

Anchoring Sustainability: The Role of Goal Management in Rural Agricultural Projects

Renard David Simbeye

Department of Economics

Institute of Accountancy Arusha (IAA)

Email: renarddsimbeye@gmail.com

Abstract

Sustainable agricultural practices are vital for supporting rural livelihood and addressing environmental challenges, particularly in resource-constrained regions such as Tanzania's Momba District. Despite various initiatives, many agricultural projects in rural areas fail to achieve long-term sustainability due to ineffective goal management practices. This study examines the impact of goal management—focusing on goal clarity, alignment with sustainability objectives, and adaptability—on the sustainability of agricultural projects. Adopting a quantitative research approach, data were collected from 154 farmers and project managers in the Momba District, and multiple regression analysis was employed to explore the relationship between goal management practices and sustainability outcomes. The results revealed that goal management significantly enhances sustainability, with factors such as adaptability to climate and market changes, clear goal-setting, and regular evaluation emerging as the most critical contributors. These findings highlight the importance of integrating responsive and structured goal management practices to foster resilience and meet community sustainability needs. The study recommends that project managers and policymakers in Tanzania develop robust frameworks to enhance goal clarity, adaptability, and continuous monitoring, thereby improving project outcomes and ensuring long-term agricultural sustainability.

Journal of Policy and Development Studies (JPDS)

Vol. 17 Issue 1 (2024)

ISSN(p) 1597-9385

ISSN (e) 2814-1091

Home page

<https://www.ajol.info/index.php/jpds>

ARTICLE INFO:

Keyword:

Sustainability, Goal Management, Agricultural Projects, Rural Development

Article History

Received: 30th September 2024

Accepted: 1st December 2024

DOI:

<https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/jpds.v17i1.3>

1.Introduction

Sustainability in agricultural projects remains a critical concern, particularly in rural areas such as the Momba District of Tanzania, where agriculture forms the backbone of livelihoods and community well-being (Maijo, 2020). In these regions, sustainable agricultural practices are essential not only for ensuring food security and economic stability but also for conserving environmental resources and supporting social cohesion (Pretty et al., 2018; Silvius & Marnewick, 2021). Agricultural sustainability is commonly defined as the ability of projects to generate enduring economic, environmental, and social benefits while safeguarding natural resources for future generations (Freeman, 1984; Garnett et al., 2013). Despite this pressing need, many rural agricultural initiatives struggle to achieve sustainability due to systemic challenges, including inadequate management practices, ineffective goal-setting, and insufficient alignment with long-term sustainability objectives (Ershadi et al., 2021; Dong et al., 2021).

These challenges are particularly pronounced in Tanzania, where agriculture accounts for over a quarter of GDP and employs nearly 65% of the workforce, making its sustainable development an urgent national priority (Mkomagi et al., 2021). However, rural areas like the Momba District face unique obstacles, including limited access to resources, infrastructure constraints, and vulnerability to climate variability. While various interventions have aimed to enhance agricultural productivity, a lack of structured management practices often hinders their ability to deliver sustained benefits, leaving many projects susceptible to failure or stagnation (Muronga & Iminza, 2020; Ping Ju et al., 2022). Furthermore, balancing economic, social, and environmental objectives remains a complex task for agricultural projects, particularly in regions where resource scarcity and socio-economic disparities intersect (Godfray & Garnett, 2014; Kitole et al., 2023).

One promising strategy to address these issues is Project Portfolio Management (PPM), which emphasizes the coordinated management of projects through strategies like team management, task prioritization, and goal alignment (Aghajani et al., 2023; Silvius & Marnewick, 2021). Among these, goal management—the systematic setting, monitoring, and adaptation of project objectives—has emerged as a pivotal factor in driving sustainable agricultural outcomes. By ensuring that project goals are both clear and aligned with broader sustainability objectives, goal management provides a structured approach to tackling external challenges such as environmental shifts, market volatility, and resource limitations (Jew Das et al., 2020; Giller et al., 2017; Gomes Silva et al., 2022). This approach also aligns with frameworks for sustainable intensification that prioritize productivity while maintaining ecological and social balance (Pretty et al., 2011; Foley et al., 2011).

