

## Effect of Tax Evasion and Avoidance on Economic Development of Grassroots in Nigeria

Asomba Ifeyinwa U <sup>2</sup>

Email: [ifeyinwa.asomba@esut.edu.ng](mailto:ifeyinwa.asomba@esut.edu.ng)

Owa John T <sup>1</sup>

Email: [johnvowa1@gmail.com](mailto:johnvowa1@gmail.com)

Chime Jessie Onyinye <sup>3</sup>

Email: [onyinyechijessie@gmail.com](mailto:onyinyechijessie@gmail.com)

<sup>1,2,3</sup> Department of Public Administration

Enugu State University of Science and Technology, Enugu, Nigeria.

### ABSTRACT

*This study investigates the impact of tax evasion and avoidance on the economic development of grassroots communities in Nigeria. Taxation is a fundamental component of public finance and is instrumental in supporting socio-economic development. However, the extent to which tax evasion and avoidance affect the economic well-being of grassroots communities has received limited attention in the literature. The study adopted descriptive research method technique. The findings from this research reveal the multifaceted nature of this relationship. Tax evasion is shown to reduce government revenue, exacerbate income inequality, and undermine governance, all of which have adverse effects on the economic development of grassroots communities. Conversely, the impact of tax avoidance is more complex, as it can stimulate investments but also erode government revenue when practiced excessively. It was therefore, recommended the importance of addressing tax evasion and avoidance issues in Nigeria, especially concerning grassroots economic development. It emphasizes the need for more effective tax policies and enforcement mechanisms that promote compliance while encouraging legitimate investment.*

### *Journal of Policy and Development Studies (JPDS)*

Vol. 14, Issue 2 (2023)

ISSN(p) 0189-5958

ISSN (e) 2814-1091

Home page

<https://www.ajol.info/index.php/jpds>

### ARTICLE INFO:

#### Keywords:

*Tax, Tax avoidance, Tax evasion, Economic growth and development, Nigeria*

#### Article History

Received 2<sup>nd</sup> November 2023

Accepted: 27<sup>th</sup> November 2023

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/jpds.v14i2.2>

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Tax evasion and avoidance are pervasive issues that have significant implications for economic development, particularly at the grassroots level. In Nigeria, a country with a large informal sector and a history of weak tax compliance, the effects of tax evasion and avoidance on economic growth

and development cannot be overlooked. This introduction aims to provide an overview of the impact of tax evasion and avoidance on grassroots economic development in Nigeria, drawing upon empirical evidence and scholarly research.

Nigeria, as the largest economy in Africa, faces numerous challenges in achieving sustainable economic development. The government heavily relies on tax revenue to finance public expenditure and invest in critical infrastructure and social services. However, tax evasion and avoidance undermine the government's ability to generate sufficient revenue, leading to a shortfall in funding for development projects and hindering economic progress, Appah&Duoduo, (2023).

Tax evasion refers to the illegal act of deliberately evading taxes by misrepresenting income, inflating expenses, or concealing assets to reduce tax liability. On the other hand, tax avoidance involves exploiting legal loopholes and using aggressive tax planning strategies to minimize tax obligations. Both practices have detrimental effects on the economy, as they reduce the amount of revenue available for public investment and social welfare programs, Appah&Duoduo, (2023).

Several studies have examined the relationship between tax revenue and economic development in Nigeria, shedding light on the consequences of tax evasion and avoidance. For instance, Ofoegbu&Akwu, (2016) found a positive and significant relationship between tax revenue and economic development in Nigeria . Their study emphasized the importance of tax policies that prioritize human development index rather than solely focusing on gross domestic product (GDP). This highlights the need for a comprehensive approach to taxation that considers the social and economic well-being of the grassroots population.

Personal income tax evasion has been identified as a significant challenge to socio-economic development in Nigeria. A study by Chukwugeku & Mmamefune, (2022). revealed that personal tax evasion has a significant negative implication for socio-economic development . The high rate of tax evasion among taxpayers needs to be curbed, and the government should implement policies that facilitate easy tax payment without burdening taxpayers. This suggests that addressing tax evasion at the grassroots level is crucial for fostering economic development and reducing income inequality.

Furthermore, tax avoidance and evasion have been found to have adverse effects on personal income tax administration in Nigeria . The study highlighted that high tax rates can encourage tax avoidance and evasion, leading to a decline in personal income tax generation. To combat this issue, the government must focus on improving tax administration, enhancing taxpayer education, and ensuring the fair utilization of tax revenue.

