



Anthropometric evaluation and significance of waist circumference, hip circumference and waist-hip ratio among 16-25 years old females in Ede, Osun State, Nigeria

¹Nnamani, W.C.; ¹Omotoso, D.R.

Abstract

BACKGROUND AND AIM: Anthropometry of the waist and hip, which are among the most clinically relevant include waist circumference used to assess abdominal adiposity and the waist-to-hip ratio used to assess central obesity. These parameters are of importance in the assessment of non-communicable diseases which are currently serious global health burden. The study was aimed at evaluating the waist and hip anthropometry among the female Yorubas between 16-25 years in Ede, Osun State, Nigeria and elucidating their significance.

METHODOLOGY: This cross-sectional study involved 120 undergraduate female students in Ede, Osun State, Nigeria, aged between 16 to 25 years, randomly selected to participate in the study. The waist circumference and the hip circumference were measured using the standard guidelines while the waist-to-hip ratio was calculated by dividing the waist circumference by the hip circumference. The study data was statistically analyzed using the SPSS version 22.

RESULTS: The average age of the subjects was 18.15 ± 1.35 years. The mean WC, HC and WHR for the study population were 78.90 ± 9.35 , 98.55 ± 8.75 and 0.79 ± 0.05 respectively. In addition, the WC and HC showed significant increase with increasing age in the study population while the WHR showed no significant difference. The findings of this study further revealed similarity or variation when compared to other proximate and distant population.

CONCLUSION: The findings of this study provided the reference values of the study parameters among the study population which offer immense significance during various diagnostic and clinical procedures.

Keywords:

Waist circumference; hip circumference; waist-hip ratio; Yoruba tribe; Nigeria

INTRODUCTION

Anthropometry entails the scientific study of the physical dimensions of the body or component structures of the body which include the musculoskeletal tissues, joints, viscera, vessels, integuments and others (Oludiran *et al.*, 2012; Varalakshmi *et al.*, 2017; Omotoso *et al.*, 2019; Omotoso and Ojeikere, 2024). These anthropometric derivatives have diverse applications in different medical sub-discipline and procedures such as forensic medicine, human identification, sex identification, plastic and reconstructive surgery, clinical diagnosis, and treatment planning (Omotoso and Ita-Okon, 2023; Yadav *et al.*, 2023; Ishaku *et al.*, 2024; Omotoso *et al.*, 2024).

Among the most clinically relevant anthropometric parameters in different age groups are the dimensions of the human waist and hip. The waist circumference (WC) is an important parameter used to assess abdominal adiposity and

waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) is used to assess central obesity (Kulaga *et al.*, 2023). In particular, the WC is regarded as a sensitive measure of upper body fat which is useful in identification of overweight and obese young people that are risk of developing metabolic disorders (Bacopoulou *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, these parameters are of more importance due to the soaring global health concerns regarding overweight and obesity especially among the adolescent and young adult population.

Furthermore, these anthropometric parameters are vital in the prediction of cardiovascular risk factors and diseases including diabetes and hypertension in different age groups (Ali *et al.*, 2017; Amamilo *et al.*, 2020). Therefore, these parameters are of great clinical importance in the assessment of a number of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) which are currently emerging as a serious global health burden. Moreover, age- and

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: jecajournal@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Nnamani, W.C.; Omotoso, D.R. Anthropometric evaluation and significance of waist circumference, hip circumference and waist-hip ratio among 16-25 years old females in Ede, Osun State, Nigeria. *J Exp Clin Anat* 2024; 21(2): 324-328.

<https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/jeca.v21i2.25>

¹Department of Human Anatomy,
Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences,
Redeemer's University, Ede, Osun
State, Nigeria.

Address for Correspondence:

Omotoso, D.R.

