N.Met.S
Nigerian Meteorological Society

Fertility/Salinity Assessment of Soil along Tutudawa Main Canal of the Wurno Irrigation Scheme, Sokoto, Prior to September, 2020 Flood

A. Y. Rufai [1], Umar Adamu G.[1] S. S. Noma[2] and A. C. Odunze[3]

[1]Department of Agricultural Science, Shehu Shagari College of Education, Sokoto, Nigeria

[2]Deprtment of Soil Science and Agricultural Engineering, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto, Nigeria

[3]Department of soil science / Institute of Agricultural Research, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria

Correspondence author's email: ahmedrufai79@gmail.com   (+2348062538586)

Abstract: *This research was carried out to evaluate the fertility and salinity status of the soil and pattern of its variations with depth along Tutudawa main canal of the Wurno Irrigation Scheme. The Wurno irrigation scheme is located in the Sudan Savannah, situated 45km north of Sokoto town. The Irrigation scheme is located on latitude 13° $20´N$ and longitude 4° $55´E$, within dry sub-humid Savannah (Ojanuga, 2006). The nature of rainfall in the area is poor in distribution, scanty in quantity and erratic in behavior with its peak in August with average of 704.2.mm per anum. The temperature ranges from a minimum of 17^oC recorded in December/January to 42^oC in April/May (NAERL and FDAE, 2014).Wurno irrigation scheme comprises of a storage reservoir with design capacity of 19,501,200 m^3 supplied from Goronyo dam.The reservoir is linked to two main canals, namely; Lugu main canal that passes through Lugu village and Tutudawa main canal that passes through Tutudawa village, a main drain and a number of secondary canals (WIPMAM, 1994). Four transects were purposively chosen and soil samples were collected from three sampling spots in each transects. The samples were taken at the 0-15cm and 15-30cm depth respectively. Soil fertility and salinity parameters such as p^H, Total N, Organic Carbon, available P, CEC, Exchangeable bases, were determined using standard methods.   PBS, ESP, EC and SAR were calculated. The findings of the study revealed that Ca^{2+}, K^+ and Na^+ ions were all high across the sampling locations and depths. Periodic monitoring is proposed as a means of ensuring that proper soil quality for effective plant growth performance is maintained.*

Key words: Assessment, Fertility, Salinity, Tutudawa Main canal, Flood

INTRODUCTION

One of the most important natural resources that cover much of the land surface is soil. It is crucial to life,. As human societies become increasingly urbanized, fewer people have intimate contact with the soil, and individuals tend to lose sight of the many ways in which they depend upon soils for their prosperity and survival (Brady and Weil, 2010). Continuous cropping without adequate nutrient restorative practices may endanger the sustainability of agriculture (FAO, 2014). Soil nutrient depletion has grave implication in terms of more acute and widespread nutrients deficiencies, and weakened the foundation for high yielding sustainable farming and escalating remedial cost for re-building depleted soils (SFE, 2014). Proper soil testing would help reach the goal of sound soil management decision, that best meet crop needs and maintain the nutrient supplying power of the soil, while making the most efficient use of fertilizer and avoiding environmental problems (FAO, 2014). Indeed many government and private farming activities failed due to failure to understand the values and significance of soil evaluation and monitoring through proper sampling and testing techniques which could be the bedrock of sound soil management decision while making the most efficient use of environmental resources (FAO, 2003).

Irrigation has contributed significantly to poverty alleviation, food security, and improving the quality of life. Inadequate attention to soils salinization factors and projected economic implications of large-scale irrigation schemes like the Wurno irrigation project has frequently led to great difficulties in soil management decisions. Thousands of hactres are going out of production worldwide each year due to salinity problems.

