

Vol. 4 no. 1, pp. 8-13 (March 2007)

http://www.ajol.info/journals/jpb

Journal of PHARMACY AND BIORESOURCES

Comparative quality of fluoroquinolone tablets marketed in some towns in Northern Nigeria

Babajide A. Tytler*, Nafisa Mijinyawa and Anyo Ida

Department of Pharmaceutics and Pharmaceutical Microbiology, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria.

Received 11th October 2006

Abstract

Survey of fluoroquinolones tablets marketed in three northern Nigeria towns revealed that in the year 2002-2003, as many as 41 brands were in circulation in this part of the country of which 92.7% were imported, and mostly from Asian countries. Only 27 of the 41 sampled brands had NAFDAC registration numbers. Analysis of the various tablet properties showed that 4 of the 18 commonly used brands sampled failed weight variation tests and had drug content below the BP limit of 90%. Drug content variation was highly significant.

Keywords: Comparative Quality; Fluoroquinolone Tablets; Northern Nigerian

Introduction

Quality is the sum total of all parameters and factors that ensure a product meets required standards for use. The standards set out for drugs and medicines in general are usually exerting because they are not only required to meet specifications spelt out in official /reference monographs but also be of comparable quality with other products intended for similar use. The World Health Organization estimated that 51% of drugs circulating in the world of which 77% are in developing countries, contain no active ingredient at all, 17% contained the wrong drug while 11% contained under-dose of the active drug (WHO, 1999a, 1999b).

Since the introduction of the fluoroquinolones in the 1980, several derivatives have been synthesized and evaluated for their potential use as antimicrobial agents, a substantial numbers of which are currently in use while others are at various stages of clinical screening (Anderson & MacGravey, 2003). The fluoroquinolones are currently one of the most important antimicrobials used in the management of outpatient infectious diseases (Ball, 1998). They have been successfully employed in several disease conditions such as urinary tract, respiratory tract and gastrointestinal infections, meningitis and brain widespread The use of the fluoroquinolones have largely been due to broad-spectrum of antimicrobial their activities, their effectiveness against several emerging resistant and problematic pathogens aeruginosa, Proteus and such as Ps.Klebsiella spp., their ease of administration and relatively low cost. It is these factors that

^{*} Corresponding author. *E-mail address*: akintytler@yahoo.co.uk Tel: +234 (0) 808 0744161 ISSN 0189-8442 © 2004 Faculty of Pharmaceutical sciences, University of Jos, Jos. Nigeria.

might have accounted for the availability of several brands of the fluoroquinolones in Nigeria.

It is estimated that about 90% of drugs marketed in Nigeria are imported (Adenika, 1991), principally from Asian countries, notably India, Indonesia and Malaysia. A survey of fluoroquinolones in any major drug market in Nigeria shows availability of several brands (at least ten) at a time, with some of the brands disappearing after only three months and being replaced with new ones. The presence of several brands of one product generally poses problems not only to to consumers but also the health professionals, in terms of choice, brand substitution (generic equivalence), chemical and bio-equivalence and efficacy. There is, therefore, a need to assess the quality of these various brands of the fluoroquinolones available in three cities of Northern Nigeria. Analysis of the brands would serve as a guide for possible brand substitution.

Experimental

Sample collection. Retail pharmacy outlets in Kaduna, Zaria and Kano were surveyed for their stocks of fluoroquinolone tablet preparations. Based on the survey, the most commonly available brands of the fluoroquinolones in the market were selected for laboratory analysis. For qualitative test, the sampled brands were assigned number codes not necessarily as shown in Table 1.

Quality control tests. The sampled fluoroquinolone tablet formulations were subjected to various quality control tests as described below.

a. Weight variation test

For each brand, ten tablets were selected. They were weighed together and individually on a Mettler analytical balance (Mettler GmbH). Percentage weight variation was then calculated as recommended in the (BP 2002).

b. Tablet dimensions (thickness and diameter)

Twenty tablets of each of the selected brands were selected and their thicknesses and diameters measured using Micrometer screwgauge (Moore and Wright, England) and Vernier calipers (Preisser, UK). The mean values and deviations were then computed.

c. Tablet Hardness

Crushing strengths of ten tablets of each brand were determined as described by the British Pharmacopoeia 2002, using a Monsanto Hardness tester. From the values, mean crushing strength for each brand of fluoroquinolone was calculated.

d. Friability Test.

