

**Igor Burkhanov.** *Lexicography: A Dictionary of Basic Terminology.* 1998, 285 pp. ISBN 83-87288-56-X. Rzeszów: Wyższa Szkoła Pedagogiczna. Price 16 PLN.

A long-awaited dictionary has at last been published, going beyond a glossary of lexicographic terms (cf. Robinson 1984) or a dictionary of a particular aspect of lexicography (cf. Cluver 1989). It is the first dictionary of basic lexicography terms. In 265 pages Burkhanov gives us a balanced view of the state of the art in dictionary form. It comprises terms typical of lexicographic work, including terms originally related to different linguistic disciplines.

The selection of the data is founded, as can be inferred from the bibliography (p. 267-285), on a solid basis. It covers publications over the last twenty years, deals with various aspects of the field and includes the most recent trends from the late eighties and the nineties, e.g. Bergenholtz and Tarp (1995), Bright (1992), Bussmann (1996), Cowie (1987), Cluver (1989), Diab (1990), Fillmore and Atkins (1992), Ilson (1991), Benson, Benson and Ilson (1986), Landau (1989), Hüllen (1990), Snell-Hornby (1990).

It is not difficult to find one's way in the dictionary: few symbols are used, most important of which are the ones indicating cross-references within the word-list. In this dictionary, though the arrangement of terms is alphabetical, conceptual relatedness between terms is not lost. Related terms are listed at the end of the explanatory text with the guide words "see also" and "compare". "See also" refers to superordinate and subordinate terms, e.g. in the lemma *lemmatization*:

In *lexicography*, the term "lemmatization" is used to refer to the reduction of *inflectional word forms* to their *lemmata*, i.e. *basic forms*, and the elimination of *homography*. It is one of the major procedures of computational lexicography and computational linguistics in general (see **corpora analysis**) which attributes word forms to their *lexemes*. In practice, lemmatization involves the assignment of a uniform heading under which elements of the *corpora* containing the word forms of same lexeme are represented. Lemmatization procedures are a constituent of *concordancing programmes* intended to produce *concordances*, *reverse dictionaries*, and so on. [see also **corpora analysis**; **corpus**; **lemma**; **word form**].

A "compare" reference leads us to co-hyponyms, antonyms or otherwise associated forms of the same rank, e.g. in the lemma *complementarity*:

The term "complementarity" is used in reference to a semantic relationship of opposition, particularly a lexical-semantic relation between pairs of *lexical items* called *complementaries* or *nongradable antonyms*. If two lexical items are complementaries, the negation of one implies the assertion of the other; for instance, 'John is not *married*' implies 'John is *single*'. [compare **nongradable antonym**; **converseness**; see also **antonymy**].

Therefore, what has been sacrificed in the alphabetical arrangement is almost regained through the cross-references, which in a way represent a conceptual system.

Within the entries there are references to:

- (a) bibliographical sources to which the reader could refer, e.g. Denisov (1977), Kipfer (1984), Wiegand (1989) in the lemma of *entry*,
- (b) dictionaries, e.g. *The Concise Oxford Synonym Dictionary* (1995) in the entry for *synonym*, and
- (c) the person who introduced the term, e.g. Landau (1989) in the entry for *frequency label*, Catford (1965) in the entry for *co-text*, and Trier (1931) in the entry for *lexical field*.

The dictionaries referred to are for English, French, German and Russian, and many of them have been published recently (see Bibliography: 281-285), e.g. *The American College Dictionary of the English Language* (1995), *The Facts on File: Visual Dictionary* (1995), *The New Lexicon Webster's Dictionary of the English Language* (1993), *NTC's Thesaurus of Everyday American English* (1995), and *Thesaurus Larousse: des idées aux mots, des mots aux idées* (1992).

On the whole, the cross-reference system is manageable and easy to use. However, an alphabetical index of all terms contained in the dictionary, including "see", "see also" and "compare" cross-references with page numbers, should be included, e.g. in the lemma *lexicographic description* (p. 132), see **macrostructure of a dictionary** (p. 146), see also **lexicographic investigation** (p. 132); **lexicographic presentation** (p. 134), or in the lemma *lexicographic investigation* (p. 132), see also **lexicographic description** (p. 132); compare **lexicographic presentation** (p. 134).

