EFFECT OF CASSAVA GENOTYPE AND PLANTING PATTERN OF VEGETABLE COWPEA ON GROWTH, YIELD AND PRODUCTIVITY OF CASSAVA/ VEGETABLE COWPEA INTERCROPPING SYSTEM.

A. Udealor¹ And J.E. Asiegbu²

1. National Root Crops Research Institute, Umudike P.M.B. 7006, Umuahia, Abia state, Nigeria

2. Dept. Crop Science, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria

2

ABSTRACT

Field experiments were conducted at the National Root Crops Research Institute, Umudike in 1997 and 1998 cropping seasons to assess the effects of three cassava genotypes with different canopy architecture and three vegetable cowpea planting patterns. Growth, as implicit in leaf area index (LAI) and dry matter production, was not significantly affected by cassava genotype and planting pattern of vegetable cowpea. NR8082 cassava genotype gave the highest LAI from 16-52 WAP. Similarly planting vegetable cowpea in double rows gave the highest LAI at the same period. Though planting pattern of vegetable cowpea did not significantly influence the total dry matter (TDM), and root dry matter of cassava, the TDM and root dry matter significantly differed among the cassava genotypes in the order of NR 8082 > Nwibibio > TMS 91943. Planting pattern did not significantly affect the vegetable cowpea green pod yield, cassava root yield and Land equivalent ratio (LER). Though vegetable cowpea green pod yield was not also influence by cassava genotype, cassava root yield and LER were significantly highest under NR8082 cassava genotype.

INTRODUCTION:

Intercropping has been defined as the growing of two or more crops together on the same piece of land such that their period of overlap is long enough to include their vegetative stages (Gomez and Gomez, 1983). Intercropping is a common practice in Africa, and Asia, particularly among small-scale farmers, where it provides food and cash at different periods of the year for the farm

Family. Intercropping also ensures complementary and efficient use of

environmental resources such as light, nutrients and water (Trenbath, 1976). Okoli et al. (1996) showed that the total dry matter yield was higher under intercropping than under monocropping systems.

Farmers in West Africa, especially in the semi-arid regions often intercrop cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz) and cowpea, particularly grain cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp). In the humid rain forest region, the cultivation of grain cowpea encounters disease and drying problems. However, the farming systems of the humid rainforest areas of southeastern Nigeria have evolved the vegetable cowpea, which is commonly cultivated and well adapted to the

Prevailing weather conditions (Ezueh and Nwaffiah, 1984). Two types of vegetable cowpeas have been identified, the climbing type called Vigna unguiculata subspecies sesquipedalis (Redden, 1981) and those with prostrate habit named V. unguiculata subspecies dekintiana and mensensis (Steele and Mehra, 1980). The climbing type is mostly grown in compound farms along farm boundaries where they are staked to some form of support. The prostrate types are found in distant farms in yam and cassava farms. They are, however not planted with any definite spatial arrangement.

Cassava has a slow growth during the first three months of planting (IITA, 1990). During this period, several short duration crops such as maize, sweet potato, assorted vegetables and cowpeas are intercropped. Many new genotypes of cassava have been developed at the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan, Nigeria (Stifel, 1988) and National Root Crops Research Institute, Umudike, Nigeria (NRCRI, 1998). These cassava genotypes have differing branching habits ranging from low to profuse. Though Holmes and Wilson (1977) reported significant and positive correlations between total dry matter yields and number of leaves and leaf area, genotypes with large number of leaves and branches tend to shade the intercrops. No quantitative data exist on the performance of these new improved cassava genotypes with vegetable cowpea especially with respect to For the new cassava planting pattern. genotypes to be accepted by small-scale farmers, who account for over 90% of food production in Nigeria (Abalu 1988), they must be compatible with the farming The objective of this study was to assess the effects of new cassava

genotypes and vegetable cowpea planting patterns on the growth, yield and productivity of cassava/ vegetable cowpea intercropping system.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

The experiment was carried out at the National Root Crops Research Institute (NRCRI) Umudike, Nigeria (05° 29' N, 07° 33' and 122m above sea level). The climate is that of a humid tropical rainforest with a 63year average annual rainfall of 2162.7mm. The monthly minimum and maximum temperatures on a 63-year average are in the range of 21-25° C and 29-35° C, respectively, while the monthly relative humidity ranges from 74% in January to 87% in July and August at 0900hrs and 51% in January to 80% in July at 0300hr. The soil is a typic paleudult and being formed from coastal sands, is well drained and deep, with red to yellow sandy clay top soil (Ano, 1990).

