

Fungal Rhizosphere Effects of Cassava (Manihot esculenta), Pawpaw (Carica papaya L.), Fluted Pumpkin (Telfairia occidentalis) and Scent Leaf (Ocimum gratissimum) Plants from Soils at Rumuola, Rivers State, Nigeria

# \*DICK, AA; WEKHE, C

Science Laboratory Technology Department, School of Science and Technology, Captain Elechi Amadi Polytechnic, Rumuola, P.M.B. 5963, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria

> \*Corresponding Author Email: asiton-a.dick@portharcourtpoly.edu.ng \*ORCID: 0000-0001-9545-132X \*Tel: +234 806 413 1848, +234 805 567 3874

> > Co-Author: akpenuchic@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT:** The presence of rhizosphere microorganisms are known to promote plants growth, therefore the objective of this paper was to assess the fungal rhizosphere effects of Cassava (*Manihot esculenta*), pawpaw (*Carica papaya* L.), fluted pumpkin (*Telfairia occidentalis*) and scent leaf (*Ocimum gratissimum*) plants from soils obtained at Rumuola, Obio-Akpor Local Government Area, Rivers State, Nigeria using appropriate standard techniques.Results obtained show that the range of mean rhizosphere count, non-rhizosphere count and rhizosphere effect are as follows;  $1.5x10^7$ cfu/g to  $7.35x10^7$ cfu/g,  $1x10^8$ cfu/g to  $6.60x10^8$ cfu/g, 0.137 to 11.136 for *Manihot esculenta*;  $1.09 \times 10^6$  cfu/g to  $8.5 \times 10^6$  cfu/g,  $1.65 \times 10^5$  cfu/g to  $8 \times 10^6$  cfu/g, 0.34 to 6.606 for *Carica papaya* L.;  $3.25 \times 10^6$  cfu/g to  $9.9 \times 10^6$  cfu/g,  $1.3 \times 10^6$  cfu/g to  $5.3 \times 10^6$  cfu/g, 1.2 to 2.5 for *Telfaria occidentalis*;  $3.6 \times 10^6$  cfu/g to  $7.9 \times 10^6$  cfu/g,  $4.6 \times 10^6$  cfu/g to  $8.4 \times 10^6$  cfu/g, 0.66 to 1.71 for *Ocimum gratissimum*. The fungi isolated from the four plants rhizosphere are *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Candida* spp., *Aspergillus niger*, *Mucor* spp., *Fusarium* spp., *Penicillium* spp. with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Candida* spp. occurring in all the rhizosphere. The high rhizosphere effects agree with the presence of these diverse fungi in the rhizosphere, capable of serving economic importance as regards improved healthy plant growth.

#### DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/jasem.v28i11.55

### License: CC-BY-4.0

**Open Access Policy:** All articles published by **JASEM** are open-access articles and are free for anyone to download, copy, redistribute, repost, translate and read.

**Copyright Policy:** © 2024. Authors retain the copyright and grant **JASEM** the right of first publication. Any part of the article may be reused without permission, provided that the original article is cited.

Cite this Article as: DICK, A. A; WEKHE, C. (2024). Fungal Rhizosphere Effects of Cassava (Manihot esculenta), Pawpaw (Carica papaya L.), Fluted Pumpkin (Telfairia occidentalis) and Scent Leaf (Ocimum gratissimum) Plants from Soils at Rumuola, Obio-Akpor LGA, Rivers State, Nigeria. J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Manage. 28 (11B Supplementary) 3933-3938

**Dates:** Received: 18 September 2024; Revised: 20 October 2024; Accepted: 05 November 2024; Published: 30 November 2024

