

# EVALUATING ECO-FRIENDLY POTTING MEDIA ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF CARROT VARIETIES IN ABAKALIKI, SOUTH EASTERN NIGERIA

\*Utobo, E.B., Ekwu, L.G., Nwoku, G.N., Nwogbaga, A.C. and Nwanchor, K

Department of Crop Production and Landscape Management, Ebonyi State University, P.M.B.053,  
Abakaliki, Nigeria

\*emekabenjamin@yahoo.co.uk

## Abstract

Screen house and field experiments were conducted in 2012 to evaluate the influence of different potting media on the growth and yield of carrot varieties, using 3 x 7 factorial laid out in a Completely Randomized Design (CRD). Factor A was three (3) different carrot varieties, whereas factor B was seven (7) different potting media. The result revealed that the carrot varieties were significantly different in vegetative and yield parameters measured under both conditions regardless of potting media. Lunga rossa ottusa 2 (V<sub>2</sub>) performed best, followed by Technisem (V<sub>1</sub>) and the least was that of Royal Sluis (V<sub>3</sub>). In terms of potting media, significant effects were also observed in growth and yield of the three carrot varieties evaluated under both screen house and field conditions respectively. Medium 6 (composted rice hull + composted sawdust + cured pig dung + top soil) performed best under both conditions, followed by media 5 (composted rice hull + cured pig dung + top soil), compared to the rest of the media.

**Keywords: Potting media, carrot, growth, yield and eco-friendly**

## Introduction

Carrot (*Daucus carota var sativus*) is a hardy, cool season, day neutral crop that is mostly grown for the thickened root and for food. It is the most important crop plant of the family Umbeliferae, which is distributed worldwide. The crop has high vitamin A, mineral and dietary fiber contents with characteristic flavour and colour (Mills, 2001 and United Nations, 2001). Among succulent vegetable crops, carrot ranks third in world production (Ahmed *et al.*, 2014). In Nigeria, it is relatively a recent addition to the diet prior to the mid 50s. But, currently the crop has become a common commodity in the Northern part of the Country, particularly at the end of the dry season - March to May; when large quantities are produced and marketed further south, where little of the crop is grown (Sarkindiya *et al.*, 2006). Carrots prefer fertile, well-drained, deep, sandy soil rich in organic matter, with a pH of 5.5 – 7.0 for best growth. Top growth is reduced at mean air temperature above 28 °C and the roots become longer tapered below 16 °C. At 4 to 10 °C, there is less root enlargement and very little top growth production (Ahmed *et al.*, 2014). It is easy to think of soil as a good medium, but most soils when used alone are very poor growing medium. Soil has been indicated as the easiest way through which seedlings become infected with diseases such as root knot nematode and seedling rots (Egunjobi and Ekundare, 1981). Carrot can therefore, be grown using potting media as an eco-friendly, innovative alternative instead of soil. Potting media are light-weight substrates used in containers (pots) or poly bags for the production of crops and plants (McGinnis *et al.*, 2009). The materials used in a potting mix can be manipulated or processed to produce a growing medium with superior physical properties to the soil (George and Kelvin, 2004). In container / poly bags crop production, use of organic potting media substrate offers a great advantage over the conventional topsoil. Organic substrates provide adequate nutrients to the seedling, better root and less pre-dispose the seedlings to soil-borne pests and diseases (Akanbi *et al.*, 2002). Albery (1975) reported that sawdust is the most commonly and widely used wood

residue in agriculture for potting mixes. However, the decomposition of sawdust causes nitrogen deficiency. Wootton *et al.* (1981) observed that well-composted sawdust is preferred in any potting mix. Rice hull is light in weight, has uniform quality, resistant to decay and depletion of available nitrogen by microorganisms. It has the advantage of being easily incorporated into media for improved drainage and aeration (Wilkerson *et al.*, 1994). The physical composition of rice hull can have a profound effect on the supply of water and air to the growing plant as well as affect anchorage, nutrient and water holding capacity of the media (Beardsell and Michols, 1982 and Baiyeri, 2005). George and Kelvin (2004) reported that sawdust and rice hull mixed as a potting medium must be composted. Compost is perhaps the most common potting mix ingredient among organic products. Compost holds water well, provides nutrients and can be made right on the farm. Animal manures and bedding, farm and garden wastes and other materials can be combined to make high-quality reasonably consistent compost (George and Kelvin, 2004). The quality of potting media is important in the successful growing of crops in containers or poly bags. Bunt (1988) stated that a good potting medium should meet the needs of plant roots for air, water, nutrient and support. This practice will go a long way to encourage farming in individual or family homes because it makes farming easier and more reliable. Therefore, this study was conducted to evaluate the effect of different potting media mixture on the growth and yield of carrot varieties using poly bag technique and also to select the best potting medium for cultivation of carrot in poly bags, under screen house and field conditions.

