



GROWTH STUDIES ON *Clarias gariepinus* JUVENILES FED DIFFERENTLY FORMULATED FISH FEEDS WITH NEEM LEAF ADDITIVE CULTURED IN FIBRE GLASS TANKS

Ebeniro, L. A., Azuine, A. U. and Uche, F.O.

Department of Fisheries Technology, Federal College of Agriculture, Ishiagu, Ebonyi State
Corresponding Authors' email: drlawazuebeniro@gmail.com

Abstract

Studies on the growth of *Clarias gariepinus* juveniles (initial mean weights of 13.33 ± 0.18 g) fed four differently formulated fish diets and control were carried out in fibre glass tanks for eight weeks to assess the effect of neem leaf additive on bambara nut waste and *Mucuna* based diets. At the end of the experiment, fish fed commercial feed (E) had the highest growth response (58.33 ± 3.18 g) followed by bambara nut-neem diet (C) (39.17 ± 1.41 g), while fish fed bambara nut waste based diet (A) (33.77 ± 1.68 g) had the lowest. The highest mean weight gain per day was observed in fish fed commercial diet (E) (0.80 ± 0.06 g) followed by fish fed bambara-neem diet (C) (0.46 ± 0.02 g), while bambara nut waste (A) (0.35 ± 0.01 g) recorded the lowest. The highest specific growth rate (SGR) value was observed in fish fed commercial diet (E) (2.64 ± 0.10), followed by *bambara nut-neem* diet (C) (1.92 ± 0.05 g), while fish fed bambara nut waste diet (A) (1.55 ± 0.07) had the lowest value. Feed intake was highest for fish fed commercial diet E (84.23 ± 11.20 g), followed by *Mucuna* diet B (72.87 ± 9.21 g), while fish fed *Mucuna*-neem leaf diet (C) (53.23 ± 2.84 g) had the lowest. The highest feed conversion ratio was observed in fish fed bambara nut waste diet (A) 2.90 ± 0.11 g, followed by fish fed bambara nut waste-neem leaf diet (C) (2.69 ± 0.12 g), while commercial diet (E) (1.8 ± 0.14 g) had the lowest value. All the results were significant ($P < 0.05$). There was no significant ($P > 0.05$) difference on percentage mortality among the dietary treatments, which ranged from 1.67 ± 0.33 to $3.33 \pm 0.67\%$. The result from this study indicate that, though response from neem leaf additive was low, percentage mortality was not significant. Neem leaf additive is therefore recommended in the diet of *C. gariepinus* juvenile without compromising growth performance and feed utilization.

Keywords: *Clarias gariepinus*, protein sources, neem additive, and growth performance

Introduction

Aquaculture is one of the fastest growing food production sectors in the world accounting for approximately 50% of fisheries products (FAO, 2010), and has grown into a multi-billion dollar industry, helped to alleviate human over dependence on depleted natural fish stocks (FAO, 2006). Catfish is one of the major fish aquaculture in Nigeria, and commands high commercial value (Ogwuba, 2003). It has been advocated that *Clarias gariepinus* contributes substantially to the total fish produced under culture system in Nigeria (Aniebo *et al.*, 2009). *Mucuna pruriens*, family *Fabaceae* has been described as a multipurpose plant used extensively both for its nutritional and medicinal properties (Adepoju and Odubena, 2009). The seeds have been found to have anti-depressant properties when consumed, and it has also shown to be neuro-protective (Manyham *et al.*, 2004). The effects of these anti-nutritional factors include: dizziness, diarrhea, pathologic changes in organs, growth decrease and death (Wanjekeche *et al.*,

2003).

Bambara nut (*Vigna subterranean*, family *Fabaceae*) is an herbaceous legume of African origin grown primarily for human consumption (Obizoba and Egbuna, 1992). It is cheap, easily obtainable and the waste meal is either thrown away or sold at cheap price. Bambara nut waste is a by-product of bambara nut flour production. The protein content was reported to be about 24.14 % (Enyidi and Mgbenka, 2014), and carbohydrate content estimated at 60% (Mgbenka and Orji, 2014), also richer in essential dietary amino acids like lysine and methionine that is lacking in other known legumes. Feed additives are substances which are added in trace amount to diets or feed ingredients to preserve their nutritional characteristics prior to feeding, facilitate -ingredient dispersion or feed pelleting, -feed ingestion, consumer acceptability of the product or to supply essential nutrients in purified forms. Some of these additives used in feed formulation are chemical products such as hormones and antibiotics which may

cause unfavorable side effects (Baruah *et al.*, 2008). The use of medicinal herbs as an alternative to antibiotic growth promoters in fish feeds is becoming widely acceptable (Bai *et al.*, 2001; Adedeji *et al.*, 2008).