Keywords: Bio-fertiliser; Fungi; Plant; Rhizosphere Effects; Soil

Cassava (*Manihot esculenta*) plant is an herbaceous perennial woody shrub that can grow up to three metres in tropical regions (Akinpelu*et al.*, 2011). It is a dicotyledonous plant of the family Euphorbiaceae and growing in area between 30° N and 30° N from the equator, hence distribution is all Africa, Asia, Central and South America (Feyisa, 2021). It has leaves which are fingerlike, deeply indented, palmate 3-7 lobed, attached to a slender stem by long petioles; the flowers

are small, greenish-yellow occurring in panicles while the seeds which are formed in capsules explode to distribute the contents upon ripening. The mature cassava plant (12 months old) contains 6%, 44% stem and 50% tuber; the tuber is long, tapered with a firm homogenous flash encased in detachable rind, about 1mm thick, rough and brown on the outside (Aye and Oo, 2010).*Carica papaya* (pawpaw), an evergreen, tree-like herb, 2-10 m tall, typically unbranched, although branched sometimes due to injury, containing white latex in all parts. It has cylindrical stem about 10-30 cm in diameter, hollow with prominent leaf scars and spongy-fibrous tissue. The root system is extensive, spirally arranged leaves and clustered near apex of trunk. Its flowers are tiny, yellowish, shaped like funnel, solitary or clustered in the leaf axils, of 3 types i.e. female, male and hermaphrodite flowers (Orwa *et al.*, 2009).

*Telfairia occiidentalis* Hook. F. (fluted pumpkin) of the family Curcubitaceae which origin is probably the south eastern Nigeria, hence widely distributed among the Igbo tribe, principally around Imo State (Akoroda, 1990), where it has widespread varieties (variants in pod and seed colour, seed and plant vigour, leaf size and their succulence, anthocyanin content of leaves and petioles or shoots, monoecious or dioecious plants) (Chewya and Eyzaguirre, 1999). The leaves of fluted pumpkin are spirally arranged with length of 3-5.5 cm; its fruit is drooping, ellipsoid berry 20 - 50 cm by 40 - 95 cm weighing 10 kg with a compressed ovoid seed, 4.5 cm long either black or brownish red (Grubben and Denton, 2004).

Ocimum gratissimum (scent leaf), an herbaceous plant belonging to the family Labiatae and the genus Ocimum (Nadkarni, 1999), is indigenous to tropical areas, especially in West Africa and India. Ocimum gratissimum, known in different part of the world by various names, and particularly in Nigeria, the Yoruba called it "effinrin-nla", Igbo tribe, "Ahuji" and the Hausas, "Daidoya" (Effaraim et al., 2013). An aromatic, perennial herb, 1-3 m tall, Ocimum gratissimum has an erect stem, round-quadrangular, much branched, glabrous or pubescent, woody at the base, often with epidermis peeling in strips (Bhavani et al., 2019). According to Lawrence (2017), the leaves of the plant measures up to 10 x 5 cm, ovate to ovate-lanceolate, sub-acuminate to acuminate at apex, cuneate and decurrent at its base with a coarsely crenate, serrate margin, pubscent and with dots on both the sides.

Soil is an active living matrix and an important component of the terrestrial ecosystem; in reference to the growth of plant, it is divided into two types, namely rhizosphere soil and non-rhizosphere soil (Olahan *et al.*, 2016). Rhizosphere can be defined as the region of soil surrounding plant roots (Ambreen *et al.*, 2012). This definition encompasses not only the roots and region of nutrient uptake by the roots, but extends into soils by action of root products and the trophic interactions that are affected by these products or by roots (Mahamuni *et al.*, 2012). The rhizosphere has associates with both pathogenic and symbiotic fungi (Sule and Oyeyiola, 2012). They average between  $10^5$ 

and 10<sup>6</sup> organisms per gramme of rhizosphere soil with species of *Saccharomyces*, *Verticillium*, *Rhizopus*, *Acremonium*, Monilia, *Geotrichum*, *Mucor*, *Penicillium*, *Gliocladium*, *Curvularia*, *Aspergillus*, *Trichoderma*, *Rhodotorula*, *Cladosporium*, *Cephalosporium*, *Pythium*, *Botryis*, *Chaetomium*, etc. as the common and important fungi (Sule and Oyeyiola, 2012; Olahan *et al.*, 2016). The nonrhizosphere soil, also called the bulk soil is the soil free of plant roots and are not part of any rhizosphere soil (Olahan *et al.*, 2016).

