



Heavy Metal Levels, Physicochemical Properties and Microbial Diversity of Soil Matrix from University Solid Waste Collection sites in Benin City, Nigeria

*IGBINOSA, IH; AISIEN, ET

Department of Environmental Management and Toxicology, Faculty of Life Sciences,
University of Benin, Private Mail Bag 1154 Benin City 300001, Nigeria
*Corresponding author: isoken.igbinosa@uniben.edu

ABSTRACT: This study was carried out to assess the microbial, physicochemical and heavy metal characteristics of soil samples from five different waste collection sites within the University of Benin, Benin City and evaluated using standard analytical and classical microbiological methods. The heavy metal concentration includes Zn (4.45 ± 0.00 - 5.76 ± 0.02 mg g⁻¹); Cd (1.59 ± 0.00 - 2.54 ± 0.00 mg g⁻¹); and Fe (1.02 ± 0.02 - 1.07 ± 0.00 mg g⁻¹). The physicochemical properties include pH (4.91 ± 0.01 - 5.82 ± 0.04); TOC (6.49 ± 0.01 - 7.65 ± 0.02 %); NO₂⁻ (29.32 ± 0.07 - 32.81 ± 0.11 mg g⁻¹); and SO₄²⁻ (47.30 ± 0.12 - 63.25 ± 0.04 mg g⁻¹). The mean of culturable heterotrophic and coliform bacteria ranged from $4.03 \times 10^7 \pm 0.35$ - $4.51 \times 10^8 \pm 0.12$ CFU/g and $1.02 \times 10^4 \pm 0.12$ - $3.10 \times 10^5 \pm 0.02$ CFU/g respectively. At *p*-value < 0.01 level EC significantly correlates total heterotrophic bacteria (*r*= 0.971); coliform bacteria significantly correlate NO₃⁻ (*r*= 0.989); while clay significantly but negatively correlates coliform bacteria (*r*= -0.989). Some of the bacteria isolated and identified from the waste collection sites include *Klebsiella oxytoca*, *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas putida*, and *Bacillus macerans*. Findings from this study reveal that the municipal solid waste on the collection sites has impacts on the indicator variables of the resident soil as well as serving as breeding sites for pathogenic organisms.

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/jasem.v22i1.12>

Copyright: Copyright © 2017 Monago and Dawodu. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License (CCL), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

Dates: Received 11 November 2017; received in revised form 15 December 2017; accepted 29 December 2017

Keywords: solid waste, environmental hazard, soil quality, pathogens

Most urban centres in Nigerian are the embodiment of urban decay and branded by poor housing plan, public health infrastructure and cleanliness (David and Oluyeye, 2014). The continuous increase of housing units together with an upsurge in population has led to health hazards in the environment (Adefemi and Awokunmi, 2009). Wastes are generally produced from human activities and in most instances not appropriately managed in most urban centres or communities in developing countries (Gupta and Rajamani, 2015). This can result in reduced quality of the environment which is responsible for 25% of all ill health that can be preventable in the world (Majolagbe *et al.*, 2017).

Wastes are usually collected and disposed of in uncontrolled dumpsite situated close to suburban communities. These wastes are accumulated and/or burnt, polluting the air and contaminating water bodies in close proximity (Uffia *et al.*, 2013). Wastes usually result in the growth of disease-causing microorganisms and accumulation of heavy metals which can impact negatively on the environment. Leachates resulting from dumpsites constitute a significant source of pollution from heavy metal impacting on both aquatic and terrestrial environments (Sungur *et al.*, 2014). This can be detrimental to human health, crop and soils (Bahnasawy *et al.*, 2011; Balkhair and Ashraf, 2016).

