



Analysis of Microbial load and Litter Characterisation of Araromi Beach Sand, Ondo State, Nigeria

ODEWUMI, OS; QUIST, MM

Department of Ecotourism and Wildlife Management, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria.

*Corresponding Author Email: osodewumi@futa.edu.ng

*ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3900-9606>

*Tel: +2348032206099

Co-Author Email: miraquleist@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Increasing development of coastal areas and recreational use of beaches, has been linked with greater threats associated with public health hazards with respect to sediment and sand present in these coastal areas. This study assessed the microbial and litter characteristics of Araromi Beach Sand, Ondo State, Nigeria using appropriate standard methods. Data obtained show that Twenty-nine microbes comprising 17 bacterial species, seven fungal species and five yeast species were isolated. The microbial load ranged from 1.45×10^4 CFU/g to 12.4×10^4 CFU/g which were found to be within the permissible limit (8 CFU/g to 250 CFU/g) as stated by the WHO. However, Microbial load showed significant difference ($t=0.011$) between the areas of high tourist activities and low tourist activities. Also, the beach sand litters were categorized into seven groups. However, the most common type of litter were Organic wastes in the form of dried leaves and tree branches (59%), followed by Plastic/Polystyrene (32%). The average litter density ranged from 10.00 litter/m² in July to 21.57 litter/m² in December. There was no provision for waste disposal and toilet facilities. Araromi beach falls under the Moderately Dirty category with Clean Coast Index values of 8.52 and 6.81 in the dry and wet seasons respectively. Overall, the results of this study shows that Araromi beach is still in an underdeveloped state with the host community the key contributors to the amount of waste found on the beach. It is therefore recommended that to attract more visitors and improve the overall beach experience, the local community and government should invest in regular beach clean-ups and waste management programs to keep the beach in a pristine condition.

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/jasem.v29i1.29>

License: [CC-BY-4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Open Access Policy: All articles published by **JASEM** are open-access and free for anyone to download, copy, redistribute, repost, translate and read.

Copyright Policy: © 2025. Authors retain the copyright and grant **JASEM** the right of first publication. Any part of the article may be reused without permission, provided that the original article is cited.

Cite this Article as: ODEWUMI, O. S; QUIST, M. M. (2025). Analysis of Microbial load and Litter Characterisation of Araromi Beach Sand, Ondo State, Nigeria. *J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Manage.* 29 (1) 229-237

Dates: Received: 22 October 2024; Revised: 20 November 2024; Accepted: 28 December 2024; Published: 31 January 2025

Keywords: Sand litters characteristics; Microbial loads; Beach cleanliness; litter density

Many tourist sites are usually found inside of cities in tranquil settings to satisfy recreational demands of city dwellers and residents of neighbouring towns. Many others are established outside the cities to offer a respite from the bustling and boisterous city life (Odunlami and Ijeomah, 2016). One of the most common types of tourism to offer such respites is Coastal tourism which is based on a unique resource combination at the interface of land and sea offering amenities such as water, beaches, scenic beauty for swimming, boating, sunbathing and surfing

(Obinwanne and Okpoko, 2015). However, the sustainability of beaches is under threat due to a range of factors, including climate change, coastal development, waste and litter as well as pollution (Lee and Park, 2019; Kim, *et al.*, 2021). With increasing development of coastal areas and recreational use of beaches, there has also been greater threats associated with public health hazards with respect to swimming water, sediment and sand present in these coastal areas (Oyelade, *et al.*, 2018). Sands and sediments of the coastal zone provide a

