



Evaluating Water Quality of Lower Omo River and the Ethiopian part of Lake Turkana, Southern Ethiopia

*¹ABIY, AK; ¹GIRMA, TY; ¹SORSA, S; ²YOHANNES, SB

¹Biology Department, Hawassa University, Hawassa City, 005, Sidama Regional State, Ethiopia

²Department of Environmental Health, Hawassa University Referral, Hawassa City, 1409, State, Ethiopia

*Corresponding Author Email: andemoabiy@yahoo.com; Tel: +251912686422

Co-Authors Email: johnseifu80@gmail.com; girmati@yahoo.com; sotasorsa@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: The study's surface water bodies, which include the lower Omo River and Lake Turkana in Ethiopia, are the most significant supplies of water for human activities, but they are also severely stressed by environmental factors and are in danger due to human activity. The physicochemical parameters were determined using standard analytical procedures in the Laboratory. The mean BOD₅ values obtained in this study were 16.268±1.47 mg/l and 16.28 ±1.133 mg/l in the upstream and in the downstream respectively. The COD value was higher in the River(upstream) (mean 376.06 ±130.45mg/L) than in the Lake (downstream) (mean 136.00± 41.52 mg/L).The mean fluoride ion level in the River were 0.89 ±0.0135 while that of the Lake was 2.026±0.064mg/l. The mean concentration of total nitrogen were 8.938±1.327mg/L) and 17.84 ± 4.0083mg/L) in River and Lake respectively .The value for PO₄⁻³ was 1.866 ± 0.625mg/L in the River (LOR) while in Lake (ELT) , the concentration was 5.108±0.975mg/L . Mean concentrations for NH₃ were 0.54 ±0.361and 1.354 ± 0.655 in the river and lake respectively. The finding of Water quality index (WQI) revealed that the water quality status was very poor and unsuitable for drinking in the lower Omo River and Omo Delta. Hence monitoring the effluent standards from the upstream industries, managing the waste disposal system in the towns along the river side are vital to protect the freshwater from further pollution.

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/jasem.v28i1.21>

Open Access Policy: All articles published by **JASEM** are open-access articles under **PKP** powered by **AJOL**. The articles are made immediately available worldwide after publication. No special permission is required to reuse all or part of the article published by **JASEM**, including plates, figures and tables.

Copyright Policy: © 2024 by the Authors. This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC-BY- 4.0)**license. Any part of the article may be reused without permission provided that the original article is cited.

Cite this paper as: ABIY, A. K; GIRMA, T. Y; SORSA, S; YOHANNES, S. B. (2024). Evaluating Water Quality of lower Omo River and the Ethiopian part of Lake Turkana, Southern Ethiopia. *J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Manage.* 28 (1) 187-194

Dates: Received: 10 December 2023; Revised: 11 January 2024; Accepted: 21 January 2024 Published: 30 January 2024

Keywords: Omo River; physicochemical parameters; Surface water; Water quality index.

Fresh water is a major issue for humanity because it is directly tied to human interests. Surface water bodies are the primary sources of water for human activities, but miserably, they are suffering from serious. In Ethiopia, river monitoring is not sufficiently extensive to give the data required for managing the quality of the water and sediment (Zinabu *et al.*, 2019). The Omo-Turkana Basin extends over a sizable area in southwestern Ethiopia and Northern Kenya (Feibel, 2011; Velpuri *et al.*, 2012. The 760 km long river flows south before reaching Lake Turkana in the lowlands at an altitude of 365 meters (CSA, 2017, Wakjira and Getahun, 2017).It typically receives up to

2,000 mm of precipitation per year (FAO, 2003, UNEP, 2010).Recently, the lower Omo basin has experienced a rapid development of industry, urbanization, and intensive agriculture (use of agrochemicals) in its upper stream part which drains in to the delta (UNEP, 2010; Wakjira and Getahun, 2017) have already resulted in changes to the environment of the River delta and the freshwater water chemistry (Ojwang *et al.*, 2010; Avery, 2012).This could attribute to the pollution of fresh water ecosystem. However, river monitoring in Ethiopia is not far-reaching to endow with information for freshwater quality management (Zinabu *et al.*,

