PHASE FEEDING OF PIGS USING OBATANPA – A QUALITY PROTEIN MAIZE

D.B. Okai (BSc, MSc, PhD)
A.K. Tuah (BSc, MSc, PhD)
A. Owusu-Asiedu (BSc, MSc)
Department of Animal Science,
Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and
Technology (KNUST), Kumasi., Ghana.

ABSTRACT

A total of 20 starter pigs with a mean initial weight of 8.7kg and housed in individual welded mesh cages were randomly allotted to four dietary treatments (i.e. CONTROL, OBAT-I, OBAT-II and OBAT-III), on the basis of litter origin, sex and weight. There were five replications per treatment. Pigs assigned to a particular treatment were fed a starter diet, then switched on to a grower diet and finally to a finisher diet. The starter, grower and finisher phases were terminated when the individual pigs attained liveweights of 20 ± 0.5 , 50 ± 0.5 and 70 ± 0.5 kg respectively. The starter diets contained either 71% normal maize (Control) or Obatanpa and the level of fishmeal was gradually decreased from 18% (Control) to 12% (OBAT-III). The level of either normal maize or Obatanpa was reduced to 65% and the fishmeal content ranged from 9 (Control) to 6% (OBAT-III) in the grower diets. In the finisher diets, the level of normal maize or Obatanpa was reduced to 57% with a further decrease in the fishmeal content from 4 (Control) to 1% (OBAT-III). There was a progressive decline in dietary crude protein and lysine content from the starter to the finisher phase of growth. Feed and water were provided ad libitum throughout the experiment. The values for feed intake, feed conversion efficiency and daily weight gain in each phase and for the overall period were not significantly (P>0.05) different for the dietary treatments. Carcass traits were also not different (P>0.05). Feed cost (cedis/kg) and feed cost/kg liveweight gains were lower in the Obatanpa- containing diets due to the fact that they contained less fishmeal.

Keywords: Phase Feeding, Obatanpa, Quality Protein Maize.

INTRODUCTION

Maize is a common energy source in pig diets in Ghana and elsewhere and the pig has an excellent ability to digest and utilise the nutrients in it including the starch component. It cannot however, be the sole source of protein for swine because of its relatively low protein content and low levels of essential amino acids, especially lysine and tryptophan [1]. For adequate pig performance, highprotein feedstuffs such as fishmeal, soyabean meal or synthetic amino acids must be added to maize. Fishmeal, the most common protein source, tends to be scarce at certain times of the year and may have to be imported with the limited foreign exchange available. Furthermore, the locally available fishmeal, which is made from anchovy (popularly called "Keta School Boys"), is also a major source of protein in human diets in Ghana [2]. The resulting competition between man and certain species of livestock such as poultry and pigs has been partly responsible for the high prices of feed. Feed cost has been estimated to be about 70 to 75% of the total cost of pig production [3]. A reduction in feeding cost should therefore be the atmost concern of both nutritionists and animal producers, since it would ultimately ensure the availability of cheaper meat and meat products.

In this respect, the availability of Quality Protein Maize (QPM) is, perhaps of economic significance to pig and poultry producers. Quality Protein Maize is a maize variety that contains a similar amount of crude protein but has more lysine and tryptophan than normal maize [1, 4, 6]. Its use in the diets of pigs and poultry could reduce the need for fishmeal and other protein supplements [5].

In a rather short term feeding trial, it was observed that in diets where the sole source of protein was from maize, weanling pigs performed better on Obatanpa, a locally developed variety of QPM than on a normal maize diet [5]. This finding was confirmed in a subsequent experiment [6]. In a follow-up experiment where either the normal maize or

the Obatanpa was part of a complete but single diet fed to pigs from 14 to 70kg liveweight, it was found that in the Obatanpa – based diets the level of fishmeal can be reduced by between 2 to 4 percentage units without any adverse effects on pig performance and careass characteristics. Furthermore such Obatanpa containing diets were cheaper to formulate and feed [7]. In the experiment under reference [7], one of each of the 3 diets was fed throughout the starter, grower and finisher periods.

