

Biodiesel Production from Used Cooking Oil over Nickel-modified Calcium Phosphate Scum (Ni-CaPs) Catalyst from the Sugar Refining Industry

***OSAZUWA, OU; NEKEKPEMI, DO**

Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Benin, Benin city, Nigeria.

**Email of the corresponding author[: osarieme.osazuwa@uniben.edu](mailto:osarieme.osazuwa@uniben.edu) *ORCID:* https://orcid.org/*0000-0001-7352-5404 *Tel: +234 8036998276*

Co-Author Email[: donatus.nekekpemi@gmail.com](mailto:donatus.nekekpemi@gmail.com)

ABSTRACT: The increasing global energy demand has sparked a search for sustainable fuel sources. Biodiesel from organic waste offers a promising solution to reduce carbon emissions. Hence, the objective of this paper was to synthesize biodiesel production from used cooking oil using nickel-modified calcium phosphate scum (Ni-CaPs) from sugar refining industry as a catalyst. X ray diffraction analysis revealed the crystalline structure of CaO, NiO, and SiO₂. X-ray fluorescence showed a composition of 50.316 % CaO, 4.61 % NiO, and 18.60 % SiO₂. Fourier Transform Infrared spectroscopy identified functional groups associated with C-N, C-Cl, and C-H stretching bonds. Scanning Electron Microscopy and Energy Dispersive X-ray analysis confirmed the catalyst's morphological and compositional properties. Response Surface Methodology (RSM) optimized the reaction conditions: 55°C, 90 mins, 1 wt. % catalyst loading, and 12:1 methanol-to oil molar ratio. This yielded 93.2 % biodiesel, with an R^2 value of 0.9886. The Ni-CaPs catalyst offers cost-effectiveness, simple synthesis process, stability, and widespread availability of raw materials. This study demonstrates the potential of Ni-CaPs as a sustainable, efficient catalyst for biodiesel production from waste materials, contributing to a more environmentally friendly energy solution.

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/jasem.v28i12.5>

License: [CC-BY-4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Open Access Policy: All articles published by **[JASEM](https://www.ajol.info/index.php/jasem)** are open-access articles and are free for anyone to download, copy, redistribute, repost, translate and read.

Copyright Policy: © 2024. Authors retain the copyright and grant **[JASEM](https://www.ajol.info/index.php/jasem)** the right of first publication. Any part of the article may be reused without permission, provided that the original article is cited.

Cite this Article as: OSAZUWA, O. U; NEKEKPEMI, D. O. (2024). Biodiesel Production from Used Cooking Oil over Nickel-modified Calcium Phosphate Scum (Ni-CaPs) Catalyst from the Sugar Refining Industry. *J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Manage.* 28 (12) 3983-3994

Dates: Received: 22 October 2024; Revised: 20 November 2024; Accepted: 08 December 2024; Published: 18 December 2024

Keywords: Biodiesel; Transesterification; Esterification; Calcium Phosphate Scum; Used Cooking Oil

**Email of the corresponding author: osarieme.osazuwa@uniben.edu *ORCID:* https://orcid.org/*0000-0001-7352-5404* The advancement of society has been significantly influenced by diverse energy sources. However, there is a growing need to replace fossil fuels with sustainable alternatives due to the adverse societal effects of their overexploitation, such as climate change, biodiversity loss, and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions *(*Grönman *et al., 2019)*. Consequently, sustainable biofuels like bioethanol and biodiesel are garnering research interest as alternatives to traditional energy sources. Renewable energy sources are deemed capable of satisfying global energy demands without inflicting environmental harm

**Tel: +234 8036998276*

associated with fossil fuel usage *(*Ang *et al., 2022)*. Production of biodiesel commercially is rooted in the elevated production expenses relative to fossil diesel, notwithstanding its diverse advantages. A crucial aspect in achieving cost-competitive biodiesel production involves the selection of an economical feedstock (triglyceride origin), the use of costeffective reaction enhancers (catalyst and acylacceptor), and optimization of entire production process to increase efficiency. Sources of triglycerides that are affordable and useful for biodiesel synthesis have been researched for a range of substitute feedstock. For example, the utilization of used loquat seed oil has been scrutinized within this framework *(*Al-Muhtaseb *et al., 2021)*, used cooking oils (UCO) *(*Catarino *et al., 2020)*, waste date seed oil *(*Al-Mawali *et al., 2021)*, etc. More specifically, UCO are discarded in the soil, drainage system, or trash can *(*Lopes *et al., 2019)*. This sewage contributes to several environmental issues. (Kanagawa 2019). The utilization of UCO as feedstock to produce biodiesel has the potential to make the process extremely economical. Unlike edible oils, used cooking oil (UCO) is very attainable, does not pose an ecological risk, and eliminates the fuel and food competition. The primary setback associated with the utilization of UCO results from the difficulty in the transesterification process, as a result of the free fatty acid (FFA) resulting in exceedingly difficult response *(*Bardhan *et al., 2022)*. Therefore, UCO requires pretreatment by esterification with an acid (Van der Bruggen 2010) *(*Talebian-Kiakalaieh *et al., 2013)* before it can be employed for the transesterification reaction process to product biodiesel *(*Sindhu *et al., 2015)*. The production of biodiesel involves the generation of fatty acid alkyl esters through transesterification of triglycerides obtained from animal fats or based oils. This chemical transformation takes place by introducing a shortchain alcohol and various catalysts *(*Boey *et al., 2011a;* Kouzu and Hidaka 2012*)*. Catalysts, both homogeneous and heterogeneous, are engaged in a crucial role in facilitating the transesterification process *(*Pasae *et al., 2020)*. Nonetheless, severe disadvantages are attached to using homogeneous catalysts for producing biodiesel, including issues with catalyst recovery and significant waste and water effluent generation *(*Zhang *et al., 2022)* . Utilizing heterogeneous catalysts can help to lessen these challenges with metal oxides like calcium oxide (Kouzu and Hidaka 2012) being extensively studied. Although, heterogeneous catalysts resolve several technological and environmental concerns, their commercial viability is hindered by high costs and complexity in production (Chouhan and Sarma 2011). Extensive research has been conducted over the past few years to ascertain the high-performance heterogeneous catalyst that not only demonstrates cost-effectiveness but also aligns with environmental sustainability principles. Using waste products as possible heterogeneous catalysts in the context of advancing sustainable practices represents a comparatively novel advancement in the energy and catalysis field *(*Nurfitri *et al., 2013)*. Other mixed oxides have been employed as catalysts for the esterification and transesterification of oils and fats. For instance, sulphate-treated metal oxides, such as

 SO_4^2 [−]/SnO₂ (Furuta *et al., 2004)*, SO_4^2 [−]/TiO₂ (Alaya and Rabah 2017) and $SO_4^2^-/ZrO_2$ *(Laosiripojana et al., 2010)* have been utilized for the esterification of high-acid oils and FFAs. Consequently, there is growing interest in transition metal oxides like titanium, zinc, and zirconium oxides within the scientific community. Common solid acid catalysts such as sulfurated and tungstate-zirconia are employed for converting triglycerides into fatty acid alkyl esters (Clark 2003). Limited research exists on the utilization of calcium oxide (CaO) catalyst derived from waste materials for biodiesel production. Nevertheless, a few research on the extraction of CaO from different sources exist, they include CaO from waste capiz shells (Amusium cristatum) *(*Suryaputra *et al., 2013)*, waste cockle shells (Anadara granosa) *(*Boey *et al., 2011b)*, Mereterix mereterix *(*Nair *et al., 2012)*, chicken eggshells *(*Khemthong *et al., 2012)*, waste shells of Turbonilla striatula *(*Boro *et al., 2011)*, oyster shells *(*Jairam *et al., 2012)*, concrete waste *(*Osazuwa *et al., 2024)* and snails *(*Birla *et al., 2012)*. The sugar refining industry generates industrial residues like calcium phosphate scum, which can serve as catalysts in biodiesel synthesis. The industry also produces considerable waste, including calcium phosphate scum. The high moisture content, foul smell, and attractiveness to insects make it difficult to handle *(*George *et al., 2010)*, and there is also a risk of severe water contamination.