### **Materials and Methods**

The study was conducted in 2012 under screen house and research field of the Department of Crop Production and Landscape Management, Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki, derived savannah zone of South Eastern Nigeria (Lat.  $06^{\circ} 45^1\text{N}$  and Long.  $08^{\circ} 30^1\text{E}$  and elevation of 447 m above the sea level). The total rainfall ranges from 1700 mm – 2000 mm, annual mean temperature is between  $22^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $32^{\circ}\text{C}$  and humidity ranges from 60% to 90%. Before the media preparation, some selected properties of the solarized top soil used in media formulation and as a control were analyzed and presented in Table 1. Different types of potting media were prepared using composted sawdust (CSD), composted rice hull (CRH), cured pig dung (CPD) and solarized top soil (TS) in different ratios (v/v basis) as shown in Table 2. The media mixtures were allowed to cure further for two weeks in poly bags before planting. The three carrot varieties used were Technisem ( $V_1$ ), Lunga rossa ottusa 2 ( $V_2$ ) and Royal sluis ( $V_3$ ). The experimental design was 3 x 7 factorial laid out in a Completely Randomized Design (CRD). This gave twenty one (21) treatment combinations that were replicated three times to produce a total of 63 experimental pots. Factor A were the three (3) different carrot varieties and factor. B were the seven (7) different potting media mixture. Planting was done in poly pots ( $15 \times 15 \times 30 \text{ cm}^3$ ) containing different potting media mixtures. The seed rate was six seeds per pot which was thinned down to three after germination. Water was applied once a day to supply adequate moisture to the crops and weeding was carried out as the need arose. The experiment was replicated under field conditions. The average of the vegetative growth (plant height, number of leaves, number of branches, and leave weight), yield components (root length and root circumference) and root yield parameters were computed. All data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) to test for the significance of treatment effects using GenStat Discovery Edition software version 3.0 for Windows (GenStat, 2008). The treatment means were compared using the least significant difference (LSD) test at a significance level of 0.05.

**Table 1: Some selected properties of top soil used for the experiment**

Physical	Amount
<b>Physical properties</b>	
Bulk density (g / cm <sup>3</sup> )	1.48
Total porosity (%)	45.00
Water holding capacity (%)	1.49
<b>Chemical properties</b>	
pH (H <sub>2</sub> O)	5.10
CEC [Cmol(+)/kg]	8.12
Organic matter content (%)	2.05
Total N content (%)	0.08
Available P (gm/kg)	42.80
K [Cmol(+)/kg]	0.03

**Table 2: Potting media composition**

S/N	Media composition / Ratio (v/v)
M1	Composted sawdust + Cured pig dung (CSD + CPD)/ 3 : 1
M2	Composted rice hull + Cured pig dung (CRH + CPD)/ 3 : 1
M3	Composted sawdust + Composted rice hull +Cured pig dung (CSD + CRH + CPD) / 1.5 : 1.5: 1
M4	Composted sawdust + Cured pig dung +Top soil (CSD + CPD+ TS) / 1.5 : 1.5: 1
M5	Composted rice hull + Cured pig dung +Top soil (CRH + CPD + TS) / 1.5 : 1.5 : 1
M6	Composted sawdust + Composted rice hull +Cured pig dung +Top soil (CSD + CRH + CPD + TS) / 1 : 1 : 1 : 1
M7	Top soil (CONTROL) / 4