The Roche Friabilator was used to carry out this test as described in the BP 2002. The Friabilator was calibrated to rotate at 25 rpm for four minutes. From the weights of the ten tablets before and after friabilisation, percentage loss in weight was calculated.

e. Disintegration Test.

Disintegration times of the test samples were determined as stated in the BP 2002, using the Erweka Tablet Disintegrator. Disintegration medium was 0.1N HCl.

f. Drug Content Determination

Microbiological assay procedure was used to determine the relative drug concentrations of fluoroquinolone the selected tablet preparations. Assay of the test samples was carried out using the agar diffusion method employing the 6 x 6 (3+3) dose level Latin square design (Bloomfield, 1991; Sahm and Washington, 1990). Three concentrations of the test samples (2.5µg, 5µg and 10µg/ml) made with distilled water were used. Bacillus subtilis NCTC 10342, which was earlier, found to be sensitive to, and gave good response to increasing concentration of fluoroquinolones, was used as test organism. Overnight culture of the organism, grown in Nutrient broth (Oxoid Ltd, Basingstoke, UK), was standardized to give 10⁶cfu/ml.

For the assay, Ciproxin® (Bayer, Germany), Tarivid® (Aventis, Midrand) and

Peflacin® (Rhone-Poulenc, France) tablets were used as reference samples for the ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin and perfloxacin test samples respectively. The efficacies of these reference samples were earlier confirmed against sensitivity disks obtained from Becton Dickinson (ciprofloxacin), (ofloxacin) and Pasteur **Biological** Laboratories, India, (perfloxacin). Nutrient agar (Oxoid Ltd, Basingstoke, UK) was used as the seeded agar on a large assay plate. Incubation was at 37°C for 24 hours.

Results

The various brands were either tablets or caplets of 250mg or 500mg strength. As shown in Table 1, forty-one (41) brands of fluoroquinolones, made up ciprofloxacin, 7 ofloxacin, 6 perfloxacin and 6 others (sparfloxacin, norfloxacin and levofloxacin) were available in the three surveyed towns. Of these brands, twentyseven (27, i.e. 64%) were imported from Asia while three (3) had a Nigerian manufacturer label, and the rest were from Europe and the Middle East. Twenty-three (23) of the 41 available brands had NAFDAC registration number on their package, (i.e. the 3 brands produced in Nigeria, 16 of the 27 brands manufactured in Asia and 4 of the 8 Europe manufactured brands. None of the 4 brands with labels indicating they were manufactured in the Middle East had NAFDAC numbers. Table 2 showed the results of the quality control tests performed on the test samples. Of a total of 18 brand samples analysed, 10 were ciprofloxacin, 5 ofloxacin and 3 perfloxacin. Fifteen of the analysed 18 brand samples complied with the BP limit for weight variation. Disintegration considerably varied among the brands. Three which had disintegration times brands, exceeding 15 minutes limit time for uncoated tablets, were found to be film-coated. All the tablets/caplets had friability values well below 0.8%w/w limit. The tablets/caplets were

generally hard, with crushing strengths ranging from 7.0 to 13.5 Nm⁻².

Result of the microbiological assay (Table 3) showed that four of the analysed brands had drug content below the minimum BP limit of 90%. Four of the brands that failed the assay test were manufactured in Asia, two being product of the same company. Interestingly, three of the four failed brands had NAFDAC registration numbers on their labels. Drug content variation was relatively high among the various brands within each of the three subgroups. Among the ciprofloxacin brands, drug content range was as much as 36% with a standard deviation of 10.2, while among the ofloxacin and perfloxacin sampled brands, standard deviation in the drug contents was as high as 14 and 12 respectively.

Discussion

The result of this study which showed only 3 of the 41 brands fluoroquinolone tablets/caplets available in pharmacies in the three sampling towns had a Nigerian manufacturers' label is in agreement with the previous reports which estimated that about 90% of drugs in circulation in Nigeria are imported. This shows an overdependence of the country on foreign drug manufacturers. The qualitative tests which are not only a measure of compliance of a manufacture to laid down compendial standards but also of the level of efficiency of in-process quality control of a manufacturing plant, indicates that some of the manufacturers are noncompliant to GMP guidelines on in-process control measures.

The possession of a **NAFDAC** registration number is supposed to be an indication of official acceptance authentication of quality of a product in Nigeria. The high proportion fluoroquinolones brands in these towns without NAFDAC registration numbers

(45%) is an indication of prevalence of fake & or adulterated drugs in this region.