In addition to personal income tax, tax compliance behavior among micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs) has been studied in relation to sustainable economic growth in Nigeria . The findings indicated that tax penalty and tax fairness have positive and significant influences on sustainable economic growth, while perceived opportunities for tax evasion have a negative and insignificant influence. This underscores the importance of promoting tax compliance among MSMEs to foster economic development and create an enabling environment for entrepreneurship.

Tax evasion and avoidance have profound effects on grassroots economic development in Nigeria. The evidence suggests that addressing these issues requires a multi-faceted approach, including effective tax administration, fair tax policies, and taxpayer education. By curbing tax evasion and avoidance, Nigeria can enhance its revenue generation capacity, promote economic growth, and improve the well-being of its grassroots population. This research aims to contribute to the existing body of knowledge on the impact of tax evasion and avoidance on grassroots economic development in Nigeria, providing insights for policymakers, researchers, and practitioners alike.

### **1.1 Statement of the Problem**

The problem at hand is the prevalence and impact of tax evasion and tax avoidance on grassroots development. Tax evasion involves intentional non-payment or underpayment of taxes, while tax avoidance refers to legally minimizing tax liabilities through strategic planning. Both practices can have significant effects on grassroots development, including reduced government revenue for public spending on essential services and infrastructure, erosion of public trust in government institutions, and exacerbation of inequalities. The problem is particularly pronounced in developing countries where tax compliance is low and governments heavily rely on tax revenues for development funding. Efforts to combat tax evasion and avoidance and promote grassroots development require a comprehensive approach that includes strengthening tax enforcement, improving tax policies, and promoting transparency and international cooperation in tax matters. By addressing these issues, governments can increase revenue collection and allocate resources towards grassroots development initiatives that benefit society as a whole.

### **1.2 Research Objective:**

The research objective of this study is to examine the impact of tax evasion and avoidance on grassroots economic development in Nigeria. Specifically, the study aims to:

1. Investigate the relationship between tax revenue and economic development in Nigeria.
2. Analyze the effects of personal income tax evasion on socio-economic development.
3. Examine the implications of tax avoidance on socio-economic development.

### **1.3 Research Questions**

1. What is the nature and strength of the relationship between tax revenue and economic development in Nigeria?
2. How does personal income tax evasion affect socio-economic development, and what are the key mechanisms through which it influences development outcomes?
3. What are the implications of tax avoidance for socio-economic development, and how do various forms of tax avoidance practices impact economic and social progress?

## **2. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE**

### **2.1 Conceptual Review**

#### **Tax Evasion**

Tax evasion refers to the deliberate and illegal act of taxpayers avoiding or evading their tax obligations by intentionally under-reporting income, inflating deductions, or engaging in other fraudulent activities to reduce their tax liability (Wenzel, 2002; Illahi&Sumari, 2022; Reck&Bomare, 2022; Wijerathna&Perera, 2019). It involves the intentional violation of tax laws and regulations, with the aim of paying less tax than what is legally owed (Illahi&Sumari, 2022; Androniceanu et al., 2019). Tax evasion can take various forms, such as falsifying documents, hiding income or assets, or engaging in complex schemes to manipulate the tax system (Rantelangi& Majid, 2018; Wenzel, 2002; Androniceanu et al., 2019).

Factors that influence taxpayers' perception of tax evasion include tax knowledge, tax morale, tax system, tax fairness, and compliance cost (Rantelangi& Majid, 2018; Majid et al., 2018). Tax knowledge refers to taxpayers' understanding of tax laws and regulations, which can affect their perception of the consequences and risks associated with tax evasion (Rantelangi& Majid, 2018). Tax morale refers to the moral and ethical beliefs of taxpayers regarding their duty to pay taxes and contribute to society (Rantelangi& Majid, 2018; Luttmer, 2014). A fair and equitable tax system is also important in shaping taxpayers' perception of tax evasion (Rantelangi& Majid, 2018; Majid et al., 2018). If taxpayers perceive the tax system as unfair or burdensome, they may be more inclined to engage in tax evasion (Rantelangi& Majid, 2018; Majid et al., 2018). Compliance cost, which refers to the time, effort, and financial resources required to comply with tax obligations, can also influence taxpayers' perception of tax evasion (Rantelangi& Majid, 2018).

It is important to distinguish tax evasion from tax non-compliance. Tax evasion involves intentional and deliberate actions to evade taxes, while tax non-compliance is a broader term that includes unintentional failures to meet tax obligations (Wenzel, 2002). However, the distinction between the two is not always clear, as some taxpayers may try to exploit loopholes or bend the rules to pay less tax without engaging in deliberate criminal behavior (Wenzel, 2002).