*Corresponding Author Email: andemoabiy@yahoo.com; Tel: +251912686422

2019). Hence, this paper aims to evaluate the water quality of the lower Omo River and the Ethiopian part of Lake Turkana, Southern Ethiopia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Description of the study area: The lower Omo River (LOR) and the Ethiopian part of Lake Turkana (ELT) are located in south Omo zone, Dassenach Woreda in the southern part of Ethiopian rift valley. Within Ethiopia's borders, the Omo-Turkana Basin includes all of the Omo-Gibe River Basin on the lake's northern coast and a portion of the lake's northern end (Feibel, 2011). The lower section of the Omo-Gibe River and the Ethiopian portion of Lake Turkana are both located at a height of less than 400 meters above sea level (Feibel, 2011; Velpuri *et al.*, 2012). Hence the surface and subsurface drainage from different industries and runoff from agricultural fields are towards the lower River basin.

Sample collection: Water samples were collected following the standard protocol by APHA (2017). Water samples were taken from the Ethiopian section of Lake Turkana (ELT) and the lower Omo River (LOR). Five sub sampling sites with three sampling points on each water body were taken having a total of 30 sampling points from upstream and downstream and composited to ten. A 2 L plastic vessel was used to collect each sample and it was filled to the brim 30 cm below the water's surface. The vessels were brought to the lab and wrapped firmly for examination (Beniah, 2020).

Sample Analysis: Water samples were collected and analyzed for fifteen (15) physicochemical parameters following the standard methods APHA (2017). Measurements of pH, DO, EC, TDS, temperature and Salinity were taken in situ from the selected sampling sites using a multiprobe water quality meter; multi HQ40d. The following standard methods were used to determine the parameters: hardness was determined by EDTA method; BOD₅ (mg/L) by Dometric method; COD (mg/L) by Open reflex method ; Cl⁻(mg/L) by Argentometric method; F⁻(mg/l) by SPANDS method; NO₃⁻² (mg/L) by Phenol Disulphonic acid method; Nitrite using Colorimetric method by spectrophotometer; Ammonia by Nessler method and stannous chloride method were used to determine phosphate (PO₄⁻³) contents of the water samples.

Evaluation of water quality status: The Water Quality Index (WQI) was determined using the World Health Organization's (WHO, 2017) recommendations for safe drinking water. The weighted arithmetic water quality index approach classified the water quality according to the degree of purity using the most

frequently measured water quality variables. The weighted arithmetic water quality index developed by Brown *et al.* (1972) was used to calculate the WQI using the following equations.

$$WQI = \sum \frac{Q_n W_n}{\sum W_n} \quad (1)$$

Where n is the number of variables or parameters, Q_n = Quality Rating

$$W_n = \frac{K}{S_n} \quad (2)$$

$$Q_n = \frac{100(V_n - V_{io})}{S_n - V_{io}} \quad (3)$$

Where: V_n is the observed value for nth water quality parameters of collected samples; S_n = Standard permissible value of the nth water quality parameters; V_{io}: The nth water quality parameter's ideal value in pure water (all other parameters have ideal values of zero except for pH and DO, which have values of 7 and 14.6, respectively).

According to (Otene and Alfred, 2019; Okeyet *al.* 2021), the unit weight (W_n) was obtained by calculating a value inversely proportional to the recommended standard value (S_n) of the corresponding parameter and K is the proportionality constant, Standard value for nth parameters is K = 1/(1/S_n), S_n= Standard value for nth parameters.

Data analysis: All data analysis was conducted using the IBM SPSS Statistics Version 25. An independent t- test was used to test the mean difference. A correlation analysis was also used to test the relation among the water quality parameters.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The physical and chemical characteristics of water from lake and river are shown in Table 1. Mean temperatures measured in the sampling sites were within the range of 27.428±0.987 and 28.9± 0.845 in the river and Lake respectively. PH values in the River ranged from 7.3 to 7.9 while those of the lake ranged from 8.7 to 8.9. Mean P_H values were 7.62 ± 0.259 and 8.78± 0.08 in the River and Lake respectively. The results indicated that the lake water was relatively basic and it was above the permissible limit of Ethiopian standard agency (ESA, 2013) and WHO (2017) for drinking water. The present finding was similar with the study report of Okey *et al.* (2021). Conductivity is generally a very good predictor of total cations and salinity (Zinabu *et al.*, 2002). The values of EC ranged from 533 μS/cm to 549 μS/cm in the River and from 9580 μS/cm to 1019 μS/cm in the