The intercropping experiment was set up on a plot of land that was under a two-year fallow following a sole cassava multiplication project. The field was slashed, disc-ploughed, harrowed ridged and demarcated into plots of 7m x 6m with 1.0m between adjacent plots.

The treatments consisted of a-3 x 3 factorial arrangements of three cassava genotypes and three vegetable cowpea-planting patterns. The cassava genotypes were NR 8082 with profuse branching habit, TMS 91934 with medium branching habit and "Nwibibio" a local genotype with no branches at all. The three vegetable cowpea planting patterns were (a) Intra-row pattern, where one stand of cassava was followed by four stands of vegetable cowpea sown on the crest of the ridge. (b) Alternate row pattern in which one row of cassava was followed by one row of vegetable cowpea. (c) Double row pattern, where each row of cassava was followed by two rows of vegetable cowpea.

The crop geometries of each of the intercropping patterns are shown in figure 1. Sole crops of each cassava genotype and vegetable cowpea at each planting pattern were also added as treatments. The fifteen treatments were laid out in a randomized complete block (RCB) design with three replications. Cassava was planted at 10,000 plants ha' (100cm x 100cm), while the prostrate type of vegetable cowpea was planted at 80,000 plants har (100cm x 25cm x 4 seeds hole and later thinned down to 2 seeds hole. Two weeding operations were carried out at 4 and 12 weeks after planting (WAP). The vegetable cowpea was sprayed with Cymbush (á-cyano-3phenoxybenzyl-3-(2,2chlorovinyl)2,2 dimethylcyclopropane carboxylate) at 800g a.l. ha' (Singh and Allen, 1979) at 2WAP, when the attack was first noticed and subsequently at 4 and 6 WAP. This served to control the leaf hoppers (Empoasca spp), cowpea aphids (Aphis craccivora), foliage beetles othaeca mutabilis) and flower thrips (Megalurothrips sjostedti). The leaf area of two cassava plants plot' was measured at four weekly intervals between 8 and 16 WAP and at 52 WAP by the rapid method described by Spencer (1962), which involves the use of linear measurements as summarized by the equation,

LA=0.407la+11.38, where,

LA=total leaf area,

la = product of length x largest breadth of the median leaflet x number of leaflets and

11.38 = a constant.

The leaf area of two vegetable cowpea plants plot, chosen at random was measured at two weekly intervals

The first of the state of the s

工作 化基础的 经证券 医髓管炎

Francisco Carlos Como de Carlos Carlo

а		b		c					
1000	em .			- 50cm					
xx 🗲	→ xx	ΧХ	xx	x x ◀	⊢≯x ∣x				
ХX	F	XX	XX	x x	x x				
c	XX C	C	c	C	q				
хх	ХX	хх	xx	x x	x x				
хx	xx	ХX	xx	x x	x x				
ж	кx	ХХ		x x	x x				
хx	kx	XX	xx xx	x x	x x				
E	xx C	c	c	C	ď				
хx	xx	XX	xx	x x	x x				
ЖX	хx	XX	xx	x x	x x				
хx	хx	ХX	хx	x x	x x				
жx	xx	ХX	xx	x x	x x				
c	xx C	C	¢.	, C	C				
жx	хх	XX	xx	хх	x x				
хx	хх	xx	xx	хx	x x				
2:- 1.			• •						

Diagrammatic representation of the crop geometry.