## Results and Discussion

### Effect of Variety

The carrot varieties were significantly different in some vegetative parameters measured under screen house and field conditions respectively (Table 3). Luga rossa ottusa 2 (V<sub>2</sub>) and Technisem (V<sub>1</sub>) produced plants that were statistically similar in terms of plant height (40.41 cm and 39.60 cm respectively), but V<sub>2</sub> was significantly different from Royal sluis (V<sub>3</sub>) while V<sub>1</sub> and V<sub>3</sub> (37.1 cm) were statistically similar in screen house conditions. Under field conditions, V<sub>2</sub> produced the tallest plant (31.31 cm) which differed significantly from V<sub>1</sub> (29.79 cm) and V<sub>3</sub> (29.70 cm) respectively. Number of leaves were significantly better for V<sub>2</sub> in both screen house (95.40) and field (75.56) conditions, when compared to V<sub>1</sub> (91.20 and 67.69) and V<sub>3</sub> (86.00 and 69.12) respectively. As regards the number of branches, V<sub>2</sub> and V<sub>1</sub> produced similar results under screen house conditions (10.24 and 10.05 respectively) which differed significantly from V<sub>3</sub> (9.14), while under field condition, V<sub>2</sub> produced a number of leaves (75.56) which was significantly higher than V<sub>1</sub> (67.69) and V<sub>3</sub> (69.12). Weight of leaves on the other hand was not significant under screen house conditions, but in the field, V<sub>2</sub> also produced higher leaf weight of 38.00 g/pot which differed significantly from V<sub>1</sub> (34.59 g/pot) and V<sub>3</sub> (35.79 g/pot). The carrot varieties evaluated were significantly different, in terms of yield and yield components measured only under field conditions (Table 4). V<sub>2</sub> produced plants whose root length (12.60 cm) and root circumference (9.99 cm) were significantly better than V<sub>1</sub> (10.96 cm and 8.95 cm) and V<sub>3</sub> (9.79 cm and 8.39 cm) respectively. V<sub>2</sub> and V<sub>1</sub> produced plants that were statistically similar in terms of root yield (0.09 kg/pot and 0.07 kg/pot respectively), but V<sub>2</sub> was significantly different from V<sub>3</sub> (0.06 kg/pot) unlike V<sub>1</sub> and V<sub>3</sub> which showed statistical similarity. The results showed that Luga rossa ottusa 2 (V<sub>2</sub>) performed best

followed by Technisem ( $V_1$ ) and the least was that of Royal sluis ( $V_3$ ), in terms of growth and yield attributes. These observed differences according to Ibrahim *et al.* (2001) are normally attributed to their genetic makeup. Akande and Lamidi (2006) also observed that different characteristics are controlled by the different gene action and so behave differently in giving environment.

### **Effect of Potting Media**

The potting media mixture significantly affected all the vegetative growth parameters measured both in screen house and field conditions (Table 3). Medium 6 performed best for plant height under screen house (42.82 cm) and field (31.94 cm), and was similar to medium 3 (41.70 cm and 30.77 cm), and medium 5 (41.50 cm and 30.93 cm) respectively, but differed significantly from the rest of the media. Similarly, for the number of leaves, medium 6 also performed best under both conditions (103.11 and 69.57), but did not differ significantly from media 3 (96.33 and 56.81) and 5 (96.00 and 62.56) respectively unlike the rest of the media. As regards to number of branches, medium 5 did best under both screen house (10.89) and field (7.20) conditions which showed statistical similarity with media 2 (9.78 and 6.43), 3 (10.56 and 6.23), 4 (9.67 and 6.93) and 6 (10.67 and 6.67). For leaf weight, medium 6 performed best under screen house (44.86 g/pot) and field (42.21 g/pot) conditions and were similar to media 5 (44.26 g/pot and 40.53 g/pot), but differed significantly from the rest of the media. Media also significantly affected the entire yield and yield parameters measured under both screen house and field conditions (Table 4). For root length, medium 6 performed best under screen house (13.96 cm) and field (13.67 cm) conditions, which was similar to medium 5 under both conditions (13.41 cm and 13.23 cm) but differed significantly from the rest of the media, except in the field where medium 6 was statistically similar to media 3 and 4. Also, under both conditions, medium 6 performed best in terms of root circumference (11.82 cm and 10.17 cm), and yield (0.12 kg/plot and 0.10 kg/pot) respectively but differed significantly from the rest of the media. These results suggest that media 6 (composted sawdust + rice hull + pig dung + river sand) performed best generally followed by medium 5 (composted rice hull + pig dung + river sand) in terms of growth and yield parameter measured. A similar result was obtained by Mumtazkhan *et al.* (2006). They observed that the media containing sand + peat + spent compost of button mushroom (1:1:1) proved to be a superior potting medium for growth of rough lemon (*C. jambhiri*) nursery stock. Anvari *et al.* (1992) also observed that potting media containing sand, manure, clay loam, and saw dust were found effective for the healthy growth and development of Troyer citrange grown in pots. These results were supported by the finding of World bank (1995) who observed that mixtures of various components with complementary physical and chemical properties will produce superior potting media necessary for growth and yield of crops.