One of the advantages of the dictionary is its reference to Russian scholars and their contributions, e.g. Morkovkin, Akhmanova, Apresyan, Mel'nikov, Ufimceva, Smirnicky, Šerba, Cyvin and Karaulov. More information on these scholars is needed for non-Russian-speaking users of the dictionary and short notes on their contributions could be included in a new edition.

In his definition of essential terms such as *lexicography* and *lexicology*, Burkhanov gives a balanced, unbiased viewpoint. In *lexicography*, for example — which is a controversial term — he has highlighted different approaches: Richards, Platt and Weber (1992), Ilson (1991), Denisov (1977), Dubois et al. (1973), Akhmanova (1969), Henne (1973) and Wierzbicka (1985). Throughout the dictionary, Burkhanov sticks to his firm belief stated in the preface "not to impose the author's ideas or only one, even predominant, viewpoint on the intended audience, but to introduce the dictionary user into the heart of present-day controversy".

All linguistic terms included are viewed from the standpoint of lexicographic theory and practice, e.g. *descriptive approach*: "Nowadays this term is

also implemented in reference to *lexicographic* products particularly *linguistic dictionaries* and *usage guides*" (p. 61). In his definition of the term *target language*, which is borrowed from applied linguistics, he refers to its use in bilingual lexicography and the meaning it acquired: "In *bilingual lexicography*, the target language is the language whose *translation equivalents* are provided as *definiens* in the *entries*" (p. 239).

The terms he has chosen come from both the theoretical and practical aspects of lexicology: *synonym*, *antonymy*, *lexis*, *compound*, *thesaurus*, *idiom*, *collocation*, *collect*, *concordance*, *correction file*, *citation slip*, *back matter*, *frame*, *frequency label*, *front matter*, etc.

Typical of his lexicographic treatment is the term *monosemy*:

The term "monosemy" was coined by Bréal (1897) to designate a *concept* which is opposed to *polysemy*. Monosemy was originally thought to be solely a property of *lexemes*. Nowadays it is usually defined as follows. A *linguistic sign*, i.e. a *lexical item*, a *morpheme*, or a *syntactic structure*, is characterized by monosemy if it has only one *meaning*. [see also **polysemous**; **homonymous**; compare **polysemy**; **homonymy**].

Here he gives the origin of the term, a definition, its opposite, related terms, its present-day usage — all in a well-knit whole. As Picht and Draskau (1985: 62) advocate: "A term may not be viewed as an isolated unit in terminology. Its evaluation and elaboration should always be carried out within the conceptual system, which is in turn closely related to a special subject field or a discipline." This has been Burkhanov's approach throughout the dictionary and this is the strongest point in his dictionary, following sound terminological principles.

One of the assets of the dictionary is the comprehensive treatment of the meanings of the terms provided. Burkhanov provides us with sufficient information about the term, which is a great help to lexicography students, translators and terminologists. A good example is the term *paraphrase* (p. 169-170), whose meanings are given in Hartmann and Stork (1972) and Crystal (1991), but only from a linguistic point of view:

- (a) Hartmann and Stork (1972)

**PARAPHRASE** The process or result of rewording an utterance from one level or variety of a language into another without altering the meaning, as opposed to → metaphrase or translation which converts the utterance into a different language. Some types of → language teaching make use of both paraphrasing and translation to develop the skills of expressing the same meaning in a number of different ways.

- (b) Crystal (1991)

**paraphrase** A term used in LINGUISTICS for the result or process of producing alternative versions of a SENTENCE or TEXT without changing the

MEANING. One SENTENCE may have several paraphrases, e.g. *The dog is eating a bone, A bone is being eaten by the dog, It's the dog who is eating a bone*, and so on. Most SEMANTIC theories would treat all these sentences as having a single semantic REPRESENTATION (though variations of FOCUS and PRESUPPOSITION could differentiate them.) Linguists use syntactic paraphrase as a major procedure for establishing certain types of TRANSFORMATIONAL relations. See Clark and Clark 1977: Ch. 1.