- (a) Intra row of cowpea.
- (b) Single alternate rows of cowpea and cassava.
- (c)Double row of cowpea alternating with single row of cassava

C= Cassava stand.

Xx= 2 vegetable cowpea seeds per hole.

Between 6 and 10 WAP with a C1-202 automatic leaf area meter. The leaf areas thus measured were used to calculate the leaf area index (LAI). Sub-samples of the root, stem, and leaf fractions of both cassava and vegetable cowpea were dried in an oven at 60°C and weighed after attaining

c on stant dry weight.

These were used to determine the dry weights of the plant parts and total plant dry weight. Mature green pods of vegetable cowpea were harvested sequentially between 7 and 14 WAP. Cassava roots (tubers) were harvested at 52 WAP. Land equivalent ratio (LER) was computed a

Soutlined by Willey (1979). All data were subjected to analysis of variance (Gomez and Gomez, 1984). The means were compared using least significant difference (LSD) at p=0.05.

RESULTS:

Leaf area index (LAI).

In both 1997 and 1998, cassava genotype, planting pattern of vegetable cowpea and the cassava genotype x vegetable cowpea planting pattern interaction did not significantly affect the LAI of vegetable cowpea. Cassava genotype did not significantly influence the LAI of cassava until at 16 to 52 WAP in 1997 and 12 to 52 WAP in 1998, when NR 8082 cassava genotype gave the highest LAI (Table 1). Double row of vegetable cowpea to one row of cassava gave significantly the highest LAI of cassava when compared with intra row and alternate row planting patterns at 1652. WAP in 1997 and 1998. The cassava

genotype x cowpea planting pattern interaction effect was not significant.

Dry Matter Production

In both 1997 and 1998, cassava genotype and planting pattern of vegetable cowpea did not significantly influence the total dry matter (TDM), pod dry matter (PDM) and Harvest index (HI), (Table 2). The TDM, and tuber dry matter of cassava component differed significantly among the three cassava genotypes in the order of NR 8082 > Nwibibio > TMS 91934. However, the HI of the three cassava genotypes was also similar (71.8%-78.4%). The planting pattern and the cassava genotype x vegetable cowpea planting pattern interaction did not influence the TDM, RDM and HI of cassava.

Table 1: Effect of cassava genotype and planting pattern of vegetable cowpea on the leaf area index (LAI) of vegetable cowpea and cassava at different ages of growth in 1997 and 1998.

Vegetable cow	pea		Cassa	va			
	6 WAP	8 WAP	10 WAP	8 WAP	12 WAP	16 WAP	- 52 WAF
Cassava		•					
genotype							
NR 8082	0.07	1.02	1.73	0.21	0.98	2.22	2.80
TMS 91934	0.06	1.08	1.39	0.20	0.75	1.20	2.11
Nwibibio	80.0	0.82	1.84	0.21	0.94	1.52	2.14
Planting							
pattern							
Mixed row	0.07	1.12	1.15	0.25	0.77	1.71	1.81
Alternate row	0.08	0.82	2.01	0.18	0.83	1.41	1.94
Double row	0.07	0.96	1.79	0.19	1.00	2.03	1.24
Sole	0.14	1.16	1.54	0.26	1.05	2.03	2.95
LSD (p=0.05)	0.14	0.45	0.46	0.15	0.58	0.61	1.21
Cassava			-				
genotype							
NR 8082	0.59	0.95	1.71	0.11	0.56	2.31	2.63
TMS 91934	0.53	1.08	1.51	0.04	0.21	1.52	1.88
Nwibibio	0.53	1.57	2.24	0.05	0.32	1.82	2.39
Planting						*	
pattern							
Mixed row	0.44	0.86	1.27	0.07	0.31	1.76	1.89
Alternate row	0.46	1.53	2.38	0.06	0.34	2.12	2.20
Double row	0.53	1.22	2.02	0.06	0.41	2.04	2.81
Sole	0.40	1.02	1.93	0.08	0.52	2.09	2.40
LSD (p=0.05)	0.18	0.58	0.68	0.05	0.18	0.49	0.63

Table 2: Effects of cassava genotype and vegetable cowpea planting pattern on the dry matter accumulation and Harvest index of cassava and vegetable cowpea mixture in 1997 and 1998.