This research investigates the synthesis of a bifunctional catalyst from calcium phosphate scum and nickel nitrate for enhanced transesterification of used cooking oil to biodiesel, aiming to mitigate carbon footprint. Hence, the objective of this paper was to produce biodiesel from used cooking oil using nickelmodified calcium phosphate scum (Ni-CaPs) from sugar refining industry as a catalyst.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Pre-treatment of UCO: The used cooking oil (UCO) sourced from local cafeteria was utilized in this research. The collected UCO had a high-water content and some food remnants. To eliminate solid particles, soluble salts, and moisture, the UCO was pre-treated and filtered using the procedure as adopted from literature *(*Amenaghawon *et al., 2021)*.

Catalyst Preparation: The Calcium Phosphate Scum (CaPs) utilized as a catalyst precursor was gathered from within a sugar refining industry in Lagos State, Nigeria. Subsequently, the sample was dried for approximately 72 h, crushed, and sieved to obtain a 100 μm particle size distribution (Aghabarari and Martinez-Huerta 2016). The crushed powder precursor was calcined at 900 ℃ for 2 h, before then transferred to a desiccator. The heat treatment was carried out to prevent the transformation of CaO into CaCO₃ (Aghabarari and Martinez-Huerta 2016). Adopting the wet impregnation technique, the bifunctional catalysts containing a 10 wt.% Ni on CaO were formulated *(*Weldeslase *et al., 2023)*. 400 mL of distilled water was utilized for dissolving the calcined calcium phosphate scum (now CaO composite), which was subsequently homogenized by the use of a magnetic stirrer. After a period of 10 mins, the precise quantity of Nickel (II) nitrate $(NiNO₃)₂$ was integrated into the mixture. Once a viscous fluid was generated, the resultant mixture was oven dried for 1 h, calcined at 900℃ for 1 h and then transferred to a desiccator for storage *(*Teo *et al., 2018)*.

Catalyst Characterization: The physicochemical properties of the synthesized catalyst were analysed using various known conventional techniques. The utilization of energy-dispersive X-ray technology and scanning electron microscopy (SEM-EDX) was employed to closely monitor the surface structure and element composition of the synthesized catalyst. Xray fluorescence (XRF) was carried out to evaluate the composition of oxides in the catalyst. Brunauer, Emmett, and Teller (BET) investigations were utilized to gather data on surface area and pore characteristics. X-ray diffraction (XRD) examination ascertained the crystallite structure while fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy was used to examine the configuration of chemical bonds and molecular interactions.

Biodiesel production and characterization: Considering the low acid value of the oil and the heterogeneous catalyst bifunctionality, a single-step transesterification procedure was implemented to produce biodiesel. A reflux condenser was integrated into a three-necked 250 mL glass reactor to introduce a precisely measured amount of UCO. The setup was heated to a specific temperature and placed on a hot plate with a magnetic stirrer. Upon the incorporation of methanol into the oil, accompanied by a stirring period of about 5 mins, the catalyst was subsequently introduced to commence the reaction for the specified period. The experimental framework dictated the fixed catalyst concentration, reaction temperature, methanol-to-oil molar ratio, and reaction duration (El-Gendy *et al., 2014)*. A filter was utilized to separate the catalyst and liquid post-completion of the reaction. Subsequently, the liquid underwent separation in a separating funnel and was allowed to settle overnight. The superior layer, comprising biodiesel and residual methanol, was introduced to a hot water wash to evaporate the excess methanol, whereas the lower stratum, which held glycerol, was

poured off. Following this, the biodiesel was preserved for further examination. The biodiesel yield was obtained utilizing Eq. (**Error! Reference source not found.**) *(*Amenaghawon *et al., 2022)*. The physicochemical properties (density, viscosity, moisture content, acid value) and fuel characteristics (flash point, cetane number, and pour point) of the produced biodiesel were evaluated using established methodologies (Helrich 1990). Via gas chromatography-mass spectroscopy (GC-MS) examination, the fatty acid composition of the biodiesel was ascertained. The biodiesel yield was calculate by equation 1.

Biodiesel Yield = Mass of biodiesel produced mass of UCO $\times 100$ (1)

Design of experiment and RSM modelling: In the transesterification procedure, a Box-Behnken design (BBD) consisting of four different parameter ranges were employed as follows, reaction temperature (X1): (-1: 40, 0: 55, 1: 70) °C, reaction time (X2): (-1: 60, 0: 75, 1: 90) mins, catalyst loading (X3): (1: 1, 0: 3, 1: 5) wt.%, and methanol-to-oil molar ratio $(X4)$: (1: 6, 0: 12, 1: 18). The specified ranges for these input parameters were determined through preliminary experiments and relevant literature (Balajii and Niju 2019). Given the prevalence of quadratic responses in chemical engineering processes, this design was chosen due to its appropriateness for this application *(*Chitsaz *et al., 2018)*. A quadratic regression model, denoted by Eq. (**Error! Reference source not found.**), matched the data obtained from 29 experimental runs generated through BBD. Model term calculation was carried out through several regression analyses, with analysis of variance (ANOVA) utilized to ascertain the usefulness of the model terms.

Design Expert software was used to carry out the experimental design and the corresponding statistical analysis.

$$
Y = b_0 + \sum b_i X_i + \sum b_{ii} X_i X_i + \sum b_{ii} X_i^2 + e_i
$$

(1)

Where; The dependent variable (biodiesel yield) is represented by Y, while X_i and X_j denote the independent variables. The offset term is b_0 , the single and interaction effect coefficients are bi and b_{ij} , and the experimental error term is e_i ; this methodology was adapted from *(*Shegun *et al., 2022)*.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

OSAZUWA, O. U; NEKEKPEMI, D. O.

Characterization of waste cooking oil: The characteristics of the UCO was pre-determined and all values obtained as: 2.5950 mg $KOHg^{-1}$ oil for acid value, FFA of 1.2975 %, moisture content of 0.0200 %, 29.5000 mPa.S for dynamic viscosity @ 40℃, saponification value of 300.1350 mg KOH g^{-1} oil, 903.0000 kg m⁻³ for density @ 40 °C, and specific gravity of 0.9127. Using the Standard Test Method for Acid Number of Petroleum Products by Potentiometric Titration and Standard Test Method

for Saponification Number of Petroleum Products (American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) D664 and (ASTM) D94), the acid value and saponification value were calculated and used to derive the relative molecular mass (M) 565.638 g mol-1 , which was comparable to literature *(*Abubakar *et al., 2016)*. These values represent the physicochemical characteristics of the UCO employed prior to the experimental reaction.

