg Sci* 2007;**17**:54-58.
- 14. Adewoye K, Awoyemi A, Babatunde O, Atoyebi O, Salami S, Issa F. Effect of a health education intervention on the awareness and use of personal protective equipment among small scale electric arc welders in Ilorin, Nigeria. *Indian J Occup Environ Med* 2014;**18**:3.
- 15. Ajaiyeoba A, Scott S. Risk Factors Associated with Eye Diseases in Ibadan, Nigeria. *African J Biomed Res* 2002;**5**:1-3.
- 16. Alakija W. Eye morbidity among welders in Benin City, Nigeria. *Public Health* 1988;**102**:381-4.
- 17. Azuogu B, Eze N, Azuogu V, Onah C, Ossai E, Agu A. Appraisal of healthcare-seeking behaviour and prevalence of workplace injury among artisans in automobile site in Abakaliki, Southeast Nigeria. *Niger Med J* 2018;**59**:45-49.