In view of the fact that the protein/or amino acid and indeed all other nutrient requirements of pigs vary depending on the stage of growth [8], a study was undertaken to determine the response of pigs to normal maize or Obatanpa-based diets formulated to meet the starter, grower, and finisher pigs' crude protein and/or lysine requirements. The response criteria studied were the growth performance at all the 3 phases and carcass characteristics at slaughter (70kg) as well as feed cost and economy of gain of the starter-finisher pigs.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Twenty Large White starter pigs with a mean weight of 8.7kg were randomly allotted to four dietary treatments on the basis of sex, litter origin and weight. There were five replications for each treatment and each treatment consisted of 2 males and 3 females. The males were castrated when each pig attained a liveweight of 20 ± 0.5kg. Housing for the individual pigs consisted of 160x 65x102cm welded mesh, concrete-floored cages. Feed and water troughs were provided in each cage. The compositions of the diets, which were formulated on "as-fed" basis, are shown in Table 1. Pigs were fed diets containing either 71% normal maize or Obatanpa at the starter phase with the level of fishmeal gradually decreasing from 18 (Control) to 12% (OBAT-III). In the grower phase $(20-50 \pm 0.5 \text{kg})$, the level of maize was reduced to 65% and fishmeal content ranged from 9 to 6%. The level of maize was again reduced to 57% and the fishmeal content ranged from 4 to 1% in

the finisher $(50-70\pm0.5\text{kg})$ phase. There was therefore progressive decline in dietary crude protein from the starter to the finisher phase. Feed and water were provided ad libitum. All pigs were weighed at weekly intervals and pigs that attained liveweight of $20\pm0.5\text{kg}$ were switched first onto the grower diet then finally to a finisher diet when the liveweight of $50\pm0.5\text{kg}$ was attained. Pigs were slaughtered at $70\pm0.5\text{kg}$. Weekly feed intakes, liveweight changes and carcass parameters such as dressing percentage, carcass length, mean backfat thickness, loin eye area and the weights of primal cuts were recorded.

Samples of the various diets were chemically analysed using analytical methods described by AOAC [9] and all the data collected were analysed by the analysis of variance technique [10].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth Performance

The chemical compositions of the 4 diets fed during each of the 3 phases are shown in Table 1. With regard to the protein content it was observed that the analysed composition of the diets did not differ considerably from the calculated values. The calculated lysine levels tended to decrease as the crude protein levels decreased. However, the values were similar to the requirements reported in the literature [8] for the various phases of growth.

The mean daily feed intakes for pigs fed the various diets are shown in Table 2. The values within any particular phase were not significantly different (P>0.05) even though numerical differences were observed. A major factor influencing feed intake in pigs is the energy content of the diet [11, 12]. The similarities in intake suggest that the energy contents of the diets were similar. The average daily weight gain (ADG) values for the three phases are also shown in Table 2. The ADG (kg/day) values for the starter phase were 0.530, 0.481, 0.467 and 0.520 for the pigs fed the Control, OBAT-I, OBAT-II and OBAT-III. diets respectively while the corresponding