Fig. 1: XRD pattern of the Ni-modified calcium phosphate scum (Ni-CaPs) catalyst

Characterization of the Ni-modified calcium phosphate scum (Ni-CaPs) catalyst X-ray Diffraction (XRD) Analysis: **Error! Reference source not found.** represents the crystalline structure and phase transition of the synthesized Ni-modified calcium phosphate scum (Ni-CaPs) catalyst analysed using the XRD analyser. The crystalline structure of the active component, calcium oxide (CaO), displays distinct peaks at 20 of 17.20° , 25.90° , 28.10° , 31.29° , 32.05° , and 32.83° representing the presence of CaO, while 34.73° and 53.31° represent the presence of Ni and SiO respectively.

X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) Analysis: XRF analysis was carried out to determine the chemical composition of the Ni-modified calcium phosphate scum (Ni-CaPs) catalyst and the result is tabulated in **Error! Reference source not found.Error! Reference source not found.Error! Reference source not found.Error! Reference source not found.**

[Table](#page-3-0) **1**. Oxides like calcium oxide (CaO), nickel oxide (NiO), silica (SiO₂), and alumina (Al₂O₃), each having distinct catalytic properties essential for biodiesel production were present. As evident, the basic nature of CaO and the acidic attributes of NiO play vital roles in the catalytic process *(*Moradi *et al., 2014)*. The presence of NiO can be attributed to the doping procedure employed during the preparation, thereby enhancing the catalyst's acidic strength. Nickel (Ni) doping was intended to increase the catalyst activity by augmenting catalytic sites, thereby promoting esterification and transesterification reactions *(*Teo *et al., 2014)*. Specifically, NiO promotes the esterification reaction, while CaO facilitated the transesterification reaction (Kouz and Hidaka 2012).

found[.](#page-4-0)

[Fig. 2](#page-4-0), revealed several absorption peaks depicting different functional groups on the catalyst surface. Significantly, peaks at 2160.22 cm^{-1} , 1057.90 cm^{-1} , and 792.72 cm^{-1} were found to be associated with C-N, C-Cl, and C-H stretching bond, respectively, and

Fig. 2: FTIR spectra of the prepared catalyst

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) Analysis: The morphological properties of the synthesized Nimodified calcium phosphate scum (Ni-CaPs) catalyst utilized during the transesterification process is

Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) Analysis: The catalyst surface was subjected to Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) analysis to determine its functional groups. The results, shown in **Error! Reference source not found.Error! Reference source not**

the principal peak was observed at 2977.77 cm^{-1} , which was related with O-H stretching bonds

OSAZUWA, O. U; NEKEKPEMI, D. O.

[Fig.](#page-5-0) **3[Error! Reference source not found.E](#page-5-0)rror! Reference source not found.Error! Reference source not found.Error! Reference source not found.**. The SEM image demonstrates the presence of non-uniform voids within the composite material.

The heterogeneous nature of the catalyst material characterized by varying composition and surface properties can be attributed to the uneven distribution of catalytic pores *(*Okoduwa *et al., 2024)*.

Fig. 3: SEM analysis of the synthesized Ni-modified calcium phosphate scum (Ni-CaPs) catalyst (a) ×500 (b) ×1000 (c) ×1500

Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX): The Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX) provided details of the compositions of the Ni-modified calcium phosphate scum (Ni-CaPs) catalyst. Substantial amounts of calcium (62.67%), phosphorus (25.99%), iron (5.23%), and silicon (3.00%) were detected in the catalyst. Due to their high reactivity, these metals likely contribute to the enhanced catalytic performance of the Ni-modified calcium phosphate scum (Ni-CaPs) catalyst *(*Yusuff *et al., 2017)*.

BET Analysis: The textural properties of the synthesized catalyst displayed surface area, pore diameter, and pore volume were $235.505 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$, 2.920 nm and 0.132 cc g^{-1} , respectively which correlates with existing literature *(*Zhang *et al., 2023)*. These results possibly account for the enhanced surface properties of the composite catalyst. Moreover, some studies have noted that catalysts for biodiesel production require high surface areas *(*Madai *et al., 2020)*. For instance, a mixed metal oxide functionalized with banana peel ash (Li– $CaO/Fe₂(SO₄)₃$) showed a pore volume of 0.628 cm³ g^{-1} , a pore size of 3.01 nm, and a surface area of $411.2 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$.

Modelling of Biodiesel Production: Response surface methodology (RSM) utilized a core composite design with four key parameters: methanol-oil ratio, catalyst loading, reaction temperature, and reaction time. The relationship among the response and independent variables was elucidated through multiple regression analysis, resulting in the biodiesel yield model represented in Eq. (**Error! Reference source not** **found.**). A comparison of the actual biodiesel yield with the model's prediction revealed a strong correlation, indicating the RSM model's efficacy in forecasting biodiesel yield using the biodiesel yield model as presented in equation 1.

$$
Yield = +80.84 + 1.47A + 6.17B - 4.55C + 6.82D + 2.67AD - 2.10BC + 2.02CD - 9.98A2 - 1.69C2 - 6.69D2
$$
 (2)

The quadratic model was selected based on p-values, lack of fit tests, and R-square (R^2) metrics among linear, 2F1, quadratic, and cubic alternatives. The model's significance is underscored by a P-value ($P <$ 0.0001) and a lack of fit ($P > 0.05$) that enhance its reliability. The R_2 value indicates the ratio of explained variance to total variation *(*Elkelawy *et al.,* 2020). With an \mathbb{R}^2 of 0.9886, the quadratic model demonstrates a superior fit. This finding suggests that the system's behavior is accurately represented by the expected 2nd order polynomial model. Additionally, the high modified \mathbb{R}^2 value of 0.9773 further substantiates the model's reliability. Employing actual versus predicted data is a crucial method for assessing the proposed model's significance. The empirical model correlates strongly with observed values within the operational variable range, aligning with expected outcomes. Noteworthy correlations exist with prior research findings *(*Elkelawy *et al., 2020)*. To ascertain the model's suitability in predicting biodiesel yield, various goodness-of-fit criteria were employed. Parameters such as coefficient of variation, standard deviation,

acceptable accuracy, predicted \mathbb{R}^2 , adjusted \mathbb{R}^2 , and $R²$ were utilized. The outcomes are presented in

Effect *[of reaction parameters](#page-7-0) Effect of Catalyst [Loading and the Reaction Time:](#page-7-0)*

Experimental *validation:* [The RSM model achieved a](#page-7-0) [biodiesel yield of 93.2% under optimal conditions:](#page-7-0) [55°C, 1 wt.% catalyst, 90 mins, and a 12:1 methanol](#page-7-0)[oil ratio. This result was obtained through numerical](#page-7-0) [optimization utilizing a genetic algorithm alongside](#page-7-0) [the RSM model. The optimal biodiesel production](#page-7-0) [conditions identified in this study corresponded](#page-7-0) [closely with findings from previous research.](#page-7-0) [Specifically, the 93.2% yield exceeded the prior](#page-7-0) [results of 89.3% and 80% reported by Farooq and](#page-7-0) Ramli (2015) [and Naveenkumar & Baskar](#page-7-0) (2019), [respectively.](#page-7-0)

Fig. 4a [depicts how catalyst loading and reaction](#page-7-0) [duration influence biodiesel yield. The conversion](#page-7-0) [rate of fatty acid esters increases with extended](#page-7-0) [reaction time. Initially gradual, the reaction rate](#page-7-0) [accelerates upon mixing alcohol and oil, leading to](#page-7-0) [higher yields. The optimal yield was achieved after](#page-7-0) [90 mins with a constant catalyst dosage of 1 wt.%,](#page-7-0) [with a subsequent decline in yield consistent with](#page-7-0) [prior findings](#page-7-0) *(*Weldeslase *et al., 2023)*.