Tax evasion is considered illegal and unethical, as it undermines the integrity of the tax system and shifts the tax burden onto compliant taxpayers (Majid et al., 2018; Illahi&Sumari, 2022; Wijerathna&Perera, 2019). It can have significant negative consequences for governments, as it reduces tax revenues and hinders the provision of public goods and services (Alm, 2011). Efforts to combat tax evasion involve strengthening tax enforcement, improving tax compliance measures, and promoting tax morale and voluntary compliance (Luttmer, 2014; Androniceanu et al., 2019).

In conclusion, tax evasion refers to the intentional and illegal act of taxpayers evading their tax obligations through fraudulent means. It is influenced by factors such as tax knowledge, tax morale, tax system, tax fairness, and compliance cost. Tax evasion undermines the integrity of the tax system and has negative consequences for governments and society. Efforts to combat tax evasion involve improving tax enforcement and compliance measures, as well as promoting tax morale and voluntary compliance.

## **2.2 Tax Avoidance**

Tax avoidance refers to the legal and strategic measures taken by individuals or companies to minimize their tax liability within the boundaries of the law (Guenther et al., 2016) Park et al., 2015; Salhi et al., 2019; Blaylock, 2015; Hoseini et al., 2019; Deslandes et al., 2019; Rhee et al., 2019). It involves using legitimate methods and loopholes in tax laws to reduce the amount of taxes owed (Park et al., 2015; Salhi et al., 2019; Blaylock, 2015). Tax avoidance is different from tax evasion, as tax evasion involves illegal activities to intentionally evade taxes .

Tax avoidance strategies can include various techniques such as taking advantage of tax deductions, credits, exemptions, and incentives provided by tax laws (Park et al., 2015; Salhi et al., 2019; Blaylock, 2015). These strategies aim to optimize tax planning and minimize tax burdens while remaining compliant with tax regulations (Park et al., 2015; Salhi et al., 2019; Blaylock, 2015). Companies may engage in tax avoidance to enhance their financial performance, increase shareholder value, and maximize profits (Alkurdi&Mardini, 2020; Zeng, 2019).

The relationship between tax avoidance and firm risk is a topic of research. Some studies suggest that tax avoidance strategies are not associated with increased firm risk (Guenther et al., 2016). In fact, evidence suggests that tax avoidance strategies are often persistent and do not increase overall firm risk (Guenther et al., 2016). However, there may be a relationship between tax avoidance and tax uncertainty, with firms engaging in tax avoidance bearing greater tax uncertainty (Dyreng et al., 2018).

The definition of tax avoidance can vary, but it generally encompasses activities that legally reduce a firm's tax burden (Salhi et al., 2019; Blaylock, 2015; Hoseini et al., 2019; Rhee et al., 2019). It can include both legal tax planning strategies and activities that reduce tax liabilities (Hoseini et al., 2019). Tax avoidance can be seen as a continuum, with legal tax planning strategies on one end and illegal tax avoidance on the other (Hoseini et al., 2019).

The motivations behind tax avoidance can vary. Some companies engage in tax avoidance to reduce their tax liabilities and increase their distributable wealth (Alkurdi&Mardini, 2020). Others may engage in tax avoidance to generate cash flows that can be used for investments, ultimately increasing the firm's value (Alkurdi&Mardini, 2020). Additionally, corporate social responsibility (CSR) has been found to be positively related to tax avoidance, suggesting that companies with higher CSR engagement may be more likely to engage in tax avoidance (Zeng, 2019).

In conclusion, tax avoidance refers to the legal and strategic measures taken by individuals or companies to minimize their tax liability within the boundaries of the law. It involves using legitimate methods and loopholes in tax laws to reduce the amount of taxes owed. Tax avoidance strategies can vary and may not necessarily increase firm risk. The definition of tax avoidance can vary, but it generally encompasses activities that legally reduce a firm's tax burden. Motivations for tax avoidance can include increasing distributable wealth, generating cash flows, and aligning with corporate social responsibility.

### **2.3 Tax Revenue and Economic Development in Nigeria**

Tax revenue plays a crucial role in economic development in Nigeria. Ofoegbu et al. (2016) conducted a study to examine the effect of tax revenue on the economic development of Nigeria (Ofoegbu et al., 2016). Their findings revealed a positive and significant relationship between tax revenue and economic development. The study emphasized the importance of tax policies based on human development index (HDI) rather than gross domestic product (GDP) in promoting economic development. This suggests that tax revenue can be an instrument for economic development in Nigeria.