Table 1: Percentage Composition of Diets

| STATISTICS OF THE STATE OF THE | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|----------|------------|---------|----------|-------------|----------|--|
| Phase | | Starter | | | | Grower | | | | Finisher | | | |
| Diets | Control | Obat - I | Obat -II | Obat-III | Control | Obat -I | Obat -II | Obat-III | Control | Obat -I | Obat -II | Obat -II | |
| Ingredien | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (%) | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Normal | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maize | | | | | | | | 239 | i ne | | | | |
| (Okomasa |) 71 | - | | - | 65 | | • | 1.5 | 57 | - | 57 | 57 | |
| Obatanpa | | 71 | 71 | 71 | • | 65 | 65 | 65 | | 57 | 39.8 | 40.55 | |
| Wheatbran | 10.55 | 12.3 | 14 | 16 | 25 | 26 | 26.8 | 27.8 | 37.8 | 38.8 | | 1 | |
| Fishmeal | 18 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | |
| Oyster | | | | | F. F. S. | | | 0.000 | | Farmer | | | |
| Shell | - | 0.25 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 1 | |
| Salt | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | |
| Premix* | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 0.25 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| | | | | | San Three | | | Te III | | | | | |
| Calculate | d | | | | 5 4 8 | | | * | | | | t . | |
| Composit | ion (%) | | | | | | | | - | | | | |
| Crude | | | | | 000 -101 | | | | 11.00 | | 1201 | | |
| Protein | 20.5 | 19.5 | 18.4 | 17.5 | 16.4 | 15.9 | 15.4 | 15 | 14.4 | 13.9 | 13.4 | 13 | |
| Lysine | 1.19 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.01 | 0.78 | 0.83 | 0.78 | 0.73 | 0.57 | 0.61 | 0.56 | 0.51 | |
| Calcium | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.88 | 0.80 | 0.68 | 0.63 | 0.67 | 0.62 | 0.54 | 0.50 | 0.46 | 0.51 | |
| Phosphoru | us 0.83 | 0.79 | 0.76 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.71 | 0.69 | 0.67 | 0.71 | 0.69 | 0.66 | 0.66 | |
| Crude Fib | | 4.14 | 4.31 | 4.51 | 5.25 | 5.35 | 5.43 | 5.53 | 6.31 | 6.41 | 6.51 | 6.58 | |
| Gross Ener | rsy | | | | | | | 25 Tay 276 | 2 700 V | | | | |
| Mj/Kg | 3.32 | 3.31 | 3.29 | 3.28 | 3.2 | 3.19 | 3.18 | 3.18 | 3.08 | 3.08 | 3.07 | 3.06 | |
| Analysed | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Composit | ion | | | | 100 | | | | | | | | |
| (%) | - | | | | | | | | C. Car | | | | |
| Dry | | | | | | | | | | | 12.0 | | |
| Matter | 88.1 | 86.7 | 86.6 | 86.8 | 86.9 | 87.5 | 87.5 | 87.3 | 87.7 | 88 | 88.1 | 88 | |
| Crude | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Protein | | | | | 5. /L | | | | 2 | | THOSE SHOWS | | |
| (As- fed) | 20.1 | 19.8 | 18.9 | 18.1 | 16.95 | 15.69 | 15.37 | 15.2 | 15.35 | 14.4 | 14.27 | 13.38 | |
| Crude | | 10000000 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Protein | | | | | 10 Aug | | | | | | | | |
| (DM) | 23.4 | 22.8 | 21.6 | 20.8 | 19.51 | 17.93 | 17.57 | 17.41 | 17.50 | 16.4 | 16.2 | 15.2 | |

^{*}PREMIX: Inclusion rate is 2.5kg/tonne to supply the following per tonne of feed: Vit.A, 10,000,000 IU; Vit.E, 4,000mg; Vit.B₁, 500mg; Nicotinic acid, 8,000mg; VitB6, 1,000mg; Vit.D3, 3,000,000 IU; Vit.K3, 2,000mg; Vit.B2, 3,000mg; Pantothenic acid, 3,260mg; Vit. B12, 3000mcg; Iron, 10,000mg. Copper, 3,000mg; Iodine, 500mg; Zincbacitracin, 5,000mg; Manganese, 40,000mg; Zinc, 30,000mg; Selenium, 100mg.

walues for the grower phase were 0.634, 0.684, 0.609 and 0.642kg. In the finisher phase the ADG values were 0.507, 0.492, 0.509 and 0.554kg/day for the Control, OBAT-I, OBAT-II and OBAT-III diets respectively. Again, there were no significant differences (P>0.05) between the observed means for any of the phases.

Reports from Canada [13] and Nigeria [14, 15] have indicated that increasing the dietary protein level may lead to higher growth rate. The trend observed here suggests that the

levels of crude protein and lysine in all the diets at each of the phases met the requirement for optimum growth. Clearly the values for the grower phase were numerically higher than those for the starter and finisher phases and indicate that the grower pigs grew at a faster rate than the starter and the finisher pigs.

