ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Prevalence and correlates of posttraumatic stress disorder among medical students in the University of Jos, Nigeria

CN Nwoga, MD Audu, A Obembe¹

Department of Psychiatry, Jos University Teaching Hospital, Jos, Plateau State, ¹Department of Psychiatry, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto, Nigeria

Abstract

Context: Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is the most common consequence of traumatic experiences. The North Central Nigeria to which Plateau State belongs has witnessed many ethno-religious crises. While previous studies suggested a high prevalence of PTSD among students, to the best of our knowledge, no such study has been reported in Nigeria.

Aims: The study aimed to determine the prevalence and correlates of PTSD among medical students in a university. **Materials and Methods:** Cross-sectional systematic random sampling was used to select 200 medical students. A two staged interview with questionnaire on sociodemographic variables, PTSD Checklist-Civilian Version, Impact of Event Scale, and Composite International Diagnostic Interview was carried out.

Statistical Analysis Used: SPSS Inc. Released 2007. SPSS for Windows, version 16.0. Chicago, SPSS Inc. was used for statistical analysis.

Results: Prevalence of PTSD among the students was 23.5%. Previous childhood trauma and personal experiences during the crisis were significantly associated with having PTSD.

Conclusions: High prevalence rate of PTSD amon<mark>g medical stud</mark>ents in Jos is an indicator of psychological consequences of the recurring crises on the inhabitants. There is a need for follow-up and counseling/trauma healing for those identified.

Key words: Correlates, Jos, medical students, posttraumatic stress disorder, prevalence

Date of Acceptance: 13-Jan-2016

Introduction

The North Central Region of Nigeria has witnessed several ethno-religious crises and Jos located in Plateau State is the

Address for correspondence:

Dr. CN Nwoga,

Department of Psychiatry, Jos University Teaching Hospital, PMB 2076, Jos, Plateau State, Nigeria.

E-mail: nwogacharles@gmail.com



worst hit. Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) has been found to be the most common psychiatric complication of traumatic experiences.^[1] High prevalence rates were reported among students with 12% in the United States, 11% in Yugoslavia, and 11.6% in Haiti.^[2,3]

History of trauma, severity of trauma, female gender, and poor social support are factors associated with developing

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Nwoga CN, Audu MD, Obembe A. Prevalence and correlates of posttraumatic stress disorder among medical students in the University of Jos, Nigeria. Niger J Clin Pract 2016;19:595-9.

PTSD while repeated exposure to trauma is said to be protective. [3]

Symptoms of PTSD clinically cause significant distress, functional impairment, and reduce educational prospects. ^[4] In January 2010 fighting between Muslims and Christians erupted in Jos and lots of students were caught up in the crises.

This study aimed at determining the prevalence and correlates of PTSD among medical students who have been victims or have witnessed the recurring crises in Jos.

Materials and Methods

Ethics

Permission for the study was granted by the Dean, Faculty of Medical Sciences of the University. Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethical Committee of Jos University Teaching Hospital, Jos, Plateau State before the onset of the study. Participants gave informed consent before inclusion in the study. No financial inducement was given to any of the respondents. We provided medical advice if needed, at the end of the interview and those discovered to have significant distress were referred to Jos University Teaching Hospital for further management.

Study design

Selection and description of participants

The study was carried out between March and May 2013. The University is a federal institution which admits students from its catchment states including Plateau, Nasarawa, Benue, Taraba, Bauchi, and Gombe. However, students from other parts of the country may also be admitted.

We conducted a cross-sectional study among the medical students from the 2^{nd} to 6^{th} year. First year students were excluded because they were still together with other biological science students.

Sample size determination

A minimum sample size of 150 was calculated using the Leslie and Kish formula for estimating sample size for the cross-sectional study.^[5]

$$n = \frac{(Z\alpha^2 pq)}{d^2}$$

Where n = minimum sample size; $Z\alpha$ set at 5% significant level = 1.96; p = estimate of prevalence of PTSD among medical students in similar study in Yugoslavia = 11% = 0.11;^[3] d = level of precision (5%); q = 1 - p.

$$n = \frac{(1.96^2 \times 0.11 \times 0.89)}{0.05^2}$$

$$n = 150$$

Adjusting for nonresponse rate of 10%

$$=\frac{nr}{(r-1)}$$

Where n = calculated sample size and r = 10

$$n = \frac{150 \times 10}{10 - 1}$$

$$n = 166$$

Minimum sample size = 166

For the study, we sampled 200 medical students.

