

Full-text Available Online at <u>https://www.ajol.info/index.php/jasem</u> https://www.bioline.org.br/ja

J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Manage. Vol. 28 (7) 2125-2131 July 2024

Knowledge, Attitude and Practice Concerning Cervical Cancer Screening among Reproductive Age Group Women in Low-Resource Settings in Yenagoa, Bayelsa State, Nigeria

OKABA, EA

Department of Biological Sciences University of Africa, Toru--Orua, Bayelsa State, Nigeria

Corresponding Author Email: okabsmed@gmail.com *ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1722-3481 *Tel: +2348032565768

ABSTRACT: Nigeria has one of the highest rates of cervical cancer morbidity and mortality in Sub-Saharan Africa. Both the human papillomavirus vaccine (HPV) and cervical screening are effective prevention strategies against both HPV infection and cervical cancer. Lack of awareness, limited knowledge, limited decision-making agency, lack of spousal support and stigma are barriers to uptake of these preventive measures. Hence, the objective of this paper was to investigate the knowledge, attitude and practice concerning cervical cancer screening among reproductive age group women in low-resource settings in Okaka and Agudama Communities of Yenagoa Metropolis, Bayelsa State, Nigeria using Pap smear screening of 406 women and a structured questionnaire. Results showed that women who participated in the study were aware of cervical cancer (78.3%; n=318) but many (70.4%; n= 286) were unaware of Pap smears as the screening tests for cervical cancer. Although few of them (45.6%; n = 185) knew about a screening center, out of which 17.6% (n= 32) reported that the screening center was less than 2km away from their residences. There is need for health care professionals, to intensify efforts to increase awareness about cervical cancer screening, and encourage women through the different clinics to use these services. The benefits of screening and early diagnosis of cervical cancer should be emphasized to enhance the utilization of cervical cancer screening services.

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/jasem.v28i7.25

Open Access Policy: All articles published by **JASEM** are open-access articles and are free for anyone to download, copy, redistribute, repost, translate and read.

Copyright Policy: © 2024. Authors retain the copyright and grant **JASEM** the right of first publication with the work simultaneously licensed under the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC-BY-4.0) License**. Any part of the article may be reused without permission, provided that the original article is cited.

Cite this Article as: OKABA, E. A. (2024). Knowledge, Attitude and Practice Concerning Cervical Cancer Screening among Reproductive Age Group Women in Low-Resource Settings in Yenagoa, Bayelsa State, Nigeria. *J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Manage.* 28 (7) 2125-2131

Dates: Received: 21 May 2024; Revised: 17 June 2024; Accepted: 23 June 2024 Published: 02 July 2024

Keywords: Cervical Cancer; Human Papilloma Virus; Pap Smear; Reproductive Aged Women.

Cervical cancer is a cancer of the cervix, the organ connecting the uterus and the vagina. It is predominantly caused by human papilloma virus (HPV), which is a sexually transmittable infectioncausing pathogen. Therefore, effective interventions on prevention of HPV infections can prevent cervical cancer. Worldwide, cervical cancer is the fourth most common cancer in women. Approximately 570,000 cases of cervical cancer and 311,000 deaths from the disease occurred in 2018 (WHO, 2022). In developing countries cervical cancer remains the second most common cancer in women (Catarino. *et al; 2015*) Cervical cancer incidence and mortality highlight the great disparities that exist between developed and developing countries (Beddoe, 2019). Analysis of data from the Global Cancer Observatory 2018 database showed that the age-standardized incidence rate (ASIR) and age-standardized mortality rate (ASMR) of countries in the very high human development index (HDI) tier were 9.6 per 100 000 women and 3.0 per 100 000 respectively, while in countries in the low HDI tier, ASIR was 26.7 per 100 000 and ASMR, 20.0 per 100 000 (Arbyn *et al; 2020*). High-income countries have experienced a steady decline in incidence and mortality from cervical cancer, which is attributed to well-organized screening programs and

Corresponding Author Email: okabsmed@gmail.com *ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1722-3481 *Tel: +2348032565768

infrastructure that provide appropriate follow-up and treatment (Beddoe, 2019).