*Corresponding Author Email: osodewumi@futa.edu.ng

*ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3900-9606>

*Tel: +2348032206099

habitat where microorganisms can persist, and even flourish under the right conditions serving as one of the indicators available to users, regarding the beach's environmental and sanitary quality (Halliday and Gast, 2011). These microorganisms are usually introduced into the soil by sources such as litter from tourists and local resident activities, animal and human faecal matter as well as adjoining industries. In terrestrial beach environments, infectious diseases can spread through direct contact with sand-borne microbes or through the movement of microbes from water to sand in the swash or intertidal zone. All kinds of pathogenic microbes, including bacteria, protozoa, fungi, and viruses, become trapped, perish or survive, occasionally multiply in sand, then infect people or escape into the water (Heaney, *et al.*, 2012). Allochthonous microorganisms (Faecal Indicator Bacteria; *E. coli*, faecal coliforms, and enterococci) have the potential to be pathogenic to humans, leading to mild to serious health issues if contacted (Efstratiou, 2018) particularly for those with advanced age, diabetes, immunodepression (transient or permanent), and respiratory problems (Valério, *et al.*, 2022). Direct faecal deposits by humans as well as warm blooded animals is common (Valério, *et al.*, 2022) on a beach where there are no proper management structures in place. A significant amount of enteric bacteria, frequently pathogenic, are directly contributed to the sand by domestic pets and wild animals with the most common ones being dogs, cattle, some wild animals, and birds (such as seagulls) (Nguyen, *et al.*, 2018; Ryu, *et al.*, 2012; Shanks, *et al.*, 2010). Other potential contributions include bathers themselves, who might be a significant source of infections. When walking on sand, a person discharges a sizable amount of bacteria, particularly *S. aureus* and enterococci. According to Efstratiou, (2018), users of sandy beaches may contract infections ranging from minor, self-limiting illnesses to severe diseases (Gastrointestinal symptoms, diarrhoea, respiratory issues, rash, eye conditions, earaches, infection of recent cuts, and fungal infections are among the illnesses that have been reported) as a result of contact with polluted sand (Weiskerger and Brando (2020). However, the likelihood of contracting an infection from such contact depends on the level of pollution, the pathogen's virulence, the length of exposure, and the person's immune system.

Marine litters has a significant global impact by posing risks to both people and the environment. According to Jambeck, *et al.* (2015) 80% of these litters have their origins on land. However, despite its importance, it has only gained real recognition during the past few years (Williams and Rangel-

Buitrago, 2019). Because of so much negligence, most coastal areas have become places used by people as public toilets, sewage and refuse disposal and abandonment of broken-down ships and boats (Okoye, 2014). All these have an overall effect on the quality of the beach and people's willingness to visit such tourist destinations.

Nigeria has a coastline measuring approximately 853 km², with about 25% of the national population and cover a total of nine states including Ondo State (Oladele, *et al.*, 2018). Araromi sea-side is found in the south western part of Nigeria and has the potential as a form of coastal tourism to bring about an increase in the income of the community thereby also contributing to the GDP of the nation. Yet, like many coastal regions around the world, Araromi beach serve as a sink for domestic and industrial waste resulting from various activities such as inappropriate litter disposal and management practices which can affect the quality and state of the beach affecting aesthetic values, spread of water and soil related diseases as well as intervening in the normal systems of the environment (Agwu and Oluwagunke, 2014). Hence, the objective of this paper is to assess the microbial and litter characteristics of Araromi Beach Sand, Ondo State, Nigeria with a view to ascertain its overall quality and cleanliness.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Description of Study Area: The study was carried out at Araromi beach in Ilaje local government area, in the coastal areas of Ondo State, southwest Nigeria. It has a landmass of 1357km² and an average projected population of 445,200 (National Bureau of Statistics, 2019; Samuel, *et al.*, 2022). The vegetation of the area is predominantly mangrove swamp, with low grasses covering expanse of undulating lowland of mud and silt deposit in south and rainforest vegetation with abundant raffia palms in the north of the local government. Araromi is a densely populated town whose major occupations include fishing, canoe making, farming, lumbering, net making, mat making, and trading. One of the main human activities is also sand mining along the waterways of Araromi beach, but for the Ilaje, farming is still their primary source of income (Akingbe, 2013).

Data Collection: Data for the study was collected through both Field survey and Laboratory analysis.

Beach Litter Assessment and Quantification: Litter assessment was conducted following standardized protocols of Marine Litter Monitoring Guidance (Hanke, *et al.*, 2013). The beach sand area was

Determinative Bacteriology (Ajadi and Thonda, 2017).

Cultural Characteristics: The cultural characteristics and the total number of colonies on agar plate were recorded. The total colony counts were multiplied with the dilution factor. Microbial counts were expressed as colony forming units per ml (cfu/ml) of water samples. The colonial appearance of each bacterial isolate on the plate was observed and classified based on the following characteristics; colour, shape, edge, elevation, surface and opacity (Ajadi and Thonda, 2017).