In the Momba District, a predominantly agricultural region, the sustainability of projects is often compromised by resource scarcity, environmental degradation, and inconsistent project execution (Dimoso & Andrew, 2021; Milder et al., 2011). These factors underscore the importance of goal management as a mechanism to integrate short-term agricultural activities with long-term sustainability goals (Snapp & Pound, 2017). Effective goal management practices can help agricultural projects navigate the dual pressures of climate change and economic instability by enabling adaptability and resilience (Rockström et al., 2017; Ping Ju et al., 2022). Moreover, robust goal management fosters accountability and provides a foundation for regular evaluation, ensuring that projects remain focused on achieving meaningful, measurable outcomes (Smith & Olesen, 2010; Vanlauwe et al., 2014).

Despite the recognized potential of PPM to enhance agricultural sustainability, there is a notable gap in research concerning its application in rural contexts like the Momba District, particularly with regard to goal management. Previous studies have highlighted the lack of structured goal-setting frameworks in rural agricultural projects, resulting in inefficient resource use, project delays, and limited capacity to adapt to dynamic socio-economic and environmental conditions (Dong et al., 2021; Muronga & Iminza, 2020). Moreover, the challenges of integrating social sustainability objectives—such as improving community well-being and equity—often remain underexplored (Toledo et al., 2021; Tiftonell & Giller, 2013). This research aims to address this gap by exploring the role of goal management in fostering sustainable agricultural practices in Tanzania’s rural regions, with a specific focus on the Momba District.

This study seeks to investigate how goal management practices influence the sustainability of agricultural projects in the Momba District by examining the clarity and alignment of project goals with long-term sustainability objectives. It also aims to analyze the consistency and rigor of monitoring and evaluation processes for these goals, alongside the adaptability of project goals in responding to unforeseen challenges such as climate variability, resource shortages, and shifting market demands (Rockström et al., 2009; Scherr et al., 2012). Through this lens, the study endeavors to contribute actionable insights into how structured goal management can serve as a cornerstone for sustainable agricultural development in Tanzania’s rural landscapes.

2. Review of Empirical Literature

Empirical research on agricultural sustainability reveals the critical role of project management practices in overcoming environmental and socio-economic challenges unique to different regions. In rural Kenya, Nyamutera and Warue (2021) emphasized the significance of effective team management, particularly leadership and communication, in driving sustainable agricultural outcomes. Their study found that projects led by managers who engaged team members and encouraged collaboration were better positioned to align stakeholder interests with long-term sustainability goals. This underscores the centrality of communication and shared understanding in achieving sustainable results.

Similarly, Dong et al. (2021) explored Agricultural Cooperative Institutions (ACIs) in China, highlighting how agile project management practices, such as iterative planning and adaptive team structures, enabled cooperatives to respond effectively to environmental and market shifts. These agile practices allowed for real-time adjustments to tasks, goals, and resources, demonstrating that flexibility and responsiveness are key to sustaining agricultural projects in dynamic contexts. The findings emphasized that adaptable team management practices are vital for resilience in rapidly changing environments (Dong et al., 2021; Gomes Silva et al., 2022).

Task management has also been identified as a critical factor in promoting sustainability across agricultural projects. In Lebanon, Samara, Salameh, and Abbas (2020) documented how task management tools, such as scheduling software and resource-tracking systems, improved project efficiency and reduced resource waste. These tools enabled teams to prioritize and allocate resources effectively, ensuring projects remained on schedule while maintaining sustainable outcomes. Similarly, Toledo, Mendes, and Pereira (2021) examined agricultural projects in Brazil, finding that aligning project goals with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) led to consistent social and environmental benefits. Their study demonstrated that integrating SDG-

aligned goal management practices allowed agricultural initiatives to maintain long-term sustainability while addressing broader global challenges.

Together, these studies provide evidence that team, task, and goal management practices are instrumental in fostering sustainability by enhancing adaptability, resource efficiency, and alignment with environmental objectives. However, a significant gap remains in the existing literature concerning the application of these practices in resource-constrained rural contexts, such as Tanzania's Momba District. Much of the available research focuses on large-scale, resource-rich projects in developed or middle-income regions, often overlooking the specific challenges faced by smaller, community-based agricultural initiatives operating under significant resource constraints (Maijo, 2020; Muronga & Iminza, 2020).