Lake with mean value of 541.4 ± 6.19 and 9842.00 ± 313.8 respectively. The EC value of this finding in the River water ($533 \mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) was comparable with the previous study report ($575 \mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) by Maryam and Seyed (2022) from Zarrineh River in Iran. The mean EC value of the current finding both in River and Lake was higher than the study recorded in (Dirisu and Ezenwa, 2018). However, the previous study finding by Okey *et al.* (2021) reported higher mean value of EC ($756.98 \mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) than the present finding in the River ($541.4 \mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$). The present study finding of the Lake was above the WHO (2017) standard. The higher EC value particularly from lake maybe due to higher salinity, TDS, Mg and Ca content in the Lake. Industrial waste, agricultural runoff and domestic discharges could also contribute to the rise of electrical conductivity in the freshwater (Okey *et al.*, 2021). In all samples, turbidity levels were higher than maximum permissible limit set by WHO (2017). The study's River and Lake average turbidity levels were 115.2 and 161.2 NTU, respectively.

The Dissolved Oxygen (DO) in River and Lake was determined to be from 6.08 mg/L to 6.34 mg/L and from 5.22 mg/L to 5.93 mg/L respectively. Mean dissolved oxygen in the River was 6.182 ± 0.1031 mg/L while that of the Lake was 5.468 ± 0.2803 mg/L. The DO value in this study of both River and Lake was comparable with the previous study report by Dirisu and Ezenwa (2018) from surface water. The DO value of current study both in the River and Lake Water was lower than the previous report (9.19 ± 0.99) by Divya *et al.* (2016) from Tamiraparani River but higher than the WHO (2017) permissible limit. The BOD₅ values obtained in this study ranged from 15.2 to 18.8 (mean, 16.268 ± 1.47) mg/L (in the

River and from 14.78 to 17.9 mg/L (mean, 16.28 ± 1.133 mg/L) in the Lake. The mean BOD₅ values of the current finding both in River and Lake were higher than the study recorded (3.91 mg/L) by Dirisu and Ezenwa (2018) from surface water and (2.43 mg/L) by Divya *et al.* (2016) from River. The BOD₅ values recorded in this study both in the River and Lake were above the WHO (2017) permissible limits. The larger value of BOD₅ could attribute to higher degree of organic content in both water bodies. Values for COD were higher in the River (mean 376.06 ± 130.45 mg/L) than in Lake (mean 136.0 ± 41.52 mg/L). The COD indicates the toxic condition, the presence of biologically resistant organic substances and large amount of oxygen demanding chemicals (Okey *et al.*, 2021). Total dissolved solids (TDS) affect freshwater organisms and lessens the solubility of gases like oxygen (Ogundele and Mekuleyi, 2018). The concentration of TDS in this study ranged from 250 to 256 mg/L in the River (mean, $252.40 \text{ mg/L} \pm 2.302$ mg/L) and from 504 mg/L to 541 (mean, 519.60 ± 19.552 mg/L) in the Lake (Table 1). The mean TDS content of the River and Lake of this study was higher than the earlier reports (371.4 mg/L) by Okey *et al.* (2021) from Ogbor River in Aba, Nigeria and 83.45 mg/L by Dirisu and Ezenwa (2018) from surface water. The TDS values obtained in the downstream of this study (lake) was above WHO (2017) standard limits (500 mg/L) for drinking water. This might be complied with higher salinity content in the downstream water. High TDS values in the water body could be attributed to Surface runoffs, weathering of rocks, discharge of domestic waste and incursion of dissolved solutes from agricultural fields (Srivastava *et al.*, 2007).