A. Y. Rufai, Umar Adamu G., S. S. Noma and A. C.

Despite the immense importance of Wurno Irrigation Scheme, the yield obtained in recent years by farmers from most cultivated crops in the scheme (rice, onion, tomatoes, pepper and potato) is low compared to the previous years Quantitative estimation of soil nutrient status and salinity related parameters of the Wurno Irrigation Scheme would be a useful devise for comprehending the state of soil richness and for devising corrective measures needed. The need for this cannot be over- emphasized especially when viewed against the realization that such information forms the background to an efficient and holistic use of soil and water resources (Elke, 1998).The broad objective of this research is to provide the scientific knowledge on the current status of soils quality along Tutudawa Main Canal of the Wurno Irrigation Scheme after September 2010 Flood with a view to offer suggestions on appropriate management strategies for sustainable utilization of the soils of the study area.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Four transects were purposively chosen along Tutudawa main canal and three sampling spots were identified on each transect and soil samples were taken at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm depth from each sampling spot making a total of 24 soil samples. These were taken to the laboratory, air dried and crushed using pestle and mortar and sieved through 2 mm sieve mesh. The soil samples were finally stored for subsequent analyses to determine the various chemical properties.

The soil pH was determined both in water and in calcium chloride ($CaCl_2$) solution using a pH meter. Organic carbon was determined by Walkley and Black method (1934). The cation exchange capacity was determined using ammonium acetate saturation method as described by Hesse (1957). Exchangeable bases were extracted by the ammonium acetate extraction technique and determined by flame photometry (Adepetu *et al.,* 2000). The extract was analyzed for calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg) by atomic absorption spectrophotometry method while potassium (K) and sodium (Na) by flame photometer (Maclean, 1965). Total nitrogen (N) was determined by macro-Kjeldahl digestion distillation method (Jackson, 1962). Available phosphorus was determined using Bray No. 1 method (Bray and Kurtz, 1945). Electrical conductivity (ECe) was determined using conductivity meter, The percentage base saturation, the sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) and the exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP) of the sample were computed using the following expressions;

(i) $PBS = \frac{\sum Exchangeable\ Bases}{CEC} \times 100$

(ii) $ESP = \frac{Na^+}{CEC} \times 10$

(iii) $SAR = \frac{Na^+}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(Ca^{2+} + mg^{2+})}}$

The data collected were analyzed with the aid of analysis of variance (ANOVA) using statistical analysis system (SAS, 2002).

Map of Wurno irrigation Scheme showing sampling location with tag T

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the chemical properties of the soils in the Tutudawa Main canal of the Wurno Irrigation scheme prior to September 2030 flood is presented in table 1-4.

Table 1. Chemical properties of soil at Tutudawa Main canal of the Wurno irrigation scheme prior to September 2020 flood (0-15cm)

Location	$p^H\,_{H20}$	$p^H\,_{Cacl2}$	EC	SAR	ESP %
Tt1D1	6.83±0.58[abcde]	6.16±0.58[abcd]	0.50±0.10[bc]	0.60±0.00[abc]	7.83±0.62[bcd]
Tt$_2$D1	6.33±0.42[def]	5.83±0.35[bcde]	0.03±0.02[c]	0.64±0.10[ab]	7.83±0.34[bcd]
Tt$_3$D1	6.30±0.17[ef]	5.87±0.58[bcde]	0.3±0.00[c]	0.60±0.02[abcd]	9.3±0.66[a]
Tt$_4$D1	6.40±0.17[cdef]	6.03±0.15[abcde]	0.03±0.01[c]	0.50±0.00[cd]	8.03±0.73[abcd]
SE	0.5	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.11
Significance	*	*	Ns	*	*

Means followed by the same letters within a treatment column are not significantly (ns) different at 5% level of probability using Duncan multiple range test (DMRT) ns-not significant (P<0.05)

Table 2 Chemical properties of soil at Tutudawa Main canal of the Wurno irrigation scheme prior to September 2020 flood (15-30cm)

