



## EFFICACY OF PRESERVATIVE POTENTIALS OF *Cola nitida* AND *Musanga cecropioides* LEAF EXTRACTS AGAINST BROWN ROT FUNGUS ON *Gmelina arborea* and *Pinus caribbae* WOOD SAMPLES

\*Okon-Akan O. A., B. Abiola J.K., C. Olaoye K.O. and D. Okanlawon F.B.

Federal College of Forestry, Jericho, Ibadan. Oyo State, Nigeria

Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria. Oyo State, Nigeria

\*Corresponding author Email: [okon-akan.o@frin.gov.ng](mailto:okon-akan.o@frin.gov.ng); +2348033649568

### ABSTRACT

The study investigated the bio preservative potentials of *Cola nitida* and *Musanga cecropioides* extracts against brown rot fungus (*Sclerotium rolfsii*) on *Gmelina arborea* and *Pinus caribbae* wood samples. The wood samples were dimensioned to 20 x 20 x 60mm while collected plant samples were air-dried for two weeks, grounded and sieved to particles size 1-2mm. Hot water and ethanol extraction was adopted and the data obtained were subjected to analysis of variance  $\alpha = 0.05$ . Results shows that *Pinus caribbae* had the highest moisture content compared to *Gmelina arborea* with the mean values of  $29.53 \pm 0.82$  and  $18.58 \pm 0.65$ , respectively. Also it was observed that *Gmelina arborea* in *Cola nitida* hot water extract had the highest absorption rate than ethanol extract with mean values of  $17.52 \pm 2.43$  and  $13.65 \pm 1.92$ ,  $17.63 \pm 2.71$  and  $12.02 \pm 1.45$ , respectively. While *Pinus caribbae* in *Cola nitida* hot water extract had the highest absorption rate with mean values  $37.32 \pm 3.65$  and  $32.26 \pm 2.56$ ,  $37.65 \pm 3.65$  and  $32.26 \pm 2.56$ , respectively. Weight loss (kg/m<sup>3</sup>) of *Gmelina arborea* range from  $11.41 \pm 1.78$  to  $17.09 \pm 3.83$  for *Cola nitida* hot water extract, while it ranged from  $12.04 \pm 1.99$  to  $17.45 \pm 5.64$  for its ethanol extract-method. *Pinus caribbae* exhibited weight loss ranges between  $6.21 \pm 3.90$  to  $17.03 \pm 4.68$ , respectively for *Musanga cecropioides* hot water extract and  $0.51 \pm 0.22$  to  $20.05 \pm 0.67$  respectively for its ethanol extract. The results have demonstrated that the two plant extracts could be used as effective bio preservative on wood.

**Keywords:** *Musanga cecropioides*, extracts, absorption, moisture content.

### INTRODUCTION

Wood is a porous material and very important for construction material for domestic and industrial use. Due to its vulnerability, it is bio degradable with attacks from fungi, bacteria and insects. Conventional chemical preservation is very effective and active against wood degrading agents but toxic and cause environmental pollution harmful to plants, animals and humans. Chromate copper arsenate (CCA) has been banned in many countries including the U.S and Japan due to their detrimental effect on the natural balance and human health (Goktas, *et. al.*, 2007). Large quantities of these chemicals may result in serious environmental hazard like depletion of soil layer leading to erosion, poor plant growth. Also direct contact with the body through improper handling or application may cause skin irritation and in the extreme, it may

affect respiratory tracts when inhaled. Therefore it is imperative to focus on environment friendly preservatives. With the current problem of global warming and environmental degradation, there is an urgent need to search for alternative techniques which can extend wood service life, and which also at the same time is less harmful to the environment and man (Arldo, *et. al.*, 2009). This necessitated the use of natural plant extract (Bio-preservatives) which are less harmful to the environment and more economical to protect wood against degrading agents such as fungi and insect (Faruwa, *et. al.*, 2015). Biopreservatives constitute a wide range of natural products from both plants and animals which can be useful in the extending shelf life of wood reducing or eliminating survival of pathogenic bacteria and increasing overall quality of the wood products. The objective of this study is therefore, to

evaluate the effects of plant extracts as preservative on wood and to evaluate their anti-fungal efficacy.

## MATERIALS AND METHOD

### Study Area

The study was carried out at the laboratory of Wood and Paper Technology Department situated at the Federal college of Forestry, Jericho, Ibadan.