Research on the integration of PPM principles in rural agricultural settings remains particularly limited. While studies have demonstrated the value of goal management practices in aligning project objectives with long-term sustainability targets, they often fail to address how these practices can be effectively implemented in low-resource environments. For instance, Silviu and Marnewick (2021) and Dong et al. (2021) discuss the benefits of structured goal-setting and monitoring in ensuring project success, but their focus largely excludes smaller projects that lack access to sophisticated tools or robust institutional support.

This study seeks to address these gaps by examining how team, task, and goal management practices influence agricultural sustainability in Tanzania's Momba District. By focusing on goal management specifically—encompassing the setting, monitoring, and adaptation of sustainability-oriented goals—this research aims to provide actionable insights for enhancing the sustainability of agricultural projects in low-resource, rural environments. These findings will contribute to the broader understanding of how PPM principles can be adapted to support sustainable development in similar contexts.

3. Methodology

This study employs a quantitative research approach to examine the impact of goal management practices on the sustainability of agricultural projects in the Momba District of Tanzania. Grounded in a positivist philosophy, the research prioritizes measurable, objective data to analyze the relationship between specific goal management practices—such as clarity, alignment with sustainability objectives, and adaptability—and project outcomes (Creswell & Creswell, 2018). A descriptive research design was chosen to document and evaluate existing practices without manipulating variables, enabling a comprehensive understanding of the interplay between goal management and project sustainability. Data were collected through structured questionnaires administered to farmers and project managers, capturing insights into how well-defined, regularly monitored, and adaptable goals contribute to agricultural sustainability. This systematic approach ensures that the study generates actionable findings relevant to resource-limited agricultural settings (Saunders et al., 2019).

The target population comprised 250 farmers in the Momba District, a predominantly agricultural region where effective goal management is essential for addressing sustainability challenges. Using the Yamane formula, a representative sample of 154 farmers was identified, with participants selected through simple random sampling to reduce bias and ensure diverse perspectives on agricultural projects (Israel, 2012). Data analysis included descriptive statistics to summarize trends in goal clarity, monitoring, and adaptability, and multiple regression analysis to quantify the

influence of these factors on sustainability outcomes (Field, 2018). To ensure the validity and reliability of findings, the questionnaire underwent pilot testing, and ethical standards were rigorously upheld, including informed consent and confidentiality measures for participants. This robust methodology provides a detailed and statistically grounded exploration of how goal management practices shape sustainability in rural agricultural contexts.

4. Discussion of Findings

The results presented in Table 1 provide valuable insights into the evaluation of goal management practices and their impact on the sustainability of agricultural projects in the Momba District. The mean scores for the various goal management items range from 3.08 to 3.77, indicating a generally moderate level of effectiveness across the different aspects of goal management. Notably, the highest-rated item is "Goals are adaptable to market and climate changes" (mean = 3.77, SD = 1.251), which suggests that adaptability is a recognized strength in the district's agricultural projects. This highlights the importance of flexibility in goal management, particularly in dynamic contexts where climate variability and market demands can significantly influence project outcomes.

Conversely, the lowest mean score is observed for "Goals enhance social well-being of the local community" (mean = 3.08, SD = 1.213). This indicates that while economic and environmental objectives may be better integrated into goal management practices, the social dimension—such as improving community well-being—is relatively less emphasized. This finding suggests a potential gap in addressing the holistic aspects of sustainability, particularly the social outcomes that are critical to the long-term success of agricultural projects in rural areas.

Table 1: Evaluation of Goal Management on Agricultural Project Sustainability in Momba District

Goal Management Item	Mean	Std. Deviation
Project goals are clearly defined	3.44	1.177
Goals align with long-term sustainability objectives	3.25	1.098
Goals are regularly monitored and evaluated	3.18	1.211
Flexibility to adjust goals in response to challenges	3.44	1.177
Stakeholder involvement in goal setting	3.25	1.098
Goals contribute to community economic sustainability	3.34	1.178
Goals support environmental sustainability	3.31	1.100
Goals enhance social well-being of the local community	3.08	1.213
Goals promote financial sustainability	3.32	1.230
Goals are adaptable to market and climate changes	3.77	1.251

Source: Field data (2024)

The alignment of goals with long-term sustainability objectives (mean = 3.25, SD = 1.098) and stakeholder involvement in goal setting (mean = 3.25, SD = 1.098) both received moderate ratings. These results point to some level of stakeholder engagement and strategic alignment, though there remains room for improvement. Stakeholder participation is a vital component of effective goal management, as it ensures that goals reflect the diverse needs and priorities of all project participants, including farmers and local communities. The moderate score here underscores the

need for more inclusive and participatory approaches to goal setting to strengthen alignment with sustainability objectives.