Biochemical Identification of the Isolates: Biochemical tests were carried out for the identification of bacterial isolates. These includes catalase test, coagulase test, methyl red, Voges-Proskauer test, oxidation/fermentation test, nitrate reduction test, sugar fermentation (glucose, sucrose, maltose, mannitol, lactose) test, reaction on sulphide in dole motility medium. These biochemical tests were carried out, 18 to 24 h both culture of each isolate were used. An un-inoculated sterile medium was used as control in all the tests carried out. (Dubey and Maheshwari, 2002)

Identification of Fungal Isolates: Identification of fungi was done by examining the fungi under the microscope. Seven days' pure culture of fungi was used for the microscopic identification. A wet mount of the culture was prepared on a clean slide by adding a drop of cotton blue lactophenol reagent. The slide was then covered with a cover slip and viewed under the microscope at the X40 objective lens.

Statistical Analysis: Data obtained were tabulated on Microsoft Excel sheet and subjected to both descriptive (frequency table, bar chart etc) and inferential analysis. Microbial count data for the two stratified activity areas as well as the two seasons were analysed using a paired t-test to check for significant difference. Also, One-Way ANOVA was used to test for significant difference in the beach sand litter density across the transects as well as between the months of study.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Microbial Species Isolates of Araromi Beach Sand: A total of 29 microbial species were isolated and identified in the beach sand samples collected. These comprises of 17 bacterial species, 5 Yeast species and 7 Fungi species (Table 1). Some of the common bacterial species isolated includes *Esherichia coli*, *Clostridium histolyticum*, *Salmonella pullorum*; Yeast species includes *Camdidia spp*,

Schizosaccharomyces spp, *Asteromyces spp*; Fungi species includes *Mucur mucedo*, *Aspergillus flavus*, *Botryoderma spp* and *Memmoniella spp*. In areas of high tourist activities, 17 microbes were identified comprising of 9 bacterial species, 5 fungi species and 2 yeast species. In areas of low tourist activities, 21 microbes comprising of 10 bacterial species, 6 fungi species and 5 yeast species were isolated and identified. However, two bacterial species (*Bacillus subtilis* and *Enterobacter spp*) two yeast species (*Candidia spp* and *Kluveromyces spp*) and four Fungi species (*Aspergillus flavus*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Mucur mucedo*, and *Rhizopus stolonifera*) were common to both areas.

Additionally, of the 29 identified microbes, 18 are pathogenic while the remaining 11 are non-pathogenic. Twelve of the bacterial species are pathogenic while 5 are non-pathogenic. Also, three each of the fungi and yeast species are pathogenic while 4 fungi and 2 yeast species are non-pathogenic. This put the beach at a high risk destination for visitors.

Some key pathogenic microbes found during the course of the survey such as *Clostridium histolyticum*, *Salmonella pullorum*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Esherichia coli*, *Geotrichum albidum*, *Candidia spp*, *Aspergillus flavus* and *Mucur mucedo* had been reported by many authors such as Ajadi and Thonda, (2017); Brandão, (2019); Halliday and Gast, (2011); Sabino, *et al.*, (2011); Pinto, *et al.*, (2012); Viji, *et al.*, (2019); Oyelade, *et al.*, (2018); Weiskerger and Brandão, (2020) to cause illnesses such as urinary tract infections, Gas gangrene, gastroenteritis, Candidosis, Mucormycosis to name a few.

Furthermore, some of the microbes are allochthonous (originating from a place other than where it is found such as Faecal Indicator Bacteria: *E. coli*, faecal coliforms, and enterococci) while some are autochthonous (native species). Sources of these microbes may include tourist wastes, domestic wastes, fishing waste, feral and domestic animals waste and decaying wood. This was evidenced by the herds of cattle observed to be grazing near the beach site with their faecal droppings littering the area as well as tourists and local communities' faeces seen on beach sands. This is in support of the statement by Valério, *et al.* (2022); Nguyen, *et al.*, (2018); Ryu, *et al.*, (2012); Whitman, *et al.* (2014) that gut, skin, and mucosae of animals, faecal droppings of feral animals as well as sewage produce pathogens which are introduced to the beach by point and nonpoint sources of marine pollution.