[Table 2](#page-7-0), where a high R^2 R^2 value close to unity is desirable. The biodiesel model's R^2 value of 0.9877 confirms a strong alignment between predictions and [experimental data, as shown in](#page-7-0)

Effect *[of reaction parameters](#page-7-0) Effect of Catalyst [Loading and the Reaction Time:](#page-7-0)*

Experimental *validation:* [The RSM model achieved a](#page-7-0) [biodiesel yield of 93.2% under optimal conditions:](#page-7-0)

Characterization of [the produced Biodiesel:](#page-7-0) Standard testing: [The produced biodiesel was assessed against](#page-7-0) the [Standard Specification for Biodiesel Fuel Blend](#page-7-0) [Stock \(B100\) for Middle Distillate Fuels, and](#page-7-0) [European Standard for Fatty Acid Methyl Esters](#page-7-0) [\(FAME\) for Use in Diesel](#page-7-0) Engines and Heating [Appliances \(ASTM D6571 and EN 14214 standards\),](#page-7-0) [as tabulated in Table 3. The evaluation indicated an](#page-7-0) acid value of 0.23 mg KOH g^{-1} oil, suggesting [minimal risk of corrosion in the fuel system during](#page-7-0) [engine operation](#page-7-0) *(*Alves *et al., 2019)*. Additionally, [the biodiesel exhibited favorable fuel properties,](#page-7-0) [notably a cetane number of 52.17. With an iodine](#page-7-0) [value of 106 g/100g, the fuel demonstrated excellent](#page-7-0) [oxidative stability, enhancing its storage potential](#page-7-0) [without significant quality deterioration](#page-7-0) *(*Huang *et al., 2022)*[. In summary, the biodiesel generated under](#page-7-0) [optimized conditions exhibited numerous desirable](#page-7-0) [characteristics that met the requirements of EN 14214](#page-7-0) [and ASTM D6571.](#page-7-0)

[55°C, 1 wt.% catalyst, 90 mins, and a 12:1 methanol](#page-7-0)[oil ratio. This result was obtained through numerical](#page-7-0) [optimization utilizing a genetic algorithm alongside](#page-7-0) [the RSM model. The optimal biodiesel production](#page-7-0) [conditions identified in this study corresponded](#page-7-0) [closely with findings from previous research.](#page-7-0) [Specifically, the 93.2% yield exceeded the prior](#page-7-0) [results of 89.3% and 80% reported by Farooq and](#page-7-0) Ramli (2015) [and Naveenkumar & Baskar](#page-7-0) (2019), [respectively.](#page-7-0)

Characterization of [the produced Biodiesel:](#page-7-0) Standard testing: [The produced biodiesel was assessed against](#page-7-0) the [Standard Specification for Biodiesel Fuel Blend](#page-7-0) [Stock \(B100\) for Middle Distillate Fuels, and](#page-7-0) [European Standard for Fatty Acid Methyl Esters](#page-7-0) [\(FAME\) for Use in Diesel](#page-7-0) Engines and Heating [Appliances \(ASTM D6571 and EN 14214 standards\),](#page-7-0) [as tabulated in Table 3. The evaluation indicated an](#page-7-0) acid value of 0.23 mg KOH g^{-1} oil, suggesting [minimal risk of corrosion in the fuel system during](#page-7-0) [engine operation](#page-7-0) *(*Alves *et al., 2019)*. Additionally, [the biodiesel exhibited favorable fuel properties,](#page-7-0) [notably a cetane number of 52.17. With an iodine](#page-7-0) [value of 106 g/100g, the fuel demonstrated excellent](#page-7-0) [oxidative stability, enhancing its storage potential](#page-7-0) [without significant quality deterioration](#page-7-0) *(*Huang *et al., 2022)*[. In summary, the biodiesel generated under](#page-7-0) [optimized conditions exhibited numerous desirable](#page-7-0) [characteristics that met the requirements of EN 14214](#page-7-0) [and ASTM D6571.](#page-7-0)

Fig. 4a [depicts how catalyst loading and reaction](#page-7-0) [duration influence biodiesel yield. The conversion](#page-7-0) [rate of fatty acid esters increases with extended](#page-7-0) [reaction time. Initially gradual, the reaction rate](#page-7-0) [accelerates upon mixing alcohol and oil, leading to](#page-7-0) [higher yields. The optimal yield was achieved after](#page-7-0) [90 mins with a constant catalyst dosage of 1 wt.%,](#page-7-0) [with a subsequent decline in yield consistent with](#page-7-0) [prior findings](#page-7-0) *(*Weldeslase *et al., 2023)*.

[Table 2](#page-7-0). Specifically, the R^2 R^2 of 0.9877 indicates that 98.77% of variability is explained by the model. Furthermore, a modified R^2 value of 0.9771 reflects a commendable fit between models. A comparison of the standard deviation (1.36) with the mean observation (73.23) reveals minimal variation, supporting the model's fit with a coefficient of variation (CV) of 1.85%. The reliability of experimental runs is validated when expressed as a percentage of the mean. Consistent with literature, the model's precision is affirmed by a signal-to-noise ratio exceeding 4, recorded at 37.9748 *(*Abuhassna *et al., 2020)*.

[Fig. 4](#page-9-0)a depicts how catalyst loading and reaction duration influence biodiesel yield. The conversion rate of fatty acid esters increases with extended reaction time. Initially gradual, the reaction rate accelerates upon mixing alcohol and oil, leading to

Effect of reaction parameters Effect of Catalyst Loading and the Reaction Time:

Experimental *validation:* [The RSM model achieved a](#page-9-0) [biodiesel yield of 93.2% under optimal conditions:](#page-9-0) [55°C, 1 wt.% catalyst, 90 mins, and a 12:1 methanol](#page-9-0)[oil ratio. This result was obtained through numerical](#page-9-0) [optimization utilizing a genetic algorithm alongside](#page-9-0) [the RSM model. The optimal biodiesel production](#page-7-0) [conditions identified in this study corresponded](#page-9-0) [closely with findings from previous research.](#page-9-0) [Specifically, the 93.2% yield exceeded the prior](#page-9-0) [results of 89.3% and 80% reported by Farooq and](#page-9-0) Ramli (2015) [and Naveenkumar & Baskar](#page-9-0) (2019), [respectively.](#page-9-0)