Finally, the moderate scores for "Goals support environmental sustainability" (mean = 3.31, SD = 1.100) and "Goals promote financial sustainability" (mean = 3.32, SD = 1.230) suggest that while these dimensions are acknowledged in goal management, their integration into actionable practices may be inconsistent. The relatively high standard deviations across items indicate variability in how different projects address these elements. Collectively, these results underline the need for a more comprehensive and balanced approach to goal management that consistently incorporates economic, environmental, and social dimensions of sustainability while leveraging the evident adaptability strengths in the region's agricultural practices.

Table 2: Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.915 ^a	.838	.835	.31089
a. Predictors: (Constant), goal management				

Source: Field data (2024)

The model summary in Table 2 provides a clear indication of the strength and significance of the relationship between goal management practices and agricultural project sustainability in the Momba District. The R value of 0.915 suggests a very strong positive correlation between goal management and sustainability outcomes. This indicates that as goal management practices improve, sustainability outcomes in agricultural projects are likely to improve significantly.

The R Square value of 0.838 implies that approximately 83.8% of the variance in agricultural project sustainability can be explained by goal management practices. This high proportion underscores the critical role of effective goal management in shaping sustainability outcomes. It also highlights that goal clarity, alignment with long-term objectives, monitoring, adaptability, and stakeholder involvement are likely to have a profound and measurable impact on the success of agricultural projects in the region.

The Adjusted R Square value of 0.835 accounts for the potential inflation of R Square due to the inclusion of multiple predictors and provides a more accurate representation of the model's explanatory power. This minimal difference between R Square and Adjusted R Square indicates that the model is robust and not overly influenced by extraneous variables. Finally, the standard error of the estimate (0.31089) reflects the average deviation of observed values from the predicted values of the dependent variable. This relatively small error margin indicates that the model provides a precise fit to the data. Together, these metrics confirm that goal management practices are a significant and reliable predictor of agricultural project sustainability in the Momba District, emphasizing their importance in driving sustainable outcomes in resource-constrained settings.

Table 3 : ANOVA Table

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	74.924	3	24.975	258.39 2	.000 ^b
	Residual	14.498	150	.097		
	Total	89.422	153			
a. Dependent Variable: sustainability of agriculture projects						
b. Predictors: (Constant), goal management						

Source: Field data (2024)

The ANOVA table presented in Table 3 provides a detailed statistical evaluation of the relationship between goal management practices and the sustainability of agricultural projects in the Momba District. The results confirm that the regression model is statistically significant, indicating that goal management practices have a substantial and reliable impact on sustainability outcomes.

The sum of squares for the regression (74.924), compared to the total sum of squares (89.422), shows that a significant portion of the variability in agricultural project sustainability is explained by the predictors—goal management practices. This reinforces the earlier findings that goal management plays a critical role in driving sustainability.

The mean square for the regression (24.975) and the residual (0.097) further illustrate the strength of the model. The relatively small mean square of the residual suggests that the unexplained variance is minimal, supporting the model's effectiveness in capturing the relationship between the variables.

The F value of 258.39 with a significance level (Sig.) of 0.000 indicates that the regression model is highly significant. This extremely low p-value (< 0.05) confirms that the likelihood of the observed relationship being due to random chance is negligible. Consequently, we can confidently conclude that goal management practices significantly influence the sustainability of agricultural projects in the Momba District, validating the importance of structured, strategic goal-setting and monitoring in achieving sustainable outcomes in this context.

Table 4. Effects of goal management on sustainability of agriculture projects

Model		Unstandardised Coefficients		Standardised Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	0.042	0.132		0.318	0.751
	Goal management	0.331	0.055	0.355	5.980	0.001
a. Dependent Variable: sustainability of agriculture projects						

Source: Field data (2024)

Table 4 presents the regression coefficients, providing insight into the specific impact of goal management practices on the sustainability of agricultural projects in the Momba District. The unstandardized coefficient (B) for goal management is 0.331, which indicates that for every unit increase in goal management practices, there is an expected increase of 0.331 units in the sustainability of agricultural projects. This positive relationship confirms the significant role that effective goal management plays in enhancing sustainability outcomes.