### **Personal Income Tax Evasion and Socio-Economic Development**

Personal income tax evasion has significant implications for socio-economic development in Nigeria. (2022) conducted a study on the effects of personal income tax evasion on socio-economic development . Their findings indicated a significant negative impact of personal tax evasion on socio-economic development. The study emphasized the need to curb tax evasion and implement policies that facilitate easy tax payment without burdening taxpayers.

### **Effects of Tax Avoidance on Personal Income Tax Administration**

Tax avoidance can have adverse effects on personal income tax administration in Nigeria. (2013) conducted a study on the effect of tax avoidance and evasion on personal income tax administration . The study revealed that high tax rates can encourage tax avoidance and evasion, leading to a decline in personal income tax generation. The findings highlight the importance of effective tax administration, taxpayer education, and fair utilization of tax revenue to discourage tax avoidance.

#### **2.4 Tax Compliance Behavior among MSMEs and Sustainable Economic Growth**

Tax compliance behavior among micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs) is crucial for sustainable economic growth in Nigeria. Aditya (2020) conducted a study on the presumptive tax regime on MSMEs in Indonesia, highlighting the importance of comprehensive supervision and tax audits to improve taxpayer compliance and prevent tax avoidance efforts (Aditya, 2020). Additionally, Mustapha et al. (2022) examined the impact of the pandemic on tax-paying compliance among MSMEs in Pontianak City (Kristiawati et al., 2023). The study found that financial conditions during the COVID-19 period positively affected compliance with paying taxes, emphasizing the role of taxpayer financial health in tax compliance.

Overall, the literature review highlights the significant relationship between tax revenue and economic development in Nigeria. It also emphasizes the negative impact of personal income tax evasion and tax avoidance on socio-economic development and personal income tax administration. Additionally, the review underscores the importance of tax compliance behavior among MSMEs for sustainable economic growth. These findings provide a foundation for understanding the implications of tax evasion, avoidance, and compliance on grassroots economic development in Nigeria.

#### **2.5 Causes of Tax Evasion and Avoidance in Nigeria**

There are many causes for tax avoidance. In order to develop methods and instruments for fighting tax avoidance it is very much important to establish a broad understanding of the different causes underlying these problems. They are;

**High Rates of Taxation:** The prevailing high rates of taxation are one of the main causes of evasion and avoidance. The high tax rates in the top income brackets are said to be tolerated only because, considerable evasion take place there. But the tax rates by themselves are not to be blamed for the large extent of the evasion in any country for even if the rates are reduced, evasion will still continue, because it exists at all levels of income.

**Complexity in Tax Laws:** The complicated provisions of the Direct Taxes Acts, not all of which are easily intelligible were also stated to be responsible to some extent, for tax avoidance as well as evasion. The average tax-payer has inevitably to seek the assistance of tax experts and their advice is not always dis-interested but to help the tax-payer for evasion and avoidance.

**Shortage of Experienced Personnel:** Another reason for avoidance is said to be the dearth of experienced personnel in the department. It is, therefore, necessary to have in the department sufficient numbers of trained and experienced personnel to cope with the current as well as arrear load of assessment and investigation work. Simultaneously, the organization and procedures of the department should be imposed so as to bring it with highest pitch of efficiency.

**Moral and Psychological Factors:** Certain moral and psychological factors have also been pointed out as responsible for tax avoidance in various countries. Unfortunately, all citizens do not

realize their duties to the state and the necessity of paying the correct amount of taxes and paying them in time. Only a reformed moral outlook and the development of better civic conscience can improve matters in this respect.

**Attitude of Income-Tax Department:** It should also be agreed that income-tax department is no less responsible for the present state of affairs. It has been said and not unjustifiably, that even when the assesses returns are correct in respect of income, wealth etc. and produce evidence in support, the assessing officers do not always accept them. Because of this attitude of the department, it is said that assesses, sometimes under state their income and wealth, etc., in returns. This mutual distrust between the assessing officers and the tax-payers also encourage, to some extent, tax evasion, which should be broken. For this purpose, the administration has to take initiative and trust the assesses and conduct itself with a high sense of justice and fair play.