According to the Global Strategy for cervical cancer elimination adopted in 2020 by the World Health Assembly, every country should meet the 90-70-90 targets by 2030 i.e. "90% of girls fully vaccinated with the HPV vaccine by the age of 15, 70% of women screened using a high-performance test by the age of 35, and again by the age of 45, 90% of women with pre-cancer treated and 90% of women with invasive cancer managed" (WHO, 2021). According to this strategy, "all countries must reach and maintain an incidence rate of below 4 per 100 000 women in order to eliminate cervical cancer" (WHO, 2021). In Nigeria however, the age standardized rates for cervical cancer is much higher, at 36.0 per 100,000 (Jedy-Agba 2012), and like several developing countries, uptake of cervical cancer screening is low (Balogun et al; 2012) and many cases of cervical cancer present late, with attendant complications and mortality (Awofeso et al; 2018). In Nigeria, about 12,075 new cases of cervical cancer are diagnosed annually and about 7,968 deaths from cervical cancer occur annually (ICO/IARC, 2021). It is projected that by the year 2025, cervical cancer deaths in Nigeria would rise by 63% and 50% for women aged ≤ 65 and > 65 years respectively (Ifediora, 2019).

In Nigeria, the cervical cancer control program is not well developed. Opportunistic screening is being practiced in hospitals when women present with gynecological complaints. Cervical cancer screening is available in government tertiary hospitals, which are only a few in each State of the country. A few government owned secondary health facilities can provide cervical cancer screening. Some private hospitals and diagnostic laboratories also provide cervical cancer screening services.

Government and Non-governmental organizations infrequently organize cervical cancer screening outreaches in communities and sometimes in slum areas. Cervical cancer disproportionately affects women of low socioeconomic status. Poverty along with other socio-cultural practices such as early marriage and high parity have been identified as factors that increase women's vulnerability to cervical cancer (Tadesse, 2015).

Women residing in urban slums who often have low levels of education and income are more likely to have less awareness of cervical cancer and its prevention which in turn may lead to inadequate screening (Tadesse, 2015). Women in low resource settings also often have poor access to healthcare (Mariani, 2017). Though many intervention studies carried out to improve cervical cancer screening have shown increased uptake rates (Mishra, 2008) some did not achieve their aim. (Adamu *et al*, 2012). These studies were only able to improve knowledge of cervical cancer screening, but this did not translate to improved practice (Wright *et al*, 2011).

Community-based interventions to improve uptake of cervical cancer screening will benefit from initial situation analysis to assess opportunities and threats to successful intervention. Understanding а the perspectives of the community members themselves about screening for cancer of the cervix would be a good place to start as this forms an important aspect of community participation. Community participation refers to the involvement of people in a community in projects and programs to solve their problems (European Union, 1999). The community can participate during the needs assessment, planning, mobilizing, training, and implementation (European Union, 1999). Community participation in the form of community conversations is shown to empower communities by allowing them to identify challenges and ways of solving them (Mutale et al, 2017). Hence, the objective of this paper was to investigate the knowledge, attitude and practice concerning cervical cancer screening among reproductive age group women in low-resource settings in Okaka and Agudama Communities of Yenagoa Metropolis, Bayelsa State, Nigeria

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Study Design: This study utilized a descriptive crosssectional survey design. Participants were reproductive women, aged 15-49, residing in Yenagoa Local government area of Bayelsa State in Nigeria who consented to take part in the study

Study setting: Yenagoa is a coastal settlement in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria. It is located within latitudes $4-55^{0}$ and $5-02^{0}$ north and longitudes $6-15^{0}$ and $6-25^{0}$ east. Yenagoa is the administrative headquarters of Bayelsa State with a population density of 742 persons per sq. km). It inhabitants are majorly Izon with lesser population of Hausa, Ibo and other tribes. The inhabitants in this area are mostly involved in trading, teaching, farming, artisanship and civil services.. Pap smear services are only available in few public healthcare facilities in mostly among tertiary hospitals. Some secondary and tertiary level private hospitals and several diagnostic centres across the state also provide pap smear services at an expensive rate.