The microorganisms present in the rhizosphere play important roles by ensuring the ecological fitness of their plant host. This includes plant protection/growth promotion such as altering plant hormones, secretion of organic compounds, increasing abiotic stress tolerance in plants, rhizoremediation and enhancing nutrient availability and uptake and disease control, profound effects on seed germination and seed vigour (Raaijmakers, 2009; Mendes et al., 2013; David, 2014; Olahan et al., 2016), as well as the production of antibiotics, geochemical cycling of minerals and plant colonisation (Peer et al., 2012). Also, exudates from roots such as sugars, organic acid anions or amino acids in large proportion are easily degradable rhizosphere microbes which results in high microbial density and activity in the rhizosphere (Olahan et al., 2017). The objective of this paper is to assess the fungal rhizosphere effects of Cassava (Manihot esculenta), pawpaw (Carica papaya L.), fluted pumpkin (Telfairia occidentalis) and scent leaf (Ocimum gratissimum) plants from soils obtained at Rumuola, Obio-Akpor Local Government Area, Rivers State, Nigeria

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study was carried out in Captain Elechi Amadi Polytechnic, Rumuola, Obio-AkporLocal Government Area, Rivers State, Nigeria.

Five rhizosphere soil samples each of cassava (Manihot esculenta), pawpaw (Carica papaya L.), fluted pumpkin (Telfairia occidentalis) and scent leaf (Ocimum gratissimum) were collected by gently uprooting the plants using sterile shovel. The plants were shaken to remove unwanted soil particles. The soil particles adhered to the roots was transferred to already labelled sterile polyethylene bags for each sample. Also, five soil samples adjacent few centimeters (5cm) away from each cassava, pawpaw, fluted pumpkin and scent leaf plant root was also collected, transferred to already labelled sterile polyethylene bags for each plant and was considered as non-rhizosphere soil, serving as controls. The were carried aseptically samples to the

3934

Biology/Microbiology Laboratory 1, Science Laboratory Technology Department Polytechnic, Rumuolaand processed within 1 hour of collection.

One gramme (1g) from the each soil sample (rhizosphere and non-rhizosphere) was weighed into a test tube containing 9ml of sterile distilled water ( $10^{-1}$ ), from which a ten-fold serial dilution was carried out by pipetting 1ml into several test tubes containing 9ml of sterile distilled water up to  $10^{-5}$ . From  $10^{-5}$  dilution, an aliquot of 0.1ml was plated onto a freshly prepared Potato Dextrose Agar plates. The plates were inoculated in duplicates employing spread plate method using sterile gas rod. The inoculated plates were incubated at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 3 -5 days. Discrete colonies that developed (30-300) were counted and recorded as fungi and estimated using equation 1:

$$cfu/g = \frac{\text{Average number of colonies x dilution factor}}{\text{Volume plated}} (1)$$

The quantitative rhizosphere effect of the plants was calculated using the equation 2 according to Subabarao (2000):

$$R/S = \frac{No.MCR}{No.MCN - R \text{ soil}} \quad (2)$$

Where No. MCR = number of microorganisms per gramme of rizosphere soil; No. MCN-R soil = number of microorganisms per gramme of non-rizosphere soil

Fungi isolates obtained from pure cultures (after subculturing) were identified on the basics of their cultural, morphological and physiological characteristics; this include colour, texture and colonial characteristics on the medium. The isolates were also subjected microscopic examination using wet mount method described by Ogbulie *et al.* (2001) and key to identification of specific species was treated according to Samson *et al.* (1981).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Fungal counts obtained from Manihot esculenta and Carica papaya L. rhizosphere soil, non-rhizosphere soil and rhizosphere effects are presented in Table 1. The fungal counts of *Manihot esculenta* (cassava) rhizosphere are in the following order:  $7.35 \times 10^7$  cfu/g (sample D) >  $5.05 \times 10^7$  cfu/g (Sample A) >  $3.8 \times 10^7 \text{cfu/g} \text{ (sample C)} > 1,7 \times 10^7 \text{cfu/g} \text{ (Sample B)} >$  $1.5 \times 10^7$  cfu/g (Sample E); the non-rhizosphere fungi counts are in the following order:  $6.60 \times 10^8$  cfu/g (Sample D) is  $> 1.85 \times 10^7$  cfu/g (Sample A) is  $> 1.5 \times 10^7$  cfu/g (Sample A) is  $> 10^7$  cfu/g (Sample A  $10^7$  cfu/g (Sample B) is > 1.08x10<sup>8</sup> cfu/g (Sample E) is  $> 1 \times 10^8$  cfu/g (Sample C) while the rhizosphere effects values are in the following order: 11.136 (Sample D) > 2.279 (Sample A) > 1.133 (Sample B) > 0.38(Sample C) > 0.137 (Sample E). The Carica papaya L. (pawpaw) rhizosphere fungal count is in the following order: 8.5 x  $10^6$  cfu/g (Sample A) > 1.7 x  $10^6$  cfu/g (Sample C) > 1.2 x  $10^6$  cfu/g (Sample B) >  $1.12 \times 10^6$  cfu/g (Sample D) >  $1.09 \times 10^6$  cfu/g (Sample E); the non-rhizosphere fungal count is in the following order: 8 x  $10^6$  cfu/g (Sample A) > 5 x  $10^6$ cfu/g (Sample C) > 2.2 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g (Sample D) > 1.5 x  $10^6$  cfu/g (Sample B) > 1.65 x  $10^5$  cfu/g (Sample E) while the rhizosphere effects are in the following order: 6.606 (Sample E) > 5.09 (Sample D) > 1.0625 (Sample A) > 0.6 (Sample B) > 0.34 (Sample C)