Department of Human Anatomy,
Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences,
Redeemer's University, Ede, Osun
State, Nigeria.

dayohmts@gmail.com

gender-specific reference values in a population are used to compare with values from other population and to provide possible demarking cut-offs for obesity detection according to the International Obesity Task Force (IOTF) guidelines (Bacopoulou *et al.*, 2015).

The several important applications of these anthropometric parameters thereby necessitated the current study among the adolescent and young female adult Yorubas in Ede, Osun State, Nigeria. The study was aimed at evaluating the waist and hip anthropometry among the study population and elucidating their significance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical Approval: The ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Research and Ethics committee of the Faculty of Basic Medical Science, Redeemer’s University, Ede, Osun state Nigeria. (Reference Number: RUN/FBMSERC/ANA/2022/29). The data collection process was conducted only after obtaining the informed consent of the study participants and the study procedure was in conformity with the Helsinki declaration for study involving human subjects

Study population: This cross-sectional study was conducted among the female undergraduate students of Redeemer’s University, Ede, Osun State, Nigeria. The sample size was determined by formula given by Ishaku *et al.*, (2024) as follows:

$$n = \frac{Z^2pq}{d^2}$$

where: n = Minimum sample size
 Z = Standard normal deviation 1.96 at 95% confidence level
 p = Proportion of target population 50% (0.5)
 q = 1-p = 1-0.5 = 0.5
 d = Sample error 10% (0.1)
 $n = \frac{(1.96)^2 \times 0.5 \times 0.5}{(0.1)^2}$
 n = 96

Random sampling technique was used to select the study subjects which included 120 females between age of 16 to 25 years.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria: The current study involved only female undergraduate students with paternal and maternal ancestry from the Yoruba tribe in Southwest region of Nigeria. In addition, only apparently healthy female subjects without any

lower limb deformity, asymmetry or injury were included in this study. Prospective subjects that failed to meet the inclusion criteria, especially those with medical history of hypertension, and diseases of gastrointestinal, and renal systems, were excluded from this study.

Collection of study data: The age of each subject was recorded prior to the anthropometric measurements by the trained personnel. The measurement of waist and hip circumferences was carried out in horizontal plane, when each subject is in a standing anatomical position, directly on the skin or while putting on very thin textured clothing, and according to the World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines. The waist circumference was measured at the junction midway between the lowest rib and the iliac crest while the hip circumference was measured at the level of maximum lateral protuberance of the hip or gluteal region (or buttocks) usually at the level of greater trochanters of the femur (Bacopoulou *et al.*, 2015).

The measurements were conducted using standard measuring tape and recorded to the nearest millimeter. Each measurement was done in duplicate with the average value evaluated and used as the final value to reduce the error margin. In addition, the waist-to-hip ratio for each subject was calculated using the following formula (WHO, 2008) and recorded:

$$WHR = \frac{\text{Waist circumference (cm)}}{\text{Hip circumference (cm)}}$$

Statistical analysis: The study data was statistically analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (IBM-SPSS) version 22. The statistical values were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD), the t-test was used to compare values with p<0.05 set as the level of significance.

RESULTS

The average age of the study participants was 18.15 ± 1.35 years. The mean values of the WC, HC and WHR of the study population were 78.90 ± 9.35, 98.55 ± 8.75 and 0.79 ± 0.05 respectively (Tables 1). In addition, the WC and HC showed significant increase between the two age groups - 16-20 years and 21-25 years of the study population (Table 1). The findings of this study further revealed similarity or variation compared to those reported from different population (Table 2).