**Table 3: Effects of varieties and media on carrot plant height (cm), number of branches, number of leaves and weight of leaves**

Treatments	Screen house				Field			
	Plant height (cm)	No. of leaves	No. of branches	Weight of leaves (g/pot)	Plant Height (cm)	No. of leaves	No. of branches	Weight of leaves (g/pot)
<b>Varieties (V)</b>								
Technisem (V <sub>1</sub> )	39.60ab	91.21b	10.05ab	40.35	29.79b	67.69b	7.60b	34.59b
Luga rossa ottusa 2 (V <sub>2</sub> )	40.41a	95.40a	10.24a	40.48	31.31a	75.56a	7.96a	38.00a
Royal sluis (V <sub>3</sub> )	37.10b	86.00b	9.14b	35.71	29.70b	69.12b	7.11c	35.79b
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	2.69**	6.49**	0.87*	NS	1.33*	3.43*	0.18*	2.17*
<b>Media (M)</b>								
M <sub>1</sub>	30.31d	63.72d	8.00c	33.29c	29.43b	51.43e	6.40bc	33.78d
M <sub>2</sub>	39.12c	88.81b	9.78a	38.15b	30.60ab	58.38cd	6.43b	37.75c
M <sub>3</sub>	41.70ab	96.33a	10.56a	37.67b	30.77a	56.81d	6.23c	36.14c
M <sub>4</sub>	40.33b	87.30bc	9.67ab	38.19b	30.73a	58.80bc	6.93ab	40.39b
M <sub>5</sub>	41.50a	96.00ab	10.89a	44.26a	30.93a	62.56b	7.20a	40.53ab
M <sub>6</sub>	42.82a	103.11a	10.67a	44.96a	31.94a	69.57a	6.97a	42.21a
M <sub>7</sub>	37.51c	77.73cd	9.11bc	34.79c	27.47c	50.96e	6.03c	33.87d
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	1.76**	10.79**	1.32**	1.78*	1.72*	4.41**	0.54*	1.70**

Means with the same letter are not significantly different at 5% by DMRT; <sup>NS</sup> No significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ); \* \*\* significant difference at  $P < 0.05$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ; Values are mean of three replicates ( $n = 3$ ).

**Table 4: Effects of varieties and media on carrot root length, root circumference, and yield (kg/plot)**

Treatments	Screen house			Field		
	Root Length (cm)	Root circumference (cm)	Root yield (kg/plot)	Root Length (cm)	Root circumference (cm)	Root yield (kg/plot)
<b>Varieties (V)</b>						
Technisem (V <sub>1</sub> )	13.72	10.73	0.15	10.96b	8.95b	0.07ab
Luga rossa ottusa 2 (V <sub>2</sub> )	14.03	10.02	0.14	12.60a	9.99a	0.09a
Royal sluis (V <sub>3</sub> )	13.51	9.81	0.13	9.79b	8.39b	0.06b
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	NS	NS	NS	1.59*	1.03*	0.02*
<b>Media (M)</b>						
M <sub>1</sub>	11.32d	8.61e	0.06de	10.87b	7.57c	0.06d
M <sub>2</sub>	12.13c	9.92d	0.07cd	11.93ab	8.43bc	0.06d
M <sub>3</sub>	12.44c	9.94d	0.08bc	12.37a	8.67b	0.07c
M <sub>4</sub>	12.63b	10.23c	0.08bc	12.47a	9.10ab	0.07c
M <sub>5</sub>	13.41a	11.12b	0.10b	13.23a	9.40a	0.09b
M <sub>6</sub>	13.96a	11.82a	0.12a	13.67a	10.17a	0.10a
M <sub>7</sub>	9.71c	8.33e	0.05e	8.97c	7.50c	0.05e
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	1.34**	0.55**	0.016*	1.70*	1.33**	0.004**

Means with the same letter are not significantly different at 5% by DMRT; <sup>NS</sup> No significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ); \* \*\* significant difference at  $P < 0.05$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ; Values are mean of three replicates ( $n = 3$ ).

## Conclusion

Potting media had a significant effect on some of the vegetative and yield parameters of three carrot varieties evaluated. Mixtures of various components with complementary physical and chemical properties which produced superior potting media such as 6 (composted rice hull + composted sawdust + cured pig dung + top soil), followed by media 5 (composted rice hull + cured pig dung + top soil) were more productive compared to the rest potting mixtures, and should be used in the production of carrot under controlled and field conditions in Abakaliki, Southeastern Nigeria. For best production, screen house will be preferred, with carrot variety Luga rossa ottusa 2 (V<sub>2</sub>) followed by Technisem (V<sub>1</sub>).