Burkhanov deals with the different concepts which are associated with the term and relevant to lexicographic description. He gives the meaning of the term in (a) its broad sense, (b) logic, (c) transformational generative grammar, and (d) linguistic semantics, as well as the influence of all these concepts, whether explicitly or implicitly, on the development of lexicography: "To give but one example, all of the aforementioned ways of paraphrasing the *lexical meaning* of a lexical item are implemented in *monolingual lexicography*: derivation is made use of in *run-ins* and *run-ons*; replacing a one-word lexical item with a semantically-equivalent word combination is applied in *analytical definitions*, etc. In *metalexicography*, the requirements for paraphrases in logic have been applied to the evaluation of the correlation between *definiendum* and *definiens*."

He also focuses on the present usage of terms and gives the main approaches to the description of lexicographic concepts, e.g. *synonym dictionary*. The term, according to Burkhanov, is used "to designate a large group of linguistic dictionaries". Therefore a synonym dictionary is "any reference work (whatever its title may be) of a wider range of philological dictionaries featuring semantic affinities between quasi-synonyms and other lexical items closely related in *meaning*". He includes *analogical dictionaries* and *thesauri* amongst these reference works and gives *The Concise Oxford Thesaurus* (1995) as an example.

In some of his definitions, Burkhanov refers to the relevant sense of the term in computational lexicography, e.g. senses 3 and 4 given on p. 14 of *The Concise Oxford Thesaurus*:

3. the meaning in computational lexicography as a reference work containing an alphabetized index of descriptors
4. a stored list of usually one-word lexical items intended to be consulted in the course of computer-writing

Though Burkhanov's definitions meet the requirements of *precision*, *comprehensiveness* and *clarity* (see Heliel 1987), some of them need to be reinforced by visual illustrations. Examples are:

- (a) *analogical dictionary* where examples from Maquet's *Dictionnaire analogique* could have been supplied,

- (b) *explanatory dictionary* where examples from Apresyan et al.'s *English-Russian Dictionary of Synonyms* could have been given, and
- (c) *collocational dictionary* where examples from Benson's *BBI Dictionary of English Word Combinations* could have been provided.

Other terms that need to be supplemented by examples from different dictionaries to serve as visual aids are: *subentry*, *run-on*, *subsense*, *user's guide*, *article*, etc.

There is no doubt that the goal of the author as stated in the preface and the functions he suggests for a terminological dictionary in a burgeoning field such as that of lexicography, has been achieved. Burkhanov has:

- (a) formulated the basic concepts of the field and their systems, and
- (b) specified the concepts which have been borrowed from linguistic and nonlinguistic disciplines and the modifications which those concepts have undergone before becoming part of lexicographical terminology.

His dictionary may be a positive step towards clarifying and perhaps standardizing many basic lexicographic terms. The dictionary will be an indispensable tool in the hands of its intended audience. Both undergraduate and post-graduate students doing a course in lexicography will treasure it as a guide presenting the basic concepts in the field in simple language and clear design.

## References

- Akhmanova, O.S. 1969<sup>2</sup>. *Slovar' lingvističeskikh terminov*. Moscow: Sovetskaja Encyklopedija.
- Benson, M., E. Benson and R.F. Ilson. 1986. *Lexicographic Description of English*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Bergenholtz, H. and S. Tarp (Eds.). 1995. *Manual of Specialized Lexicography*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Bréal, M. 1897. *Essai de sémantique*. Paris: Hachette.
- Bright, William (Ed.). 1992. *International Encyclopedia of Linguistics*. New York/Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bussmann, H. (Ed.). 1996. *Dictionary of Language and Linguistics*. London/New York: Routledge.
- Catford, J.C. 1965. *A Linguistic Theory of Translation: An Essay in Applied Linguistics*. Oxford: University Press.
- Clark, H.H. and E.V. Clark. 1977. *Psychology and Language*. Port Worth/Philadelphia: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.
- Cluver, A.D. de V. 1989. *Dictionary of Terminography*. Pretoria: Human Sciences Research Council.
- Cowie, A.P. (Ed.). 1987. *The Dictionary and the Language Learner*. Tübingen: Niemeyer.
- Crystal, D. 1991<sup>3</sup>. *A Dictionary of Language and Linguistics*. London: Blackwell.
- Denisov, P.N. 1977. Ob universal'noj strukture slovarnoj stat'i'. Red'kin, V.A. (Ed.). 1977. *Aktual'nyje problemy u čebnoj leksikografii*. Moscow: Russkij Jazyk.