Characterization of [the produced Biodiesel:](#page-9-0) Standard testing: [The produced biodiesel was assessed against](#page-9-0) the [Standard Specification for Biodiesel Fuel Blend](#page-9-0) [Stock \(B100\) for Middle Distillate Fuels, and](#page-9-0) [European Standard for Fatty Acid Methyl Esters](#page-9-0) [\(FAME\) for Use in Diesel](#page-9-0) Engines and Heating [Appliances \(ASTM D6571 and EN 14214 standards\),](#page-9-0) [as tabulated in Table 3. The evaluation indicated an](#page-9-0) acid value of 0.23 mg KOH g^{-1} oil, suggesting [minimal risk of corrosion in the fuel system during](#page-9-0) [engine operation](#page-9-0) *(*Alves *et al., 2019)*. Additionally, [the biodiesel exhibited favorable fuel properties,](#page-9-0) [notably a cetane number of 52.17. With an iodine](#page-9-0) [value of 106 g/100g, the fuel demonstrated excellent](#page-9-0) [oxidative stability, enhancing its storage potential](#page-9-0) [without significant quality deterioration](#page-9-0) *(*Huang *et al., 2022)*[. In summary, the biodiesel generated under](#page-9-0) [optimized conditions exhibited numerous desirable](#page-9-0) [characteristics that met the requirements of EN 14214](#page-9-0) [and ASTM D6571.](#page-9-0)

higher yields. The optimal yield was achieved after 90 mins with a constant catalyst dosage of 1 wt.%, [with a subsequent decline in yield consistent with](#page-9-0) prior findings *(*Weldeslase *et al., 2023)*.

Table 2: Goodness of fit statistics for RSM model representing biodiesel yield.

Parameter	value	
R ²	0.9877	
Adjusted \mathbb{R}^2	0.9771	
Predicted R ²	0.9457	
Mean	73.23	
Standard deviation	1.36	
$C.V.$ %	1.85	
Adequate Precision	37.9748	

Effect of Methanol to Oil Molar Ratio and Reaction Temperature:

Experimental *validation:* [The RSM model achieved a](#page-9-0) [biodiesel yield of 93.2% under optimal conditions:](#page-9-0) [55°C, 1 wt.% catalyst, 90 mins, and a 12:1 methanol](#page-9-0)[oil ratio. This result was obtained through numerical](#page-9-0) [optimization utilizing a genetic algorithm alongside](#page-9-0) [the RSM model. The optimal biodiesel production](#page-9-0) [conditions identified in this study corresponded](#page-9-0) [closely with findings from previous research.](#page-9-0) [Specifically, the 93.2% yield exceeded the prior](#page-9-0) [results of 89.3% and 80% reported by Farooq and](#page-9-0) Ramli (2015) [and Naveenkumar & Baskar](#page-9-0) (2019), [respectively.](#page-9-0)

Characterization of [the produced Biodiesel:](#page-9-0) Standard testing: [The produced biodiesel was assessed against](#page-9-0) the [Standard Specification for Biodiesel Fuel Blend](#page-9-0) [Stock \(B100\) for Middle Distillate Fuels, and](#page-9-0)

[Fig. 4](#page-9-0)b demonstrates the relationship between reaction temperature, biodiesel yield, and methanolto-oil ratio. Increased reaction temperatures facilitate greater biodiesel production. Higher temperatures improve catalyst dispersion, mass transfer, and interactions between catalyst and methanol molecules *(*Yusuff *et al., 2021)*. The peak biodiesel yield of 93.2% was recorded at 55℃, aligning with previous reports of a 93% yield at 57.5℃ using a chicken bone and soybean composite catalyst (Goli and Sahu 2018).

Effect of molar ratio and catalyst concentration on the biodiesel yield[:](#page-9-0)

Experimental *validation:* [The RSM model achieved a](#page-9-0) [biodiesel yield of 93.2% under optimal conditions:](#page-9-0) [55°C, 1 wt.% catalyst, 90 mins, and a 12:1 methanol-](#page-9-0) [European Standard for Fatty Acid Methyl Esters](#page-9-0) [\(FAME\) for Use in Diesel](#page-9-0) Engines and Heating [Appliances \(ASTM D6571 and EN 14214 standards\),](#page-9-0) [as tabulated in Table 3. The evaluation indicated an](#page-9-0) acid value of 0.23 mg KOH g^{-1} oil, suggesting [minimal risk of corrosion in the fuel system during](#page-9-0) [engine operation](#page-9-0) *(*Alves *et al., 2019)*. Additionally, [the biodiesel exhibited favorable fuel properties,](#page-9-0) [notably a cetane number of 52.17. With an iodine](#page-9-0) [value of 106 g/100g, the fuel demonstrated excellent](#page-9-0) [oxidative stability, enhancing its storage potential](#page-9-0) [without significant quality deterioration](#page-9-0) *(*Huang *et al., 2022)*[. In summary, the biodiesel generated under](#page-9-0) [optimized conditions exhibited numerous desirable](#page-9-0) [characteristics that met the requirements of EN 14214](#page-9-0) [and ASTM D6571.](#page-9-0)

[oil ratio. This result was obtained through numerical](#page-9-0) [optimization utilizing a genetic algorithm alongside](#page-9-0) [the RSM model. The optimal biodiesel production](#page-9-0) [conditions identified in this study corresponded](#page-9-0) [closely with findings from previous research.](#page-9-0) [Specifically, the 93.2% yield exceeded the prior](#page-9-0) [results of 89.3% and 80% reported by Farooq and](#page-9-0) Ramli (2015) [and Naveenkumar & Baskar](#page-9-0) (2019), [respectively.](#page-9-0)

Characterization of [the produced Biodiesel:](#page-9-0) Standard testing: [The produced biodiesel was assessed against](#page-9-0) the [Standard Specification for Biodiesel Fuel Blend](#page-9-0) [Stock \(B100\) for Middle Distillate Fuels, and](#page-9-0) [European Standard for Fatty Acid Methyl Esters](#page-9-0) [\(FAME\) for Use in Diesel](#page-9-0) Engines and Heating [Appliances \(ASTM D6571 and EN 14214 standards\),](#page-9-0) [as tabulated in Table 3. The evaluation indicated an](#page-9-0) acid value of 0.23 mg KOH g^{-1} oil, suggesting [minimal risk of corrosion in the fuel system during](#page-9-0) [engine operation](#page-9-0) *(*Alves *et al., 2019)*. Additionally, [the biodiesel exhibited favorable fuel properties,](#page-9-0) [notably a cetane number of 52.17. With an iodine](#page-9-0) [value of 106 g/100g, the fuel demonstrated excellent](#page-9-0) [oxidative stability, enhancing its storage potential](#page-9-0) [without significant quality deterioration](#page-9-0) *(*Huang *et al., 2022)*[. In summary, the biodiesel generated under](#page-9-0) [optimized conditions exhibited numerous desirable](#page-9-0) [characteristics that met the requirements of EN 14214](#page-9-0) [and ASTM D6571.](#page-9-0)

[Fig. 4](#page-9-0)c illustrates the impact of catalyst loading and methanol-to-oil ratio on biodiesel production. Optimization of key variables is indicated by the increase in biodiesel yield when shifting from a lower to a higher methanol-to-oil ratio (1 wt. %, 6:1 to 1 wt. %, 12:1). Biodiesel output improved from 71.9% to 93.2% with the methanol-to-oil ratio change while maintaining a constant catalyst dosage of 1 wt.%. Previous research corroborates the relationship between methanol-oil ratio and catalyst concentration *(*Yahya *et al., 2020)*. A higher concentration of reactants typically enhances collision frequency between reactants and catalyst, affecting active site availability and consequently improving yield *(*Kanda *et al., 2017)* (Chumuang and Punsuvon 2017).