 Table 1:Fungal counts obtained from Manihot esculenta (Cassava) and Carica papaya L. (Pawpaw) Rhizosphere Soil, Non-Rhizosphere Soil and Rhizosphere Effects

$\mathbf{F}_{\text{resc}} = 1 \left( \mathbf{C}_{\text{resc}} + \mathbf{c}_{\text{resc}} \right)$										
	Rhizosphere		Fungal Counts (cfu/g) Non- Rhizosphere		Rhizosphere Effects					
	Cassava	Pawpaw	Cassava	Pawpaw	Cassava	Pawpaw				
Α	5.05 x10 <sup>7</sup>	8.5 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	1.85 x 10 <sup>7</sup>	8 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	2.279	1.0625				
B	1.7 x10 <sup>7</sup>	1.2 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	1.5 x10 <sup>7</sup>	1.5x 10 <sup>6</sup>	1.133	0.6				
С	3.8 x 10 <sup>7</sup>	1.7 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	$1 \ge 10^8$	5 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	0.38	0.34				
D	7.35 x 10 <sup>7</sup>	1.12 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	6.60x10 <sup>7</sup>	2.2 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	11.136	5.09				
Е	1.5 x 10 <sup>7</sup>	1.09 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	1.08 x 10 <sup>8</sup>	1.65 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	0.137	6.606				

Key Cfu/g =Colony forming unit per gramme Rhizosphere effect

The fungal counts obtained from *Telfariaoccidentalis* and *Ocimumgratissimum* rhizosphere soil, nonrhizosphere soil and rhizosphere effect are presented in Table 2. The *Telfariaoccidentalis* rhizosphere fungal counts are in the following order: 9.9 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g (Sample E) > 5.85 x10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g (Sample B) > 4.5 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g (Sample D) > 3.55 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g (Sample C) > 3.25 x10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g (Sample A). The non-rhizosphere fungal counts are in the following order: 5.3 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g (Sample E) > 3.9 x10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g (Sample B) > 3.5x10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g (Sample D) > 1.9 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g (Sample C) > 1.3 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g (Sample A). The rhizosphere effect values are in the following order: 2.5(Sample A) > 1.8 (Sample C, E) > 1.5 (Sample B) > 1.2 (Sample D) The rhizosphere fungal counts from *Ocimumgratissimum* are in the following order: 7.9 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g (Sample D) > 7.8 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g (Sample C) > 5.6 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g (Sample A) > 5.0 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g (Sample E) > 3.6 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g (Sample B). The non-rhizosphere fungal counts are in the following order: 8.4 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g (Sample A) > 7.5

DICK, A. A; WEKHE, C.

x  $10^6$  cfu/g (Sample D) > 4.85 x  $10^6$  cfu/g (Sample C) > 4.45 x  $10^6$  cfu/g (Sample B) > 4.6 x  $10^6$  cfu/g (Sample D). The rhizosphere effect values are in the following order: 1.71 (Sample D) > 1.60 (Sample C) > 0.80 (Sample B) > 0.67 (Sample D) > 0.66 (Sample A).