Table 1: The waist and hip anthropometry among the study subjects.

| Age group | WC (cm) | HC (cm) | WHR |
|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|
| 16-20 years (n = 65) | 75.88 ± 8.75 | 93.55 ± 7.88 | 0.82 ± 0.03 |
| 21-25 years (n = 55) | 81.97 ± 9.67* | 99.78 ± 8.95* | 0.83 ± 0.05 |
| Total study population (n = 120) | 78.90 ± 9.35 | 98.55 ± 8.75 | 0.79 ± 0.05 |

WC = waist circumference, HC = hip circumference, WHR = waist-to-hip ratio, SD = standard deviation, * = indicate significant difference at p<0.05 compared to 16-20 years age-group

Table 2: The comparison of WC, HC and WHR in different population.

| References | Country (Region/Tribe) | Study population (Age) | Study subjects (sample size) | WC | HC | WHR |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Jaeschke <i>et al.</i> , (2015) | Germany | Adults (20-69 years) | Male (n=27) | 96.1 cm | 99.8 cm | 0.96 |
| | | | Female (n=32) | 84.3 cm | 101.9 cm | 0.83 |
| Ali <i>et al.</i> , (2017) | Pakistan | Adults | Male (n=70) | 39.13 ± 5.25 inch | 42.74 ± 5.72 (inch) | 0.95 ± 0.05 |
| | | | Female (n=30) | 39.27 ± 5.43 inch | 41.36 ± 4.99 (inch) | 0.91 ± 0.05 |
| | | | Total (n=100) | 39.22 ± 5.35 inch | 42.28 ± 5.50 (inch) | 0.93 ± 0.05 |
| Badaruddoza and Barna (2010) | India (Sikh) | Adults (20-26 years) | n=150 | 71.11 ± 8.06 cm | 92.82 ± 7.10 cm | 0.76 ± 0.05 |
| | India (Hindu) | | n=150 | 70.95 ± 7.70 cm | 92.73 ± 7.08 cm | 0.76 ± 0.05 |
| Singh <i>et al.</i> , 2018 | India (Amristar) | Adults (18-23 years) | Male (n=120) | 84.55 ± 9.00 cm | 90.80 ± 8.13 cm | 0.93 ± 0.01 |
| | | | Female (n=98) | 81.22 ± 10.36 cm | 87.85 ± 11.74 cm | 0.92 ± 0.01 |
| Oktariza <i>et al.</i> , 2021 | Indonesia | Adults (18-22 years) | Male (n=74) | 82.1 ± 13.8 cm | 97.2 ± 9.8 cm | 0.80 ± 0.07 |
| | | | Female (n=76) | 74.6 ± 9.6 cm | 96.9 ± 8.7 cm | 0.84 ± 0.07 |
| | | | Total (n=150) | 78.3 ± 12.4 cm | 97.1 ± 9.2 cm | 0.77 ± 0.05 |
| Ahmad <i>et al.</i> , 2016 | Malaysia | Adults (18 years and above) | Male (n=273) | 86.40 ± 12.47 cm | - | 0.90 ± 0.08 |
| | | | Female (n=396) | 85.05 ± 13.94 cm | - | 0.86 ± 0.08 |
| | | | Total (n=669) | 85.60 ± 13.37 cm | - | 0.88 ± 0.009 |
| Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2008 | China | Adults | Males (n=59,874) | 87.6 ± 9.1 cm | - | 0.90 ± 0.06 |
| | | | Females (n=15,914) | 83.0 ± 10.3 cm | - | 0.86 ± 0.08 |
| Ahmed and Sayed 2016 | Egypt | Adolescents 16 years | Male (n=65) | 80.3 ± 12.0 | 98.4 ± 10.8 | 0.81 ± 0.05 |
| | | | Females (n=82) | 77.9 ± 10.0 | 98.8 ± 9.0 | 0.78 ± 0.06 |
| | | 17 years | Male (n=45) | 80.5 ± 11.0 | 99.2 ± 9.8 | 0.80 ± 0.04 |
| | | | Females (n=47) | 79.3 ± 12.7 | 99.5 ± 13.0 | 0.79 ± 0.07 |
| Rufa'i <i>et al.</i> , 2019 | Nigeria (North) | Adults (22.51 ± 2.20 years) | Female (n=364) | 79.36 ± 10.04 | 97.15 ± 9.59 | 0.81 ± 0.06 |
| Present study | Nigeria (South/Yoruba) | Adults (16-25 years) | Female (n=120) | 78.90 ± 9.55 | 98.55 ± 8.75 | 0.79 ± 0.05 |