- Diab, T.** 1990. *Pedagogical Lexicography: A Case Study of Arab Nurses as Dictionary Users*. Tübingen: Niemeyer.
- Dubois, J. et al.** 1973. *Dictionnaire de linguistique*. Paris: Larousse.
- Fillmore, C.J. and B.T. Atkins.** 1992. Toward a Frame-Based Lexicon: The Semantics of RISK and its Neighbors. Lehrer, A. and E.F. Kittay (Eds.). 1992. *Frames, Fields and Contrasts: New Essays in Semantic and Lexical Organization*. Hillsdale: Lawrence Earlbaum.
- Hartmann, R.K.K. and F.C. Stork.** 1972. *Dictionary of Language and Linguistics*. London: Applied Science Publishers.
- Heliel, M.H.** 1987. Definitions of Linguistic Terms in an English/Arabic Dictionary. *Dictionaries* 9: 133-148.
- Henne, H.** 1973. Lexikographie. Althaus, H.P., H. Henne and H.E. Wiegand (Eds.). 1973. *Lexikon der Germanistischen Linguistik*, 590-601. Tübingen: Niemeyer.
- Hüllen, W.** 1990. Rudolf Hallig and Walter von Wartburg's Begriffssystem and its Non-/Acceptance in German Linguistics. Schmitter, P. (Ed.). *Essays towards a History of Semantics*, 129-168. Münster: Nodus Publikationen.
- Ison, R.F.** 1991. Lexicography. Malmkjaer, K. (Ed.). 1991. *The Linguistics Encyclopedia*, 291-298. London/New York: Routledge.
- Kipfer, B.A.** 1984. *Workbook on Lexicography*. Exeter: University of Exeter.
- Landau, S.I.** 1989. *Dictionaries: The Art and Craft of Lexicography*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Picht, H. and J. Draskau.** 1985. *Terminology: An Introduction*. Guildford: The University of Surrey.
- Richards, J., J. Platt and H. Weber.** 1992. *Longman Dictionary of Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics*. Harlow (Essex): Longman.
- Robinson, J.** 1984. *Glossary of English Lexicographic Terms*. Kipfer, B.A. 1984: 173-188.
- Snell-Hornby, M.** 1990. Dynamics in Meaning as a Problem for Bilingual Lexicography. Lewandowska-Tomaszczyk, B. and J. Tomaszczyk (Ed.). 1990. *Meaning and Lexicography*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Trier, J.** 1931. *Der deutsche Wortschatz im Sinnbezirk des Verstandes: Von den Anfängen bis zum Beginn des 13. Jahrhunderts*. Heidelberg: Winter.
- Wiegand, H.E.** 1989. Der Begriff der Mikrostruktur: Geschichte, Probleme, Perspektiven. Hausmann, F.-J. et al. (Eds.). 1989-1991. *Wörterbücher: Ein internationales Handbuch zur Lexikographie / Dictionaries: An International Encyclopedia of Lexicography / Dictionnaires: Encyclopédie internationale de lexicographie*. Berlin / New York: Walter de Gruyter.
- Wierzbicka, A.** 1985. *Lexicography and Conceptual Analysis*. Ann Arbor: Karoma.

Mohammed H. Heliel  
Department of English  
University of Kuwait  
Kuwait