## **2.6 Effects of Tax Avoidance on Economic Growth and Development**

Tax evasion and avoidance have adverse effect on government revenue. Tax avoidance generates investment distortion in the form of the purchase of assets exempted from tax or under-valued for tax purposes. Avoidance takes the form of investment in arts collection, emigration of persons and capital. And as observed by Toby (2003) the tax payer indulges in evasion by resorting to various practices. These practices erode moral values and build up inflationary pressures. This point can be buttressed with the fact that because of the evasion of tax, individuals and companies have a lot of money at their disposal. Companies declare higher dividends and individuals have a high take home profit. This increase the quantity of money in circulation but without a corresponding increase in the goods and services. This then build up what is known as inflationary trends where large money chases few goods.

1. Tax evasion and tax avoidance hamper the social welfare. It obliges the government to borrow loan that effects the economic development.
2. Economic growth is co-related with budget and large budget helps to accelerate economic development of a country. But due to tax evasion and avoidance, a huge amount of revenue is not collected. As a result the government has to short-out the budget.
3. In capitalist mixed economy, private sector is supposed to play vital role in investment and development. But sometimes direct investment by governments is necessary. Due to tax evasion and tax avoidance the government cannot supply the expected fund for investment.
4. The government has to take various plans for economic development. But due to lack of fund, the plan cannot be implemented. If the people would not evade tax, the government could implement the plans as they would have enough resources with them for their purpose.
5. The government needs fund to create employment opportunities to its citizen. But due to tax evasion and tax avoidance, the government cannot ensure the employment opportunity due to paucity of funds.
6. It also hampers structural development such as roads bridges, transportation, industrial development etc.
7. Development expenses fall due to tax evasion and tax avoidance. It affects the distribution function of wealth of the government and adversely affects the economic development of a country.

From the above discussion it is clear to us that tax evasion and tax avoidance affect the economic development of a country severely, because a large part of the government income is collected by tax revenue (Appah, 2010).

## **2.7 Theoretical Review**

### **Tax Morale Theory, Erich Kirchler (2007)**

Erich Kirchler, an Austrian psychologist, is one of the prominent proponents of the Tax Morale Theory. He has extensively researched and written about the psychological and sociological factors that influence tax compliance and tax evasion. Kirchler's work emphasizes the importance of tax morale, which refers to individuals' attitudes, beliefs, and perceptions about the fairness and legitimacy of the tax system, in determining tax compliance behavior. His research explores the role of trust in government, perceived tax fairness, and the effectiveness of public spending in shaping individuals' tax morale and compliance decisions.

### **Application of Tax Morale Theory and Institutional Theory to the Prevalence and Impact of Tax Evasion and Tax Avoidance on Grassroots Development**

Tax evasion and tax avoidance pose significant challenges to grassroots development, particularly in developing countries where tax compliance is low and governments heavily rely on tax revenues for development funding. To effectively address these challenges, it is essential to understand the underlying motivations and behaviors that drive individuals and organizations to engage in these practices. Two theories that are applicable to this topic are Tax Morale Theory and Institutional Theory.

Tax Morale Theory, proposed by Erich Kirchler, suggests that individuals' willingness to comply with tax obligations is influenced by their moral and ethical beliefs regarding their duty to contribute to society through paying taxes. In the context of grassroots development, higher tax morale would lead to greater tax compliance, resulting in increased government revenue for funding essential services and infrastructure. Conversely, lower tax morale can lead to reduced compliance, limiting the resources available for grassroots development initiatives.

One way to promote tax compliance and support grassroots development is by addressing factors that influence tax morale. For instance, perceptions of tax fairness play a crucial role. If individuals perceive the tax system as fair and equitable, they are more likely to willingly fulfill their tax obligations. Governments can work towards designing tax policies that distribute the tax burden fairly and transparently, reducing the potential for resentment and tax evasion. Additionally, improving the effectiveness of public spending and ensuring that tax revenues are used efficiently and effectively can enhance tax morale. When individuals see that their tax contributions are used to improve public services, infrastructure, and social welfare, they are more likely to have higher tax morale and comply with their tax obligations.

Another factor influencing tax morale is trust in government institutions. Individuals are more likely to comply with tax laws if they trust that the government will use tax revenues for the benefit of society and adhere to principles of good governance. Governments can promote trust by enhancing transparency in tax collection and expenditure, engaging in open dialogue with citizens,



and combating corruption. By building trust in government institutions, tax morale can be strengthened, leading to increased compliance and greater resources for grassroots development.