Table 1: The isolated microbes in Araromi beach sand samples and their health implications

| Types of Isolate | A | B | Pathogenic | Non-pathogenic |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|------------|----------------|
| Bacteria Species | | | | |
| <i>Clostridium histolyticum</i> | + | - | P | - |
| <i>Salmonella pullorum</i> | + | - | P | - |
| <i>Klebsiella aerogenes</i> | - | + | P | - |
| <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> | + | + | - | NP |
| <i>Esherichia coli</i> | + | - | P | - |
| <i>Flavobacterium spp</i> | + | - | - | NP |
| <i>Staphylococcus saprophyticus</i> | + | - | P | - |
| <i>Thiobacillus spp</i> | + | - | - | NP |
| <i>Staphylococcus epidemidis</i> | + | - | P | - |
| <i>Chromobacterium lividum</i> | - | + | P | - |
| <i>Staphylococcus hominis</i> | - | + | - | NP |
| <i>Leuconostoc spp</i> | - | + | - | NP |
| <i>Alcaligenes odorans</i> | - | + | P | - |
| <i>Enterobacter spp</i> | + | + | P | - |
| <i>Corynebacterium spp</i> | - | + | P | - |
| <i>Proteus vulgaris</i> | - | + | P | - |
| <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> | - | + | P | - |
| Yeast Species | | | | |
| <i>Geotrichum albidum</i> | - | + | P | - |
| <i>Schizosaccharomyces spp</i> | - | + | - | NP |
| <i>Asteromyces spp</i> | - | + | P | - |
| <i>Candidia spp</i> | + | + | P | - |
| <i>Kluyveromyces spp</i> | + | + | - | NP |
| Fungi Species | | | | |
| <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> | + | + | P | - |
| <i>Mucur mucedo</i> | + | + | P | - |
| <i>Aspergillus niger</i> | + | + | - | NP |
| <i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> | + | - | - | NP |
| <i>Rhizopus stolonifer</i> | + | + | P | - |
| <i>Memmoniella spp</i> | - | + | - | NP |
| <i>Botryoderma spp</i> | - | + | - | NP |

NOTE: + present, - absent, P=Pathogenic, NP= Non-pathogenic

Microbial Load of Beach Sand Samples: Sample A (high tourist activity area) has a higher concentration of microorganism per gram of beach sand than sample B (low tourist activity area) Table 2. The bacterial load of Sample A ranged from 12.00×10^{-4} CFU/g to 12.80×10^{-4} CFU/g with a mean concentration of 12.4×10^{-4} CFU/g; the Yeast load ranged from 9.20×10^{-4} CFU/g to 9.60×10^{-4} CFU/g with a mean concentration of 9.4×10^{-4} CFU/g and the Fungi load ranged from 1.00×10^{-4} CFU/g to 6.00×10^{-4} CFU/g with a mean concentration of 3.5×10^{-4} CFU/g. In Sample B, the Bacterial load ranged from 9.60×10^{-4} CFU/g to 10.80×10^{-4} CFU/g with a mean concentration of 10.2×10^{-4} CFU/g, the Yeast load ranged from 8.00×10^{-4} CFU/g to 8.20×10^{-4} CFU/g with a mean concentration of 8.10×10^{-4} CFU/g and the Fungi load ranged from 1.40×10^{-4} CFU/g to 1.50×10^{-4} CFU/g with a mean concentration of 1.45×10^{-4} CFU/g. The t-test result indicated a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) in the level of microbial loads in samples A and B. This implies that tourist activities and local festivals contribute significantly to the microbial loads in the area. This means that tourist can easily ingest these microbes thereby causing sickness. However, the highest microbial loads found

in both samples retrieved (18.4×10^{-4} cfu/g) were shown to still be within the permissible limit for the presence of microbes within beach sand according to the World Health Organization's Guidelines on Recreational Water Quality (2021) which has a permissible limit of 60 cfu/g. It is also supported by findings of (Sabino, *et al.*, 2011).

Beach Litter Composition and Characteristics: A total of 2749 items of litters were visually observed, counted and identified along all three transects over the course of the survey and classified into seven groups (Table 3). Organic waste (composed mainly of dried coconut leaves, coconut husks, dried tree branches, cutler back bones, crab shells, conifer cones, cow dungs etc.) had the highest litter amount of 1542 (56.09%), followed by plastic/polystyrene with 696 items (25.32%) while Glass/Ceramics had the least items of 50 (1.82%).

The mean seasonal litter items was higher in the dry season (479 ± 173.23 items) than the rainy season (383 ± 82.71 items). December had the highest litter items (672) while June had the least litter items (293)..