Experimental validation: The RSM model achieved a biodiesel yield of 93.2% under optimal conditions: 55°C, 1 wt.% catalyst, 90 mins, and a 12:1 methanoloil ratio. This result was obtained through numerical optimization utilizing a genetic algorithm alongside [the RSM model. The optimal biodiesel production](#page-9-0) conditions identified in this study corresponded closely with findings from previous research. Specifically, the 93.2% yield exceeded the prior results of 89.3% and 80% reported by Farooq and Ramli (2015) and Naveenkumar & Baskar (2019), respectively.

Characterization of the produced Biodiesel: Standard testing: The produced biodiesel was assessed against the Standard Specification for Biodiesel Fuel Blend Stock (B100) for Middle Distillate Fuels, and European Standard for Fatty Acid Methyl Esters (FAME) for Use in Diesel Engines and Heating Appliances (ASTM D6571 and EN 14214 standards), as tabulated in [Table 3.](#page-9-1) The evaluation indicated an acid value of 0.23 mg KOH g^{-1} oil, suggesting minimal risk of corrosion in the fuel system during engine operation *(*Alves *et al., 2019)*. Additionally, the biodiesel exhibited favorable fuel properties, notably a cetane number of 52.17. With an iodine value of 106 g/100g, the fuel demonstrated excellent oxidative stability, enhancing its storage potential without significant quality deterioration *(*Huang *et al., 2022)*. In summary, the biodiesel generated under optimized conditions exhibited numerous desirable characteristics that met the requirements of EN 14214 and ASTM D6571.

Fig. 4: 3D surface plots showing the effect of (a) catalyst loading and reaction time, (b) methanol/oil ratio and reaction temperature, (c) methanol/oil ratio and catalyst loading on biodiesel yield.

Table 3: Summary of biodiesel properties			
Properties	Biodiesel	ASTM D6751	EN 14214
Acid value	0.23 mg KOH g ⁻¹ oil	< 0.5	${}_{< 0.5}$
FFA	0.115%	Not specified	Not specified
Iodine value	106 mg L^{-1} g Oil	Not specified	< 120
Flash point	154 °C	>130	>130
Pour point	-4° C	< 0	< 0
Cloud point	$2^{\circ}C$	Not specified	Not specified

OSAZUWA, O. U; NEKEKPEMI, D. O.

For further verification, the produced biodiesel was subjected to GC-MS analysis to confirm the formation of methyl esters and analyse other possible impurities present in the sample. Results of the retention time, and molecular formulas of identified components are presented in [Table 4.](#page-10-0) The primary component detected was elaidic acid (27.43%), followed by Z-4-Nonadecen-1-ol acetate (11.86 %), n-Propyl 11-octadecenoate (11.47 %), aniline (8.81 %), and Myristoleic acid (8.56 %) as evident in similar study in literature for the production of biodiesel *(*Ibrahim *et al., 2023)*.

FTIR Analysis: [Fig. 5](#page-10-1) shows the FTIR spectra of the biodiesel produced from used cooking oil. The distinctions in chemical composition between the used vegetable oil and biodiesel are elucidated within

the spectrum range of 1500 to 900 cm−1 *(*Nisar *et al.,* 2017). The peaks at 2922 and 2854 cm⁻¹ signify the stretching vibrations of C-H in the $CH₂$ and $CH₃$ groups, respectively. The notable signal at 1166.67 cm−1 indicates the presence of the carbonyl groups' C=O stretching vibration in triglycerides and esters. The bending vibrations of $CH₂$ and $CH₃$ aliphatic groups are indicated by peaks in the $1600-1400$ cm⁻¹ region, while HCH bending is observed at 1372 cm⁻¹ and CH₂ and scissoring at 1488 cm⁻¹. The stretching vibration of the C-O ester as depicted by peaks between 1263 and 1242 cm^{-1} . Additionally, the stretching vibration of $C = O$ in the ester is confirmed by the peak at 11166.67 cm^{-1} , and C–O stretching vibrations are validated by peaks within the 1500 – 1300 cm−1 range *(*Tariq *et al., 2011)*.

Fig. 5: FTIR spectrum of synthesized biodiesel

Conclusions: A novel heterogeneous catalyst, Nimodified calcium phosphate scum (Ni-CaPs), was successfully developed and applied for biodiesel production from used cooking oil (UCO). The catalyst exhibited optimal surface area, pore volume, and balanced basic-acidic properties, enabling efficient transesterification and esterification reactions. Optimization studies revealed ideal conditions: 90°C temperature, 90-mins reaction time, 1 wt.% catalyst loading, and 12:1 methanol-to-oil ratio, yielding 93.2% biodiesel. The quadratic model showed an excellent fit $(R^2 = 0.9886)$. The synthesized biodiesel met ASTM D6571 and EN 14214 standards, demonstrating suitable fuel properties and cold flow characteristics. The Ni-CaPs catalyst enables efficient conversion of used cooking oil into high-quality biodiesel, supporting a cleaner energy sector and offering scalability for industrial production.

Declaration of Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available upon request from the first author or corresponding author or the co-author.

REFERENCES

- Abubakar, HG; Abdulkareem, AS; Jimoh, A; Agbajelola, OD; Okafor, JO; Afolabi, EA (2016).: Recovery, Utilization, and Environmental Effects Optimization of biodiesel production from waste cooking oil) Optimization of biodiesel production from waste cooking oil Optimization of biodiesel production from waste cooking oil. *Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery Util. Environ. Eff*., 38(16):2355-2361. https://doi.org/10.1080/15567036.2015.1040899
- Abuhassna, H; Al-Rahmi, WM; Yahya, N, Zakaria, MAZM; Kosnin, ABM; Darwish, M (2020). Development of a new model on utilizing online learning platforms to improve students' academic achievements and satisfaction. *Int J Educ Technol High Educ.* 17:1–23. https://doi.org/10.1186/S41239-020-00216- Z/TABLES/3
- Aghabarari, B; Martinez-Huerta, M V (2016). Biodiesel Production Using Calcined Waste Filter Press Cake from a Sugar Manufacturing Facility as a Highly Economic Catalyst. *JAOCS, J Am Oil Chem Soc*. 93:773–779. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11746-016-2829- 2/METRICS

Al-Mawali, KS; Osman, AI; Al-Muhtaseb, AH; Mehta, N; Jamil, F; Mjalli, F; Vakili-Nezhaad, GR; Rooney, DW (2021). Life cycle assessment of biodiesel production utilising waste date seed oil and a novel magnetic catalyst: A circular bioeconomy approach. *Renew Energy*. 170:832– 846.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RENENE.2021.02.027