The efficiency of feed conversion values were not significantly (P>0.05) influenced by the various dietary treatments at any of the 3 phases of growth (Table 2). Burgoon et al., [1] had also not observed any significant

| ltem | | Se* | s. | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|----------|-----------------------|----------|
| | Control | Obat-I | Obat-II | Obat-III | | |
| Starter Phase (8-20 ± 0.5kg) | | 12 | 2 | 2 | | NS |
| No. of Pig | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 560 | NS |
| Mean Initial wt. (kg) | 8.76 | 8.70 | 8.76 | 8.78 | 560 449 | NS |
| Mean Final wt. (kg) | 21.3 | 21.2 | 20.3 | 21.1 | 0.000 | NS |
| Mean DFI (kg/day) | 1.16 | 1.10 | 1.11 | 1.23 | 049 | NS NS |
| ADG (kg/day) | 0.530 | 0.481 | 0.467 | 0.520 | 025 | |
| Mean FCE (feed/gain) | 2.20 | 2.31 | 2.38 | 2.36 | 074 | NS |
| Mean Duration (days) | 23.8 | 26.6 | 25.2 | 23.8 | 2.270 | NS |
| Feed cost/kg (¢) | 862.96 | 820.79 | 778.50 | 736.90 | - | * |
| Feed cost/kg gain (¢) | 1898.51 | 1896.02 | 1852.83 | 1739.08 | - | - |
| Grower Phase (20-50 ± 0.5kg | 1 | 200.2 | | | 440 | NS |
| Mean Initial wt. (kg) | 21.3 | 21.2 | 20.3 | 21.1 | 449 | |
| Mean Final wt. (kg | 51.6 | 49.6 | 51.0 | 50.7 | 604 | NS |
| Mean DFI (kg/day) | 1.93 | 1.98 | 1.95 | 2.14 | 063 | NS |
| ADG (kg/day) | 0.634 | 0.680 | 0.609 | 0.642 | 029 | NS |
| Mean FCE (feed/gain) | 3.19 | 3.42 | 3.21 | 3.33 | 144 | NS |
| Mean Duration (days) | 49.0 | 49.0 | 50.4 | 46.2 | 2.475 | NS |
| Feed cost/kg (¢) | 661.30 | 640.50 | 619.24 | 598.44 | - | - |
| Feed cost/kg gain (¢) | 2109.55 | 2190.51 | 1987.76 | 1992.81 | | * |
| Finisher Phase (50-70 ± 0.5kg) | | | | | | |
| Mean Initial wt. (kg) | 51.6 | 49.6 | 51.0 | 50.7 | 604 | NS |
| Mean Final wt. (kg | 69.9 | 70.7 | 69.9 | 70.6 | 377 | NS |
| Mean DFI (kg/day) | 2.32 | 2.37 | 2.44 | 2.54 | 085 | NS |
| ADG (kg/day) | 0.507 | 0.492 | 0.509 | 0.554 | 034 | NS |
| Mean FCE (feed/gain) | 4.69 | 4.85 | 4.82 | 4.70 | 239 | NS |
| Mean Duration (days) | 37.8 | 43.4 | 37.6 | 36.4 | 3.500 | NS |
| Feed cost/kg (¢) | 539.24 | 518.44 | 497.64 | 476.26 | 2 | |
| Feed cost/kg gain (¢) | 2529.04 | 2514.43 | 2398.62 | 2238.42 | 82) 6 2 | 2 |
| Overall (8.8-70 ± 0.5kg) | | | | | | |
| Mean DFI (kg/day) | 0.557 | 0.515 | 0.529 | 0.572 | 064 | NS |
| ADG (kg/day | 1.82 | 1.80 | 1.83 | 1.97 | 072 | NS |
| Mean FCE (feed/gain) | 3.36 | 3.53 | 3.47 | 3.46 | 163 | NS |
| Mean PCE (reed/gain) Mean Duration (days) | 110.6 | 119.0 | 113.4 | 106.4 | 6.319 | NS |

DFI - Daily feed intake

ADG - Average daily weight gain
FCE - Feed conversion efficiency
+Se - Standard error

*S - Level of Significance NS - Not significant at P>0.05

treatment difference when they compared a normal maize-based diet containing 0.99% dietary lysine with a QPM-based diet containing 1.05% lysine, but with less soybean meal. The earlier studies [5, 6, 7] had provided some useful information on the potential usefulness of Obatanpa and the results obtained here have confirmed this.