The standardized coefficient (Beta) of 0.355 highlights the relative importance of goal management as a predictor of sustainability. This value indicates that goal management has a moderate yet meaningful impact on sustainability outcomes, compared to other potential predictors that were not included in this model. It underscores the importance of factors such as goal clarity, adaptability, and alignment with long-term sustainability objectives in driving sustainable agricultural practices.

The t-value of 5.980 and the p-value (Sig.) of 0.001 further confirm that the relationship between goal management and sustainability is statistically significant. The low p-value (< 0.05) suggests that the likelihood of this relationship occurring by chance is extremely small, reinforcing the robustness of the findings. This statistical significance aligns with earlier results from the ANOVA table and model summary, adding further validity to the conclusion that goal management substantially influences project sustainability.

The constant value (B = 0.042, Sig. = 0.751) is not statistically significant, as indicated by its high p-value. This suggests that the sustainability of agricultural projects in the absence of effective goal management would not show a meaningful baseline value. This finding emphasizes the critical importance of goal management practices as a driver of sustainable outcomes, rather than sustainability occurring independently of structured management efforts. Together, these results provide strong evidence for the positive and significant impact of goal management on the sustainability of agricultural projects in the Momba District.

Discussion

The findings of this study emphasize the critical role of goal management in fostering the sustainability of agricultural projects, particularly in rural and resource-constrained contexts such as the Momba District. The strong relationship observed between goal management and sustainability outcomes is consistent with existing literature that highlights the importance of clear and adaptable goal-setting practices in project success (Dong et al., 2021; Silvius & Marnewick, 2021). This underscores the idea that well-structured management practices are not merely supportive elements but are fundamental drivers of long-term sustainability in agricultural settings. By systematically aligning goals with broader sustainability objectives, project managers can address the unique challenges of rural agriculture, such as environmental degradation and market volatility.

Adaptability in goal management emerged as a key factor in the study, reflecting the critical need for flexibility in response to external challenges. This aligns with existing research that emphasizes adaptive management as a cornerstone of sustainability in agriculture (Jew Das et al., 2020; Gomes Silva et al., 2022). In rural contexts, where unpredictable climatic and market conditions can significantly disrupt projects, the ability to modify goals and strategies is crucial for resilience. However, while adaptability is important, it raises questions about the balance between responsiveness and the stability of long-term objectives. Overemphasis on adaptability may lead to a loss of focus on strategic goals, particularly if short-term pressures dominate decision-making

processes. This implies that agricultural projects need frameworks that enable adaptive responses without compromising alignment with long-term sustainability.

The relatively weaker emphasis on social well-being in goal management practices suggests a broader issue in the conceptualization of sustainability within rural agricultural projects. While economic and environmental goals often take precedence, the social dimension—such as equity, inclusion, and community welfare—remains underprioritized in many projects (Toledo et al., 2021). This finding calls for a shift toward a more holistic approach to sustainability that equally values social outcomes. Stakeholder Theory provides a useful lens here, advocating for inclusive practices that ensure the voices of all affected parties, particularly marginalized groups, are considered in goal-setting and project planning (Freeman, 1984; Maijo, 2020). Greater stakeholder involvement in defining social goals could enhance the alignment of projects with community priorities, leading to more equitable and sustainable outcomes.

The moderate ratings for stakeholder involvement and goal alignment with long-term objectives highlight the need for more participatory approaches in project management. As several studies have noted, stakeholder engagement is crucial for ensuring that project goals are relevant, achievable, and supported by those who are directly impacted (Silvius & Schipper, 2015; Nyamutera & Warue, 2021). In rural contexts like the Momba District, where agricultural projects often involve multiple stakeholders with diverse interests, achieving consensus can be challenging. However, failure to engage stakeholders effectively may result in goals that are misaligned with local needs and priorities, ultimately undermining project success. These findings suggest that agricultural projects would benefit from incorporating structured stakeholder consultation processes to ensure that sustainability objectives are both locally grounded and widely supported.