Neem (*Azadirachta indica*, family Meliaceae), is a green tree of potential medical value found in most tropical countries. Neem is a multipurpose tree, people consume its fruits raw or cooked and sometimes eat the young twigs and flowers as vegetable (Orwa *et al.*, 2009). Neem leaf, bark and seed extracts have been used for countries in India in ethno-medicine and ethno-veterinary medicine (Biswas *et al.*, 2002). Neem leaf supplementary diets on innate immune respond in juveniles against *Vibrio harveyi* infection and serves as a possible replacement for synthetic antibiotic growth promoters (Ifeanyi and Bratte, 2015), help to reduce feed cost and reduce competition between man and the livestock industry for the available conventional feedstuffs (Muriu *et al.*, 2002). In Nigeria, the bulk of feed used in fish production especially for catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) are imported and this has led to high production cost of farmed fish (Aniebo *et al.*, 2009), constraining aquaculture development (Gabriel *et al.*, 2007). This study was carried out to assess the use of neem leaf as a phyto-additive on *Mucuna* and bambara nut based diets on the growth and feed utilization of *Clarias gariepinus* juveniles.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out at the fish production unit, Department of Fisheries Technology, Federal College of Agriculture, Ishagu (FCAI), Ebonyi State, Nigeria. *Mucuna* seeds and neem leaves were obtained within the FCAI, while bambara nut waste was sourced from local market. *Mucuna* seeds were subjected to wet treatment as described by Egounlety (2003). The boiled seeds were sun dried, while neem leaves were air dried for some days, and each ground to power and sieved using 595H mesh. The ingredients and proximate chemical composition of feed were estimated by the method of Gurumoorthi *et al.* (2003). Four different diets were formulated, pelleted using manual machine and sun dried, while the fifth was control diet. One thousand (1000) juveniles *Clarias gariepinus* fish with average 13.33 ± 0.18 g were procured and transferred to the tanks, each held 200 fish batch weighed. Feeding trials at 5% body weight started after 24hours (Madu *et al.*, 2003) and adjusted weekly in accordance with change in fish body weight (Hogendoorn and Vismanas, 1980) for 56 days. Water quality parameters were managed and maintained as recommended by Boyd (1990). Water quality parameters were taken weekly throughout the period of the experiment. Water temperature, Dissolved Oxygen (DO), pH, Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), Ammonia (NH₃) and Nitrite (NO₂) were measured using water test kit. All the data obtained from the experiment were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA).

Results and Discussion

The result in Table 2 shows that the highest fish weight at

the end of the experiment was observed in the control (commercial) diet (E) (58.33 ± 3.18 g), followed by fish fed Bambara nut waste/neem leaf diet (C) (39.17 ± 1.41 g), while fish fed bambara nut waste diet (A) (33.77 ± 1.68 g) had the lowest value and significant ($P < 0.05$). Therefore, the observed best growth response from commercial diet might be due to the processing and digestibility of the feed nutrient content that reflected in the fish. It might also be because commercial feed floats unlike other test diets that happened to be fast sinking feeds, hence there were easily eaten by the fish, thereby influencing their increase. The growth response of *Clarias gariepinus* showed that the mean weight gain of catfish juveniles in this experiment significantly differed during the 56 days of the research study. The highest mean weight gain was recorded in fish fed commercial diet (E) (45.10 ± 3.20 g), followed by fish fed Bambara/neem diet (C) (25.83 ± 1.32 g), while fish fed Bambara nut waste diet (A) (19.57 ± 0.79 g) had the lowest value. The highest mean weight gain per day was equally observed in fish fed commercial diet (E) (0.80 ± 0.06 g), followed by fish fed Bambara/neem diet (C) (0.46 ± 0.02 g), while fish fed Bambara nut waste diet (A) (0.35 ± 0.01 g) had the lowest value. There was significant ($P < 0.05$) difference among dietary treatments. The highest specific growth rate (SGR) value was observed in fish fed commercial diet (E) (2.64 ± 0.10 g), followed by fish fed Bambara/neem diet (C) (1.92 ± 0.06 g), while fish fed Bambara nut waste diet (A) (1.55 ± 0.07 g) had the lowest value. The highest feed intake was observed in fish fed commercial diet (E) (84.23 ± 11.00 g), followed by fish fed *Mucuna* diet (B) (72.87 ± 9.21 g), while fish fed *Mucuna*/neem diet (D) (53.23 ± 2.84 g) had the least. There were no significant ($P > 0.05$) differences among the feed intake. The highest value of feed conversion ratio was observed in fish fed Bambara nut waste diet (A) (2.90 ± 0.11 g), followed by fish fed Bambara nut waste and Neem leaf diet (C) (2.69 ± 0.12 g), while fish fed commercial diet E (1.80 ± 0.14 g) had the lowest value. This result was significant at ($P < 0.05$). There were no significant ($P > 0.05$) differences among the dietary treatments on fish mortality which ranged from $1.67 \pm 0.33\%$ in control diet to $3.33 \pm 0.67\%$ in Bambara based diet. Table 3 shows the economic value of fish fed different fish feeds. Fish fed Bambara nut waste based diet and commercial feeds were not profitable (-N358.00 and -N2133.00 respectively) due to the cost of Bambara nut waste and high cost of commercial fish feeds. Fish fed Bambara-neem leaf based diet had the highest appreciable profit of N841.00, followed by *Mucuna*-neem leaf based diet (N510.00) and *Mucuna* based diet (N241.00). On large scale production of the fish using the same feed treatments, while management cost remain low, the locally formulated fish feeds will eventually bring in more profit to the fish farm business due to the low cost of locally formulated fish feeds as a result of local materials incorporated into the feeds.