- Al-Muhtaseb, AH; Osman, AI; Murphin Kumar, PS; Jamil, F; Al-Haj, L; Al Nabhani, A; Kyaw, HH; Myint, MTZ; Mehta, N; Rooney, DW (2021). Circular economy approach of enhanced bifunctional catalytic system of CaO/CeO2 for biodiesel production from waste loquat seed oil with life cycle assessment study. *Energy Convers Manag*. 236:114040. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENCONMAN.2021.114 040
- Alaya, MN; Rabah, MA (2017). Some physicochemical properties and catalytic activity of sulfate ion supported on WO3/SnO2 catalyst. *Arab J Chem*. 10:S439–S449. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ARABJC.2012.10.004
- Alves, SM; Dutra-pereira, FK; Bicudo, TC (2019). Influence of stainless steel corrosion on biodiesel oxidative stability during storage. *Fuel*. 249:73– 79. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUEL.2019.03.097
- Amenaghawon, AN; Evbarunegbe, NI; Obahiagbon, K (2021). Optimum biodiesel production from waste vegetable oil using functionalized cow horn catalyst: A comparative evaluation of some expert systems. *Clean Eng Technol*. 4:100184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clet.2021.100184
- Amenaghawon, AN; Obahiagbon, K; Isesele, V; Usman, F (2022). Optimized biodiesel production from waste cooking oil using a functionalized biobased heterogeneous catalyst. *Clean Eng Technol*. 8:100501. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clet.2022.100501
- Ang, TZ; Salem, M; Kamarol, M; Das, HS; Nazari, MA; Prabaharan, N (2022). A comprehensive study of renewable energy sources: Classifications, challenges and suggestions. *Energy Strateg Rev*. 43:100939. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ESR.2022.100939
- Balajii, M; Niju, S (2019). A novel biobased heterogeneous catalyst derived from Musa acuminata peduncle for biodiesel production – Process optimization using central composite

design. *Energy Convers Manag*. 189:118–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENCONMAN.2019.03.0 85

- Bardhan, P; Deka, A; Bhattacharya, SS, Mandal, M; Kataki, R (2022). Economical aspect in biomass to biofuel production. *Value-Chain Biofuels Fundam Technol Stand*. 395–427. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-824388- 6.00003-8
- Birla, A; Singh, B; Upadhyay, SN; Sharma, YC (2012). Kinetics studies of synthesis of biodiesel from waste frying oil using a heterogeneous catalyst derived from snail shell. *Bioresour Technol*. 106:95–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIORTECH.2011.11.06 5
- Boey, PL; Maniam, GP; Hamid, SA (2011a). Performance of calcium oxide as a heterogeneous catalyst in biodiesel production: *A review. Chem Eng J*. 168:15–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2011.01.009
- Boey, PL; Maniam, GP; Hamid, SA; Ali, DMH (2011b). Utilization of waste cockle shell (Anadara granosa) in biodiesel production from palm olein: Optimization using response surface methodology. *Fuel*. 90:2353–2358. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUEL.2011.03.002
- Boro, J; Thakur, AJ; Deka, D (2011). Solid oxide derived from waste shells of Turbonilla striatula as a renewable catalyst for biodiesel production. *Fuel Process Technol*. 92:2061–2067. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUPROC.2011.06.008
- Catarino, M; Ferreira, E; Soares Dias, AP; Gomes, J (2020). Dry washing biodiesel purification using fumed silica sorbent. *Chem Eng J*. 386:123930. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2019.123930
- Chingakham, C; Tiwary, C; Sajith, V (2019). Waste Animal Bone as a Novel Layered Heterogeneous Catalyst for the Transesterification of Biodiesel. *Catal Letters*. 149:1100–1110. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10562-019-02696-9
- Chitsaz, H; Omidkhah, M; Ghobadian, B; Ardjmand, M (2018). Optimization of hydrodynamic cavitation process of biodiesel production by response surface methodology. *J Environ Chem Eng*. 6:2262–2268. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JECE.2018.02.047
- Chouhan, APS; Sarma, AK (2011). Modern heterogeneous catalysts for biodiesel production: A comprehensive review. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev.* 15:4378–4399. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSER.2011.07.112
- Chumuang, N; Punsuvon, V (2017). Response Surface Methodology for Biodiesel Production Using Calcium Methoxide Catalyst Assisted with Tetrahydrofuran as Cosolvent. *J Chem*. 2017:. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/4190818
- Clark, JH (2003). Mesoporous solids for green chemistry. *Stud Surf Sci Catal*. 146:551–556. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2991(03)80443-3
- El-Gendy, NS; Deriase, SF; Hamdy, A (2014). The Optimization of Biodiesel Production from Waste Frying Corn Oil Using Snails Shells as a Catalyst. *Energy Sources, Part A Recover Util Environ Eff* .36:623–637. https://doi.org/10.1080/15567036.2013.822440
- Elkelawy, M; Bastawissi, HAE; Esmaeil, KK; Radwan, AM; Panchal, H; Sadasivuni, KK; Suresh, M ; Israr, M (2020). Maximization of biodiesel production from sunflower and soybean oils and prediction of diesel engine performance and emission characteristics through response surface methodology. *Fuel*. 266:117072. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUEL.2020.117072
- Farooq, M; Ramli, A (2015). Biodiesel production from low FFA waste cooking oil using heterogeneous catalyst derived from chicken bones. *Renew Energy*. 76:362–368. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2014.11.042
- Furuta, S; Matsuhashi, H; Arata, K (2004). Catalytic action of sulfated tin oxide for etherification and esterification in comparison with sulfated zirconia. *Appl Catal A Gen*. 269:187–191. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APCATA.2004.04.017
- George, PAO; Eras, JJC; Gutierrez, AS; Hens, L; Vandecasteele, C (2010). Residue from sugarcane juice filtration (filter cake): Energy use at the sugar factory. *Waste and Biomass Valorization*. 1:407–413. https://doi.org/10.1007/S12649-010- 9046-2/METRICS
- Goli, J; Sahu, O (2018). Development of heterogeneous alkali catalyst from waste chicken eggshell for biodiesel production. *Renew Energy*. 128:142–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2018.05.048

OSAZUWA, O. U; NEKEKPEMI, D. O.

- Grönman, K; Pajula, T; Sillman, J; Leino, M; Vatanen, S; Kasurinen, H; Soininen, A; Soukka, R (2019). Carbon handprint – An approach to assess the positive climate impacts of products demonstrated via renewable diesel case. *J Clean Prod*. 206:1059–1072. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2018.09.233
- Helrich, K (1990). Official methods of analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists
- Huang, Y; Li, F; Bao, G; Li, M; Wang, H (2022). Qualitative and quantitative analysis of the influence of biodiesel fatty acid methyl esters on iodine value. *Environ Sci Pollut Res*. 29:2432– 2447. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11356-021-15762- W/METRICS
- Ibrahim, H; Bugaje, IM; Nwosibe, PO (2023). Development of fatty acids as feedstocks for biofuels from Gmelina arborea waste leaves. *Appl Res J Sci Technol Int Inst Appl Res*. 4:1–9. https://doi.org/10.47721/ARJST20230401029
- Jairam, S; Kolar, P; Sharma-Shivappa Ratna, R; Osborne, JA; Davis, JP (2012). KI-impregnated oyster shell as a solid catalyst for soybean oil transesterification. *Bioresour Technol*. 104:329– 335. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIORTECH.2011.10.03 9
- Kanagawa, Y (2019). Environmental Health Issues for Railroads. *Encycl Environ Heal*. 513–523. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-409548- 9.11760-9
- Kanda, LRS; Corazza, ML; Zatta, L; Wypych, F (2017). Kinetics evaluation of the ethyl esterification of long chain fatty acids using commercial montmorillonite K10 as catalyst. *Fuel*. 193:265–274. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUEL.2016.12.055
- Khemthong, P; Luadthong, C; Nualpaeng, W; Changsuwan, P; Tongprem, P; Viriya-Empikul, N; Faungnawakij, K (2012). Industrial eggshell wastes as the heterogeneous catalysts for microwave-assisted biodiesel production. *Catal Today*. 190:112–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CATTOD.2011.12.024
- Kouzu, M; Hidaka, JS (2012). Transesterification of vegetable oil into biodiesel catalyzed by CaO: A review. *Fuel*. 93:1–12.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUEL.2011.09.015