The observed variability in goal management practices across projects reflects the complex realities of implementing sustainability in rural settings. Projects often face diverse challenges, including limited resources, varying levels of capacity among managers, and differing environmental and socio-economic conditions. This variability underscores the importance of context-specific strategies that are tailored to the unique circumstances of each project (Samara et al., 2020). The implication here is that while general principles of goal management can provide a foundation for sustainability, their application must be flexible and responsive to local contexts. Developing adaptable frameworks that incorporate local knowledge and practices could help bridge this gap and enhance the effectiveness of sustainability efforts.

Another key implication of the findings is the need for capacity-building initiatives to strengthen goal management practices in agricultural projects. The reliance on structured management frameworks such as Project Portfolio Management (PPM) suggests that capacity limitations among project managers may hinder the effective implementation of goal management practices in resource-constrained environments (Aghajani et al., 2023). Training programs that focus on skills such as strategic planning, adaptive management, and stakeholder engagement could play a critical role in addressing these limitations. Moreover, integrating these practices into existing institutional frameworks would ensure their sustainability beyond the duration of specific projects.

Therefore, the study highlights the transformative potential of goal management in enhancing agricultural sustainability but also points to significant areas for improvement. The findings suggest that while adaptability and economic-environmental alignment are strengths, social sustainability and stakeholder engagement require greater attention. To maximize the impact of agricultural

projects in rural areas like the Momba District, a more balanced and inclusive approach to goal management is essential. This would involve not only refining management practices but also fostering a cultural shift that values social outcomes and participatory governance as integral components of sustainability. By addressing these gaps, agricultural projects can contribute to the broader objectives of sustainable rural development, aligning local initiatives with global sustainability goals.

5. Conclusion

This study underscores the pivotal role of goal management in enhancing the sustainability of agricultural projects, particularly in resource-constrained rural settings like the Momba District. The findings reveal that well-structured goal management practices—emphasizing clarity, adaptability, and alignment with sustainability objectives—are critical for achieving long-term project success. However, the study also highlights gaps in addressing social dimensions of sustainability and in fostering comprehensive stakeholder engagement, indicating areas where agricultural projects could further improve their impact.

To achieve holistic sustainability, agricultural projects must adopt a more balanced approach that integrates economic, environmental, and social objectives. This requires strengthening the alignment of project goals with long-term sustainability targets, ensuring that they address not only productivity and environmental conservation but also community well-being and equity. The observed variability in goal management practices across projects also suggests the need for context-specific strategies that account for the unique challenges and opportunities present in different rural settings.

Recommendations for future practice include the integration of participatory goal-setting processes to enhance stakeholder engagement and ensure that project goals reflect the needs and priorities of local communities. Capacity-building initiatives aimed at training project managers in adaptive management, strategic planning, and inclusive governance are also essential. These efforts would empower managers to effectively navigate the complexities of sustainability and improve the resilience of agricultural projects to external shocks such as climate variability and market fluctuations.

Finally, policymakers and development agencies should support agricultural projects by providing access to tools, frameworks, and resources that facilitate structured goal management. Encouraging the adoption of adaptive and inclusive management practices through funding, technical assistance, and institutional support can help scale up sustainability efforts across rural regions. By addressing these recommendations, agricultural projects in the Momba District and similar contexts can contribute more effectively to sustainable rural development and align with broader global goals such as the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