Conclusion

There were significant differences ($P < 0.05$) observed in all the dietary treatments from the analysis of growth

studied. In other to meet the demand for fish, the development of cheap, balanced and nutritional adequate diets are required; *Mucuna* seed and Bambara nut waste are cheap to procure, has considerable protein, minerals and vitamins, amino acid and the anti-nutritional factors of *Mucuna* seed can easily be removed by boiling. Also the growth rate parameters and economics analysis of diet fed to *C. gariepinus* using Bambara nut have also proven to be nutritive source of protein and a good replacement for soybean meal in formulating aqua feed for *C. gariepinus* juveniles without deleterious effect. However, on large scale fish production, while management cost remain low, the locally formulated fish feeds will eventually bring in more profit to the fish farm business due to the low cost of locally formulated fish feeds as a result of local materials incorporated into the feeds.

References

- Adedeji, O. S., Farinu, G. O., Olayemi, T. B., Ameen, S. A. and Babatunde, G. M. (2008). The use of bitter kola (*Garcinia kola*) dry seed powder as a natural growth promoting agent in broiler chicks. *Res. J. Poultry Sci*, 2: 78–81.
- Adepoju, G.K.A. and Odubena, O.O. (2009). Effect of *Mucuna pruriens* on some hematological and biochemical parameter. *Journal of pharmacy and biological science*, 4(1): 39-43.
- Aniebo, A.O., Erundu, E. and Owen, O.J. (2009). Replacement of fish meal with maggot meal in African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) diets. *Revistacientifica*, 9(3):666-671.
- Bai, S. C., Koo, D. and Kim, S. (2001). Effects of Chlorella powder as feed additive on growth performance in juvenile Korean rockfish, *Sebastes schlegali* (Hilgendorf). *Aquaculture Research*, 32: 92-98.
- Baruah, K., Norouzitallab, P., Debnath, D., Pal, A. K. and Sahu, N. P. (2008). Organic acids as non-antibiotic nutraceuticals in fish and prawn feed. *Aquacult Health Internat.*, 12: 4–6.
- Biswas, K., Chattopadhyay, I., Banerjee R.K. and Bandyopadhyay, U. (2002). Biological activities and medicinal properties of Neem (*Azadirachta Indica*). *Current science*, 82(11): 1336-1345.
- Boyd, C. E. (1990). Water quality in ponds for aquaculture Alabama agricultural experiment station, Auburn University Alabama, USA.482p.
- Egounlety, M. (2003). Processing of velvet bean (*Mucuna pruriens* var. *utilis*) by fermentation. *Tropical and subtropical Agro-ecosystem*, 1:173-181.
- Enyidi, U. D. and Mgbenka B. O. (2014). Substitution of fishmeal with Bambara nut waste meal in the diets of first feeding (*Clarias gariepinus* x *Heterobranchus bidorsalis*) “*Heteroclarias*” *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies*, 1(3): 118–122.
- FAO (2006). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, the state of world fisheries and aquaculture. Rome; FAO Fisheries technical paper 500. 145pp.
- FAO (2010). The state of the world fisheries and aquaculture. FAO fisheries and Aquaculture department Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Pp. 218.
- Gabriel, U.U., Akinrotimi, A.O., Bekibele, D.O., Onunkwo, D.N. and Anyanwu, D.E. (2007). Locally produced fish feed potentials for aquaculture development in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of Aquaculture Research*, 297:287-295.