## Acknowledgement

I acknowledge the effort of Mozia, Maranatha L. and Nnamani Patience F., who ensured that this research work was accomplished.

## References

- Ahmed, A., Sambo, B. E., Arunah, U. L. and Odion, E. C. (2014). Response of Farmyard Manure and Inorganic Fertilizers for Sustainable Growth of Carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) in Northern Nigeria. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*, 7(2):18-25.
- Akanbi, B.W., Togum A.O. and Baiyeri, R.A. (2002). Suitability of plant residue compost as nursery medium for some tropical fruit tree seedlings. *Mar J. Agric. Res.*, 3: 24-29.
- Akande, S.R. and Lamidi, G.O. (2006). Performance of quality protein maize varieties and disease reaction in the derived Savanna agro-ecology of South West Nigeria. Institute of Agricultural Research and Training, Obafemi Awolowo University, Moor Plantation, Ibadan, Nigeria. Pp 1-4.
- Albery, P.E. (1975). Sawdust as container growing medium. Combined proceedings of plants propagator society, 25: 272-275.
- Anvari, F.Y., Ebrahimi, Y.M., Aliyan, S.N. and Vahidi, K. (1992). An evaluation of eight media for propagation of citrus nursery trees in containers in northern Iran. *Proc. Int. Soc. Citriculture*, 1:307-308.
- Baiyeri, K.P. (2005). Response of *Musa* species on macro-propagation. II: the effect of genotype, initiative and weaning media on sucker growth and quality in the nursery. *African journal of Biotechnology*, 4 (3) 229-234,
- Beardsell, D.U. and Michols, D. (1982). Wetting properties of dried-out nursery container media. *Scientia Horticulture*, 17:49-59.
- Bunt, A.C. (1988). Media and mixes for container grown plants. A manual on the preparation and use of growing media for pot plants (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed). *Uwing Hyman Ltd.* London. Pp. 307.
- Egunjobi O.A. and Ekundare, O.O. (1981). The cassava peeling as a soil amendment and its effects on maize yield in soil infested with *pratylenchus bractyams*. *Nig J plant prod.*, 5:80-87.
- GenStat Inc. (2008). GenStat Discovery Edition 3. - VSN International Ltd.
- George, K. and Kelvin, E. (2004). Potting mixes for certified organic production. National sustainable agriculture information service, *Horticultural technical note*. [www.altrarncat.org](http://www.altrarncat.org).
- Ibrahim, R., Amans, E.B., Ahmed, A. and Abubakar, I.U. (2001). Growth and yield of tomato (*Lyopersicum esculentum* Mill) varieties influenced by crop spacing at Samaru, Northern Nigeria. *Nig. J. Hort Sc.*, 5:52-57.
- McGinnis, M., Cleveland, B. and Strokes, C. (2009). Flinculture, nursery and greenhouse crops. Sampling for soilless media analysis. North Carolinas department of Agriculture and consumer services Agronomic sampling folder no.9 [www.neagrogov/agronomil](http://www.neagrogov/agronomil).
- Mills, H.A. (2001). *Vegetable crops: carrot* (*Daucus carota* L. spp. *Sativus*) college of Agricultural and Environmental sciences, university of Georgia.
- Mumtazkhan, M., Azamkhan, M., Mazhar, A., Muhammed, J. Jaskani, M.A. and Haider, A. (2006). Evaluation of potting media for the production of rough lemon nursery stock. 38(3): 623-629.
- Sarkindiya, S. and Yakubu, A. I. (2006). Effect of Intra-row Spacing, Fertilizer Level and Period of Weeding on the Performance of Carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) in Sokoto Rima Valley, *Sav. J. of Agric.*, 1 (1): 1-5.
- United Nations (2003). Organic Fruit and Vegetable from the Tropics: Market. Certification and Production Information for Producers and International Trading Companies. Pp. 330.
- Wilkerson, D., Barnes, L., Drees, B. and Hall, C. (1994). The Texas Poinsettia Producers Guide: Selecting a Growing Medium. *Texas Agricultural Extension Service Greenhouse Bulletin*.
- Wootton, R.D., Gouin, F.R. and Stark, F.C. (1981). Composted, digested sludge as a medium for growing flowering annuals. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.*, 106: 46-49.
- World Bank (1995). Organic and Compost-based Growing Media for Tree Seedling Nurseries.