Table 1: List of available brands of fluoroquinolones in three Northern Nigeria towns

Brand Name	Strength (mg)	Manufacturer	Country of Origin	NAFDAC No	
	Strength (mg)	Manutacturer	Country of Origin	NAFDAC NO	
<u>Ciprofloxacin</u>	500	Cu de a harres	V	04 1521	
Beso Cifran	500	Sudopharma Ranbaxy Ltd	Korea India	04-1521 04-1434	
		Encure Pharmaceutical Ltd			
Ciprobiotic Forte	500		India	04-2307	
Ciprocin	500	Egypt International Pharm. Co	Egypt	-	
Ciprocine	250	Racha Lab	Syria	•	
Ciproflex	500	Alpha Pharmaceuticals Industries	Syria	-	
Ciproflox	250/500	Indonesian Pharm.	Indonesia	-	
Ciprogem	500	Gemini	Nigeria	04-3417	
Ciprolex	500	Shield of Protection	India	-	
Cipromed	500	Gracure Pharm. Ltd. New Delhi	India	-	
Ciprotab	500	V.S International	India	04-0723	
Ciproval	500	Nigeria-German Chemicals Plc	Nigeria	04-2433	
Ciproxene	500	Massoud-Bahri & Co	Syria	-	
Ciproxin	250	Bayer	Germany	-	
Ciproxin	500	Bayer Istanbul	Turkey	-	
Cyplox	500	Medreich Sterilab	India	04-3202	
Iflos	500	PT Gauardian Pharmatama	Indonesia	04-2370	
Interflox	250/500	PT Interbet	Indonesia	-	
Rapidflox	500	Bharat Parenterals Ltd	India	04-3220	
Siprosan	500	Drogsan	Turkey	04-2107	
Vitapro	500	Vital Pharmaceuticals	India	-	
Zifan forte	500	Alpha Drugs & Pharmaceuticals	India	-	
<u>Ofloxacin</u>					
Drovid	200	Plantafarma Bitkisel Jag sanaji AS	Turkey	-	
Flovid	200	Hovid	Malaysia	04-3619	
Floxan	200	Korea United Pharm. Inc	Korea	04-2627	
Oflomed	200	Bharat Ltd	India	04-3132	
Tarivid	200	Aventis	Midrand	04-0885	
Traflox	200	NigGerman Chemical Plc	Nigeria	04-2530	
Zanocin	200	Rambaxy	India	04-2491	
Perfloxacins		•			
Abaktal	400	Lek Pharm. Company	Slovenia	04-1836	
Peflacine	400	Rhone-Poulec	France	04-1015	
Peflomed	400	Bharat Ltd	India	04-3133	
Pefoxin	400	Alpha Pharm. Ltd	India	•	
Pemax	400	Korea United Pharm Inc	Korea	_	
Peflotab	400	V.S International PVT, Ltd	India	_	
Norfloxacin			111414		
Norbactin	400	Ranbaxy	India	04-2458	
Norfen	400	Cadila Pharm	maiu	04-0776	
Norxin	400	Korea Pharma Co. Ltd	Korea	04-0770	
Sparfloxacin			Roica	-	
Sparbact		Ipca Lab Ltd	India	04-3456	
Sparx		Wockhardt Ltd	India	04-3204	
Levofloxacin					
Tavanic		Aventis Pharm	Midrand		
	nnling products	with () had no identifiable NAECAC.			

At the time of sampling, products with (-) had no identifiable NAFCAC registration number.

Table 2: Tablet Properties of Various Brands of Fluoroquinolones Marketed Three Northern Nigeria Towns