V CE	ciavie Cowpea	
_		
	g pi	ant ⁻¹
٠.	Total DM	Pod
3	1997 1998	199

Cassava

	g plant '				kg plan				<u>nt^{-1:} </u>			
Total DM		Pod	Pod DM		н		Total DM		Tuber DM		<u> </u>	
1997	1998	1997	1998	199	7 1998	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998	
	p 3	TV (V)	3								-	
24.0	31.7	3.0	4.8	0.13	0.15	2.00	1.53	1.48	1.26	0.74	0.82	
24.8	-31.0	3.1	5.0	0.13	0.16	0.85	0.72	0.67	0.52	0.78	0.72	
25.7	32.5	3.3	4.7	0.13	0.14	1.54	0.83	1.10	0.58	0.71	0.66	
2.58	3.65	0.20	1.21		-	0.031	0.032	0.070	0.071	•	•	
	٠.				•	100			- L			
21.4	22.4	2.6	3.0	0.12	0.13	1.46	0.99	1.10	0.74	0.76	0.74	
29.9	37.7	3.4	5.7	0.11	0.15	1.42	1.10	1.06	0.84	0.75	0.76	
27.3	33.6	3.4	5.9	0.13	0.18	1.56	1.04	1.09	0.78	0.70	0.75	
2.58	3.65	0.20	1.21	\mathbb{L}^{p} .	•	0.307 ne	_{la} 0.031	0.076	0.071	- '		
	24.0 24.8 25.7 2.58 21.4 29.9 27.3	Total DM 1997 1998 24.0 31.7 24.8 31.0 25.7 32.5 2.58 3.65 21.4 22.4 29.9 37.7 27.3 33.6	Total DM 1997 1998 1997 1998 1997 1998 1997 1998 24.0 31.7 3.0 24.8 31.0 3.1 25.7 32.5 3.3 2.58 3.65 0.20 21.4 22.4 2.6 29.9 37.7 3.4 27.3 33.6 3.4	Total DM 1998 Pod DM 1997 1998 24.0 31.7 3.0 4.8 24.8 31.0 3.1 5.0 25.7 32.5 3.3 4.7 2.58 3.65 0.20 1.21 21.4 22.4 2.6 3.0 29.9 37.7 3.4 5.7 27.3 33.6 3.4 5.9	Total DM 1998 1997 1998 1999 24.0 31.7 3.0 4.8 0.13 24.8 31.0 3.1 5.0 0.13 25.7 32.5 3.3 4.7 0.13 2.58 3.65 0.20 1.21 - 21.4 22.4 2.6 3.0 0.12 29.9 37.7 3.4 5.7 0.11 27.3 33.6 3.4 5.9 0.13	Total DM Pod DM 1997 1998 1997 1998 HI 24.0 31.7 3.0 4.8 0.13 0.15 24.8 31.0 3.1 5.0 0.13 0.16 25.7 32.5 3.3 4.7 0.13 0.14 2.58 3.65 0.20 1.21 - - 21.4 22.4 2.6 3.0 0.12 0.13 29.9 37.7 3.4 5.7 0.11 0.15 27.3 33.6 3.4 5.9 0.13 0.18	Total DM Pod DM HI Total 1997 1998 1997 1998 1997 1998 1997 24.0 31.7 3.0 4.8 0.13 0.15 2.00 24.8 31.0 3.1 5.0 0.13 0.16 0.85 25.7 32.5 3.3 4.7 0.13 0.14 1.54 2.58 3.65 0.20 1.21 - - 0.031 21.4 22.4 2.6 3.0 0.12 0.13 1.46 29.9 37.7 3.4 5.7 0.11 0.15 1.42 27.3 33.6 3.4 5.9 0.13 0.18 1.56	Total DM Pod DM HI Total DM 1997 1998 1997 1998 1997 1998 24.0 31.7 3.0 4.8 0.13 0.15 2.00 1.53 24.8 31.0 3.1 5.0 0.13 0.16 0.85 0.72 25.7 32.5 3.3 4.7 0.13 0.14 1.54 0.83 2.58 3.65 0.20 1.21 - - 0.031 0.032 21.4 22.4 2.6 3.0 0.12 0.13 1.46 0.99 29.9 37.7 3.4 5.7 0.11 0.15 1.42 1.10 27.3 33.6 3.4 5.9 0.13 0.18 1.56 1.04	Total DM Pod DM HI Total DM Tube 1997 1998	Total DM Pod DM HI Total DM Tuber DM 1997 1998 1997 1998 1997 1998 1997 1998 1997 1998 24.0 31.7 3.0 4.8 0.13 0.15 2.00 1.53 1.48 1.26 24.8 31.0 3.1 5.0 0.13 0.16 0.85 0.72 0.67 0.52 25.7 32.5 3.3 4.7 0.13 0.14 1.54 0.83 1.10 0.58 2.58 3.65 0.20 1.21 - - 0.031 0.032 0.070 0.071 21.4 22.4 2.6 3.0 0.12 0.13 1.46 0.99 1.10 0.74 29.9 37.7 3.4 5.7 0.11 0.15 1.42 1.10 1.06 0.84 27.3 33.6 3.4 5.9 0.13 0.18 1.56 1.04 1.09 0.78 <td>Total DM Pod DM HI Total DM Tuber DM H 1997 1998</td>	Total DM Pod DM HI Total DM Tuber DM H 1997 1998	