### **3. DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS**

#### **Investigate the relationship between tax revenue and economic development in Nigeria.**

The investigation into the relationship between tax revenue and economic development in Nigeria revealed several key findings. Firstly, there is a positive correlation between tax revenue and economic development. This finding aligns with the widely accepted economic theory that tax revenue is essential for funding public infrastructure, services, and social programs that contribute to economic growth.

The research found that when tax revenues increase, governments have more resources to invest in infrastructure, education, healthcare, and other critical sectors. As a result, this investment can lead to improvements in human capital, productivity, and overall economic well-being. Furthermore, a well-structured tax system can promote fairness and reduce income inequality, which is vital for sustainable economic development.

However, it is crucial to note that the relationship between tax revenue and economic development is not solely dependent on the amount of tax collected but also on the efficiency and effectiveness of tax administration. The study highlighted that issues such as tax evasion, tax avoidance, and corruption within the tax collection system can hinder the positive impact of tax revenue on development.

Overall, this research underscores the importance of a well-designed and effectively administered tax system in Nigeria for sustained economic development. It also suggests the need for measures to address tax-related challenges that can impede the realization of this potential.

#### **Analyze the effects of personal income tax evasion on socio-economic development.**

The analysis of the effects of personal income tax evasion on socio-economic development revealed several critical insights. Firstly, personal income tax evasion was found to have detrimental effects on both government revenue and socio-economic development. Individuals and businesses engaged in tax evasion reduce the resources available for public services and investments, which are essential for development.

The study indicated that tax evasion exacerbates income inequality and hinders wealth redistribution. High-income earners who evade taxes disproportionately benefit from their actions, while the burden of funding public services falls on compliant taxpayers, which can lead to social and economic disparities.

Additionally, the research identified a link between personal income tax evasion and weakened governance. When tax evasion is rampant, it erodes trust in government institutions and contributes to a culture of non-compliance, reducing the effectiveness of the tax system.

To mitigate the negative effects of personal income tax evasion on socio-economic development, the study recommended a combination of policy measures, including enhanced tax enforcement,

improved compliance mechanisms, and public awareness campaigns to foster a culture of tax compliance. These actions can help maximize tax revenue and support equitable socio-economic development.

### **Examine the implications of tax avoidance on socio-economic development.**

The examination of the implications of tax avoidance on socio-economic development unveiled significant findings. Tax avoidance, which is the legal reduction of tax liability, was found to have complex and mixed effects on development.

On one hand, tax avoidance can encourage investments and economic activities, as it allows individuals and businesses to retain more of their income, which can be reinvested. This can stimulate economic growth and job creation, contributing positively to development.

However, the research also highlighted that excessive or aggressive tax avoidance, especially by multinational corporations, can lead to a reduction in government revenue. When these entities shift profits to tax havens or engage in tax planning strategies, they deprive countries of the resources needed for public goods and services.

To strike a balance between encouraging investment and ensuring sufficient public revenue, the study recommended the implementation of fair and transparent tax policies and international cooperation to combat aggressive tax avoidance practices. This would help safeguard the financial resources necessary for socio-economic development while still allowing for legitimate investment and wealth creation.

In conclusion, these findings underscore the intricate relationship between taxation and socio-economic development in Nigeria and emphasize the importance of well-structured tax systems and effective tax administration to achieve sustainable and equitable development outcomes. Additionally, addressing tax evasion and avoidance is crucial for maximizing the positive impact of taxation on economic and social progress.

### **3.1 Recommendations**

Enhancing tax administration is crucial for addressing tax evasion and avoidance in Nigeria. The government should invest in improving the efficiency and effectiveness of tax collection processes, including the use of technology for tax registration, filing, and payment. Strengthening tax administration will help reduce opportunities for tax evasion and ensure that taxpayers fulfill their obligations. Additionally, implementing comprehensive supervision and tax audits can help identify and deter tax avoidance efforts. By enhancing tax administration, Nigeria can increase tax compliance and revenue generation for grassroots economic development.

Developing fair tax policies is essential for promoting tax compliance and reducing tax avoidance. The government should review and revise tax laws and regulations to ensure they are equitable and transparent. This includes setting reasonable tax rates that do not excessively burden taxpayers and providing clear guidelines on tax obligations. Fair tax policies can encourage voluntary compliance and discourage tax avoidance strategies. By promoting fairness in the tax system, Nigeria can foster a positive tax compliance culture and support grassroots economic development.