Table 1 physicochemical parameter of River and Lake Water

Parameters	River water	Lake water	WHO,2017	ESA,2013		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		
Temperature in °C	28.9	0.86	27.43	0.99	<15	<15
PH	7.62	0.26	8.78	0.08	6.5-8.5	6.5-8.5
EC($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$)	541.4	6.19	9842.0	313.88	1000	1500
TDS (mg/l)	252.4	2.30	519.60	19.55	500	1000
DO ₅ (mg/L)	6.18	0.10	5.47	0.28	5	5
BOD ₅ (mg/L)	16.27	1.47	16.28	1.13	5	5
Salinity in percent	0.026	0.001	0.54	0.02	-	-
Total alkalinity(TA) in mg/l	92.0	9.08	904.8	101.56	120	200
Mg ²⁺ (mg/l) in mg/L	8.64	1.73	10.73	2.01	30	50
Ca ²⁺ ion in mg/L	10.20	2.04	11.44	2.32	75	75
Total hardness in mg/l	59.0	7.42	65	14.58	300	300
Cl ⁻ (mg/l)	3.06	1.08	220.12	5.11	150	250
Fluoride ion in mg/L	0.88	0.14	2.03	0.064	1.5	3
Turbidity(NTU)	94.4	5.01	162.4	0.037	5	7
NO ₃ ⁻ (mg/l)	8.94	1.33	17.84	4.01	50	50
PO ₄ ⁻³ (mg/l)	1.87	0.63	5.11	0.98	5.5	0.02
NH ₃ (mg/l)	0.54	0.361	1.35	0.66	1.0	-
NO ₂ ⁻ (mg/l)	1.69	0.97	0.54	0.56	0.1	0.02

Chloride: In the present study, the concentration of chloride ion in the River (LOR) ranged between 2.5 to 4.99 (mean, 3.058±)while that of the Lake(ELT) was from 212.13 to 224.61 mg /L (mean, 220.2± 5.11mg/L).The mean Cl⁻ content of the River water of this study was lower than the earlier report (5.84 mg/L) by Okey *et al.* (2021). However, the mean Cl⁻ content of the Lake(220.2± 5.11mg/L) was higher than the preceding reports (23.19 mg/L) by Dirisu and Ezenwa (2018).The result found was below the WHO recommended safe limits (250 mg/L).

Flouride:The concentration of fluoride ion in the River varied between0.623 to1.552 mg/L(mean, 0.89 ±0.0135)while that of the Lake was from 1.916 to 2.071mg/L(mean, 2.026±0.064mg/l).Its presence at concentrations below 0.5 mg/L has been associated with dental caries in children, whereas concentrations above 1.5 mg/L have been connected to non-fluorosis disorders such non-dental fluorosis and skeletal fluorosis (Rofhiwa *et al.*, 2011).Fluorinated minerals are easily dissolved in an alkaline environment which may account for the lake's high fluoride levels (Feifei *et al.*, 2021). This is a typical issue, particularly in the rift valley lakes of East African countries due to geological indicators (Adimasu, 2014; WHO, 2014). This finding was consistent with those study reports by Awomeso *et al.* (2019) in the Nairobi River, where the levels ranged from 2.0 to 3.34 mg/L, and Feifei *et al.* (2021) in China, where the levels were on average 0.6 mg/L. The sources of fluoride in the present study could be a natural weathering of mineral bed rocks and it is also a common problem mainly in the rift valley lake of East African countries due to geological indicator (Adimasu, 2014; WHO,2014).According to the study in Kenya by Avery (2010) on Lake Turkana which is beneath the present study, fluoride concentration was reported as 10 to 11mg/L .Nitrate (NO₃): The concentration of total nitrogen varied between 7.88 and 11.19mg/L in the River(mean,