Location	$p^H\,_{H20}$	$p^H\,_{Cacl2}$	EC	SAR	ESP %
Tt1D2	7.10±0.36[a]	6.63±0.46[a]	0.03±0.02[c]	0.56±0.06[abcd]	8.58±1.66[abc]
Tt2D2	6.30±0.17[a]	5.80±0.17[a]	0.03±0.00[c]	0.60±0.06[abcd]	7.52±0.41[cd]
Tt$_3$D2	6.63±0.21[abcdef]	6.06±0.15[abcde]	0.02±0.02[c]	0.60±0.05[abcd]	8.50±0.16[abcd]
Tt4D2	6.87±0.15[abcd]	6.30±0.00[abcd]	0.02±0.01[c]	0.50±0.04[d]	07.23±0.15[d]
SE	0.05	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.11
Significance	*	*	Ns	*	*

Means followed by the same letters within a treatment column are not significantly (ns) different at 5% level of probability using Duncan multiple range test (DMRT) ns-not significant (P<0.05)

Table 3: Chemical properties of soil at Tutudawa Main canal of the Wurno irrigation scheme prior to September 2020 flood (0-15cm)

Location	O.C	Total N	AV. P Mg/kg	Ca	Mg	K	Na	PBS %	CEC
	←→ g.kg^{-1} ←			←→ Cmol.kg^{-1} ←					
Tt1D1	0.35±0.16	0.07±0.00	1.20±0.13[ab]	1.90±0.23[cde]	1.80±0.11[cde]	1.00±0.12[abcd]	0.78±0.04[cde]	54.56±2.65[bcdef]	10.03±0.44[bc]
Tt$_2$D1	0.23±0.18	0.07±0.01[ab]	1.30±0.00[a]	1.81±0.43[cde]	1.60±0.30[de]	1.13±0.08[ab]	0.82±0.9[cde]	49.01±84[ef]	10.54±0.63[abc]
Tt$_3$D1	0.37±0.06	0.07±0.00[abcde]	1.20±0.12[ab]	2.86±50[a]	3.28±0.30[a]	1.06±0.18[abc]	1.00±0.09[a]	75.73±7.88-	10.80±0.57[ab]
Tt$_4$D1	0.23±0.8	0.07±0.00[abcde]	1.20±.13[ab]	2.60±0.20[abc]	2.83±0.05[ab]	0.92±0.15[abcd]	0.80±0.02[cde]	72.05±5.50[a]	9.94± 0.54[bc]
SE	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.07	0.09	0.02	0.01	1.53	0.09
Significance	ns	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Means followed by the same letters within a treatment column are not significantly (ns) different at 5% level of probability using Duncan multiple range test (DMRT) ns-not significant (P<0.05)

Table 4: Chemical properties of soil at Tutudawa Main canal of the Wurno irrigation scheme prior to September 2020 flood (15-30cm)

Location	O.C → g.kg⁻¹ ←	Total N	AV. P Mg/kg	Ca →	Mg Cmol.kg⁻¹ ←	K	Na	PBS %	CEC
Tt1D2	0.36±0.22	0.06±0.00[abcde]	1.09±0.25[ab]	1.72±0.30[de]	1.80±0.15[cde]	0.93±0.13[abcd]	0.74±0.09[de]	53.54±3.48[cdef]	9.63±0.29[c]
Tt2D2	0.23±0.15	0.07±0.00[abcde]	1.30±0.05[ab]	1.63±0.43[de]	1.62±0.29[a]	1.00±0.08[abc]	0.75±0.06[de]	50.55±4.04[def]	10.05±0.33[bc]
Tt₃D2	0.42±0.07	0.06±0.00	1.14±0.96[ab]	2.50±0.51[abcd]	2.40±0.45[bc]	0.94±0.18[abcd]	0.89±0.06[abcd]	64.01±3.00[abc]	10.42±0.58[abc]
Tt4D2	0.23±0.06	0.06±0.00[de]	1.16±0.05[ab]	2.30±0.10[abcde]	2.40±0.30[bc]	0.92±0.13[abcde]	0.70±0.04[c]	65.60±2.20[abc]	9.62±0.44[c]
SE	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.07	0.09	0.02	0.01	1.53	0.09
Significance	Ns	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	