The feed cost and the feed cost/kg liveweight weight values were lowest in the finisher phase

(Table 2) because of the corresponding decrease in the inclusion rate of fishmeal. The reductions in feed cost with the use of Obatanpa observed in this experiment have earlier been reported [7, 16]. It is worth mentioning that the overall performance data shown in Table 2 indicates that pigs on the Control, OBAT-I and OBAT-II diets took extra 4.2, 12.6 and 7 days respectively to reach the slaughter weight of 70±0.5kg.

Table 3: Carcass Characteristics of Pigs fed the 4 Diets

| | | Dietary Trea | Se* | s. | | | |
|--|---------|--------------|---------|----------|------------|----------|---|
| | | | O1 . II | Obat-III | | | |
| 2 2 | Control | Obat-I | Obat-II | Obat-III | | | |
| | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 083 | | |
| No. of pig Mean liveweight at slaughter (kg) | 69.9 | 70.7 | 69.9 | 70.6 | . 377 | NS | |
| Mean dressed wt. (kg) | 49.7 | 49.7 | 49.8 | 49.5 | . 589 | NS | |
| Mean dressing percentage | 71.1 | 70.2 | 71.3 | 40.1 | . 759 | NS | |
| Mean length of carcass (cm) | 71.8 | 74.0 | 72.6 | 73.7 | .575 | NS | |
| Mean wt. of shoulder (kg) | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.5 | .110 | NS | |
| Mean wt. of loin (kg) | 7.14 | 7.24 | 7.31 | 7.20 | .343 | NS | |
| Mean wt. of belly (kg) | 5.6 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.9 | .165 | NS | |
| | 6.95 | 7.15 | 7.25 | 7.01 | .145 | NS | |
| Mean wt. of ham (kg) | 32.4 | 32.0 | 34.4 | 29.0 | 1.345 | NS | |
| Mean loin eye muscle area (cm²) | | 2.59 | 2.84 | 2.89 | .231 | NS | |
| Mean backfat thickness (cm) | 2.88 | 2.39 | 2.04 | 2.09 | .231 | | |
| Absolute values of some body | | | | | | | |
| components (kg) | | VIA-162123 | | | 140 | NS | |
| Mean wt. of head | 4.82 | 4.98 | 4.49 | 4.60 | .148 | NS | |
| Mean wt. viscera | 9.44 | 9.77 | 9.31 | 10.06 | | NS NS | |
| Mean wt. GIT (full) | 6.92 | 6.94 | 6.73 | 7.48 | .135 | NS | |
| Mean wt. GIT (empty) | 2.88 | 2.79 | 2.75 | 3.19 | 4 TOTAL | NS | |
| Mean wt of heart | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.23 | .012 | NS NS | |
| Mean wt. of liver | 1.11 | 1.17 | 1.06 | 1.22 | A 717 TO 1 | NS | |
| Mean wt. of spleen | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | .010 | NS | |
| Relative weight (%) of some | | | | | | | |
| body components | | | | | | | |
| Head | 6.90 | 7.04 | 6.42 | 6.52 | .203 | NS | X |
| Viscera | 13.5 | 13.8 | 13.3 | 14.2 | .566 | NS | |
| GIT (full) | 9.90 | 9.81 | 9.63 | 10.58 | .531 | NS | |
| GIT (empty) | 4.12 | 3.95 | 3.93 | 4.52 | .192 | NS | |
| Heart | 0.32 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.33 | .016 | NS | |
| Liver | 1.59 | 1.66 | 1.52 | 1.73 | .055 | NS | |
| Spleen | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.14 | .008 | NS | |

Se -

Standard error Significance

NIC.

Not significant at P> 0.05.

However, the differences in the means were not significant (P>0.05).

No significant differences (P>0.05) were observed in the carcass dressing percentages of pigs given access to the 4 dietary treatments (Table 3). This observation corroborates findings from some of the earlier studies [7]. The mean values for carcass length, backfat thickness, loin eye muscle area and primal cuts were not significantly (P>0.05) affected by the dietary treatments imposed. The non-significant differences

observed may be the direct result of the similarities in growth rate.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDA-TIONS

This phase feeding experiment has shown that with the use of Obatanpa in pigs diets, reductions in fishmeal inclusion levels of the order of 33% (starter), 33% (grower) and 75% (finisher) can be made without any significant (P>0.05) adverse effects on growth perfor-mance and carcass characteristics.