Taxpayer education and awareness programs are crucial for promoting tax compliance and reducing tax evasion. The government should invest in public campaigns and initiatives to educate taxpayers about their rights and responsibilities. This includes providing information on tax laws, filing procedures, and the benefits of tax compliance. By increasing taxpayer knowledge and awareness, Nigeria can empower individuals and businesses to fulfill their tax obligations. Taxpayer education can also help dispel misconceptions and negative attitudes towards taxation, fostering a culture of compliance and supporting grassroots economic development.

### **3.2 Conclusion**

In conclusion, the research findings shed light on the intricate relationship between taxation and socio-economic development in Nigeria. The study investigated the impact of tax revenue, personal income tax evasion, and tax avoidance on the country's development prospects.

The research demonstrated that there is indeed a positive correlation between tax revenue and economic development. An increase in tax revenue provides governments with the necessary resources to invest in critical areas such as infrastructure, education, healthcare, and social programs. This, in turn, leads to improvements in human capital, productivity, and overall well-being. A well-designed tax system can also contribute to fairness and reduced income inequality, both of which are essential for sustainable development.

However, the study also highlighted that the relationship between tax revenue and development is not solely reliant on the amount collected but also on the efficiency and effectiveness of tax administration. Challenges such as tax evasion, tax avoidance, and corruption within the tax collection system were identified as impediments to realizing the full potential of tax revenue for development.

The research also analyzed the effects of personal income tax evasion and found that it has detrimental consequences for both government revenue and socio-economic development. Tax evasion exacerbates income inequality, weakens governance, and reduces resources available for public services and investments.

In the case of tax avoidance, the study revealed that its impact on development is mixed. While it can encourage investments and economic activities, excessive or aggressive tax avoidance, particularly by multinational corporations, can reduce government revenue. Striking a balance between encouraging investment and ensuring sufficient public revenue is crucial.

In light of these findings, it is evident that a well-structured and effectively administered tax system is vital for sustainable economic development in Nigeria. Addressing issues such as tax evasion, tax avoidance, and corruption is imperative to unlock the full potential of tax revenue. Policy measures, such as enhanced tax enforcement, improved compliance mechanisms, and public awareness campaigns, should be implemented to foster a culture of tax compliance.

Moreover, transparent and fair tax policies, as well as international cooperation to combat aggressive tax avoidance practices, are essential to safeguard financial resources required for socio-economic development while still allowing for legitimate investment and wealth creation.

In conclusion, the research underscores the significance of taxation in driving socio-economic development and calls for a comprehensive approach to tax policy and administration to maximize the benefits while mitigating the challenges associated with taxation in Nigeria. By addressing these issues, Nigeria can enhance its capacity to fund public services, reduce income inequality, and foster sustainable, equitable development.

## REFERENCES

- Adebisi, J., Gbegi, D. (2013). Effect Of Tax Avoidance and Tax Evasion On Personal Income Tax Administration In Nigeria. *American Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 3(1): 32 . <https://doi.org/10.11634/232907811301328>
- Aditya, C. (2020). The Presumptive Tax Regime On Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises In Indonesia. *SLJIL*, 6(5):50. <https://doi.org/10.36418/syntax-literate.v5i6.1323>
- Alkurdi, A., Mardini, G. (2020). The Impact Of Ownership Structure and The Board Of Directors' Composition On Tax Avoidance Strategies: *Empirical Evidence From Jordan*. *JFRA*, 4(18), 795-812. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jfra-01-2020-0001>
- Alm, J. (2011). Measuring, Explaining, and Controlling Tax Evasion: Lessons From Theory, *Experiments, And Field Studies*. *Int Tax Public Finance*, 1(19), 54-77. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10797-011-9171-2>
- Androniceanu, A., Gherghina, R., Ciobănașu, M. (2019). The Interdependence Between Fiscal Public Policies and Tax Evasion. *AMP*. <https://doi.org/10.24818/amp/2019.32-03>
- Appah, E., Duoduo, G. (2023). Determinants Of Tax Compliance Behaviour and Sustainable Economic Growth Among Msmes In Nigeria. *IJDES*, 3(11), 70-105. <https://doi.org/10.37745/ijeld.13/vol11n370105>
- Blaylock, B. (2015). Is Tax Avoidance Associated With Economically Significant Rent Extraction Among U.S. Firms?. *Contemp Account Res*, 3(33), 1013-1043. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1911-3846.12174>
- Chukwugeku, I., Mmamefune, O. (2022). The Effects Of Personal Income Tax Evasion On Socio-economic Development Of Nigeria. *AJEB*, 124-132. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajeba/2022/v22i24900>
- Deslandes, M., Fortin, A., Landry, S. (2019). Audit Committee Characteristics and Tax Aggressiveness. *MAJ*, 2(35), 272-293. <https://doi.org/10.1108/maj-12-2018-2109>
- Dyreg, S., Hanlon, M., Maydew, E. (2018). When Does Tax Avoidance Result In Tax Uncertainty?. *The Accounting Review*, 2(94), 179-203. <https://doi.org/10.2308/accr-52198>
- Guenther, D., Matsunaga, S., Williams, B. (2016). Is Tax Avoidance Related To Firm Risk?. *The Accounting Review*, 1(92), 115-136. <https://doi.org/10.2308/accr-51408>
- Hoseini, M., Gerayli, M., Valiyan, H. (2019). Demographic Characteristics Of the Board Of Directors' Structure And Tax Avoidance. *IJSE*, 2(46), 199-212. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijse-11-2017-0507>
- Illahi, I., Sumari, N. (2022). The Effect Of Religiosity On Tax Evasion Behavior With Gender As a Moderating Variable. *JIFA*, 2(4), 108-117. <https://doi.org/10.22515/jifa.v4i2.4928>
- Kasztelnik, K. (2022). Observational Study Of Tax Compliance and Tax Evasion In Nigeria. *FMIR*, 4(6), 1-14. [https://doi.org/10.21272/fmir.6\(4\).1-14.2022](https://doi.org/10.21272/fmir.6(4).1-14.2022)
- Luttmer, E. (2014). Tax Morale. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 4(28), 149-168. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.28.4.149>
- Majid, N., Rantelangi, C. (2018). Tax Evasion: Is It Ethical or Unethical? (From Samarinda Taxpayers Perception).. <https://doi.org/10.2991/miceb-17.2018.3>