The study was carried out in two slum communities in Yenagoa- Agudama community and Okaka community. Both communities are characterized by poor housing conditions and a lack of basic social amenities like wholesome pipe-borne water, good drainage, and adequate sanitation and women who reside in both communities are mostly traders and have low income. This research was carried out from January, 2024 - April, 2024

Selection of participants: Two slums were selected from all the identified slums in the two communities. In each slum, participants were purposively selected. The targeted population for this study comprises of women of reproductive age between 15 and 49 years of age in Yenagoa LGA, Bayelsa state. Women who did not fit these criteria were excluded from the study. The eligibility criteria were women aged 19–54 years who had resided in one of the two selected slums for at least one year and who were married/cohabiting or were sexually active.

Sample size and Technique: The sample size for this study was determined using a standard formula, Taro-Yamane formula: n=N/[1+N (e) 2]. For a cross sectional study, where n= sample size of adjusted population, N= population size and e=accepted level of error taking alpha as 0.05. The total number of women in the LGA, based on Nigeria's National Census (2006) was 77,523. Substituting this figure in the formula, a total of sample 398 was obtained. This was increased to 450 since convenience sampling was used to identify the respondents. For this study, two communities (Okaka community and Agudama community) in the LGA were selected by simple balloting. The houses in each selected community were numbered and systematic random sampling was adopted to select households where women, who were 15 years of age or older and who consented, were interviewed until the sample size had been reached. A total of 453 women were met in the selected households, out of which 406 who consented to participate in the study were interview. This constituted a 89.6% response rate.

Study Instruments: Structured questionnaire was employed for this study. The structured questionnaire was developed by the researchers in English and translated into the Izon local language for women with issues in reading and writing.

The questionnaire comprised three sections, which gathered information about respondents' sociodemographic characteristics; knowledge on cervical cancer and screening tests; access to and utilization of pap smear screening tests and perceived barriers influencing the uptake of cervical screening services. *Validity of the research Instruments*: The validity of the research instrument (questionnaires) was established through face and content validity criteria. The questionnaire was presented to a senior colleague, who made the necessary corrections. This process was to ensure the reliability and validity of the instruments.

Data collection: This study data was collected using structured questionnaires which was be administered by the researchers to 453 respondent using simple random sampling technique. Interested individuals' consent were gained and the questionnaires administered to each respondent to fill and retrieved back immediately for analysis. The researchers used the local language to assist respondents who encountered difficulties to read or write, while those respondents who could read and write completed the questionnaires by themselves. A total of 453 questionnaires were distributed but 406 were filled and retrieved back for analysis.

Data Analysis: The data collected through the structured questionnaire were manually sorted out, before being subjected to computer analysis. The results of participants' according to the questionnaire were analyzed using frequency distribution table and charts. The research analysis was done using SPSS, Version 2.0. Frequency, percentage and charts were used as a source of data representation.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Socio-Demographic Characteristics: The sample (N=406) was predominantly the Izon tribe (94.6%; n=384). The respondents were within the age range of 15–50 years with a mean age of 43 and a standard deviation of 7 years. Of the respondents 62% (n=252) were married within the age range of 22-26, with a mean age at marriage of 25 and a standard deviation of 3 years. Most respondents (87.8%; n=356) were married, (32.9%; n=134) had two children and just as many, 32.9% (n=134) had three children. Other demographic data is displayed in table 4.1 below.

Awareness of cervical cancer: Out of the respondents, (78.3%; n =318) had heard about cervical cancer. As many as 49.3% (n=200) of the respondents reported that cervical cancer can be prevented, while only 29.6% (n=120) claimed to have heard of cervical cancer screening (pap smear). Most (34.2%; n=95) of these women who had heard about cervical cancer, did so through the media, while 55.8% (n=155) heard about it through the hospital's health care personnel. Only a few respondents (10.0%; n=28) heard about cervical cancer from their friends.