 Table 2: Fungal counts obtained from Telfariaoccidentalis(Fluted Pumpkin) and Ocimumgratissimum (Scent Leaf) Rhizosphere Soil, Non-Rhizosphere Soil and Rhizosphere Effects

Fungal Counts (cfu/g)											
	Rhizosphere		Non- Rhizosphere		Rhizosphere Effects						
	F. Pumpkin	Scent Leaf	F. Pumpkin	Scent Leaf	F. Pumpkin	Scent Leaf					
Α	3.25 x10 <sup>6</sup>	5.6 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	1.3 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	8.4 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	2.5	0.66					
В	5.85 x10 <sup>6</sup>	3.6 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	3.9 x10 <sup>6</sup>	4.45 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	0.16	0.80					
С	3.55 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	7.8 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	1.9 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	4.85 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	1.8	1.60					
D	4.5 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	7.9 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	3.5x10 <sup>6</sup>	4.6 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	1.2	1.71					
Е	9.9 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	5.0 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	5.3 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	7.5 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	1.8	0.67					

*Key:* Cfu/g = Colony forming unit per gramme Rhizosphere effect; F. Pumpkin = Fluted Pumpkin

The characterisation and identification of fungi isolated from the Manihot esculenta (cassava) rhizosphere are Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Candida spp. and Aspergillus niger; Carica papaya L. (pawpaw) Candida are spp., Saccharomycescerevisiae, Mucor spp., Fusarium spp. and Penicillium spp.; from Telfariaoccidentalis (fluted pumpkin) are Saccharomycescerevisiae, Candida spp., Fusarium spp., Mucor spp. and Penicillium spp while from Ocimumgratissimum (scent leaf), Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Fusarium spp., Aspergillus niger and Candida spp. were isolated.

The high fungal counts in the rhizosphere soil may be due to the adequate nutritional status of the soils which are available to the microorganisms; in addition, are the favourable physical chemical properties of the soils (Arotupin and Akinyosoye, 2006). Generally the difference in fungal population between the field from which rhizosphere and non rhizosphere soils were obtained in this study could have been due to variations in plants, age in fields, temperature and pH of the soil (Musyimi et al., 2018). Fungal activity increases with plant age and declines towards, maturity probably due to the plant secreting exudates in reduced quality and quantity that may contain antimicrobial metabolities (Makut and Owolewa, 2011). Also, the ability of fungi to utilize organic constituents from roots as source of energy may contribute to the disparity in population of the two regions (Gaddeya et al., 2012).

The rhizosphere effect obtained in this study are generally high ranging from 0.16 to 11.136; the greater the rhizospheric effect the higher will be the microorganisms. According to Robert (1995) the rhizosphere effect greater than 1 indicate selective stimulation in the rhizosphere zone of root of plant. The varying types and quantities of rhizodeposits have been postulated to act as key factors influencing the density and diversity of the rhizospheric microorganisms (Grayston and Campball, 1996).

The fungal species identified in this study are significant members of rhizosphere mycoflora of popular crops such as cassava, exhibiting great beneficial rhizosphere effects on them (Sule and Oveviola, 2012). Olahan et al. (2016) reported Aspergillus niger and Saccharomyces cerersine from the rhizosphere of cassava. Ahmed et al. (2022) had reported Penicillium and Fusarium in the rhizosphere soil of papaya. Tamarasi et al. (2008) had earlier isolated Aspergillus and Fusarium in the rhizosphere soil of Ocimiumbasilicium while Koricha et al. (2019) reported that Candida and Saccharomyces species are among the dominant yeast isolated from rhizosphere soil. Al-Abasi et al. (2021) reported that yeast could be significant in the rhizosphere of plant especially when the study reveals that Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Candida spp. occurred in all the rhizosphere soil collected. Different plant species host specific microbial communities (Alwathani et al., 2012) and that diversity and composition of the microbial taxa in the rhizosphere can be affected by plant species (Cappuccino and Shenma, 2008), pH and temperature might also have influenced the diversity in microorganisms (Musyimi et al., 2018).