8.938±1.327mg/L) and from 11.20 to 21.28mg/l (mean, 17.84 ± 4.0083mg/L) in Lake. The finding of the present study was lower than the study report by Oluyemi *et al.* (2010) which was (36.32 mg/L).However, nitrate concentration of our finding was higher than the previous study report (0.63±1.37) by Dirisu and Ezenwa (2017). The range of NO₂⁻ readings in the River water was between 0.30 and 2.54 mg/l (mean, 1.69 0.9672) and the range for the Lake water was between 0.17 and 1.48 mg/L (mean, 0.54 mg/l).Phosphate (PO₄⁻³): The values for PO₄ were in the limit of 1.31 to 2.84 in River (LOR) (mean 1.866 ±0.625mg/L) while in Lake(ELT) , the concentrations were in the range of 4.09 to 6.22 (mean 5.108±0.975mg/L). This observation is in conformity with the observations by Awomeso *et al.*(2019) in Nairobi River which ranged from 2.0 to 3.34 mg/L. The, values for PO₄⁻³ in the Lake (ELT) of this study were slightly above WHO limit for drinking water. The phosphate value found in this study was consistent with the findings of Okey *et al* (2021). Agricultural chemicals may drain into rivers, increasing phosphate level, or phosphate additions used in detergent may have leached into water bodies through home, industrial, or municipal waste waters (Olajire and Imeokparia, 2001).The intensive agriculture practiced along the river stream may be related to the quantities of nitrates and phosphates found in this study(Wei *et al.*, 2019). The nitrate concentration obtained for both water bodies did not exceed the WHO limit (50mg/l). Pollution of the River by the nutrient may be due to the application of fertilizers, domestic effluents, and leachate from refuse dumps and run-off from these sources.TDS and EC have a good association (r = 0.995), indicating that they are both directly proportional to one another. There was also a strong correlation among: EC and Mg ion (r = 0.75); EC and MgH (magnesium hardness) (r = 0.826); pH and MH(r= 0.952); pH and TDS(r= 0.81);EC and salinity(r= 0.997).

Table 2Statistical analysis on correlation result of River

Parameters	Tem	pH	EC	TDS	TSS	DO	BOD	COD	Salinity	TA	Mg	Ca	Ca.Har	Mg.Har
Tem	1													
PH	0.48	1												
EC in mili/cm	-0.148	-0.0896*	1											
TDS in mg/l	-0.090	-0.814	0.951*	1										
TSS in mg/l	-0.177	-0.584	0.404	0.512	1									
DO in mg/l	0.491	0.589	-0.688	-0.70	0.032	1								
BOD in mg/l	-0.112	0.666	-0.840	-0.67	-0.004	0.451	1							
COD in mg/l	-0.498	-0.219	-0.088	-0.36	-0.148	0.170	-0.16	1						
Salinity in %	0.042	-0.410	0.400	0.614	0.890*	-0.103	0.058	-0.58	1					
TA in mg/l	-0.439	0.510	-0.818	-0.83	-0.229	0.395	0.805	0.430	-0.39	1				
Mg in mg/l	-0.093	-0.788	0.751	0.827	0.898*	-0.274	-0.39	-0.24	0.860	-0.61	1			
Ca in mg/l	0.710	0.193	0.000	0.217	0.468	0.364	0.153	-0.77	0.707	-0.41	0.43	1		
Ca.Hardness	0.710	0.193	0.000	0.217	0.468	0.364	0.153	-0.77	0.707	-0.41	0.432	1.000**	1	
Mg.Hardness	-0.486	-0.952*	0.826	0.833	0.764	-0.549	-0.46	0.028	0.645	-0.45	0.895*	0.000	0.000	1

The values for Correlation analysis are represented in (Table 2). Independent t-test showed that there's was significant difference in temperature, COD, NO₂, NH₃, (P<0.05); pH, EC, TDS, TSS, DO, NO₃, PO₄, salinity, alkalinity, Chloride ion, contents between both river and lake at (P<0.001). However, no significant difference exists (P<0.05) for BOD, Mg ion, Ca ion, Calcium hardness, Magnesium hardness, total hardness and Fluoride ion when values from both areas of upstream and downstream are compared (Table 3). On the basis of WQI scores, five categories have been introduced; WQI = 0-25 "Excellent water quality"; WQI = 26-50 "good water quality"; WQI =

51-75 "Poor water quality"; WQI =76-100 "Very Poor water quality" and WQI > 100 "Unsuitable for drinking. The results revealed that the river water fell into the category of Very Poor water quality. Based on the results of the water quality index calculation, , it was determined that the lower Omo River area around Omorate Town's WQI value was 76.677, which was very poor as it fell within the range of 76 to 100 (Table 4). The Ethiopian portion of Lake Turkana's WQI value was found to be 142.47, which was much beyond the 100-point threshold for very poor water quality and Unsuitable for drinking.