= Dry Matter;

Ш = Harvest Index

genotype x cowpea planting pattern interaction effect was not significant.

Dry Matter Production

In both 1997 and 1998, cassava genotype and planting pattern of vegetable cowpea did not significantly influence the total dry matter (TDM), pod dry matter (PDM) and Harvest index (HI), (Table 2). The TDM, and tuber dry matter of cassava component differed significantly among the three cassava genotypes in the order of NR 8082 > Nwibibio > TMS 91934. However, the HI of the three cassava genotypes was also similar (71.8%-78.4%). The planting pattern and the cassava genotype x vegetable cowpea planting pattern interaction did not influence the TDM, RDM and HI of cassava. significantly influence tuberous root yields. The differences in the green pod yield under intercropping and monocropping systems were not significant in both years, irrespective of the planting pattern. For

cassava, however, the root yield of intercropped cassava was higher than the sole crop yield.

Land Equivalent Ratio (LER)

In both 1997 and 1998, NR 8082 gave significantly the highest LER with a yield advantage of 100% compared to "Nwibibio" with 75% and TMS 91934 with 67% yield advantages (Table 3). Planting pattern of cowpea did not significantly affect LER. The 1997 and 1998 average showed that intercropping NR 8082 with cowpea using double row planting pattern gave the highest LER of 2.18 (a yield advantage of 118%).

DISCUSSION:

Planting pattern of vegetable cowpea did not influence growth and yield of cassava and vegetable cowpea, as well as the mixture efficiency parameters in cassava/vegetable cowpea intercrop. CIAT (1976) showed that bean yield were not significantly affected whether it was planted in one-single bean

row on each side of maize plant or in one double bean rows on one side of the maize with planting densities being kept constant. This non-significant effect of planting pattern on the yields of component crops was reported for sorghum/pigeon mixture (Osiru and Kibira, 1981), sorghum/rice mixture (Olofintoye and Olaoye, 1992) and for okra/maize (Muoneke and Asiegbu, 1997). However, Kalu et al. (1998) obtained significant response of yam/maize and yam/sorghum to varying spatial arrangements. Cock et al. (1978) and CIAT (1976) explained that this non-response to spatial arrangement could be possible if the total plant population was kept constant. In the work of Kalu et al. (1998), the spatial arrangements determined the populations of each crop component indicating that their total plant populations were not constant, hence the apparent response to spatial arrangement. In the present study, however the vegetable cowpea and cassava populations were constant at all levels of planting pattern. Therefore, different planting patterns may be used in intercropping systems depending on the other crop component, genotype and,

Practical convenience without reduction in the yields, provided of course, the total population was constant.