Means followed by the same letters within a treatment column are not significantly (ns) different at 5% level of probability using Duncan multiple range test (DMRT) ns-not significant ($P<0.05$)

The pH values were slightly acidic to neutral and ranged from 6.30 to 6.83 and 6.30 to 7.10 at 0-15cm and 15-30cm soil depth respectively. These trends indicates a slight decrease in pH which could be attributed to seasonal leaching of basic salts due to poor soil management and over use of acid forming fertilizers. However, the observed pH values across the sampling locations are within the optimum value required for best performance of most tropical crops (Brady and Weil, 1999). The values are similar to what was previously reported by Yacouba, 1996, Singh, 1997 while working on soils of Sokoto Rima River Basin and Kandoli Shela stream valley. Irrigation practices significantly affected the distributions of pH values across the locations and soil depths ($P<0.05$) .The electrical conductivity (ECe) values for the soils ranged from 0.03 to 0.5 dS/m and 0.02 to 0.03 dS/m at the 0-15cm and 15-30cm depths. From the results of the study it indicated a significance difference in the mean values of (ECe) across the sampling locations and depths. In general the ECe values suggest that there is no accumulation of soluble salts to the depth sampled to such an extent to limit crop production. This was supported by FAO, (2014) who reported ECe of (<0.8 dS/m) as low in range, to cause any salinity hazards.

Sodium adsorption ratio ranged from 0.50-0.64 at both 0-15 and 15-30cm depths and do not pose any threat to salinity build up. additionally, irrigation practices and soil depths significantly affected the distributions of SAR values across the sampling locations ($P<0.05$). The results also agree to the rule that

values of SAR should always be lower than the values of ESP (%).Values of ESP is presented in (Table 1 and 2). There is also significant difference between the ESP values across all the sampling locations, and depth ($P<0.05$). Also, there was significant difference between the values of organic C across all the sampling locations and depth due to irrigation practices. It was observed that, the distribution of organic carbon was irregular, across the location, but at (Tt₃D₂) the value was relatively higher. This could be attributed to the anaerobic condition of the subsurface layer, as poorly drained soil are typically known to accumulated higher levels of organic matter than well-drained soil, (McCauley et al; 2003) or may be due to differences in organic matter content of alluvial materials deposited during seasonal flooding (Ojanuga, 2006).On the general assessment, the low organic C observed in the study area could be due to intensification of cultivation (Greenland et al, 1994) or due to rapid decomposition and subsequent mineralization of organic matter owing to high temperatures which speed-up microbial activities. Total Nitrogen ranged from 0.7 to 0.07 The low values could be attributed to leaching process of N especially under flooded situation. In addition, the finding confirms the fact that the total N decrease with soil depth (Brady and Weil, 1999). Also, the total N values observed were somewhat higher than those reported by Graham and Singh (1997) who reported value of N as high as $0.4 - 0.6gkg^{-1}$ at 0-15cm depth.