- Mustapha, L., Olalekan, L., Damilola, A., Ayobami, A., Ngozi, I. (2022). Tax Revenue Collections and Health Care Infrastructural Development In Nigeria. *JFA*, 1(10), 19. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.jfa.20221001.12>
- Ofoegbu, G., Akwu, D., O, O. (2016). Empirical Analysis Of Effect Of Tax Revenue On Economic Development Of Nigeria. *International Journal of Asian Social Science*, 10(6), 604-613. <https://doi.org/10.18488/journal.1/2016.6.10/1.10.604.613>
- Ofoegbu, G., Akwu, D., O, O. (2016). Empirical Analysis Of Effect Of Tax Revenue On Economic Development Of Nigeria. *International Journal of Asian Social Science*, 10(6), 604-613. <https://doi.org/10.18488/journal.1/2016.6.10/1.10.604.613>
- Park, J., Ko, C., Jung, H., Lee, Y. (2015). Managerial Ability and Tax Avoidance: Evidence From Korea. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Accounting & Economics*, 4(23), 449-477. <https://doi.org/10.1080/16081625.2015.1017590>
- Rantelangi, C., Majid, N. (2018). Factors That Influence the Taxpayers' Perception On The Tax Evasion.. <https://doi.org/10.2991/miceb-17.2018.34>
- Reck, D., Bomare, J. (2022). Different From You and Me: Tax Enforcement And Sophisticated Tax Evasion By The Wealthy. *Lse Public Policy Review*, 4(2). <https://doi.org/10.31389/lseppr.71>
- Rhee, C., Woo, S., Kim, D. (2019). The Effect Of Female Employment On Corporate Sustainability In Terms Of Tax Avoidance. *Sustainability*, 1(12), 140. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12010140>
- Salhi, B., Riguen, R., Kachouri, M., Jarboui, A. (2019). The Mediating Role Of Corporate Social Responsibility On the Relationship Between Governance And Tax Avoidance: Uk Common Law Versus French Civil Law. *SRJ*, 8(16), 1149-1168. <https://doi.org/10.1108/srj-04-2019-0125>
- Wenzel, M. (2002). The Impact Of Outcome Orientation and Justice Concerns On Tax Compliance: The Role Of Taxpayers' Identity.. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 4(87), 629-645. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.87.4.629>
- Wijerathna, A., Perera, H. (2019). A Study Of Ethical Perspective Towards Tax Evasion In Sri Lanka. *Int. J. Acct. Bus. Fin.*, 2(5):33. <https://doi.org/10.4038/ijabf.v5i2.44>
- Zeng, T. (2019). Relationship Between Corporate Social Responsibility and Tax Avoidance: International Evidence. *SRJ*, 2(15), 244-257. <https://doi.org/10.1108/srj-03-2018-0056>