### Sample collection and preparation

Wood species, *Pinus caribbae* and *Gmelina arborea* were bought from Odeyele Plank Market at Oluyole, Ibadan in Oyo state, Nigeria. They were labelled and cut into dimension of 20 x 20 x 60 mm. *Cola nitida* was collected at the Horticulture and landscape garden of the Federal College of Forestry, Ibadan while *Musanga cecropioides* was collected at National Horticulture Research, Jericho, Idi-ishin, Ibadan. Collected plant samples were air-dried for two weeks after which it was grounded (Usman *et al.*, 2007). Particles were sieved with 1-2mm mesh size.

### Extraction Procedure

Two methods of extraction namely hot and ethanol was adopted. Extraction process was done by dissolving 100g of equal weight of each plant samples in 200 mL ethanol and 200 mL of hot water, respectively. After being allowed to soak for 5hrs, resultant mixture solution was filtered through a sieve (1 mm) and thoroughly. Extracts were diluted with distilled water.

### Determination of Moisture Content of Wood Samples

Moisture content of wood samples was determined by weighing the wood sample before oven dried at  $\pm 103^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 40 hrs and the weight

$$\text{Moisture Content} = \frac{\text{Initial weight} - \text{Oven dry weight}}{\text{Oven dry weight}} \times 100$$

formula:

### Treatment of Wood Samples

Oven dried wood specie and impregnation were carried out according to ASTM D1413-76 standard. The test samples were marked using a

water proof permanent marker for ease identification. Total number of wood species used was seventy eight at different concentration level (30%, 60%, 90% and control) of plant extracts; the method of preservative adopted was cold soaking for 72 hours. The samples were drained of excess preservatives, re-weighed and the treated weight was recorded;

*Gmelina arborea* and *Pinus caribbae* and brown rot fungus (*Sclerotium rolfsii*)

30%: 30 mL of each hot water extract diluted with 70 mL of distilled water.

60%: 60 mL of each hot water extract diluted with 40 mL of distilled water.

90%: 90 mL of each hot water extract diluted with 10 mL of distilled water.

30%: 30 mL of each ethanol extract diluted with 70 mL of distilled water.

60%: 60 mL of each ethanol extract diluted with 40 mL of distilled water.

90%: 90 mL of each ethanol extract diluted with 10 mL of distilled water.

### Determination of Percentage Absorption of Extracts

Air dried wood species were spread on the tray for a week and weights after impregnation was recorded to determine the level of absorption rate which was calculated using the formula below:

$$\text{Absorption Rate} = \frac{\text{Conditioning weight} - \text{Oven dry weight}}{\text{Oven dry weight}} \times 100$$

### Decay Test

Treated and untreated wood species were inoculated with a decay fungus (*Sclerotium rolfsii*) in a transparent bowl with cover at room temperature ( $28 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ ). Weight loss was observed within three months according to ASTM D1413-76 test for solid wood. Percentage weight loss was calculated using:

$$\text{Weight Loss} = \frac{T_3 - T_4}{T_3} \times 100$$

Where:

T3 = Initial weight

T4 = Final weight

## Data Analysis

Data obtained were analysed using descriptive statistics and Analysis of Variance ANOVA.

## RESULTS

Figure 1 presents the moisture contents of *Pinus caribaea* and *Gmelina arborea* wood. The highest moisture content was observed in *Pinus caribaea* at 30 % while *Gmelina arborea* had 19%.

As shown in Table 1, the absorption rate of *Gmelina arborea* in *Cola nitida* leaf ethanol extract recorded at 90% concentration was highest, followed by 30% and 60%, with mean values of  $13.65 \pm 1.92$ ,  $13.52 \pm 1.62$  and  $12.34 \pm 1.62$  respectively. For hot water extract, highest mean absorption rate was observed in 90% concentration followed by 60% and 30% with mean values of  $17.52 \pm 2.43$ ,  $15.06 \pm 2.01$  and  $14.65 \pm 1.18$  respectively. *Gmelina arborea* in *Musanga cecropioides* ethanol extracts indicated reasonable absorption rate at 90% with mean values ranging from  $12.02 \pm 1.45$  to  $12.91 \pm 1.66$ , thus showing the highest absorption rate, followed by 60% and 30% with mean values of  $12.91 \pm 1.66$ ,  $12.85 \pm 1.65$  and  $12.02 \pm 1.45$  while in hot water extract, the mean values ranges from  $14.54 \pm 1.98$  to  $17.63 \pm 2.71$ . It was observed that 90% concentration also had the highest absorption rate followed by 60% and 30% with mean values of  $17.63 \pm 2.71$ ,  $15.17 \pm 2.55$  and  $14.54 \pm 1.98$  respectively.