Table 3 Independent T-test

Parameters	Water body	Mean	Std. Deviation	t	Sig
Dissolved oxygen in mg/l	River	6.182	0.103	5.346	0.001
	Lake	5.468	0.280		
Biological oxygen demand in mg/L	River	16.268	1.471	.014	0.989
	Lake	16.28	1.133		
Chemical oxygen demand in mg/L	River	376.06	130.456	3.921	0.004
	Lake	136.0	41.526		
Total alkalinity in mg/L	River	92.00	9.083	-	0.001
	Lake	904.80	101.564		
Total hardness in mg/L	River	59.0	7.416	-0.8200	.436
	Lake	65.0	14.57		
Chloride ion in mg/L	River	3.058	1.084	-	0.001
	Lake	220.118	5.11		
Fluoride ion in mg/L	River	125.358	278.190	0.991	0.378
	Lake	2.026	0.063		
TNO ₃ mg/L	River	8.93	1.32	-4.7160	.002
	Lake	17.84	4.00836		
TPO ₄ mg/L	River	1.86	0.625	-6.2550	.001
	Lake	5.108	0.975		
NH ₃ mg/L	River	0.540	0.361	-2.4320	.041
	Lake	1.3540	0.65		
NO ₂ mg/L	River	1.690	0.967	2.303	0.050
	Lake	0.540	0.55		

Table 4 Weighted Arithmetic Water Quality Index for River

Parameter	Experimental Value	Standard values (sn) (WHO,2017)	Unit weights (wn)	Quality rating (Qn)	QnWn
pH	7.62	8.5	0.0672	41.4	2.783
Electrical conductivity(μs)	541.40	1000	0.00057	54.14	0.0031
Total Dissolved Solids (mg/l)	252.40	500	0.0012	50.48	0.0606
Total alkalinity mg/l	92.00	120	0.0048	76.7	0.3682
Total hardness	59.00	200	0.0029	29.5	0.0856
Total suspended solids	502.40	500	0.00115	10.48	0.1264
Calcium mg/l	10.20	200	0.02472	5.1	0.1261
Magnesiummg/l	8.641	200	0.0029	4.32	0.01253
Chlorides mg/l	3.058	250	0.00229	1.23	0.002817
Nitrate mg/l	8.938	50	0.01142	17.876	0.20415
Phosphate(mg/l)	1.866	5.5	0.104	33.93	3.529
Dissolved oxygen (mg/l)	6.182	5	0.1142	87.69	10.015
BOD	16.268	5	0.1142	325.36	37.156
Fluoride mg/l	0.8834	1.5	0.381	58.89	22.4371
Ammonia mg/l	0.54	1.0	0.571	54	30.834
Summation (Σ)			Σ Wn= 1.40355	Σq _n =2739.0 96	ΣQ _n W _n = 107.62

Conclusions: This research has provided baseline information on the physicochemical parameters of

freshwater and the water quality status of lower Omo River basin. The downstream of the freshwater (ELT)

was more polluted as compared to the upstream (LOR). The mean contents of DO, BOD, Turbidity, Phosphate, and Nitrite were above the maximum permissible limit set by WHO both in River and Lake water. The WQI showed that the quality of the lower Omo river could be regarded as very poor while the Ethiopian part of Lake Turkana were Unsuitable for drinking and need treatment before use.

Acknowledgements: We would like to express our gratitude to Arbaminch University for their help in the laboratory analyses of the samples.