WC= waist circumference, HC = hip circumference, WHR= waist-to-hip ratio

DISCUSSION

The current study assessed the morphometry of the waist and hip of the adolescent and young adult female undergraduate students in Ede, Osun State, Nigeria. Generally, these parameters are essential in the assessment of the health status of an individual. The results of this study showed the mean WC, HC and WHC values of 78.90 ± 9.35, 98.55 ± 8.75 and 0.79 ± 0.05 respectively among the study population.

The studies by Rufa'i *et al.*, (2019) and Ahmed & Sayed (2016), conducted among the proximate Northern Nigerian and Egyptian population respectively, reported similar outcomes with the current study. However, the previous studies conducted among the distant Asian and European population reported significantly different results compared to the findings of the current study (Wang *et al.*, 2008; Ahmad *et al.*, 2016; Singh *et al.*, 2018). The study parameters thereby exhibited inter-population or population-based variation which is characteristic of several anthropometric parameters.

The normal WC for males usually ranges from 90 cm to 95 cm while the normal values in females ranges from 80 cm to 85 cm. In addition, the male and female WHR ranging from 0.85 – 0.90 and 0.75 – 0.80 respectively are categorized as healthy groups

while the males and females with WHR above 0.95 and 0.85 respectively are at risk of diseases associated with obesity (Bray *et al.*, 1998; Ravishankar *et al.*, 2018). Based on the findings of the current study, majority of the study population apparently belong to the healthy group.

The WC is commonly used to assess abdominal adiposity which in turn play major role in the detection of changes in the lipid and carbohydrate metabolism and associated clinical correlates (Singh *et al.*, 2024). The WHC, which combines WC and HC, presents a more precise indicator of abdominal fat accumulation which is useful in health risks associated with central obesity including cardiovascular disease (like coronary heart disease) and diabetes mellitus (Ravishankar *et al.*, 2018; Singh *et al.*, 2024).

Essentially, the WC and HC are essentially the determinants of the WHR such that larger WC indicate increased WHR thereby indicating greater central obesity and vice versa while the HC exerts inverse influence on the WHR (Singh *et al.*, 2024). In a growing population (including the current study), the WHR could be influenced by various factors such as abdominal contents (fat and non-fat), abdominal muscle tone, posture, spinal curvature, hip bony and muscular dimensions (Amamilo *et al.*, 2020). Other factors that could impact the abdominal adiposity indicators

include income level, educational level, unhealthy habits such as smoking and drinking (Qian *et al.*, 2019).

Furthermore, studies have demonstrated the correlation of abdominal obesity with the risk of chronic diseases with high WHR reported as veritable predictor of clinical conditions such as dyslipidemia, diabetes and hypertension (Veghari *et al.*, 2018; Shrestha *et al.*, 2021). Other clinical conditions where obesity has been implicated include insulin resistance, sleep apnoea, osteoarthritis, gout, hyperuricaemia, polycystic ovarian syndrome, psychological disorders and many more (Kularathne *et al.*, 2019; Ozdemir, 2023). The study by Kamel *et al.*, (2017) further highlighted the role of WC and WHR in assessing rheumatoid arthritis (RA) with their findings indicating that the WHR was better associated with the disease activity, disability, and severity of the disease than other parameters.

The WC alone or in combination with BMI has demonstrated better prediction of hypertension than BMI alone. The study by wang *et al.*, (2008) further demonstrated the usefulness of WC and BMI for the prediction of two or more non-adipose components of metabolic syndrome. In addition, high WHR has been reported in individuals with high fasting blood glucose (FBG) whereby free fatty acid inflow into the liver often leads to increased abdominal adiposity (Oktariza *et al.*, 2021). In essence, the higher WHR values that characterized abdominal adiposity are associated with unhealthy lifestyle factors including lack of regular physical activity and unhealthy diets (Singh *et al.*, 2024).