However, *Pinus caribaea* wood samples in *cola nitida* leaf ethanol extract indicated the mean absorption rate of  $28.48 \pm 2.16$ ,  $30.04 \pm 2.04$  and  $31.63 \pm 2.56$  with least in 90% concentration followed by 30% and highest is 60% concentration respectively. Hot water extraction for *cola nitida* also indicated significant mean absorption rate that is equally noteworthy. The absorption rate changes from  $31.56 \pm 2.72$ ,  $32.97 \pm 2.98$  and  $35.47 \pm 3.04$  with least in 30% concentration followed by 60% and highest in 90% concentration. Considering *Pinus caribaea* in *Musanga cecropioides* ethanol extract method, the absorption ranges from  $29.86 \pm 2.45$  to  $32.26 \pm 2.56$  where 60% had the highest mean value, followed by 90% and 30% with mean values of  $32.26 \pm 2.56$ ,  $30.90 \pm 2.16$  and  $29.86 \pm 2.45$ ,

while for hot water extract absorption ranges from  $28.54 \pm 2.11$  to  $37.32 \pm 3.65$  whereby 90% had the highest absorption rate of  $37.32 \pm 3.65$ , followed by 30% value of  $29.08 \pm 2.09$  and 60% with value of  $28.54 \pm 2.11$ .

*Cola nitida* ethanol extracts at 30% in *Gmelina arborea*, had the least mean weight loss followed by 90% and 60% being the highest mean weight loss with the mean values of  $12.09 \pm 1.99$  followed by  $13.06 \pm 1.26$  and  $17.21 \pm 5.95$  respectively. While for hot water extract, 30% had the least weight loss values followed by 60% and 90% with mean values of  $11.41 \pm 1.78$ ,  $16.85 \pm 3.57$  and  $17.09 \pm 3.83$  respectively. *Cola nitida* ethanol extracts at 90% in *Pinus caribaea*, had the least followed by 60% and 30% with the weight loss mean values of  $0.51 \pm 0.22$ ,  $7.01 \pm 0.97$  and  $7.34 \pm 4.19$  respectively. For hot water extracts, the least value was found in 90% followed by 60% and 30% with weight loss mean values of  $7.44 \pm 2.77$ ,  $11.20 \pm 1.96$  and  $12.64 \pm 2.17$  respectively.

*Musanga cecropioides* ethanol extract at 30% in *Gmelina arborea*, had the least weight loss followed by 60% and 90% with mean weight loss values of  $12.03 \pm 1.75$ ,  $12.83 \pm 1.88$  and  $17.55 \pm 5.64$  respectively. On the other hand, hot water extract at 60% had the least weight loss followed by 30% and 90% with the mean weight loss values of  $15.18 \pm 5.08$ ,  $16.05 \pm 4.41$  and  $17.09 \pm 3.38$  respectively. *Musanga cecropioides* ethanol extracts at 30% in *Pinus caribaea* also had the least in the weight loss followed by 60% and 90% with mean weight loss values of  $6.88 \pm 1.59$ ,  $16.85 \pm 3.57$  and  $20.05 \pm 0.67$  while for hot water extract, 90% had the least weight loss followed by 60% and 30% with mean weight loss values of  $6.21 \pm 3.90$ ,  $11.91 \pm 0.65$  and  $17.03 \pm 4.68$ . *Musanga cecropioides* in *Pinus caribaea* for ethanol extract, 30% had the least in the weight loss follows by 60% and 90% with mean weight loss values of  $6.88 \pm 1.59$ ,  $16.85 \pm 3.57$  and  $20.05 \pm 0.67$  while for hot water extract, 90% had the least weight loss followed by 60% and 30% with mean weight loss values of  $6.21 \pm 3.90$ ,  $11.91 \pm 0.65$  and  $17.03 \pm 4.68$  respectively.