Table 1: Socio-Den Characteristics	Frequency	Percentage
Age range		
10 - 20	10	2.5
21 - 25	06	1.5
26 - 30	55	13.5
31 - 40	61	15.0
41 - 50	132	32.5
51 - 55	142	35.0
Marital status		
Single	46	11.3
Married	294	72.4
Divorced	66	16.3
Age at marriage		
2-8	58	14.2
9 -15	142	35.0
16 - 22	178	44.0
23 - 30	28	6.8
Religion		
Christianity	300	73.9
Islam	86	21.2
Traditional	20	4.9
Tribe		
Izon	330	81.3
Yoruba	22	5.4
Igbo	40	9.9
Hausa	14	3.4
Level of Education		
No Formal Education	24	5.9
Primary Education	108	26.6
Secondary Education	232	57.2
Tertiary Education	42	10.3
No of Children		
One	58	14.3
Two	130	32.0
Three	130	32.0
Four	68	16.7
Five	20	5.0
Employment Status	215	50 F
Employed Full-time	215	53.5
Employed part-time	100	25.5
Unemployed	16	4.5
Student	65	16.5

Question	Frequency	Percentage		
Have you ever heard of cervical cancer?				
Yes	318	78.3		
No	88	21.7		
If yes, where did you get an information about cervical				
cancer?	-			
Media	95	34.2		
Hospital or Health	155	55.8		
Personnel				
Friends	28	10.0		
Can cervical cancer	be prevented?			
Yes	200	49.3		
No	206	50.7		
Have you heard of Pap smears?				
Yes	120	29.6		
No	286	70.4		

Respondent's knowledge of cervical cancer: The respondents knowledge was measured using 13, every correct answer was given a score of 1, while the wrong answer was given a score of zero. Therefore, a total score of thirteen was obtainable. Scores between 1 and 6 were rated as indicating poor knowledge and scores

between 7 and 13 were rated as indicating a good level of knowledge, as summarized in table 3, there was an agreement by 49.5% (n=201) of the women that cervical cancer is an abnormal growth of the mouth of the uterus that it could spread to other parts of the body if not detected early.

Table 3: Respondents' knowledge about cervical cancer, risk factors and prevention (N=406)

factors and	factors and prevention (N=406)					
Statement	True	False	Total			
Cervical cancer is a	230	176	406 (100)			
disease that affects the	(56.7)	(43.3				
cervix which is the mouth						
of the uterus						
Cervical cancer is an	201	205	406 (100)			
abnormal growth of the	(49.5)	(50.5)				
mouth of the uterus						
(cervix) that could spread						
to other parts of the body if						
not detected early						
Irregular/heavy per	122	284	406 (100)			
vaginal bleeding when not	(30.0)	(70.0)				
menstruating, after						
intercourse or after						
menopause is one of the						
symptoms of cervical						
cancer	2.12	1.44	10.5 (1.00)			
Multiple sexual partners is	242	164	406 (100)			
one of the factors for	(59.6)	(40.4)				
cervical cancer	244	1.0	406 (100)			
Cervical cancer is mainly	244	162	406 (100)			
caused by a virus (Human Papilloma Virus)	(60.1)	(39.9)				
Cervical cancer can affect	240	166	406			
	(59.2)	(40.8)	406 (100.0)			
any sexually active female.	(39.2)	(40.8)	(100.0)			
Early sexual intercourse is	188	218	406			
one of the risk factors for	(46.3)	(53.7)	(100.0)			
cervical cancer.	(40.3)	(33.7)	(100.0)			
Women with risk for	178	228	406			
cervical cancer can be	(43.8)	(56.2)	(100.0)			
identified through blood	(45.0)	(30.2)	(100.0)			
and saliva test						
Limiting sexual partners to	254	152	406			
one predisposes to cervical	(62.6)	(37.4)	(100.0)			
cancer.	(0=10)	(2.1.1)	()			
Pap smear is the screening	164	242	406			
test for cervical cancer	(40.4)	(59.6)	(100.0)			
Cervical cancer can be	178	228	406			
prevented through early	(43.8)	(56.2)	(100.0)			
screening and treatment	()	(= = =)	()			
Cervical cancer can be	244	162	406			
prevented by a vaccine	(60.1)	(39.9)	(100.0)			
	, í	. /	, ,			