The finding also agrees with the work of Mustapha and Nnalee,(2007) who reported low nitrogen levels obtained from Fadama soils in Bauchi state. Irrigation practice and Soil depth also affected the distributions of Total Nitrogen levels significantly across all the locations (P<0.05). The values of Available phosphorus ranged from 1.20 to 1.30 mg/kg and 1.09 to 1.16g/kg at (0-15cm) and (15-30cm) depth respectively. Esu (1999) reported that soils with available P level of <10mg/kg as rated low, 10.0 – 20.0 mg/kg as medium and > 20mg/k is rated high. This therefore, qualifies the soils of Tutudawa study sites to be within the low range of available P. The exchangeable bases were generally high except calcium which was found to be low across all the sampling points and depths. The values ranged from 1.81 to 2.86 cmol(+)/kg^{-1} ,1.63 to 2.50 cmol(+)/kg^{-1} and 1 .60 to 3.28 cmol(+)/kg^{-1}, 1.62 to 2.40 cmol(+)/kg^{-1} for calcium and magnesium at 0 -15 cm and 15-30cm soil depths respectively. The values of exchangeable K^+ and Na^+ values ranged from 0.92 to 1.13 cmol (+)/kg , 0.92 to 1.00cmol (+)/kg and 0.78 to 1.00 cmol(+)/kg and 0.74 to 0.89cmol(+)/kg at 0 -15 cm and 15-30cm depths respectively. Percent base saturation ranged from 49.01 to 75.73% and 50.55 to 65.60% at 0-15cm and15-30cm soil depths. The values obtained could means that over 50% of the cations could be exchanged into the soil solution for root uptake. Esu, (1991) reported soils with base saturation of <50% (as low), 50-80% (medium) and (>80%) as high and therefore the soil is within the medium range of base saturation. Cation exchange capacity values ranged from 9.94 to10.80 cmol(+)/kg^{-1} and 9.62 to10.24 cmol(+)/kg^{-1} at 0-15cm and15-30cm)depth respectively. The distribution of the mean values were irregular and were significantly affected by irrigation practices (P<0.05). This also translated the soil into a very good index of percent base saturation (PBS).

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Soil of the Tutudawa main canal of the Wurno irrigation scheme are generally within the range of low to medium level of fertility. Although the soil has not yet indicated a clear symptoms of salt build up but periodic monitoring of soil should be done so as to protect the soil from deterioration. Adoption of proper manure application and controlled irrigation should be encouraged. Bye and large, Government should as a matter of urgency rehabilitate the damaged water conveyance structures so as to mitigate against soil water-logging and salt build up.

REFERENCES

1. Adepetu, J. A., Nabhan, H.,Osinubu A. (2000): Simple soil, water and plant testing techniques for soil resources management: proceedings of a training course held in Ibadan, Nigeria,16- 27 September 1996: Ibadan,IITA,168pp

2. Brady, N.C. and R. Weil, (2010) Elements of the Nature and Properties of Soils.13th editon.Pearson Education,Inc,Upper Saddle River,New Jersey.960p

3. Brady, N.C. and Weil, R.R. (1999). The Nature and Properties of Soils (Twelfth Edition). Prentice-Hall Inc., London, 881p

4. Brady, N.C and Weil, R. (2002). The Nature and Properties of Soils. 13th edition..Singapore,Pearson Education. 976pp.

5. Brady,R.H and L.T Kurtz, (1945). *Determination of Total Organic and Available* Forms of Phosphorus in Soils. *Soil Sci.* 59: 45– 49.

6. Esu, I.E. (1991). Detailed Soil Survey of NIHORT Farm at Bunkure, Kano State, Nigeria. Institute for Agricultural Research, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria.

7. Elke, V.(1998).Irrigation In arid and semi-arid environment. IMAROM Working paper seriesno.1 May 1998.

8. FAO (2006). Guidelines for Soil Description. 4th edition. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy. 97pp.

9. FAO, (1985). Guidelines. Land evaluation for Irrigated Agriculture. Soil Bull. No. 55, FAO Room Italy.

10. Food and Agriculture Organisation -FAO (1985). Guidelines. Land evaluation for Irrigated Agriculture. Soil Bull. No 55, FAO   Room   Italy.