**Figure 1: Mean Moisture Content (%) of Wood Species.**

**Table 1: Mean Percentage (%) Absorption of *Cola nitida* and *Musanga cecropioides* leaf extracts by Treated Wood samples**

Wood species	Plant Species	Conc. (%)	Ethanol Extract	Hot Water Extract
<i>Gmelina arborea</i>	<i>Cola nitida</i>	30	13.52±1.62 <sup>a</sup>	14.65±1.18 <sup>a</sup>
		60	12.34±1.64 <sup>a</sup>	15.06±2.01 <sup>a</sup>
		90	13.68±1.92 <sup>a</sup>	17.52±2.43 <sup>a</sup>
	<i>Musanga cecropioides</i>	30	12.02±1.45 <sup>a</sup>	14.54±1.98 <sup>a</sup>
		60	12.85±1.65 <sup>b</sup>	15.17±2.55 <sup>a</sup>
		90	12.91±1.66 <sup>b</sup>	17.63±2.71 <sup>a</sup>
<i>Pinus caribaea</i>	<i>Cola nitida</i>	30	30.04 ±2.66 <sup>a</sup>	31.65±2.72 <sup>a</sup>
		60	31.63±2.56 <sup>a</sup>	32.97±2.98 <sup>b</sup>
		90	28.43±1.99 <sup>a</sup>	35.47±3.04 <sup>a</sup>
	<i>Musanga cecropioides</i>	30	12.02±1.45 <sup>a</sup>	14.54±1.98 <sup>a</sup>
		60	12.85±1.65 <sup>b</sup>	15.17±2.55 <sup>a</sup>
		90	12.91±1.66 <sup>b</sup>	17.63±2.71 <sup>a</sup>

Mean±SE with different alphabet in columns are significantly difference ( $P \leq 0.05$ )

**Table 2: Effect of *Cola nitida* and *Musanga cecropioides* Extracts on weight loss of treated wood after 12 weeks of exposure to *Sclerotium rolfsii***

Plant Species	Conc. (%)	<i>Gmelina arborea</i>		<i>Pinus caribaea</i>	
		Ethanol Extract	Hot Water Extract	Ethanol Extract	Hot Water Extract
		Mean±SE	Mean±SE	Mean±SE	Mean±SE
<i>Cola nitida</i>	30	12.04±1.99	11.41±1.78	7.34±1.99	12.64±2.17
	60	17.21±0.95	16.85 ±3.57	7.01±0.97	11.26±1.92
	90	13.06±1.26	17.09± 3.83	0.51±0.22	7.44±2.77
<i>Musanga cecropioides</i>	30	12.03 ±1.75	16.05±4.41	6.88±1.59	11.99±4.68
	60	12.83±1.88	15.18±5.08	16.85±3.57	17.03±0.65
	90	17.45±5.64	17.09±3.83	20.05±0,67	6.21±3.90
Control		30.05±3.38	30.05±3.38	34.87±5.63	34.87±5.63

## DISCUSSION

Highest moisture content exhibited by *Pinus carribeae* may have been attributed to availability of excess bond water present. The result is in accordance with (Nurudeen et al., 2011) findings who reported that the high moisture content aids microbial activity of the wood.

The result shows that *Gmelina arborea* had low absorption rate compared to *Pinus carribeae*. High absorption rate exhibited by *Pinus carribeae* in both ethanol and hot water extract could be attributed to its physiological content as well as the effect of temperature on the hot water method which was corroborated by Nurudeen et al., (2012) who reported temperature as aiding factor that induced absorption rate on wood species. Ability of natural plant extracts to protect wood against degrading fungi and insects have one possible approach for developing new wood preservatives (Kartalet al., 2004). Similar findings observed by Chang et al. (1998) reported that  $\alpha$ -cardinal obtained from Taiwanese heartwood possess high antifungal effectiveness. Digrak et al (1999) investigated the antimicrobial activities of extracts of Mimosa bark; they reported that extracts has antimicrobial activities. Consequently, *Pinus carribeae* exhibited high absorption rate compared to *Gmelina arborea* in *cola nitida* and *Musanga cecropioides*. Analysis of variance conducted establish the significance difference in the absorption rate exhibited by wood species as a result of type of extract used, level of concentration of extracts and the wood species type. Hence, the results indicated that there is significant difference in the method of extractions and level of concentration of the extract, there is no significant difference in the types of extract used.