REFERENCES

- Ana, A; Lidija, K; Tadi, C.(2018). Analysis of Basic Physical-Chemical Parameters, Nutrients and Heavy Metals Content in Surface Water of Small Catchment Area of Karašica and Vučica Rivers in Croatia. *Environments*.
- APHA (American Public Health Association).(2005). Standard Methods for the Examination of water and wastewater, 24th Ed., Washington, D. C.
- Araoye, P (2009).The seasonal variation of pH and dissolved oxygen concentration in Asa lake Ilorin. *Int.J. of Physical Sci.* 4(5).
- Awomeso, J.; Taiwo, A.; Idowu, O.; Gbadebo, A.; Oyetunde, O (2019). Assessment of water quality of Ogun River in southwestern Nigeria. *IFE J. Sci.*
- Beniah, O (2020). Pollution and health risks assessment of nitrate and phosphate concentrations in water bodies in South Eastern, Nigeria. *Environ Adv.* 2(2020):1-8
- Boah, D; Twum, S; Pelig-Ba, K (2015). Mathematical Computation of Water Quality Index of Ve a Dam in Upper East Region of Ghana. *Environ. Sci.* 3(1): 11 – 16.
- Brown, R; McClelland, N; Deininger, R; O'Connor,M (1972). Water Quality Index-Crashing, the Psychological Barrier, Proc. 6th Annual Conference, *Advances in Water Pollut. Res.* 787-794.
- Dirisu, AR; Ezenwa, MI (2018).Assessment of Water Quality of Lotic and Lentic Ecosystems in Agbede Wetlands using a Multimetric Approach. *Egerton J. Sci. Technol.* 16: 70-91.
- Divya, SM; Sabaridasan, A ; Jesslin ,G; Edwin, TP; Soranam, R (2016).Analysis of Water Quality Parameters and Heavy Metals Concentration from the Selected sites of Tamiraparani River, Tirunelveli District, Tamilnadu, India.
- Douglas, K (2015). Mathematical Computation of Water Quality Index of Ve a Dam in Upper East Region of Ghana. *Environ. Sci.*3(1) 11 – 16.
- Feifei, C; ,Leihua ,Y; Gang, M; Yinsheng, S; Fansheng, X; Zhenbin, D (2021).Groundwater Quality and Potential Human Health Risk Assessment for Drinking and Irrigation Purposes: A Case Study in the Semiarid Region of North China.
- Feibel, C (2011). A Geological History of the Turkana Basin. *Evol. Anthropology*, **20**: 206–216.
- Firew, T; Daniel F; Solomon, S (2018). Performance Assessment of Wastewater Treatment Plant of Hawassa St. George Brewery, Hawassa, Ethiopia.*J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Manage.* 22 (8) 1285–1292
- Gupta, N; Pandey, P; Hussain, J (2017). Effect of Physicochemical and Biological Parameters on the Quality of River Water of Narmada, Madhya Pradesh, India. *J. Wat. Sci.* 31(1).
- Lakshmi, P (2019). Assessment of Godavari River Water Quality in and Around Rajamahendravaram. *IJITEE.* 8 (5):926-933
- Mahfuzar, R (2016). Water Quality Index for measuring drinking water quality in rural Bangladesh: a cross-sectional study.
- Manoj, K; Avinash, P. (2012).A review of permissible limits of drinking water. *Indian J. Occup. Environ. Med.*, Jan-April.16(1): 40-44
- Maryam, KP; Seyed AM (2022).The Heavy Metals Pollution Index and Water Quality Monitoring of the Zarrineh River, Iran.
- Moshoeshoe, M and Obuseng, V (2018). Simultaneous determination of nitrate, nitrite and phosphate in environmental samples by high performance liquid chromatography with UV detection. *S. Afr. J. Chem.* 71(2018): 79–85
- Nnaji, J ; Uzairu, A; Harrison, G; Balarabe, M (2010).Effect of Pollution on the Physico-chemical Parameters of Water and Sediments of River Galma, Zaria, Nigeria. *Libyan Agri. Res. J. I.* 3(4): 314-320
- Ogundele, O; Mekuleyi, GO (2018). Physicochemical properties and heavy metal concentration in waste