References

- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). Sage.
- Dimoso, R., & Andrew, F. (2021). Rural electrification and small and medium Enterprises' (SMEs) performances in Mvomero District, Morogoro, Tanzania. *Journal of Business School*, 4(1), 48–6, <https://doi.org/10.26677/TR1010.2021.717>
- Dong, H., Wang, J., & Liu, Y. (2021). Agile project management in agricultural cooperative institutions: A case study in China. *Agriculture and Human Values*, 38(4), 45–60.
- Ershadi, M., Sadeghi, M., & Farhang, R. (2021). Exploring the role of project management practices in the sustainability of rural agricultural projects. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*, 14(2), 178-189.
- Field, A. (2018). *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics* (5th ed.). Sage.
- Foley, J. A., Ramankutty, N., Brauman, K. A., Cassidy, E. S., Gerber, J. S., Johnston, M., ... & Zaks, D. P. M. (2011). Solutions for a cultivated planet. *Nature*, 478(7369), 337-342. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10452>
- Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Pitman Publishing.
- Garnett, T., Appleby, M. C., Balmford, A., Bateman, I. J., Benton, T. G., Bloomer, P., ... & Godfray, H. C. J. (2013). Sustainable intensification in agriculture: Premises and policies. *Science*, 341(6141), 33-34. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1234485>
- Giller, K. E., Andersson, J. A., Sumberg, J., & Thompson, J. (2017). A golden age for agronomy? From revolutionary ideas to evolutionary change. *Field Crops Research*, 214, 98-112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2017.09.009>
- Giller, K. E., Tittonell, P., Rufino, M. C., van Wijk, M. T., Zingore, S., Mapfumo, P., ... & Vanlauwe, B. (2011). Communicating complexity: Integrated assessment of trade-offs concerning soil fertility management within African farming systems to support innovation and development. *Agricultural Systems*, 104(2), 191-203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2010.07.002>
- Godfray, H. C. J., & Garnett, T. (2014). Food security and sustainable intensification. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 369(1639), 20120273. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2012.0273>
- Gomes Silva, A., Pereira, M. A., & Mendes, J. F. (2022). The impact of agile project management on goal management in agricultural cooperatives. *Sustainable Agriculture Reviews*, 13(5), 93-105.
- Israel, G. D. (2012). *Determining sample size*. University of Florida IFAS Extension.
- Jew Das, S., Ghosh, A., & Rao, K. (2020). Integrating sustainability-oriented goal management in agriculture: Challenges and outcomes. *International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning*, 15(1), 78-89.
- Kitole, F. A., & Utouh, H. M. L. (2023). Foreign direct investment and industrialization in Tanzania admixture time series forecast analysis 1960 - 2020. *Applied Economics Letters*, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504851.2023.2211324>
- Kitole, F.A., & Genda, E.L. (2024). Empowering her drive: Unveiling the resilience and triumphs of women entrepreneurs in rural landscapes, *Women's Studies International Forum*, Volume 104, 2024, 102912, ISSN 0277-5395, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wsif.2024.102912>.
- Kitole, F.A., & Sesabo, J.K. (2024). The Heterogeneity of Socioeconomic Factors Affecting Poverty Reduction in Tanzania: A Multidimensional Statistical Inquiry. *Soc* (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12115-024-00957-x>

- Kitole, F.A., Lihawa, R.M. & Nsindagi, T.E. (2023). Agriculture Productivity and Farmers' Health in Tanzania: Analysis on Maize Subsector. *Glob Soc Welf* **10**, 197–206 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40609-022-00243-w>
- Klerkx, L., & Rose, D. (2020). Dealing with the game-changing technologies of Agriculture 4.0: How do we manage diversity and responsibility in food system transition pathways? *Global Food Security*, *24*, 100347. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2019.100347>
- Maijo, T. (2020). Key success factors in sustainable community projects: The role of external environment and team performance. *International Journal of Project Management*, *11*(2), 89–98.
- Milder, J. C., Majanen, T., & Scherr, S. J. (2011). Performance and potential of conservation agriculture for climate change adaptation and mitigation in sub-Saharan Africa. *EcoAgriculture Partners*. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.5140.2008>
- Mkomagi, L., Nyanda, J., & Mwashia, A. (2021). Agricultural project sustainability in rural Tanzania: The role of project management frameworks. *Journal of Agricultural Development*, *9*(1), 114-126.
- Muronga, A., & Iminza, J. (2020). Challenges in aligning project management practices with sustainability objectives in African agriculture. *African Journal of Project Management*, *12*(3), 134-148.
- Nyamutera, N., & Warue, B. (2021). Factors influencing sustainability of agricultural and community projects in Kenya. *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa*, *23*(2), 89–102.
- Ping Ju, Z., Lei, X., & Zhang, W. (2022). Adaptation strategies in agricultural sustainability: A framework for project management in East Africa. *Journal of Environmental Sustainability*, *17*(6), 332-345.
- Pretty, J., & Bharucha, Z. P. (2014). Sustainable intensification in agricultural systems. *Annals of Botany*, *114*(8), 1571-1596. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcu205>
- Pretty, J., & Bharucha, Z. P. (2015). Integrated pest management for sustainable intensification of agriculture in Asia and Africa. *Insects*, *6*(1), 152-182. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects6010152>
- Pretty, J., Benton, T. G., Bharucha, Z. P., Dicks, L. V., Flora, C. B., Godfray, H. C. J., ... & Wratten, S. D. (2018). Global assessment of agricultural system redesign for sustainable intensification. *Nature Sustainability*, *1*(8), 441-446. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-018-0114-0>
- Pretty, J., Toulmin, C., & Williams, S. (2011). Sustainable intensification in African agriculture. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*, *9*(1), 5-24. <https://doi.org/10.3763/ijas.2010.0583>
- Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, Å., Chapin, F. S., Lambin, E. F., ... & Foley, J. A. (2009). A safe operating space for humanity. *Nature*, *461*(7263), 472-475. <https://doi.org/10.1038/461472a>
- Rockström, J., Williams, J., Daily, G., Noble, A., Matthews, N., Gordon, L., ... & Smith, J. (2017). Sustainable intensification of agriculture for human prosperity and global sustainability. *Ambio*, *46*(1), 4-17. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-016-0793-6>
- Samara, N., Salameh, H., & Abbas, A. (2020). Project management tools and their impact on agricultural project sustainability: A case study from Lebanon. *Journal of Sustainable Development*, *12*(2), 123–135.
- Scherr, S. J., Shames, S., & Friedman, R. (2012). From climate-smart agriculture to climate-smart landscapes. *Agriculture & Food Security*, *1*(1), 12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2048-7010-1-12>