Also, 70% (n=284) of the women disagreed that irregular/heavy vaginal bleeding was a symptom of cervical cancer; while 59.6% (n= 242) agreed that multiple sex partners could be a risk factor for developing cervical cancer; and 59.6% (n=242) disagreed that a Pap smear is the screening test for cervical cancer. In summary, the knowledge score by the respondents showed that 56.7% (n=230) had poor knowledge, while 43.3% (n=176) had good knowledge about cervical cancer and prevention

strategies. The younger women were more knowledgeable than the older women. This study therefore concluded that the age of women influenced their knowledge about cervical cancer and participation in screening services. That is, the younger women were more knowledgeable about cervical cancer and screening than the older ones.

Access and utilization of screening facilities: As it was seen from table 4, more than half of the respondents (54.5%; n= 221) reported being unaware of any cervical cancer-screening center and few of them (45.6%; n = 185) knew about a screening center, out of which 17.6% (n= 32) reported that the screening center was less than 2km away from their residences.

Barriers to Cervical cancer screening: About 70.2% (n=285) of the women agreed that a lack of information about the screening center was one of the reasons why women did not use cervical screening services. Health workers' attitudes posed a major barrier to screening for 57.6% (n=234) of the respondents as seen from table 4

Table 4: Access and Utilization of Cervical Cancer Screening

Services							
Respondents'	Frequency	Percentage					
utilization of screening							
facilities							
Are you aware of any cervical cancer screening							
center in Yenagoa LGA?							
Yes	185	45.6					
No	221	54.4					
Distance of screening	center to	participants'					
residence							
< 2km	32	17.6					
2 - 5km	46	24.9					
-10km	42	23.1					
>10km	20	11.1					
>15km	95	23.3					
Do you think distance is a barrier to cervical cancer							
screening test?							
Yes	366	90.1					
No	40	9.9					
Do you think lack of	information	of screening					
services and center is a barrier for women in							
accessing cervical cancer screening services?							
Yes	268	66					
No	138	34					
Do you think the cervical cancer screening test is							
costly?		0					
Yes	370	91.1					
No	36	8.9					
Do you think attitude of health workers can pose as							
a major barrier to screening services?							
Yes	234	57.6					
No	172	42.4					

A total of 406 participants of reproductive age in Okaka and Agudama communities in Yenagoa local government, Bayelsa state was questioned for this study. The respondents were all females. 26.6% of the participants had primary education while majority of the participants (57.2%) had secondary education, 10.3% had tertiary education and 5.9% had no formal education. The level of awareness of cervical cancer displayed by women in this study was in line with the work of Awodele and Co (2011) who found that most women were aware of cervical cancer and risk factors. The results, however, do not support those of Balogun et al. (2012). Who reported low levels of awareness of cervical cancer and risk factors? The low level of awareness of the Pap smear as a screening method reported in this study agreed with those of Adanus (Adanu, 2003). The study's findings did not support reports by Al Thani et al., (2012) that mass media remained the major source of information on cervical cancer prevention in Honduras as the study showed that the major source of information about cervical cancer was from hospitals and health care workers. Most respondents lacked knowledge about cervical cancer and its prevention, agreeing with findings reported in Nigeria by Nwankwo et al, (2010) and those of Al Thani et al., (2012) in Qatar, who reported that women had poor knowledge about cervical cancer, screening services and HPV. The level of knowledge shown by women in this study, however, disagreed with the findings of Mutyaba, (2006), who found that 83% of the women had satisfactory knowledge about cervical cancer screening. Most women in this study had never been screened for cervical cancer and about half of them did not know of a cervical cancer-screening center. This finding supports the study finding reported by Lyimo and Beran, (2012) in Owerri in Nigeria, where 52.8% of participants were aware of screening facilities. This result was similar to the finding which studies showed that the level of awareness of cervical screening and the level of uptake among respondents were low. This study identified some barriers that might influence the utilization of cervical cancer screening services. Some women in this study reported cervical cancer screening to be embarrassing, in contrast to the report of Ibekwe and co-workers (2011) that 68% of women believed that cervical cancer screening was not embarrassing. The finding on lack of awareness of cervical screening as one of the major barriers to uptake of screening in this study had also been reported by Kamphinda-Banda, who reported that the main barrier to cervical cancer screening was women's lack of knowledge and information about cervical cancer and screening and these service sites. There was a significant association between the women's knowledge of cervical cancer and prevention but their ages disagree with the report by Al Thani et al., (2012) which showed that older women were more knowledgeable about cervical cancer and screening services than younger women.