Table 2: Microbial Load of beach sand both in the dry and wet seasons

| Season | Microbial Species/group | Mean of sample A | Mean of sample B | T-Value | P-Value |
|--------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------|---------|
| Dry | Bacterial Count CFU/g | 18.4×10^{-4} | 16.2×10^{-4} | 15.607 | 0.011 |
| Wet | Bacterial Count CFU/g | 12.4×10^{-4} | 10.2×10^{-4} | 14.562 | 0.001 |
| Dry | Yeast Count CFU/g | 12.4×10^{-4} | 10.10×10^{-4} | 16.435 | 0.000 |
| Wet | Yeast Count CFU/g | 9.4×10^{-4} | 8.10×10^{-4} | 15.765 | 0.000 |
| Dry | Fungi Count CFU/g | 6.5×10^{-4} | 4.45×10^{-4} | 15.342 | 0.025 |
| Wet | Fungi Count CFU/g | 3.5×10^{-4} | 1.45×10^{-4} | 16.421 | 0.002 |

Note: Sample A=High tourist activity, Sample B=Low tourist activity, CFU/g= Colony Forming Unit per gram of Beach sand; 10^{-4} = Dilution factor

This high composition is due to the high composition of coconut tree which due to wind action causes branches to break and scatter along the beach as well as the collection and peeling of coconut by people in the area.

The cow dung seen was as a result of herders that move in numbers in the area to graze their cattle and to drink water. This is in agreement to a study conducted on Malotong Beach in Indonesia by Sulistiawati, *et al.* (2020) which indicated that the activity of the local population indirectly, has the potential to produce a wood litter or the fragments of plant branches from the surrounding area. The low composition of plastic litter can be tied to the fact that Araromi beach is not a main destination for recreation with few parties thrown on the beach once in a while and recycling system put in place in the community which consists of a bin for collecting PET bottles. Some exotic wastes such as cosmetic

tubes, polystyrene plates were also observed. This proves that although Araromi beach is not a major tourist destination, it still sees to a certain degree of patronage and use. This is in tandem with the findings of Öztekin *et al.* (2019) who reported that plastic litters accounted for 32% of the total beach litters representing the second most abundant litter category. However, it contradicts the reports of Ertaş, (2020); Asensio-Montesinos, *et al.* (2021) in which plastic litters were the most abundant litter category accounting for about 60-80%. The beach sand litter density was highest in December with a value of 21.57 litter/m² followed by July with a value of 10.00 litter/m² and lowest in February with a value of 8.52 litter/m² (Figure 2). Also, the seasonal litter density was higher in the dry season (10.64 ± 3.85 litter/m²) than the wet season (8.53 ± 1.84 litter/m²) (Figure 3). Test of homogeneity showed significant difference in the monthly and seasonal litter density ($p = 0.000$).

Table 3: Table showing the quantity of beach litter observed during the study period

| Litter Groups | Number of litters observed | | | | | | | Total | Percentage |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|
| | Dry season | | | | Wet season | | | | |
| | Dec | Jan | Feb | May | June | July | | | |
| Plastics/ Polystyrene | 141 | 118 | 130 | 151 | 21 | 135 | 696 | 25.32 | |
| Textile | 36 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 15 | 12 | 55 | 2.00 | |
| Rubber | 3 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 37 | 1.35 | |
| Organic waste | 381 | 295 | 183 | 205 | 222 | 256 | 1542 | 56.09 | |
| Paper/Wood | 57 | 3 | 6 | 13 | 15 | 26 | 205 | 7.46 | |
| Metal | 42 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 13 | 11 | 164 | 5.97 | |
| Glass/Ceramics | 12 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 50 | 1.82 | |
| Total | 672 | 428 | 337 | 403 | 293 | 455 | 2749 | 100 | |
| Seasonal mean | 479±173.23 | | | 383±82.71 | | | | | |
| Monthly Density | 14.93 | 9.51 | 7.48 | 8.96 | 6.51 | 10.11 | | | |
| Seasonal Density | 10.64 | | | 8.53 | | | | | |

Beach Cleanliness/Clean Coast Index of Araromi Beach: The result of the clean coast index calculated revealed that during the dry and wet seasons the CCI values were 8.52 and 6.81 which means that the beach is “Moderately dirty” in both seasons. In the dry season December had the highest CCI value of 11.94 indicating that the beach was Dirty while February had the lowest value of 5.99 indicating

“Moderately dirty”. However, in the wet season the CCI values recorded were within the same “Moderately dirty” category in all the three months covered (5.21 – 8.09). In accordance with CCI study index by Alkalay *et al.*, (2007), this current study observed that Araromi beach fell in the “Moderate dirty” category during the survey conducted both during the dry and wet seasons. It can be deduced

that the low CCI index value of Araromi beach signifies low turnout of tourists. This further proves that Araromi beach is not a major tourist destination with low exposure. However, in December that the CCI fell in the “Dirty” category. This is due to a higher number of beach users as a result of it being a festive month with both locals and tourists accessing the beach more during this period. Overall, Araromi beach is still relatively clean compared to similar studies conducted in Homa beach (Ertas, 2020) and Black sea trenches (Öztekin *et al.*, 2019) where there were high tourist activities.