Conclusion: The findings of the current study provided the reference values of the anthropometric parameters among the study population. These values offer immense significance during the aforementioned diagnostic and clinical procedures that involve the study population. Furthermore, the waist and hip anthropometry should be routinely assessed both on individual and clinical settings in order to monitor the health status of the study population.

Financial support and sponsorship: Nil

Conflicts of interest: There are no conflicts of interest

References

Ahmad N., Adam S.I., Nawi A.M., Hassan M.R., Ghazi H.F. (2016). Abdominal obesity indicators: Waist circumference or waist-to-hip ratio in Malaysian adults population. *Int J Prev Med* 7:82.

Ahmed A., Sayed A.M. (2016). The development of reference values for waist circumference, waist hip and waist height ratios in Egyptian Adolescents. *Curr Paediatr Res* 20(1&2):69-73.

Ali A., Abbasi A.S., Mushtaq S., Azim S., Jamil M. (2017). A Comparative Study of Waist Circumference, Waist-Hip Ratio and BMI in Diabetics and Non-Diabetics. *Ann Pak Inst Med Sci* 13(1):27-34.

Amamilo IB., Sado W.E., Iregbu F.U. (2020). The evaluation of waist circumference and waist-hip ratio as predictors of hypertension in children. *J Adv Med Med Res* 32(16):80-89.

Bacopoulou F., Efthymiou V., Landis G., Rentoumis A., Chrousos G.P. (2015). Waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio and waist-to-height ratio reference percentiles for abdominal obesity among Greek adolescents. *BMC Paed* 15:50.

Badaruddoza N.K. and Barna B. (2010). Inter-relationship of waist-to-hip ratio (WHR), body mass index (BMI) and subcutaneous fat with blood pressure among university-going Punjabi Sikh and Hindu females. *Int J Med Med Sci* 2(1):5-11.

Bray G., Bouchard C., James W. (1998). Definitions and proposed current classification of Obesity, *Handbook of obesity*, pp. 31-40.

Ishaku B., Timbuak J.A. and Hamman W.O. Some Hand Anthropometry among Babur/Bura and Kanuri Ethnic Groups of Borno State, Nigeria. *J Exp Clin Anat* 2024; 21(1):89-94.

Jaeschke L., Steinbrecher A., Pischon T. (2015). Measurement of Waist and Hip Circumference with a Body Surface Scanner: Feasibility, Validity, Reliability, and Correlations with Markers of the Metabolic Syndrome. *PLoS One* 10(3): e0119430.

Kamel S.R., Sadek H.A., Mohamed F.A., Ali L.H., Osman H.M. (2017). Differences in body mass index, waist circumference, and waist-to-hip ratio in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: association with serum adiponectin and disease parameters. *Egypt Rheumatol Rehabil* 44:24-29.

Kulaga Z., Swiader-Lesniak A., Kotowska A., Litwin M. (2023). Population-based references for waist and hip circumferences, waist-to-hip and waist-to-height ratios for children and adolescents, and evaluation of their predictive ability. *Eur J Paed* 182:3217-3229.

Kularathne W.N.I., Bandara E.M.I.A., Humsavathani S., Jayamali K.A.I., Jeevanantham N., Jigashalja G., Konara K.M.S.A., Banneheka B.M.H.S.K. (2019). Correlation of blood pressure with body mass index, waist circumference and waist to hip ratio. *Int J Adv Res Publ* 3(9):166-169.

Oktariza R.T., Kalanjati V.P., Tirthaningsih NW. (2021). Body mass index, waist-hip ratio and fasting blood glucose levels amongst University students. *Fol Med Indones* 57(1):53-57.

Oludiran O.O., Omotoso D.R., Sakpa C.L. (2012). Nasofacial indices among children in southern Nigeria. *Afr J Biomed Res* 15(2):141-143.