Brand	% Weight	Thick-	Diameter (mm)	Disintegrat-	Friability	Crushing
	Variation	ness (mm)		ion Time (min)	(%)	strength (Nm ⁻²)
Ciprofloxacin				•		
Ciproxin	375.5 ± 9.0	4.02	11.11	1.40	0.00	9.0
C1	1014.0 ± 21.5	7.27	19.50	2.00	0.05	10.0
C2	354.1±25.0	3.69	19.00/9.50*	1.00	0.14	12.0
C3	805.8 ± 17.5	5.75	18.20	10.30	0.00	12.0
C4	765.5±13.5	4.57	12.00	2.80	0.07	10.5
C5	666.1±11.0	5.42	15.00/9.00*	4.30	0.23	12.5
C6	963.6±6.5	5.62	17.60	1.30	0.05	12.0
C7	381.9±3.0	4.32	11.00	1.30	0.13	9.0
C8	895.6±5.5	6.72	20.00	1.55	0.11	9.0
C9	738.6±28.0	4.61	19.00/9.50*	2.80	0.07	11.0
C10	970.4±12.9	5.87	19.80	1.45	0.05	12.0
Ofloxacin						
Tarivid	393.0±6.5	4.35	13.00/7.00*	20.20	0.26	13.5
O1	290.3±3.0	4.33	9.00	5.40	0.34	12.0
O2	373.7±10.7	5.43	10.00	9.55	0.13	10.0
O3	325.7±19.7	4.37	9.50	24.00	0.15	10.0
O4	392.8±2.5	4.35	14.00	1.40	0.12	9.5
O5	400.8±4.5	4.61	10.05	5.10	0.25	12.0
Perfloxacin						
Peflacine	778.9±7.0	4.71	18.00/9.00*	8.00	0.07	14.0
P1	762.8 ± 3.0	4.54	18.50/9.50*	9.10	0.06	14.0
P2	845.3±20.0	5.64	20.00/9.00*	9.30	0.12	7.0
P3	94 <u>3.5±50.0</u>	6.51	19.00/9.50*	26.1	0.21	8.0

^{*} indicates the dimension (length and width) of caplets

Table 3: % drug content of various brands of fluoroquinolone marketed in three Northern Nigerian towns.

Brand	Percentage Content (%)
Ciprofloxacin	
C1	102.6 ± 2.6
C2	80.2 ± 4.5
C3	89.0 ± 3.0
C4	104.0 ± 2.0
C5	116.3 ± 5.0
C6	89.6 ± 3.0
C7	92.0 ± 3.0
C8	102.0 ± 2.5
C9	94.9 ± 3.1
C10	90.5 ± 3.0
Ofloxacin	
O1	93.1 ± 2.6
O2	105.3 ± 3.4
O3	73.5 ± 4.6
O4	109.6 ± 5.4
· O5	96.8 ± 3.2
Perfloxacin	
P1	104.0 ± 2.0
P2	98.5 ± 3.5
P3	81.0 ± 3.2

Differences in the drug content among the sub-groups was highly significant at $p \le 0.05$

It is also worth noting that the carriage of NAFDAC registration numbers may not be a guarantee of total quality since three of the four brands that did not comply with laid standards (USP XVII) NAFDAC registration numbers. This could have arisen if all batches were not subjected to certification process by the regulatory body. Monitoring of all batches would have ensured manufacturer's compliance to GMP. It must also be realized that in a country with unreliable drug distribution system, drugs available in markets may not necessarily originate from the labeled manufacturers.

The high drug content variation among the various brands implied that prescribers should be cautious when considering generic substitution of quinolones for their patients. As much as possible, none of the brands should be substituted with others unless bioequivalence data are available.

References

Adenika, F.B. (1991): Drug Production and research in their contemporary Nigerian Context. A paper presented at the 1st National Scientific Conference of the Nigerian Association of Academic Pharmacist (NAAP) at Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria.

- Anderson & MacGravey, (2003): Development of the quinolones. *J. Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 51. Supplement.
- Ball, (1998): The Quinolones: History and Overview. In: *The Quinolones* 2nd edition (V.T. Andriole, Ed.) Academy Press, New York, pg 1-28
- Bloomfield, S.F. (1991): Methods of Assessing Antimicrobial Agents. In: Mechanism of Action of Chemical Biocides; Their Study and Exploitation (Denyer, S.P. and Hugo, W.B. Ed.) pg 1-22. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford.
- British Pharmacopoeia (2002): vol. II British Pharmacopoeia Commission, London.
- Sahm D.F. and Washington J.A. (1990) Antibacterial susceptibility tests: Dilution methods. In: *Manuals of Clinical Microbiology* (Eds. Lennette E.H., Balones P., Hauser (Jr.) W.J., Shadomy) 5th Edition. American Society for Microbiology, Washington D.C. pp 1105-1116
- United States Pharmacopoeia (1980): 20th ed. United States Pharmacopoeia Convention Inc. Pennsylvania, USA.
- World Health Organization (1999a): Counterfeit Drugs. Guidelines for the Development of Measures to Combat Counterfeit Drugs. Geneva. WHO
- World Health Organization (1999b): Counterfeit and Substandard Drugs in Myanmar and Vietnam. Geneva. WHO.