Table 4: Araromi Beach sand Clean Coast Index for Dry and Wet seasons

| Season | Months | CCI Value | Decision |
|-------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------------------|
| Dry | December | 11.94 | Dirty |
| | January | 7.61 | Moderately dirty |
| | February | 5.99 | Moderately dirty |
| Dry season index | | 8.52 | Moderately dirty |
| Wet | May | 7.16 | Moderately dirty |
| | June | 5.21 | Moderately dirty |
| | July | 8.09 | Moderately dirty |
| Wet season index | | 6.81 | Moderately dirty |

Conclusion: The study showed that Organic waste in the form of dried coconut leaves and tree branches was the most abundant litter category with Plastic litter being the second most abundant. The microbial load is still within the permissible limit as stated by the World Health Organization’s Guidelines on Recreational Water. However, it is still imperative that surveillance measures be put in place to keep the microbial load at the barest minimum. The result of this study, indicates that Araromi beach lacks waste management and toilet facilities. It is therefore recommended that to attract more visitors and improve the overall beach experience, the local community and government should invest in regular beach clean-ups and waste management programs to keep the beach in a pristine condition.

Declaration of Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest

Data Availability Statement: Data are available upon request from the first author/ corresponding author.

REFERENCE

Adora, CU (2010). Managing Tourism in Nigeria: The Security Option. *Manage. Sci. Eng.* 4(1): 14-25.

Agwu, O; Oluwagunke, T (2014). Occurrence of Coliforms and water borne pathogens in two

coastal waters in Lagos, Nigeria. *Nat. Sci.* 12(7), 21-25.

Ajadi, FA; Thonda, OA (2017). Microbial Assessment of Swimming Pools from Selected Hotels in Osogbo Metropolis, Osun State, Nigeria. *J. Adv. Microbio.* 1-10.

Akingbe, N (2013). Transcending the versification of orature: Song-text as oral performance among the Ilaje. *Venets: Belograd. J. Local Hist. Cult. Herit. & Flk. Stud.* 4(3): 323-337

Alkalay, R; Pasternak, G; Zask, A (2007). Clean-coast index—a new approach for beach cleanliness assessment. *Ocean Coast. Manag. Environ. Pollut.*, 352–362.

Asensio-Montesinos, F; Anfuso, G; Aguilar-Torrelo, MT; Ramírez, MO (2021). Abundance and Temporal Distribution of Beach Litter on the Coast of Ceuta (North Africa, Gibraltar trait). (M. Mastrocicco, Ed.) *Water*,13(2739):1-24 doi:https://doi.org/10.3390/w13192739

Brandão, J (2019). Microorganisms in Beach Sand: What Do We Still Not Know? Elsevier Inc.

Bui, TKL; Pham, QK; Doan, NT; Nguyen, TB; Nguyen, VN; Nguyen, KL., . . . Nguyen, HQ (2022). Marine litter pollution along sandy beaches of Can Gio coast sandy beaches of Can Gio coast, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. *IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environ. Sci.* 964.

Diaz, JM; Teixeira, IB; Bayraktarov, E (2018). Blue carbon stocks in subtropical seagrass meadows: A case study from the Gold Coast, Australia. *Marine Environ. Research*, 181-189.

Dubey, C; Maheshwari, D (2002). Practical microbiology. New Delhi: Chand and Company Ltd.

Efstratiou, M. A. (2018). Microorganisms in Beach Sand: Health Implications. In *Encyclopedia Environ. Health* (pp. 1-5).

Ertas, A (2020). Assessment of origin and abundance of beach litter in Homa 1 Lagoon Coast, West Mediterranean Sea of Turkey. *Estuar. Coastal & Shelf Sci.* 1-25.