Control samples mean weight loss was found to be significantly higher than treated wood which established the fact that the control wood sample had little or no resistance to fungi. Hence, this resulted into high weight loss, therefore, the bio

## REFERENCES

Arldo C. Bernardis and Orlando popoff (2009): Durability of *pinus elliottii* wood impregnated with quebracho Colorado (schinopsis balansae) bio-protectives extracts and CCA. Maderas Ciencia tecnologia 11(2):106-115.

preservative used have impact on the weight loss of the wood species used. This is an indication that the extracts used are potential bio-agent against fungi attack. Similar results were reported by Nurudeen et al 2011 that *Pinus carribeae* ranges from  $5.26 \pm 1.75$  to  $11.05 \pm 2.70$ . The level of fungi attack depends on the durability of the wood species and their density (Schultz and Nicholas, 2002). The result of Analysis of variances conducted on weight loss exhibited by wood species on exposure to fungi indicated significant difference for the wood species and plant extracts on the concentration level. This result further proved that both ethanol and hot water extraction method were appropriate for extracting the active ingredient of plants since there was no significantly difference in weight loss due to mode of extraction. This result is in accordance with Nurudeen et al., 2012 who discovered that hot water and ethanol extraction method are not significantly different in their effect of wood weight loss. In their studying, they examine *Ceiba petandra* and *Triplochiton scleroxylon* wood species and obtain no significant difference in their weight loss due to the fungi.

## CONCLUSION

*Cola nitida* and *Musanga cecropioides* extracts can be used to suppress fungal attacks since all the plants responded to the fungal decay thus, reducing environmental pollution in the society. Plant extract could serve as alternative to conventional chemical preservatives which poses threat to the environment.

## Acknowledgments

I sincerely appreciate the Executive Director of Forestry Research institute of Nigeria in person of Prof. Sola Adepoju for support and the Head of Department of Wood and Paper Technology Dr O.O. Ajala-for his wonderful contributions to the success of this study

Chang, S.T., Wu, L., Wang, S.Y., Su, Y.C and Kuo,H.(1998). Studies on the Antifungal compounds in the heartwood extractives of Taiwan (*TaiwaniacrytomeriodesHayata*) (1): Isolation and Identification of Antifungal compounds in hexane soluble fraction. Forest Product Industry.17: 287-304.

- Digrak, M., Alma, M.H., Ilicim, A and Sen, S. (1999). Antibacterial and antifungal effects of various commercial plants extract. *Pharmacology Biology* 7:216-220.
- Faruwa Francis Akinyele, Egbuche C.T., Umeojiakor A. O., Ulocha O. B. (2015). Investigation into the Effectiveness of Selected Bio-Based Preservatives on Control of Termite and Fungi of Wood in Service. *Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries*; 4(3-1): 59-63
- Gottas, O., Mamadou, R., Duru M.E., Ozen, Colak M.A and Yilai, F. (2007). Introduction and evaluation of the wood preservation potentials of the poisonous stern *Bergiacandidium* extracts *Africa Journal of Biotechnology* 6, 982-986.
- Kartal S. N., Imamura Y., Tsuchiya F and Ohsata K. (2004). Preliminary Evaluation of Fungicidal and Termiticidal activities of filtrates from biomass slurry from fuel production. *Journal of Bioreseaches and Technology*. 95(1): 41-47.
- Nurudeen, T. A., Ekpo, E.N., Dania, V. O., Okunrotifa, A. O., and Erinla, O. A.(2011). Evaluation of the potential of *Zanthosyloides* (orinata) as wood preservative. Paper presented at the 15<sup>th</sup> African regional Conference on sustainable development, Ambrose Ali University, Ekpoma, Edo state. 5, No 3, 67-70.
- Nurudeen, T.A, Abiola J.K, Ekpo, E.N, Olasupo O.O, Haastrup N.O and Okunrotifa A.O. (2012). Effect of plant extracts as preservative against wood decay fungus *Sclerotiumrolfsii* (sacc). Pp. 65-67.
- Schultz, T.P. and Nicholas O.D. (2002). Development of environmentally preservations based on the combination of organic biocide with co-oxidants and metal chelators phyto-chemistry Pp. 555-560.
- Usman, Ramazan M., Emin, D., Ertan, O. and Melda, C.(2007). Application of extracts from *Neriumoleader L.*, as wood preservative. In *African journal of Biotechnology* vol 6 (17) Pp2000-2003.