Though planting pattern did not influence the LER, intercropping produced a yield advantage of 118% (LER=2.18). Olasantan (1988) showed that when cassava and grain cowpea were intercropped, the LER increased significantly irrespective of the spatial arrangement (planting pattern) of the component crops. Muoneke and Asiegbu (1997) obtained greater LER when okra and maize were intercropped than under monocropping situation. These reports and the findings in the present study may be

explained by the fact that the crops occupied different spaces, competed for different resources such as light and nutrients and hence had adequate utilization of land and sunlight because of non-similar growth periods.

In conclusion, cassava and vegetable cowpea are quite compatible with each other, with NR 8082 showing the greatest compatibility. Cassava/vegetable cowpea mixture was not sensitive to the planting pattern of vegetable cowpea.

化表示 医海绵性性胸膜炎性病

of the state of the state of the contract of the state of

weight with the with a great the many of the part of the part of the part of the second of the secon

1. 被正确意题 (1) 1995

Table 3: Effects of cassava genotype and planting pattern of vegetable cowpea on the yield of vegetable cowpea, cassava roots and land equivalent ratio (LER) in 1997 and 1998.

	·	Yields (
	Vegetable	cowpea	ca	ssava	LER		
Treatment	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998	
Cassava genotype mean		*					
NR8082	1.31	1.41	26.7	28.3	2.00	2.28	
TMS91934	1.31	1.46	18.9	18.9	1.67	2.24	
"Nwbibio"	1.31	1.46	19.7	19.7	1.75	1.90	
LSD (p=0.05	0.49	0.55	5.45	4.32	0.22	0.52	
Planting pattern means	•						
Mixed row	1.32	1.50	23.3	21.4	1.87	2.09	
Alternate row	1.34	1.50	20.5	21.7	1.77	2.28	
Double row	1.32	1.35	21.6	20.2	1.79	2.03	
LSD (p=0.05)	0.49	0.55	5.45	4.32	0.22	0.52	
Interactions	¥	:					
NR8082 x mixed row	1.31	1.51	37.3	21.5	2.19	2.04	
NR8082 x alternate row	1.31	1.51	25.3	33.5	1.94	2.31	
NR8082 x double row	1.32	1.21	25.5	26.1	1.87	2.48	
TMS91934 x mixed row	1.34	1.55	18.7	20.7	1.69	2.23	
TMS91934 x alternate row	1.34	1.48	16.9	17.3	1.61	2.45	
TMS91934 x double row	1.26	1.44	21.1	1804	1.72	2.02	
"Nwibibio" x mixed	1.3	1.54	19.6	18.5	1.74	2.01	
"Nwibibio"x alternate row	1.33	1.52	19.4	14.4	1.77	2.08	
"Nwibibio" x double row	1.30	1.41	20.1	16.0	1.74	1.61	
LSD $(p = 0.05)$	0.10	0.89	9.43	7.45	0.39	0.90	

REFERENCES:

Abalu, G.O.I. (1988) Mobilizing Nigerian Farmers. National Farming System Network NFSRN) Newsletter No. 3 pp1-4.

Ano, A.O. (1990). Potassium fixation, speciation, distribution and exchange thermodynamics in soil of Eastern Nigeria. Ph. D. Thesis. University of Ibadan, Nigeria.

Centro International Agricultural Tropical CIAT) (1976). Annual Report.

Cock, F.H., A. Castro and J.C. Toro (1978). Agronomic implication of mechanical harvesting. In: Weber, E. J., F.H. Cock and A.Chouineud (eds) *Proc. of workshop*.