11. Food and Agriculture Organisation-FAO (1999).World Reference Base for Soil Resources. World Soil Resources Rep.vol.84 161p

12. Food and Agriculture Organization-FAO (2014). *Assessment of soil nutrient balance approaches and methodologie.*

13. Graham,W.B.R. and B.R. Singh (1997). Soil and water quality under large-scale irrigation in a semi-arid ecosystem. The Bakolori Irrigation Project area, Zamfara State. In

14. B.R. Singh (Ed.). Management of Marginal Lands in Nigeria. SSSN/UDUS, Sokoto. Pp 209-226.

15. Greenland, D.J. (1994). Soil Science and sustainable land management. In: J.K. Syers and D.L. Rimmer (eds). Soil Science and Sustainable Land Management in the Tropics. CAB International, Wallingford, UK

16. Hesse, P.R. (1957): A textbook of soil chemical analysis. John Murray Ltd, London Kano Agricultural and Rural Development Authority (KNARDA, 2011)

17. Jackson, M.L (1962). *Soil Chemical Analysis.* Advance course Dept. of soil. Science. University of Wisconsin, Madison.

18. Lombin G., (1987). *Soil and Climate Constraints to Crop Production in the Nigeria Savanna Region.* 15th annual conference of Soil Science Society of Nigeria Proceedings. Kaduna, Nigeria. 21st – 24th September 1987.

19. Maclean, F.O. (1965) Aluminium. In C.A. Black (ed). Methods of soil Analysis.Parts 2,*American Soc .of Agron.* Madison, Wisconcin. 9: 978-998.

20. MacCauley, A., Jones and J. Jacobsen (2003). Soil pH and Organic Matter. Nutrient Management Module 8. Montana State University Extension Service. 12pp.

21. Mustapha, S. and Nnalee, C.C. (2007). Fertility and salinity/sodicity status of some Fadama soils in Jos, Plateau state, Nigeria. Journal Sustain. Development Agricultural Environmental 3:96-103.

22. NAERLS and FDAE (2014).National reports on Agricultural Performance Survey of 2014 Wet Season in Nigeria.

23. Ojanuga, A.G. (2006). Agro-ecological Zones of Nigeria Manual. FAO/NSPFS, Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, Abuja, Nigeria, 124pp.

24. Ojanuga,A.G.(2005).Need of a National Land Use policy for Sustainable Development in Nigeria: Role of Soil Survey in its Formulation and Implementation Proceeding of the 29th Annual Conference of the Soil Science Society of Nigeria Univ. of Agric. Abeokuta Nig. Dec.6-10, 2005

25. Page, A.L, R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeney (1982). *Method of Soil Analysis.* Volume 2 (second edition) American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wisconsiun USA.

26. Personal Communication (2014). *Personal interview with farmers of Wurno Irrigation Scheme.*

27. SAS(2002) Statistical analysis system for windows,version 9.0. SAS Institute Incorporated Cary,North Carolina,USA.

28. S.F.E: Soil Fertility Evaluation, (2014): A potential tool for balance use of fertilizer.http://www./pni.net/pp/web/india. accessed on 8th December 2008..

29. Singh, B.R (1999), Fertility and Salinity/sodicity status of fadama soils in northwestern Nigeria 1. Kebbi State. *Nigeria Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences,* (3):1-14.

30. Singh,B.R (1997).Soil Management strategies for the semi arid ecosystem in Nigeria. The case of Sokoto and Kebbi State. *African Soils 28:320-327.*

31. Singh, B.R., Babaji, G.A. and Ibrahim, S.A. (1996). Characteristics of the soils in Dundaye District. 3. The soils and water quality along the Kandoli Shela stream valley. *Nig. J. Bas. App. Sc.* 5:77-84.

32. Tisdale, S.L, W.L Nelson, J.D Beatons and J.L. Havlin (1985). *Soil fertility and fertilizer,* 5th Ed. Prentice-Hall of India, New Delhi. 684p.

33. Walkley, A.and I.A Black (1934). Examination of the Degtjarett method of Determining Soil Organic Matter and a proposal modification chronic acid titration method. *Soil science* 37: 29-38.

34. Wurno Irrigation Management Manual (WIPMAM, 1994). Unpublished.