- Gurumoorthi, P., Pugalenti, M. and Janardhanan, K. (2003). Nutritional potential of five accession of a South Indian tribal pulse *Mucuna pruriens* investigation on total free phenolice, tannins, trypsin and chymotrypsin inhibitors phytohaemagglutinins, and vitro protein digestibility. *Tropical Subtropical Journal of Agro-ecosystem*, 1:153-153.
- Hogendoorn, H. and Vismanas, M.M. (1980). Control propagation of the African Catfish *Clarias Lazera* (C and V). 11 Artificial reproductions. *Aquaculture*, 21: 39-53.
- Ifeanyi, L, O. and Bratte, L. (2015). Effect of varying levels of Neem (*Azadiachta Indica*) leaf meal in layer diets on the haematological and serological indices and fecal Bacterial Counts of layers. *Journal of Natural Science Research*, 5(4): 59-64.
- Madu, C.T., Akilo, K.T., Sogbes, A.O. and Ibiyo, J.M. (2003). Some Non-conventional fish feed development and feeding practice in agriculture. An abstract book of fisheries society of Nigeria page 73.
- Manyham, B.U., Dhanasekara, M. and Hare, T.A. (2004). Neuroprotective effect of the anti-parkinson utilize legum:A review (Linn). *Journal of plant foods for human nutrition*, 60:201-218.
- Mgbenka, B.O. and Orji, R.C.A. (2014). Used of fresh palm fruit extract on Catfish *Clarias gariepinus*. *Journal of Applied Aquaculture*, 4: 79-91.
- Muriu, J. I., Njoku, E. N., Tuitock, J.N. and Nanua J.N. (2002). Evaluation of Sorghum (*Soighum biocolor*) as replacement of maize in the diet of growing rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*). *Asian- Australian Journal of Animal Science*, 15: 565-569.
- Obizoba, I. C. and Egbuna, H. I. (1992). Effect of germination and fermentation on the nutritional quality of Bambara nut (*Voandzeia subterranean* L. Thouars) and its product (milk). *Plant Food for Human Nutrition*, 42: 13–23.
- Ogwuba, A.O. (2003). Keynote address of the programme manager (OGADEP) Abeokuta at the first annual fish farmers' seminar and training workshop organized by Fisheries Society of Nigeria, Ogun State Chapter at Abeokuta on July 31, 4pp
- Orwa, C., Mutua A., Kindt, R., Jamnadass, R. and Anthony, S. (2009). Selection guide version 4.0 Agroforestry Database: a tree reference and selection guide, World Agro Forestry Centre, Kenya.
- Wanjekeche, E., wakasa, V. and Mureithi, J.G. (2003). Effect of alkali acid and germination on nutritional composition and anti-nutritional factors of *Mucuna*

(*Mucuna pruriens*). In: increasing *Mucuna* potentials as food and feed crop. J. Mureithi, R. Muinga, C. Sandoval and N. Szabo (Eds).

Proceedings of an international workshop, 23rd - 36rd September, 2002, Mombasa, Kenya Tropical and subtropical Agro ecosystem 1 (2-30:183-192).

Table 1: Feed Composition

<i>Ingredients</i>	<i>Bambara</i>	<i>Mucuna</i>	<i>Bambara/Neem Leaf</i>	<i>Mucuna/Neem leaf</i>
<i>Fish meal</i>	19	19	19	19
<i>Blood meal</i>	17	17	17	17
<i>Bone meal</i>	1	1	1	1
<i>G.N.C</i>	9	9	9	9
<i>Bambara nut waste</i>	32	-	27	-
<i>Mucuna seed</i>	-	32	-	27
<i>Neem leaf</i>	-	-	5	5
<i>Starch</i>	4	4	4	4
<i>Palm oil</i>	3	3	3	3
<i>Maize</i>	10	10	10	10
<i>Salt</i>	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
<i>Vitamin premix</i>	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
<i>Methionine</i>	2	2	2	2
<i>Lysine</i>	2	2	2	2
<i>TOTAL</i>	100	100	100	100

Crude protein Bambara based feed=40%; *Mucuna* based feed=44%; Bambara-Neem leaf based feed =40% *Mucuna*-Neem leaf based feed = 43%