Ahmed *et al.* (2022) reported that soil microflora despite causative of diseases in the crop field, plays an important role in decomposition and contribute to biogeochemical cycling. A broad range of microorganisms in the rhizosphere are capable of promoting plant growth, made possible by their ability to communicate with plants using complex, chemical signals; these chemicals signal compounds include auxins, gibberellins, glycolipids, and cytokinins which are beginning to be fully appreciated with regards to their biotechnological potentials (Obire and Abuda, 2010).

*Conclusion:* The study has confirmed that there is high population of fungi in the rhizosphere soil samples of cassava, pawpaw, fluted pumpkin and scent leaf plants as well as their ability to host numerous and diverse

fungi. The presence of these microorganisms known to be involved in geochemical transformation can be developed to serve as bio-fertilisers as well as improve healthy plant growth.

*Declaration of Conflict of Interest:* The authors declare no conflict of interest.

*Data Availability Statement:* Data are available upon request from the corresponding author.

## REFERENCES

- Ahmed, S; Chowdhury, AN; Dey, AK; Moniruzzaman, M; Kowser, A (2022). Isolation and Identification of Rhizosphere soil fungi from papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) and Eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) at BCSIR Campus in Rayshahi, Bangladesh. Int. J. Sci. Res. Pub. 12(4): 21-26.
- Akinpelu, A; Amamgbo, L; Olojede, A; Oyekale, A (2011). Health Implications of cassava production and consumption. J. Agric. Soc. Res. 11(1): 118-125.
- Akoroda, MO (1990). Ethnobotany of *Telfairia* occidentalis (cucurbitaceae) among Igbos of Nigeria. *Eco. Bot.* 44: 29-39.
- Al-Abbasi, SHA; Al-Majmaei, AAM; Al-Naqib, ATH; Hameed, AM; Al-Samarraie, MQ; Altaef, AH (2021). Isolation and Identification of some fungi from the rhizospheric soil of some wild plants at Samarra University, Iraq. *Cap. J. Envr. Sci.* 19(5): 829-839.
- Alwathnani, AH; Perveen, K; Tahmaz, R; Alhaqbani, S (2012). Evaluation of biological control potential of locally isolated antagonist fungi against *Fusariumoxysporum* under *invitro* and pot conditions. *Afr. J. Microbio. Res.* 6: 312-319.
- Ambreen, A; Hisamuddin, IR; Merajul, Abbasi, S; Rushda, (2012). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: An overview. J. Nat. Prod. Plant Res. 2(1): 19-31.
- Arotupin, DJ; Akinyosoye, FA (2006). Microbiological and physiochemical characteristics of Cassava Cultivated soils. *Nig. J. Microbio.* 1361-1369.
- Aye, TM; Oo, TL (2010). Cassava production and utilization in Myanmar. In: Howeler, RH (ed). A New Future for Cassava in Asia. Proceedings of 8<sup>th</sup> Regional Workshop, held in Vientine, Lao PDR. Oct. 20-24, 2008. Pp. 68-177.

- Cappuccino, GJ; Sherma, N (2008). Microbiology: A Laboratory Manual, 8th ed. San Francisco: Pearson Education.
- Chiweya, JA; Eyzaguirre, PB (1999). The biodiversity of Traditional leafy vegetables. Rome: IPGRI.
- David, H (2014). The Rhizosphere Roots and Everything in Between. David McNear Publication, United Kingdom. Pp. 3-20.
- Effraim, KD; Jacks, TW; Sodipo, OA (2013). Histopathological Studies on the toxicity *O. gratissimum* leave extract on some organs of rabbit. *Afr. J. Biomed. Res.* 6: 21-25.
- Feyisa, AS (2021). Micropropgation of Cassava (manihot esculenta Crantz). *Ext. Rev.* 1(1): 49-57.
- Gaddeya, SPG; Niharika, BP; Kumar, RPK (2012). Isolation and identification of soil mycoflora in different crop fields at Salur Mandal. Adv. Appl. Sci. Res. 3: 2020-2026.
- Grayston, SJ; Campbell, CD (1996). Functional Biodiversity of Microbial Communities in the Rhizosphere of hybrid larch (*Larixeurolepsis*) and silka spuce (*Pieecasitchensis*). *Tree Physiol.* 16: 1031-1038.
- Grubben, GJ; Denton, OA (2004). Plant Resources of Tropical Africa 2 vegetables. PROTA Foundation, Wageningen, Netherlands Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, Netherlands/CTA, Wageningen Netherlands. p668.
- Koricha, AD; Han, D; Bacha, K; Bai, F (2019). Occurrence and Molecular identification of Wild Yeasts from Jimma Zone, South West, Ethiopia. *Micro.* 7(22): 633.
- Lawrence, MB; (2017). Progress in Essential oils: O. gratissimum oil, Perfume. The Open Compl. Med. J. 22: 70-74.
- Mahamuni, SV; Wani, PV; Patil AS (2012). Isolation of phosphate solubilizing fungi from rhizosphere of sugarcane and sugar beet using Tcp&Rp solubilization. Asian J. Biochem. Pharm. Res. 2(1): 237-244.
- Makut, MD; Owolewa, OA (2011). Antibiotic producing fungi present in the soil environment of Keffi metropolis, Nasarawa State of Nigeria. *Trakia J. Sci.* 9: 33-39.