Also, this study disagreed with findings that screening was higher among women aged 40 and older compared with younger women as reported by Al Thani *et al.*, (2012) who reported that more women aged 40 and older used Pap smear services than younger women.

Conclusion: The cognizance of cervical cancer is the fundamental responsibility of medical professionals in order to detect, diagnose and treat at early stage. Health workers attitude towards awareness creation and information dissemination should be improved with continuous trainings. Dissemination and better awareness creation of cervical cancer, should not only lie with the medical professionals' role, but also everyone including male and female members of the community. Rural women in Nigeria required more information on cervical cancer and its prevention. Mass media was the major source of cervical screening information, followed by health care workers. Health professionals should provide preventive information at various clinics. Continuous reinforcement of educational information on cervical cancer and screening is a priority to increase uptake of cervical cancer screening services, early detection of cervical lesions and effective treatment.

Declaration of Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available upon request from the corresponding author.

REFERENCE

- Adanu, R.M.K. (2002). Cervical cancer knowledge and screening in Accra, Ghana. Journal of Women's Health Gender Based Medicine, 11(6):487–88.
- Al Thani, A; Eljack, A; Al Thani, M; and Salama, R.E. (2012). Impact of health education on utilization of cervical cancer screening services among females working in secondary schools in Doha. *Middle East Journal of Family Medicine*; 10(4):10–9.
- Arbyn, M; Weiderpass, E; Bruni, L; de Sanjosé, S; Saraiya, M; Ferlay, J (2020). Estimates of incidence and mortality of cervical cancer in 2018: a worldwide analysis. *Lancet Glob Health*. Feb;8(2):e191–203.
- Awodele, O; Adeyomoye, A.A.A; Awodele, D.F; Kwashi, V; Awodele, I.O; and Dolapo, D.C. (2011). A study on cervical cancer screening amongst nurses in Lagos University Teaching

Hospital, Lagos, Nigeria. Journal of Cancer Education.

- Awofeso, O; Roberts, A; Salako, O; Balogun, L; Okediji, P. (2018). Prevalence and pattern of latestage presentation in women with breast and cervical cancers in Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Nigeria. *Niger Med. J.* 59(6):74.
- Ayinde, O.A; and Omigbodun, A.O. (2003). Knowledge, attitudes and practices related to prevention of cancer of the cervix among female health workers in Ibadan. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 23(1): 59–62.
- Balogun, MR; Odukoya, OO; Oyediran, MA; and Ujomu PI. (2012). Cervical Cancer awareness and preventive practices: a challenge for female urban slum dwellers in Lagos, Nigeria. *Afr J Reprod Health*: 16:75–82.
- Balogun, MR; Odukoya, OO; Oyediran, MA; and Ujomu, P.I. (2012). Knowledge of cervical cancer and its risk factors among women residing in two urban slums in Lagos, Nigeria. Nigerian Medical Practitioners; Vol. 61 No. 5.
- Beddoe, AM (2019). Elimination of cervical cancer: challenges for developing countries. *E-cancer*. 13(975):1–6.
- Begum, S; Naik, DD; Nair, S; Iddya, U; Mali, BN; and Keskar, PS. (2014). Mobilising Women from a Low Income Community to Attend Cervical Cancer Screening Camps: Insights from a Study in an Urban Slum of Mumbai. *Gynecol Obstet*. (1):1–4. doi: 10.4172/2161-0932.1000197.
- Catarino, R; Petignat, P; Dongui, G; Vassilakos, P. (2015). Cervical cancer screening in developing countries at a crossroad: Emerging technologies and policy choices. *World J Clin Oncol.*;6(6):281–90.
- European Union. Community participation. (1999). p.177–88. Available from: <u>https://ec.europa.eu/echo/files/evaluation/watsan2</u> 005/annex_files/WEDC/es/ES12CD.pdf (accessed 12/06/2022).
- Ezem, B.U. (2007). Awareness and uptake of cervical cancer screening in Owerri, South-East Nigeria. Annals of African Medicine; 6(3):94–8.