Sampling technique

Multi-stage systematic sampling technique was used to select the respondents for the study. The study population comprised medical students from years 2 to 6, totaling 836 students. Each of the five class lists was stratified into male and female. The number of students studied per class was obtained by dividing the class size by the total population and multiplying by the minimum sample size. From each class, the relativity of males to females was calculated by multiplying each of the number of males and females in a class by the calculated class sample size and dividing by the total class size. We divided each class sampling frame by the class sample size to determine the sampling interval while maintaining the relativity of males to females. During selection, the males and females were separated on two sides using the class lists. The first participant was selected from the sampling frame using a table of random numbers, and then using the sampling interval, subsequent participants were selected proportionally until the required sample size was achieved.

Study instruments

Questionnaire relating to sociodemographic variables was designed by the authors to include age, gender, marital status, religion, and previous history of exposure to childhood traumatic events. Impact of Event Scale (IES) was used as a self-measure of the impact of repeated Jos crises on the students. [6] The IES is a 15 item questionnaire evaluating experiences of avoidance and intrusion which attempts to "reflect the intensity of the posttraumatic phenomena." PTSD Checklist-Civilian (PCL-C) Version was used to screen the participants, and a low cutoff of 21 was used to maximize detection of possible cases from the screen.^[7] The Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI) was used to generate a definite diagnosis of PTSD based on Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders Fourth Edition criteria. CIDI is a highly structured instrument derived from the present state examination and the Diagnostic Interview Scale. All questionnaires were administered in English language.

Data collection and procedure

Data collection lasted for 3 months. Each class was screened on the 1st day. The PCL-C was analyzed by the researchers and those with scores suggestive of PTSD were contacted and convenient date and venue arranged for CIDI interview lasting an average of 40 min.

Statistics

Frequency tables were generated, and relevant cross tabulations made. Proportions were compared using Chi-square test while a value of P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Majority of the participants (66.5%) were aged between 21 and 25 years with a mean age of 23.64 (standard deviation \pm 2.59). Sixty-one percent of the study population was males, 96% were Christians of various denominations, and only 2% of the study population was married. Less than a quarter (16.5%) of the participants was living within the students hostels while the rest live in the community inside Jos and its environs [Table 1]. Childhood trauma was exceptionally high (72%) among the participants while a simple majority (88.5%) reported good social support at the time of the study.

Self-report of the psychological impact of the Jos crises on the participants showed that they had serious physical and psychological symptoms in response to the ethno-religious crises. The more severe the self-reported impact of event score, the higher the likelihood of having PTSD. Forty-one percent of the participants scored between moderate and severe range [Table 2].

Out of the 200 students screened with PCL-C Version, 155 (77.5%) scored 21 and above, and 144 (92%) of them were those with a history of childhood trauma. These were subjected to clinical interview using PTSD module of CIDI. The prevalence of PTSD was 23.5%. This comprised 44 (30.6%) of subjects with history of childhood trauma. The odd of having PTSD was higher for those with history of childhood trauma [Table 3]. Certain situational experiences during the crises were mostly responsible for both the physical and psychological responses of the subjects toward the trauma [Table 4]. Loss of personal possession with associated threat to life and witnessing death of relative or loved ones were significantly associated with having a diagnosis of PTSD. Having a diagnosis of PTSD was significantly associated with IES scores on the moderate and severe ranges [Table 5]. Age, gender, religion, and marital status were not significantly associated with having a diagnosis of PTSD [Table 6]. The

Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of respondents				
Demographic characteristics	Number (n=200)	Percentage		
Age				
≤20	23	11.5		
21-25	133	66.5		
≥26	44	22.0		
Gender				
Male	122	61.0		
Female	78	39.0		
Religion				
Catholic	53	26.5		
Protestant	76	38.0		
Pentecostal	63	31.5		
Islam	7	3.5		
Atheist	1	0.5		
Marital status				
Single	196	98.0		
Married	4	2.0		
Accommodation				
Hostel	33	16.5		
Jos community	101	50.5		
Others	66	33.0		
Childhood trauma				
At least one	144	72.0		
None	56	28.0		
Social support				
Good	177	88.5		
Poor	23	11.5		

Table 2: Distribution of the Impact of Event Scale scores				
Variables	Number (n=200)	Percentage		
Subclinical IES score	47	23.5		
Mild IES score	71	35.5		
Moderate IES score	70	35.0		
Severe IES score	12	6.0		

IES=Impact of Event Scale

Table 3: Risk of having posttraumatic stress disorder in those with and without history of childhood trauma (n=155)

Diagnosed as having PTSD	History of childhood trauma		P	OR (95%CI)
	Yes $(n=144)$	No (n=11)		
Yes	44 (30.6)	3 (27.3)	0.005	5.13 (1.48-17.85)
No	100 (69.4)	8 (72.7)		

PTSD=Posttraumatic stress disorder; OR=Odds ratio; CI=Confidence interval

mean age for those with PTSD was 23.40 ± 3.23 and did not differ significantly with those without PTSD in the study. Furthermore, no significant association was found between social support status and having a diagnosis of PTSD.

Nwoga, et al.: PTSD among medical students

Table 4: Association between posttraumatic stress disorder and situational trauma experience of the subjects						
Type of trauma	PTSD			χ^2	df	P
	Yes n (%)	No n (%)	Total			
Victim of personal attack	10 (58.8)	7 (41.2)	17 (100.0)	0.529	1	0.467
Loss of possessions with associated threat to life	3 (11.5)	23 (88.5)	26 (100.0)	15.385	1	0.001
Homes burnt	10 (55.6)	8 (44.4)	18 (100.0)	0.222	1	0.637
Witness relative death or loved ones	4 (21.1)	15 (78.9)	19 (100.0)	6.368	1	0.012
Robbed during the crisis	2 (22.2)	7 (77.8)	9 (100.0)	2.778	1	0.096
Sexually assaulted or molested	2 (50.0)	2 (50.0)	4 (100.0)	0.000	1	1.000
Saw atrocities or carnage such as mutilated bodies or mass killing	16 (57.1)	12 (42.9)	28 (100.0)	0.571	1	0.450
None	0 (0.0)	34 (100.0)	34 (100.0)	31.114	1	0.001
Total	47 (30.3)	108 (69.7)	155 (100.0)			

PTSD=Posttraumatic stress disorder

Table 5: Association between impact of traumatic events and posttraumatic stress disorder					
Impact of	PTSD (n=200)		χ^2	df	P
traumatic events	Yes (n)	No (n)			
Subclinical (n=47)	0 (0.0)	47 (100.0)	84.677	3	0.001
Mild $(n=71)$	0 (0.0)	71 (100.0)			
Moderate ($n=70$)	37 (52.9)	33 (47.1)			
Severe $(n=12)$	8 (66.7)	4 (33.3)			

PTSD=Posttraumatic stress disorder

Table 6: Association between	posttraumatic stress
disorder and sociodemograph	ic variables $(n=200)$

disorder and sociodemographic variables $(n=200)$						
Sociodemographic	PTSD		χ^2	df	P	
correlates	Yes (n)	No (n)				
Age						
≤20	10 (43.5)	13 (56.5)	4.833	2	0.078	
21-25	28 (21.1)	105 (78.9)				
≥26	9 (20.5)	35 (79.5)				
Gender						
Male	28 (23.0)	94 (77.0)	1.633	1	0.971	
Female	19 (24.4)	59 (75.6)				
Religion						
Catholic	11 (20.8)	42 (79.2)	1.913	3	0.858	
Protestant	20 (26.3)	56 (73.7)				
Pentecostal	14 (22.2)	49 (77.8)				
Islam	2 (28.6)	5 (71.49)				
Atheist	0 (0.0)	1 (100.0)				
Marital status						
Single	47 (24.0)	149 (76.0)	0.689	1	0.181	
Married	0 (0.0)	4 (100.0)				