- Laosiripojana, N; Kiatkittipong, W; Sutthisripok, W; Assabumrungrat, S (2010). Synthesis of methyl esters from relevant palm products in near-critical methanol with modified-zirconia catalysts. *Bioresour Technol*. 101:8416–8423. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIORTECH.2010.05.07 6
- Lopes, M; Miranda, SM; Alves, JM; Pereira, AS; Belo, I (2019). Waste Cooking Oils as Feedstock for Lipase and Lipid-Rich Biomass Production. *Eur J Lipid Sci Technol*. 121:1800188. https://doi.org/10.1002/EJLT.201800188
- Madai, IJ; Chande Jande, YA; Kivevele, T (2020). Fast rate production of biodiesel from neem seed oil using a catalyst made from banana peel ash loaded with metal oxide (Li-CaO/Fe2(SO4)3). *Adv Mater Sci Eng*. 2020:. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/7825024
- Moradi, G; Mohadesi, M; Hojabri, Z (2014). Biodiesel production by CaO/SiO2 catalyst synthesized by the sol–gel process. *React Kinet Mech Catal*. 113:169–186. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11144-014-0728-9
- Nair, P; Singh, B; Upadhyay, SN; Sharma, YC (2012). Synthesis of biodiesel from low FFA waste frying oil using calcium oxide derived from Mereterix mereterix as a heterogeneous catalyst. *J Clean Prod*. 29–30:82–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2012.01.039
- Naveenkumar, R; Baskar, G (2019). Biodiesel production from Calophyllum inophyllum oil using zinc doped calcium oxide (Plaster of Paris) nanocatalyst. *Bioresour Technol*. 280:493–496. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIORTECH.2019.02.07 8
- Nisar, J; Razaq, R; Farooq, M; Iqbal, M; Khan, RA; Sayed, M; Shah, A; Rahman, I (2017). Enhanced biodiesel production from Jatropha oil using calcined waste animal bones as catalyst. *Renew Energy*. 101:111–119. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RENENE.2016.08.048
- Nurfitri, I; Maniam, GP; Hindryawati, N; Yusoff, MM; Ganesan, S (2013). Potential of feedstock and catalysts from waste in biodiesel preparation: *A review. Energy Convers Manag*. 74:395–402. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENCONMAN.2013.04.0 42

OSAZUWA, O. U; NEKEKPEMI, D. O.

- Okoduwa. IG; Oiwoh, O; Amenaghawon, AN; Okieimen, CO (2024). A biobased mixed metal oxide catalyst for biodiesel production from waste cooking oil: reaction conditions modeling, optimization and sensitivity analysis study. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jer.2024.03.009
- Osazuwa, OU; OOOEOO; ANA (2024). Optimized Biodiesel Production from used Cooking Oil Blend over a Bifunctional Catalyst Composite derived from Concrete Waste and Orange Peels. *J Energy Technol Environ*. 6:219–228. https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.11478928
- Pasae, Y; Tangdilintin, S; Bulo, L; Allo, EL (2020). The Contribution Of Heterogeneous And Homogeneous Catalysts Towards Biodiesel Quality. *J Phys Conf Ser*. 1464:012054. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1464/1/012054
- Shegun, O; Email, K; Ehiaguina, AC; Kevin, OS (2022). Numerical Modelling and Optimization of Bioethanol Concentration Produced from Local Sawdust following Response Surface Methodology. *Glob J Eng Technol Adv*. 2022:1– 012. https://doi.org/10.30574/gjeta.2022.11.2.0073
- Sindhu, R; Pandey, A; Binod, P (2015). Alkaline Treatment. *Pretreat Biomass Process Technol*. 51–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12- 800080-9.00004-9
- Suryaputra, W; Winata, I; Indraswati, N; Ismadji, S (2013). Waste capiz (Amusium cristatum) shell as a new heterogeneous catalyst for biodiesel production. *Renew Energy*. 50:795–799. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RENENE.2012.08.060
- Talebian-Kiakalaieh, A; Amin, NAS; Mazaheri, H (2013). A review on novel processes of biodiesel production from waste cooking oil. *Appl Energy*. 104:683–710. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APENERGY.2012.11.06 1
- Tariq, M; Ali, S; Ahmad, F; Ahmad, M; Zafar, M; Khalid, N; Khan, MA (2011). Identification, FT-IR, NMR (1H and 13C) and GC/MS studies of fatty acid methyl esters in biodiesel from rocket seed oil. *Fuel Process Technol*. 92:336–341. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2010.09.025
- Teo, SH; Islam, A; Ng, CH; Mansir, N; Ma, T; Thomas Choong, SY; Taufiq-Yap, YH (2018). Methoxy-functionalized mesostructured stable

carbon catalysts for effective biodiesel production from non-edible feedstock. *Chem Eng J*. 334:1851–1868. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2017.11.110

- Teo, SH; Rashid, U; Taufiq-Yap, YH (2014). Biodiesel production from crude Jatropha Curcas oil using calcium based mixed oxide catalysts. *Fuel*. 136:244–252. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUEL.2014.07.062
- Van der Bruggen, B (2010). Pervaporation Membrane Reactors. *Compr Membr Sci Eng*. 3:135–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08- 093250-7.00051-7
- Weldeslase, MG; Benti, NE; Desta, MA; Mekonnen, YS (2023). Maximizing biodiesel production from waste cooking oil with lime-based zinc-doped CaO using response surface methodology. *Sci Reports* .2023 131 13:1-14. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-30961-w

- Yahya, S; Muhamad Wahab, SK; Harun, FW (2020). Optimization of biodiesel production from waste cooking oil using Fe-Montmorillonite K10 by response surface methodology. *Renew Energy*. 157:164–172. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RENENE.2020.04.149
- Yusuff, AS; Adeniyi, OD; Olutoye, MA; Akpan, UG (2017). A Review on Application of Heterogeneous Catalyst in the Production of Biodiesel from Vegetable Oils. *J Appl Sci Process Eng*. 4:142–157. https://doi.org/10.33736/JASPE.432.2017
- Yusuff, AS; Gbadamosi, AO; Popoola, LT (2021). Biodiesel production from transesterified waste cooking oil by zinc-modified anthill catalyst: Parametric optimization and biodiesel properties improvementYusuff, A. S., Gbadamosi, A. O., & Popoola, L. T. (2021). Biodiesel production from transesterified . *J Environ Chem Eng*. 9:104955. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JECE.2020.104955
- Zhang, W; Wang, C; Luo, B; He, P; Li, L; Wu, G (2023). Biodiesel production by transesterification of waste cooking oil in the presence of graphitic carbon nitride supported molybdenum catalyst. *Fuel*. 332:126309. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FUEL.2022.126309
- Zhang, Y; Duan, L; Esmaeili, H (2022). A review on biodiesel production using various heterogeneous nanocatalysts: Operation mechanisms and performances. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 158:106356. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIOMBIOE.2022.10635 6