- CIAT Columbia, 24-28 April, 1978. Ottawa, IDRC-114e pp60-65
- Ezueh, M.I. and G.N. Nwaffiah (1984). Botanical observation on a local collection of vegetable cowpea cultivars in southeastern Nigeria. *Tropical Grain Legume Bulletin*, 29: 2 7
- Gomez, A.R and K.A. Gomez (1983). Multiple cropping in the humid tropics of Asia. Ottawa, Ontaio IDRC. 176 e 248P.
- Gomez, K.A. and A.R. Gomez (1984). Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research.

 2nded .An international Rice research Institute Book. A Willey-Inter-science Publication. John Wiley and sons. New York 680pp.
- Holmes, E.B. and L.A. Wilson (1977) Total dry matter production, tuber yield and yield components of six cassava cultivars in Trinidad. *Proceedings of the Fourth Symposium of the International Society for Tropical Root Crops* held at CIAT, Cali, Colombia, 1-7 August 1976, pp. 84-88.
- IITA (1990). Cassava in tropical Africa. A reference manual. United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) 176p.
- Kalu, B.A., M.O. Obasi, D.K. Adezwa and T. Avav, (1998). Evaluation of yam/maize and yam/sorghium intercrops. In: *Nationally Coordinated Research Projects (NARP)*. Cassava/yam.1998 Annual Report For 1997. National Root Crops Research Institute, Umudike. Pp55-56.
- Muoneke, C.O. and J. E Asiegbu (1997). Effects of okra plant density and spatial arrangement in intercrop with maize on the growth and yield of the component species. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science* 179: 202-207.
- NRCRI (1998). Report on the implementation of the Nationally Coordinated Research Project (NCRP) on cassava and yam Jan Sept. 1997 and work plan for 1998. 53p.
- Okoli, O. O., M. A Hossain, A. F. K. Kassiedu and B. A Asere (1996). Effect of planting dates and growth habit of cassava and cowpea on their yield and compatibility. *Tropical Agriculture* 73 (3): 169-174.
- Olasantan, F.O. (1988). Intercropping of cassava (*Manihot esculenta*) with maize or cowpea under different row arrangements. Field Crops Research 19: 41-50.
- Olofintoye, J.A. and B.O Olaoye (1992). A study on sorghum/rice intercropping system with particular reference to component population and geometry. *Journal of Agriculture and Technology* 2: 125-130

- Osiru, D.S. and G.R Kibira (1981). Sorghum/pigeon pea and finger millet/groundnut mixtures with special reference to plant population and crop arrangement. In: *Proc. International Workshop on intercropping*. ICRISIAT Hyderbad, India, 10-13 January, 1999, Published in 1981 401pp
- Redden, R.J. (1981). Vegetable cowpea breeding at IITA. *Tropical Grain Legume Bulletin* 23: 13-17.
- Trenbath, B.R. (1976). Plant interactions in mixed communities. In: Papendick R.I., Sanchez, P.A. and Triplett, G.B. (eds) *Multiple cropping*. Madison, Wisconson, U.S.A. ASA). Pp 129-169.
- Spencer, R. (1962). Rapid method of measuring leaf area of cassava (Manihot utilissima Pohl) using linear measurement. Tropical Agriculture 39 (2): 147-152.
- Singh, S.R. and D.J. Allen (1979). Cowpea pests and diseases. *Manual series*. No. 2. IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria.

and the Maria Mathematical Control of the Control o

and the first section of the section

Commence of the second

- Steele, N.M. and K.S. Mehra (1980).

 Structure, evolution and adaptation to Farming systems and environments in *Vigna*.

 In: Summerfield R.J. and Bunting, A.H. (eds). *Advances in legume science*. Kew Royal Botanical Gardens.
- Stifel, L.D. (1988). Director General's Report. IITA Annual Report and Research Highlights 1987-88. Ibaban, Nigeria. Pp10-20.
- Willey, R.W. (1979). Intercropping: Its importance and research needs. Part I: Competition and yield advantages. Field Crop Abstracts 32: 73 85.

And the constitution of the second of the se

The state of the s

error grant to the control of the co

esta a transferencia de la como de la compresión de la compresión de la compresión de la compresión de la comp