Gonçalves, EJ; Pacheco, FS; Ferreira, JG; Martins, R; Delgado, J; Marques, JC (2020). Exploring the potential of a coastal fish index to assess the ecological quality of beaches. *Marine Pollut. Bulletin*, pp 152.

- Halliday, E; Gast, RJ (2011). Bacteria in beach sands: an emerging challenge in protecting coastal water quality and bather health. *Environ. Sci. & Tech.*, 45, 370–379.
- Hanke, G., F, G., S, W., L, O., P, N., D, F., . . . G, L. (2013). *Guidance on Monitoring of Marine Litter in European Seas*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, 2013. doi:10.2788/99475
- Heaney, CD; Sams, E; Dufour, AP; Brenner, KP; Haugland, RA; Chern, E., . . . Wade, TJ (2012). Fecal Indicators in Sand, Sand Contact, and Risk of Enteric Illness Among Beachgoers. *Epidemiology*, 23(1), 95-106.
- Jambeck, JR; Geyer, R; Wilcox, C; Siegler, TR; Perryman, M; Andrady, A., . . . Law, KL (2015). Plastic waste inputs from land into the Ocean. *Marine Pollut.* 347(6223), 768–771.
- Jang, S; Lee, J; Park, J (2019). Development of a beach sustainability assessment framework: A case study of South Korea. *J. Environ. Manage.* 1-10.
- Kim, DY; Choi, KY; Jeong, KS (2021). A comprehensive approach to the assessment of beach sustainability: Development of indicators and a case study. *J. Environ. Manage.* 292.
- Markovic, M; Satta, A; Skaricic, Z; Trumbic, I (2009). *Sustainable Coastal Tourism, An integrated Planning and management approach*. Milan, France: United Nation Environmental Programme (UNEP).
- Munari, C; Corbau, C; Simeoni, U; Mistri, M (2016). Marine litter on Mediterranean shores: Analysis of composition, spatial distribution and sources in north- western Adriatic beaches. *Waste Manage.*(49), 483–490.
- National Bureau of Statistics, N (2019). *ANNUAL ABSTRACT OF STATISTICS, 2019 Edition*.
- Nguyen, K; Senay, C; Young, S; Nayak, B; Lobos, A; Conrad, J; Harwood, V (2018). Determination of wild animal sources of fecal indicator bacteria by microbial source tracking (MST) influences regulatory decisions. *Water Research*, 144, 424–434.
- Obinwanne, CO; Okpoko, PU (2015). Comparative Assessment Of Coastal Tourism Potentials Of Selected Areas In Rivers State, Nigeria. *Intl J.I Sci. & Tech. Research*, 4(12).
- Odunlami, S; Ijeomah, H (2016). Constraints Of Tourism Development In Port Harcourt Tourism Beach, Rivers State, Nigeria. *J. Resch. Forst. Wldl. & Environ.* 8(4), 54-62.
- Okoye, CN (2014). *Beach Tourism In Nigeria: A Case Study Of Elegushi Beach*. Nsukka.
- Oladele, A. H., Digun-Aweto, O., & Merwe, P. V. (2018). Potentials Of Coastal And Marine Tourism In Nigeria. *Tourism in Marine Environments*. 13(2-3), 165–173. doi:https://doi.org/10.3727/154427318X15276699095952
- Onwuegbuzie, AJ; Dickinson, WB; Leech, NL; Zoran, AG (2009). A Qualitative Framework for Collecting and Analyzing Data in Focus Group Research. *Intl. J. Qualit. Methods*. 8(3), 4.
- Oyelade, A; Fagade, O; Sanuth, H; Anjolaiya, L; Nwadike, B (2018). Microbiological quality of some Recreational Beaches along the Shoreline of Lagos State, Nigeria. *J. Environ. & Earth Sci.* 8(2), 61-76.
- Öztekin, A; Bat, L; Baki, OG (2019). Beach Litter Pollution in Sinop Sarikum Lagoon Coast of the Southern Black Sea. (T. J., Ed.) *Fish & Aqua. Sci.* 197-205. doi:http://doi.org/10.4194/1303-2712-v20_3_04
- Pinto, KC; Hachich, EM; Sato, MI; Bari, MD; Coelho, MC; Matté, MH; . . . Razzolini, MT (2012). Microbiological quality assessment of sand and water from three selected beaches of South Coast, São Paulo State, Brazil. *Water Sci. & Tech.* 2475-2482
- Ryu, H; Griffith, J; Khan, I; Hill, S; Edge, T; Toledo-Hernandez, C., . . . Domingo, J (2012). Comparison of Gull Feces-Specific Assays Targeting the 16S rRNA Genes of *Catelicoccus marimammalium* and *Streptococcus* spp. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 78, 1909–1916.
- Sabino, R; Veríssimo, C; Cunha, MA; Wergikoski, B; Ferreira, FC; Rodrigues, R., . . . Brandão, J (2011). Pathogenic fungi: An unacknowledged risk at coastal resorts? New insights on microbiological sand quality in Portugal. *Marine Pollut. Bulletin*, 62(7), 1506-1511.

- doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.04.008>
- Samuel, AO; Adebayo, AE; Olajide, OS (2022). Sequential Analysis and Geochemical Characterization of Heavy Metals in Araromi Coastal Sediments, Southwestern Nigeria. *J. Health & Environ. Resrch.* 8(2), 96-107. doi:10.11648/j.jher.20220802.15
- Shanks, O; White, K; Kelty, C; Hayes, S; Sivaganesan, M; Jenkins, M., . . . Haugland, R (2010). Performance Assessment PCR-Based Assays Targeting Bacteroidales Genetic Markers of Bovine Fecal Pollution. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 76, 1359–1366.
- Solo-Gabriele, HM; Harwood, VJ; Kay, D; Fujioka, RS; Sadowsky, MJ; Whitman, RL; . . . Hagen, F (2015). Beach sand and the potential for infectious disease transmission: observations and recommendations. *J. Marine Biol. Assoc. UK.*, 96(1), 101–120. doi:10.1017/S0025315415000843
- Sulistiwati, D; Mansyur, K; Putra, AE; Putra, AE; Tahya, AM; Ya'la, ZR (2020). Marine litter distribution in Ampana Beach Tojo Una-Una Regency Central Sulawesi Province. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science.* doi:10.1088/1755-1315/441/1/012128
- Thanh-Khiet, B; Pham, QK; Doan, NT; Nguyen, TB; Nguyen, VN; Nguyen, KL; . . . Nguyen, HQ (2022). Marine litter pollution along sandy beaches of Can Gio coast, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 4. doi:10.1088/1755-1315/964/1/012017
- Valério, E; Santos, ML; Teixeira, P; Matias, RJM; Ahmed, W; Brandão, DJ (2022). Microbial Source Tracking as a Method of Determination of Beach Sand Contamination. *Intl. J. Environ. Resrch. & Public Health*, 19(7934), 1-17.
- Viji, RND; Santhanam, P; Balakrishnan, S; Yi, Y; Rajivgandhi, G (2019). Biomonitoring of the environmental indicator and pathogenic microorganisms assortment in foremost pilgrimage beaches of the Bay of Bengal, Southeast coast, India. *Marine Pollut. Bulletin*, 149, 1-8.
- Vlachogianni, T; Fortibuoni, T; Ronchi, F; Zeri, C; Mazziotti, C; Tutman, P., . . . Mandić, M (2018). Marine litter on the beaches of the Adriatic and Ionian Seas: an assessment of their abundance, composition and sources. *Marine Pollut. Bulletin*, 745-756.
- Weiskerger, CJ; Brandão, J (2020). Fungal contaminants in water and sand: A new frontier for quantitative microbial risk assessment. (W. Ahmed, & K. Hamilton, Eds.) *Current Opinion in Environ. Sci. & Health*, 16, 73-81.
- Whitman, R; Harwood, VJ; Edge, TA; Nevers, M; Byappanahalli, M; Vijayavel, K; Kinzelman, J (2014). Microbes in Beach Sands: Integrating Environment, Ecology and Public Health. *Reviews in environ. Sci. & biotech.*, 13(3), 329–368. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11157-014-9340-8>
- WHO. (2021). Guidelines On Recreational Water Quality. *1: coastal and fresh waters.* Geneva.
- Wilcox, C; Seville, EV; Hardesty, BD (2015). Plastic in seabirds is pervasive and increasing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.* doi:10.1073/pnas.1502108112.
- Williams, A; Rangel-Buitrago, N (2019). Marine Litter: Solutions for a Major Environmental Problem. *J. Coastal Resrch.* 35(3), 648–663.
- World Travel Tourism Council (2022). *Travel and Tourism: Economic Impact 2022.*