PTSD=Posttraumatic stress disorder

Discussion

This cross-sectional survey assessed the prevalence and sociodemographic correlates of PTSD among medical students in the University. The study was prone to bias in recalling former exposure to risk variables, difficulty in assessing the temporal relationship, and an inability

to control the exposure and outcome variables. The multi-staged selection of participants makes the findings relevant for discussion. This is because multistage sampling increases the precision of estimates and power of study by reducing variance. It leads to individuals being selected with probability to size, and it is self-weighting, so weighted analysis was not required.

Majority of the participants were young with age range 21–25 years constituting more than half of the study population. The mean age of subjects with PTSD did not differ significantly with those without the diagnosis making generalization of the effect of age on the diagnosis valid. The finding that age was not significantly associated with having PTSD in the study is similar to many such studies among students. [3,8,9] Although more females had PTSD in the study, female gender did not significantly predict the development of the disorder. This agrees with the findings of Ramsay et al., Lauterbach et al., Abu-Saba, but not in conformity with that of Gavrilovic et al. and Read et al. which reported increased risk of developing PTSD among female gender. [3,8,10-12] On the contrary, Fu et al. found an increased risk for males having PTSD in their study of college students. [9] The general notion held widely is that males have more traumatic experiences while females report their symptoms more easily and could explain why more females had PTSD in this study.

High prevalence rate of PTSD was found in the study. This is probably due to the fact that these crises are complex, recurrent, incessant, and present with a general feeling of helplessness and hopelessness as the authorities did not seem to have control over the situation. The crises of 2010 in Jos were severe to a point that the federal government of Nigeria instituted a military task force charged with restoring peace and order. Furthermore, terrorist attack started in Jos after the last ethno-religious crises of 2010 and was still on-going at the time of the study, necessitating the continuous presence of the military on the streets of Jos. The high prevalence is in conformity with studies in other

populations that have experienced mass violence. [13,14] This high rate is understandable due to the fact that multiple exposures to the same or different type of traumatic event is associated with high levels of PTSD symptoms. [14,15] Ethno-religious crises have been reoccurring in Jos since 2001 and majority of the participants witnessed more than one episode of such events.

Inverse relationship between symptoms of PTSD and social support is one of the consistent relationships observed in trauma research. ^[16,17] This study did not find any such relationship and is in conformity with recent studies that showed that after controlling for confounders, poor social support is not a risk factor for PTSD. ^[18,19]

Exposure to childhood trauma was common among the study subjects with a lifetime prevalence of 72%. Resnick et al. reviewed studies investigating civilian-related trauma and PTSD and reported that lifetime exposure to a variety of traumatic events was common and ranges between 40% and 70%. [20] The wide variability is due to the broad definition of what constitute a traumatic event in such literature. The odd of having PTSD in this study was 5 times higher among students who were previously traumatized. Previous trauma, chronic adversity, and familial stressors have been known to increase the risk of PTSD following traumatic event in adulthood. [21]

Limitations of this study include that being a cross-sectional study, the relative effect of acute versus chronic trauma exposure on the development of PTSD were not examined. Second, stressful experiences and previous traumatic events were assessed in retrospect and could have been prone to recall bias, but the nature of the trauma made it possible that the students could recall their experiences easily.

Conclusions

A high prevalence rate of PTSD among medical students in Jos, North Central Nigeria, is an indicator of high psychological consequences of the recurring crises on the inhabitants and the ability to modify the response to subsequent trauma. The odd of having PTSD was higher for those with a history of childhood trauma. Loss of personal possession with associated threat to life and witnessing death of relative or loved ones were significantly associated with having the diagnosis. There is a need for urgent intervention after crisis to identify and treat those with PTSD as such approach can reduce the risk of psychological impairment and its effect on the domains of functioning. Furthermore, the school will benefit from setting up counseling units and mental health clinic in the university clinic to help individuals with mental distress.