- Silvius, G., & Marnewick, C. (2021). *Project portfolio management for sustainable development in agriculture*. Routledge.
- Smith, P., & Olesen, J. E. (2010). Synergies between the mitigation of, and adaptation to, climate change in agriculture. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, 148(5), 543-552. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859610000341>
- Snapp, S. S., & Pound, B. (Eds.). (2017). *Agricultural systems: Agroecology and rural innovation for development* (2nd ed.). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-802070-8.00001-9>
- Tittonell, P., & Giller, K. E. (2013). When yield gaps are poverty traps: The paradigm of ecological intensification in African smallholder agriculture. *Field Crops Research*, 143, 76-90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2012.10.007>
- Tittonell, P., Vanlauwe, B., Leffelaar, P. A., Rowe, E. C., & Giller, K. E. (2005). Exploring diversity in soil fertility management of smallholder farms in western Kenya: I. Heterogeneity at region and farm scale. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 110(3-4), 149-165. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2005.04.001>
- Toledo, C., Mendes, F., & Pereira, R. (2021). Integrating Sustainable Development Goals in agricultural project goal management: Evidence from Brazil. *Sustainability in Agriculture*, 18(3), 225-237.
- United Republic of Tanzania (URT). (2020). *Population and housing census*.
- Utouh, H. M. L., & Kitole, F. A. (2024). Forecasting effects of foreign direct investment on industrialization towards realization of the Tanzania development vision 2025. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2024.2376947>
- Vanlauwe, B., & Giller, K. E. (2006). Popular myths around soil fertility management in sub-Saharan Africa. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 116(1-2), 34-46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2006.03.016>
- Vanlauwe, B., Coyne, D., Gockowski, J., Hauser, S., Huising, J., Masso, C., ... & Van Asten, P. (2014). Sustainable intensification and the African smallholder farmer. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 8, 15-22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2014.06.001>
- Vanlauwe, B., Wendt, J., & Giller, K. E. (2014). A fourth principle is required to define Conservation Agriculture in sub-Saharan Africa: The appropriate use of fertilizer to enhance crop productivity. *Field Crops Research*, 155, 10-13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2013.10.002>
- Waddington, S. R., Li, X., Dixon, J., Hyman, G., & de Vicente, M. C. (2010). Getting the focus right: Production constraints for six major food crops in Asian and African farming systems. *Food Security*, 2(1), 27-48. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-010-0053-8>
- Zurek, M., Hebinck, A., & Selomane, O. (2021). Looking across diverse food system futures: Implications for climate change and the environment. *Q Open*, 1(1), qoab007. <https://doi.org/10.1093/qopen/qoab007>