- water discharged from two industries in Agbara, Lagos State Nigeria. *Int. Res. J. Pub. Environ. Health.* 5(3) 32-37.
- Okey, W; Obunwo, C; Wokeh, O (2021). Evaluation of Water Quality Index Using Physicochemical Characteristics of Ogbor River in Aba, Abia State, Nigeria. *J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Manage;* 25 (1) 47-51.
- Olajire, A; Imeokparia, F (2001). Water assessment of Osun River: studies on inorganic nutrients. *Environ. Mon. and Ass. Kluwer Academic Publishers.*
- Onipe, T.; Edokpayi, J.N.; Odiyo, J.O (2020). A review on the potential sources and health implications of fluoride in groundwater of Sub-Saharan Africa. *J. Environ. Sci. Health Part 1 or 2 or A or B . .* 55(9):1078-1093.
- Otene, B; Alfred, O(2019). Assessment of water quality index (wqi) and suitability for consumption of elele-alimini stream, port Harcourt. *Global Sci. J..* 7(2):839-846
- Patil, k; Patil, S; Patil, S; Patil, V. (2015). Monitoring of Turbidity, PH & Temperature of Water Based on GSM. *I.Jfor RES. Emer. Sci and Technol.* 2(3):16-21
- Rao, A; Marshall, S; Gubbi, J; Palaniswami, M; Sinnott, R; Pettigrove, V.(2013). Design of Low-Cost Autonomous Water Quality Monitoring System. International Conference on Advances in Computing, Communications and Informatics (ICACCI).
- Ruth, o; Emmanuel, E; Oko, E(2021). Application of Water Quality Index for the Assessment of Water from Different Sources in Nigeria: Promising Techniques for Wastewater Treatment and Water Quality Assessment. 3(3): 89-95
- Salequzzaman, M; SALEquzzaman; Tariqulislam, S; Tasnuva,A; Kashem, M; Mahedi al masud, M (2008). Environmental Impact Of Sugar Industry - A case Study on kushtia sugar Mills in Bangladesh. *J. Innov.Dev.Strategy.* 2(3): 31-35
- Shweta, T; Bhavtosh, S; Prashant, S; Rajendra, D (2013). Water Quality Assessment in Terms of Water Quality Index, *American J. of Water Res.* 1 (3): 34-38
- Srivastava, A; Mishra, D; Sarika, T; Priti, S (2007). Determination Of Water Quality Index And Suitability Of Ground Water In A College In Balrampur, U.P. *Nature Environ and PollTechnol.* 6(2): 315-319
- Tilahun, G (1988). A Seasonal Study on Phytoplankton primary production in relation to light and Nutrients in Lake Ziway (Ethiopia). AAU Institutional Respository.
- UNEP (2010). Africa Water Atlas. Division of Early Warning and Assessment (DEWA). United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). Nairobi, Kenya. 314pp.
- Velpuri, N.M., Senay, G.B. and Asante, K.O. (2012). A multi-source satellite data approach for modelling Lake Turkana water level: calibration and validation using satellite altimetry data. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.,* 16:1–18.
- Vijay, S (2016). Consequence of Temperature, pH, Turbidity and Dissolved Oxygen Water Quality Parameters. I. *Adv. Rese. J. Sci, Eng.Technol.* 3(8):186-190
- Wakjira, M; Getahun, G (2016) .Fish diversity, Community structure, Feeding ecology, and Fisheries of lower Omo River and the Ethiopian part of Lake Turkana, East Africa. *13(2):1-22*
- World Health Organization (2011). Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality, 4th ed.; WHO: Geneva, Switzerland.
- World Health Organization (2008). Guidelines for drinking water, Recommendations, Geneva, 3rd Ed., ISBN 9789241547611
- World Health Organization (2006). Guidelines for drinking water quality. 3 rd Edn. WHO, Geneva.
- Wei, K; Yin, H.; Peng, H.; Lu, G.; Dang, Z (2019). Bioremediation of triphenyl phosphate in river water microcosms: Proteome alteration of *Brevibacillus brevis* and cytotoxicity assessments. *Sci. Total Environ;* (649) :563–570.
- Yogendra, K; E.T. Puttaiah, E (2008). Determination of Water Quality Index and Suitability of an Urban Waterbody in Shimoga Town, Karnataka.
- Zinabu GM, Zerihun, Desta(2002). The chemical composition of the effluent from awassa textile

- factory and its effects on aquatic biota. *Ethiop. J. Sci.*, 25(2):263–274.
- Zinabu, E; Kelderman, P; Van der Kwast, J (2019).Monitoring river water and sediments within a changing Ethiopian catchment to support sustainable development. *Environ Monit Assess.* 191 (455):1-20
- Zinabu, GM (2002). The effects of wet and dry seasons on concentrations of solutes and phytoplankton biomass in seven Ethiopian rift-valley lakes. *Limnologia.* (32), 169-179.
- Zinabu, GM; Chapman, L; Chapman, C (2002). Conductivity as a predictor of a total cations and salinity in Ethiopian lakes and rivers: revisiting earlier models. *Limnologia.*Urban and FischerVeflag.