Omotoso D.R., Baxter-Grillo D., Adagbonyin O., Bienonwu E. (2019). Comparative assessment of cephalic index among Bini and Igbo tribes in Benin City, Nigeria. *Int J Anat Res* 7(2):6685-6689.

- Omotoso D.R., Ita-Okon B. (2023). Comparative study of nasal morphometry among the Ibibio and Bini Tribes in Southern Nigeria. *J Morphol Sci* 40: 220-224.
- Omotoso D.R., Ojeikere V. (2024). Radiographical assessment of the subpubic angle among adult Bini tribe in Nigeria and overview of its significance. *J Datta Meghe Inst Med Sci Univ* 19:325-329.
- Omotoso D.R., Okwuonu U.C., Yawson E.O., Awoniran P.O. (2024). Morphometry and significance of collodiaphyseal angle among Bini tribe in Southern Nigeria. *J Forensic Sci Med* 10:20-23.
- Ozdemir O. (2023). Evaluation of waist circumference, waist-hip ratio and waist-length ratio in insulin resistance: Which is a powerful predictor? *Ann Med Res* 30(5):533-537.
- Qian X., Su C., Zhang B., Qin G., Wang H., Wu Z. (2019). Changes in distributions of waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio and waist-to-height ratio over an 18-year period among Chinese adults: a longitudinal study using quantile regression. *BMC Public Health* 19:700.
- Ravishankar A., Sethu G., Jain A.R. (2018). Waist-to-hip measurement ratio among dental students in urban areas. *Natl J Physiol Pharm Pharmacol* 8(5):640-642.
- Rufa'i A.A., Sajoh K.I., Oyeyemi A.L., Gwani A.S. (2019). Waist Circumference, Waist Hip Ratio and Body Mass Index in Female Undergraduates of a Tertiary Institution in Nigeria: A Cross-sectional Study. *Internet J Allied Health Sci Prac* 17(1):12.
- Shrestha R., Upadhyay S.K., Khatri B., Bhattarai J.R., Kayastha M., Upadhyay M.P. (2021). BMI, waist to height ratio and waist circumference as a screening tool for hypertension in hospital outpatients: A cross-sectional, non-inferiority study. *BMJ Open* 2021;11:e050096.
- Singh L., Gahlot A., Jain R., Chauhan C.R., Dam N. (2024). Is waist hip ratio a significant health risk factor? A cross-sectional study amongst undergraduate medical students. *J Cardiovasc Dis Res* 15(6): 1553-1566.
- Singh S., Kaur N., Sharma R.S. (2018). Waist-hip ratio and waist circumference as simple measures of cardiovascular risk assessment and weight management among medical students. *J Evid Based Med Healthc* 5(3):237-242.
- Varalakshmi V.S., Mehmood S., Gopichand P.V.V., Kumar D. (2017). Anthropometry: As A Tool in Learning Living Anatomy. *Schol J Appl Med Sci* 5(5):1938–1944.
- Veghari G., Salehi A., Vaghari M. (2018). The comparison of waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio, and waist-to-height ratio among rural women adults in the North of Iran, between the years 2004 and 2013. *ARYA Artheroscler* 14(4):169-176.
- Wang F., Wu S., Song Y., Tang X., Marshall R., Liang M., Wu Y., Qin X., Chen D., Hu Y. (2008). Waist circumference, body mass index and waist to hip ratio for prediction of the metabolic syndrome in Chinese. *Nutr Metabol Cardiovas Dis* 19:542-547.
- WHO. (2008). Waist circumference and waist-hip ratio - Report of a WHO expert consultation. p. 5-7
- Yadav S., Nayak V.C., Palimar V., Gupta C., Chetal J.R. (2023). An estimation of stature and sex using various anthropometric parameters in South Indian population. *J Forensic Sci Med* 9:130-136.