Financial support and sponsorship

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Caffo E, Belaise C. Psychological aspects of traumatic injury in children and adolescents. Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am 2003;12:493-535.
- Bernat JA, Ronfeldt HM, Calhoun KS, Arias I. Prevalence of traumatic events and peritraumatic predictors of posttraumatic stress symptoms in a nonclinical sample of college students. J Trauma Stress 1998;11:645-64.
- Gavrilovic J, Lecic-Tosevski D, Knezevic G, Priebe S. Predictors of posttraumatic stress in civilians I year after air attacks: A study of Yugoslavian students. J Nerv Ment Dis 2002;190:257-62.
- Mendlowicz MV, Stein MB. Quality of life in individuals with anxiety disorders.
 Am J Psychiatry 2000;157:669-82.
- 5. Kish L. Survey Sampling. New York: John Wiley and Sons; 1965. p. 59-60.
- Horowitz M, Wilner N, Alvarez W. Impact of event scale: A measure of subjective stress. Psychosom Med 1979;41:209-18.
- Weathers FW, Litz B, Herman D, Huska JA, Keane TM. PCL-C for DSM-IV. Boston: National Centre for PTSD, Behavioural Science Division; 1994.
- Read JP, Ouimette P, White J, Colder C, Farrow S. Rates of DSM-IV-TR trauma exposure and posttraumatic stress disorder among newly matriculated college students. Psychol Trauma 2011;3:148-56.
- Fu Y, Chen Y, Wang J, Tang X, He J, Jiao M, et al. Analysis of prevalence of PTSD and its influencing factors among college students after the Wenchuan earthquake. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health 2013;7:1.
- Ramsay R, Gorst-Unsworth C, Turner S. Psychiatric morbidity in survivors of organised state violence including torture. A retrospective series. Br J Psychiatry 1993;162:55-9.
- Lauterbach D, Vrana S, King DW, King LA. Psychometric properties of the civilian version of the Mississippi PTSD Scale. J Trauma Stress 1997;10:499-513.
- Abu-Saba MB. War related trauma and stress characteristics of American University of Beirut students. J Trauma Stress 1999;12:185-92.
- Pham PN, Harvey M, Timothy L. Trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms in Rwanda. J Am Med Assoc 2004;292:602-12.
- Njenga FG, Nicholls PJ, Nyamai C, Kigamwa P, Davidson JR. Post-traumatic stress after terrorist attack: Psychological reactions following the US embassy bombing in Nairobi: Naturalistic study. Br J Psychiatry 2004;185:328-33.
- Mollica RF, Wyshak G, Lavelle J. The psychosocial impact of war trauma and torture on Southeast Asian refugees. Am J Psychiatry 1987;144:1567-72.
- Brewin CR, Andrews B, Valentine JD. Meta-analysis of risk factors for posttraumatic stress disorder in trauma-exposed adults. J Consult Clin Psychol 2000;68:748-66.
- Ozer EJ, Best SR, Lipsey TL, Weiss DS. Predictors of posttraumatic stress disorder and symptoms in adults: A meta-analysis. Psychol Bull 2003;129:52-73.
- Clapp JD, Gayle Beck J. Understanding the relationship between PTSD and social support: The role of negative network orientation. Behav Res Ther 2009;47:237-44.
- Miller LM. The Interrelationship between Social Support and Post-Trauma Symptoms in the Aftermath of a Severe Motor Vehicle Accident. A Dissertation Work Published Online in 2007. Available from: http://www.gradworks.umi.com. [Last cited on 2013 Oct 21].
- Resnick HS, Falsetti SA, Kilpatrick DG, Freedy JR. Assessment of rape and civilian related posttraumatic stress disorder: Emphasis on assessment of potentially traumatic events. In: Miller TW, editor. Stressful Life Events. Vol. 2. New York: International University Press Inc.; 1995. p. 235-71.
- Yehuda R, Halligan SL, Golier JA, Grossman R, Bierer LM. Effects of trauma exposure on the cortisol response to dexamethasone administration in PTSD and major depressive disorder. Psychoneuroendocrinology 2004;29:389-404.