This led to reductions in feed costs and feed cost per kg gain. Future trials would attempt to find whether protein sources such as fishmeal can be completely eliminated from Obatanpa-based diets during the finishing period, when, inter alia, lysinc and tryptophan requirements of pigs are at their lowest. It is further suggested that studies should be carried out to establish the usefulness of Obatanpa for pregnant and lactating sows and for creep feeding.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

A generous financial support provided by Sasakawa Global 2000 enabled the authors to conduct this experiment. Dr. W. Haag's and Mr. B.D. Dzah's assistance in this effort is most gratefully acknowledgement. The Head, Department of Animal Science, KNUST provided the physical facilities which made this project possible.

REFERENCES

- Burgoon, K.G., Hansen, J.A. Knabe, D.A. and Bockholt, A.J., Nutritional value of quality protein maize for starter and growing swine. J. Anim. Sci. 70:811-817. (1992).
- Okai, D.B. Status of Swine Production in Ghana. Proc. 1st Gen. Conf. Ghana Society of Animal Prod. (GSAP). Univ. of Ghana, Legon, pp. 2-7. (1988).
- . 3. Krider, J.L. and Carrol, W.E. Swine Production (4th Ed.). McGraw Hill Book. Co., USA. PP. 268-269. (1971)
 - Knabe, D.A., Sullivan, J.S. Burgoon, K.G. and Bockholt, A.J. Quality Protein Maize as swine feed J. Assoc. of Cereal Chem. 36:225-238. (1992).
 - Okai, D.B., Osei, S.A. Haag, W., Twumasi-Afriyie, S. Dzah, B.D. Ahenkorah, K., and Tuah, A.K. Growth performance of weaning pigs fed diets

- containing either normal or quality protein maize. Proc. 12th National Maize and Legumes Workshop K.T.I., Kumasi p.10 (Abstr.). (1992).
- Osei, S.A., Okai D.B. and Tuah A.K. Quality protein maize as the sole source of amino acids in the diets of starter pigs: a preliminary study. J. Univ. Sci. & Tech. 19:1-4. (1999).
- Okai, D.B., Osei, S.A. and Tuah, A.K. Growth performance and economic traits of pigs fed diets containing either normal maize or Obatanpa – a quality protein maize. (Vol.21: 1-5. J. Univ. Sci. & Tech.,) (2001).
- NRC, (National Research Council) Nutrient requirements of swine (9th Edition) National Academy Press, Washington, D.C., USA. (1988).
- AOAC, Association of Officia Analytical Chemists 1984. Official Methods of Analysis, Arlington, USA. (1984).
- Steel, R.G.D and Torrie, J.H. Principles and Procedures of Statistics: A Biometrical Approach (2nd Ed.) McGraw Hill Book Co. N.Y., USA. (1980).
- Clawson, A.J., Richards, H.L., Matrone, G. and Barrick, E.R. Influence of level of total nutrient and protein intake on reproductive performance in swine. J. Anim. Sci. 22:662-665. (1963).
- Greeley, M.G., Meade R.J. and Hanson, L.E. Energy and protein intakes by swine 2. Effect on rates and efficiency of gains and on carcass characteristics. J. Anim. Sci. 23:816-822. (1964).
- Bowland, J.P., Rickell, H. Pfirter, H.P. Work, C.P. and Schurch, A. Respiratory calorimetry studies with growing pigs fed diets containing 3 to 12% crude fibre. J. Anim. Sci. 31:494-597.

- Babatude, G.M., Fetuga, B.L. and Oyenuga, V.A. Comparative studies on effects of feeding different types of oil at two levels on the performance and carcass characteristics of growing pigs in the tropics. Anim. Prod. 18:301-308. (1994).
- Fetuga, B.L., Babatunde, G.M. and Oyenuga, V.A. Protein levels in diets for European pigs in the tropics 2. Effect of lysine and methionine supplementation on the protein requirements of growing pigs. Anim. Prod. 20-147-157. (1975).
- Lopez-Pereira, M.A. The economics of quality protein maize as an animal feed. Case studies of Brazil and El. Salvador. CIMMYT Economics, Mexico, D.F. CIMMYT pp. 99-106. (1992).