- Ibekwe, C.M; Hoque, M.E; and Ntuli-Ngcobo, B. (2011). Perceived barriers of cervical cancer screening among women attending Mahalapye District Hospital. *Botswana iMedPub J*.
- ICO/IARC (2021). Information Centre on HPV and Cancer. Human Papillomavirus and Related Diseases Report, Nigeria. Available from: <u>https://hpvcentre.net/statistics/reports/NGA.pdf</u> (accessed 01/05/2022).
- Ifediora CO (2019). Re-thinking breast and cervical cancer preventive campaigns in developing countries: the case for interventions at high schools. BMC Public Health; 1–8.
- Jedy-Agba, E; Curado, MP; Ogunbiyi; Oga, E; Fabowale, T; Igbinoba, F. (2012). Cancer Incidence in Nigeria A Report from Populationbased Cancer Registries. *Cancer Epidemiol.*;36:e271–8.
- Lyimo, F.S. and Beran, T.N. (2012). Demographic, knowledge, attitudinal and accessibility factors associated with uptake of cervical cancer screening among women in a rural district of Tanzania. Three policy implications. *BioMed Central Public Health*; 13.
- Mariani, G; Kasznia-Brown, J; Paez, D; Mikhail, MN; Salama, DH; and Bhatla, N (2017). Improving women's health in low-income and middle-income countries. Part I: Challenges and priorities. *Nucl Med Commun*; 38(12):1019–23.
- Mishra, SI; Luce, PH; and Baquet, CR (2008). Increasing pap smear utilization among Samoan women: results from a community based participatory randomized trial. *J Heal Care Poor Underserved*; 6(9): 2166–71.
- Mutale, W; Masoso, C; Mwanza, B; Chirwa, C; Mwaba, L; and Siwale. (2017). Exploring community participation in project design: application of the community conversation approach to improve maternal and newborn health in Zambia. *BMC Pub. Health.* 17(1):277.

- Mutyaba, T; Mmiro, F.A; and Weiderpass, E. (2006). Knowledge, attitudes, and practices on cervical screening among medical workers of Mulago Hospital, Uganda. *BioMed Central Medical Education*; 6(13):1–4.
- Nwankwo, K.C; Aniebue, U.U; Aguwa, E.N; Anarado, A.N; and Agunwah, E. (2010). Knowledge attitudes and practices of cervical cancer screening among urban and rural Nigerian women: a call for education and mass screening. *European Journal of Cancer Care*; 20(3): 362-7.
- Tadesse SK (2015). Socio-economic and cultural vulnerabilities to cervical cancer and challenges faced by patients attending care at Tikur Anbessa Hospital: a cross sectional and qualitative study. *BMC Womens Health.* 15: 1–12.
- WHO. Cervical cancer. 2022. Available from: https://www.who.int/cancer/prevention/diagnosisscreening/cervical-cancer/en/ (accessed 12/05/2022).
- WHO (2021). Cervical Cancer Elimination Initiative. Available from: <u>https://www.who.int/initiatives/cervical-cancer-</u> <u>elimination-initiative</u>. (Accessed 12/06/2022).
- World Health Organization (2021). Global strategy to accelerate the elimination of cervical cancer as a public health problem and its associated goals and targets for the period 2020–2030. Available from: <u>https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240</u> 014107 (2021). (Accessed 12/06/2022).