Table 2: Growth performance of *Clarias gariepinus* fed differently formulated feeds

Parameter	A		B		C		D		E	
	Bambara Nut waste	<i>Mucuna</i>	Bambara Nut waste/Neem leaf	<i>Mucuna/Neem leaf</i>	Bambara Nut waste/Neem leaf	<i>Mucuna/Neem leaf</i>	Bambara Nut waste/Neem leaf	<i>Mucuna/Neem leaf</i>	Commercial feed	Commercial feed
Initial wt (g)	13.20 ± 0.20	13.33 ± 0.33	13.00 ± 0.00	13.00 ± 0.00	13.00 ± 0.00	13.00 ± 0.00	13.00 ± 0.00	13.00 ± 0.00	13.23 ± 0.23	13.23 ± 0.23
Final wt (g)	33.77 ± 1.68 ^b	36.66 ± 3.71 ^b	39.17 ± 1.41 ^b	39.17 ± 1.41 ^b	39.17 ± 1.41 ^b	39.17 ± 1.41 ^b	39.17 ± 1.41 ^b	37.63 ± 0.61 ^b	58.33 ± 3.18 ^a	58.33 ± 3.18 ^a
Mean wt gain (g)	19.567 ± 0.79 ^b	23.33 ± 3.38 ^b	25.83 ± 1.32 ^b	25.83 ± 1.32 ^b	25.83 ± 1.32 ^b	25.83 ± 1.32 ^b	25.83 ± 1.32 ^b	24.63 ± 0.56 ^b	45.10 ± 3.20 ^a	45.10 ± 3.20 ^a
MWG/D	0.35 ± 0.01 ^b	0.42 ± 0.06 ^b	0.46 ± 0.02 ^b	0.46 ± 0.02 ^b	0.46 ± 0.02 ^b	0.46 ± 0.02 ^b	0.46 ± 0.02 ^b	0.44 ± 0.01 ^b	0.80 ± 0.06 ^a	0.80 ± 0.06 ^a
SGR	1.55 ± 0.07 ^b	1.79 ± 0.14 ^b	1.92 ± 0.06 ^b	1.92 ± 0.06 ^b	1.92 ± 0.06 ^b	1.92 ± 0.06 ^b	1.92 ± 0.06 ^b	1.88 ± 0.01 ^b	2.64 ± 0.10 ^a	2.64 ± 0.10 ^a
Feed Intake (g)	56.76 ± 3.46	72.87 ± 9.21	69.70 ± 5.51	69.70 ± 5.51	69.70 ± 5.51	69.70 ± 5.51	69.70 ± 5.51	53.23 ± 2.84	84.23 ± 11.	84.23 ± 11.
F C R	2.90 ± 0.11 ^c	2.63 ± 0.09 ^c	2.69 ± 0.12 ^c	2.69 ± 0.12 ^c	2.69 ± 0.12 ^c	2.69 ± 0.12 ^c	2.69 ± 0.12 ^c	2.16 ± 0.10 ^b	1.80 ± 0.14 ^a	1.80 ± 0.14 ^a
Mortality (%)	3.33 ± 0.67	2.67 ± 0.67	2.33 ± 0.67	2.33 ± 0.67	2.33 ± 0.67	2.33 ± 0.67	2.33 ± 0.67	3.00 ± 0.57	1.67 ± 0.33	1.67 ± 0.33

Mean within the same column with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05)

Table 3: Economic analysis of production cost and income (N) of fish fed 15kg fish feed/treatment

Parameter	Bambara Nut waste		Bambara Nut waste/Neem leaf		Commercial feed	
	<i>Mucuna</i>	<i>Mucuna</i>	<i>Mucuna/Neem leaf</i>	<i>Mucuna/Neem leaf</i>	Commercial feed	Commercial feed
Feedstuff, processing (N)	N550.00	550.00	415.00	425.00	50.00	50.00
Other feed ingredients	N1500.00	1500.00	1500.00	1500.00	8500.00	8500.00
200 fish seeds	N4000.00	4000.00	4000.00	4000.00	4000.00	4000.00
Management cost	N500.00	500.00	500.00	500.00	500.00	500.00
Production cost	N6550.00	6550.00	6415.00	6425.00	13055.00	13055.00
Income	N6192.00	6791.00	7256.00	6935.00	13050.00	13050.00
Profit/Loss	(358.00)	241.00	841.00	510.00	(2133.00)	(2133.00)