DICK, A. A; WEKHE, C.

- Mendes, R; Garbeva, P; Raaijmaker, JM (2013). The rhizosphere microbiome: significant of plant beneficial, plant pathogenic, and human pathogenic microorganisms. *FEMS Microb. Rev.* 37: 634-663.
- Musyimi, DM; Juma, EOA; George, O (2018). Enumeration and Identification of Rhizospheric Microorganisms of Sugarcane Variety CO 421 IN Kibos, Kisumu County, Kenya. J. Asian Sci. Res. 8(3): 113-127.
- Nadkarni, KM (1999). Indian Material Medical. 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. India: Popular Prakashan Pvt Limited.
- Obire, O; Abuba, H (2010). Bacterial community of the rhizosphere of some plants in Nigeria. J. *Agric. Tech.* 6(3): 429-437.
- Ogbulie, JN; Uwaezuoke, JC; Ogiehor, SI (2001). Introductory Microbiology Practicals (2<sup>nd</sup> Edition). Concave Publishers, Owerri, Nigeria. Pp. 108-113.
- Olahan, GS; Balogun, GY; Oladokun, ED; Musa, KD (2017). Isolation and Identification of Fungi Associated with the Rhizospheres of Some Economic Trees. *Ann. Food Sci. Tech.* 18(4): 696-699.
- Olahan, GS; Sule, IO; Garuba, T; Salawu, YA (2016). Physicochemical and Microbial Analyses of the Rhizosphere and non-rhizosphere soils of *Corchorus olitorus* (Jute). *Sci. World J.* 1-3.

- Orwa, C; Mutua, A; Kindt, R; Jamnadass, R; Anthony, S (2009). Agroforestree Database: A Tree Reference and Selection Guide Version 4.0. <u>http://www.worldagroforestry.org/sites/treedbs/tre</u> <u>edatabases.asp</u>. Downloaded 20/9/2024.
- Peer, MS; Lilia, CC; Kemal, K (2012). Unraveling Plants Microbe Interactions. *Trends in Biotech*. 30(3): 177-184.
- Raaijmakers, JM (2009). The rhizosphere: a playground and battlefield for soilborne pathogens and beneficial microorganisms. *J. Plant Soil* 321: 341- 361.
- Robert, LT (1995). Soil Microbiology. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. N. J. p398.
- Samson, RA; Hoekstra, ES; Van Oorschot, CAN (1981). Introduction to Food-borne Fungi. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. Centralbulreau Voor Schimelcultures, Baarn. p124.
- Subbarao, NS (2000). Soil Microbiology. Oxford and IBH publications. pp. 82-87.
- Sule, IO; Oyeyiola, GP (2012). Fungal population in the root region of cassava cultivar TMS 30572. *World J. Agric. Sci.* 8(1): 73-79.
- Tamilarasi, S; Nanthakumar, K; Karthikeyan, K; Lakshmanaperumalsamy, P (2008). Diversity of root associated microorganisms of selected medicinal plants and influence of rhizomicroorganisms on the antimicrobial property of *Coriandrum sativum*. J. Environ. Bio. 29(1): 127-134.