The solid waste articulates elevated varied nature of biological and physicochemical perspectives which is significantly subjective by socioeconomic activities (Atalia *et al.*, 2015). The microbial multiplicity studies are chiefly important so as to decipher the trend of microbial ecology in the environment (Igbinosa and Igiehon, 2015). The community of microorganisms remains as one of the most unexplored due to their immense classical microbial diversity (Igbinosa, 2015). Adequate inorganic nutrients, temperature, relative humidity and pH of the environment are significant factors that affect the proliferation of microbial consortia responsible for degradation (Ogunmwonyi *et al.*, 2008; Dubey, 2009). These microbial populations obtain energy and nutrients for optimal multiplication from wastes by way of degrading them (Chikere and Ekwuabu, 2014). In the University community, there is no standard, specialized and organized waste collection system. In this study, an attempt was made to characterise the native microbial diversity, physicochemical and heavy metal concentrations from some waste collection sites within the University of Benin community.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area: This study was conducted within the University of Benin campus environment located at 6.3999° N, 5.6135° E Benin City, Nigeria. The

*Corresponding author: isoken.igbinosa@uniben.edu

sampling collection sites are situated in the different halls of residence of the University community. The student population within the institution is about 40,000.

Sample Collection: Soil samples were obtained from collection sites from five major halls of residence (student's hostels) within University of Benin. The sampling sites are designated A to E for the purpose of confidentiality. This was carried out every two weeks from January to March 2017. A garden rake was applied to remove waste at the top of the collection site, so as to expose the soil underneath. Soil samples were thereafter obtained with hand trowel into aluminium foil paper, labelled appropriately. Control samples were obtained from sites within the University of Benin with no history of waste collection or dumpsite. The samples were transferred to the Applied Microbial Processes & Environmental Health Research Laboratory, University of Benin, Benin City and analysed within 4 h after collection.

Heavy metals and physicochemical analysis of Soil Samples: Soil samples were pretreated following the methods described by Bassey et al. (2014). Heavy metals (Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb and Zn) concentrations were carried out using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer AA Analyst 800 series Graphite Furnace AA). Percentage organic matter was conducted through the process of the chronic acid titration technique (Walkley and Black, 1934), soil particle size was determined by the hydrometer method (Boyucos, 1951); total nitrogen was determined using the Kjeldahl and steam digestion procedure (Boyucos, 1951); cation exchange capacity (CEC) was determined by Bower method (Rowell 1996); pH was determined using pH meter model: HI 2210 (Peech, 1965); temperature was measured using a mercury thermometer; total organic carbon content was characterised by the wet oxidation method (Rowell, 1996); electrical conductivity was carried out as previously described (Chopra and Kanzar, 1988); soil phosphate (PO_4^{3-}), nitrate (NO_3^-) and sulphate (SO_4^{2-}) were determined using previously described protocol (Dewis and Freitas, 1984).

Isolation and Enumeration of the Bacteria Isolates: Ten (10) g of the soil sample was dissolved in 90 mL of sterilized distilled water which makes up the stock solution and serially diluted (10^1 - 10^7). An aliquot of 0.1 mL of the 10^3 - 10^7 diluent was inoculated on Nutrient agar (Lab M, United Kingdom) using spread plate technique and incubated for 18-24 h at 37 °C. An aliquot of 0.1 mL from the 10^4 - 10^5 diluents was spread on m-FC agar plates (Merck, Germany) and incubated at 44.5°C for 18-24 h. After incubation, plates were observed for growth. Distinct colonies

from the Nutrient agar plates with different morphological characteristics were selected and purified using repeated streaking on a nutrient agar plate and stored on agar slants prior to identification procedure.

Identification of the Bacterial Isolates: The respective selected distinct bacterial isolates were subjected to Gram staining, motility, catalase, oxidase, urease, indole, Voges-Proskauer, methyl red, citrate utilization, hydrogen sulphide production and sugar fermentation test using standard protocol. The results were thereafter compared to identification guide on Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology.

Statistical Analysis: All data were analysed using the statistical package SPSS version 21.0. Descriptive statistics were used to estimate the mean and standard deviation of variables. One Way ANOVA and correlation analysis were used to compare variables while Duncan Multiple Range Tests (DMRT) was used to show a significant difference between mean. The *p*-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Heavy metal concentrations and physicochemical characterization: The range of heavy metal indicators include Zn (4.45 ± 0.00 - 5.76 ± 0.02 mg g⁻¹) with control (1.76 ± 0.01 mg g⁻¹); Pb (14.10 ± 0.13 - 17.10 ± 0.01 mg g⁻¹) with control (0.30 ± 0.00 mg g⁻¹); Cr (1.15 ± 0.00 - 2.54 ± 0.00 mg g⁻¹) with control (1.01 ± 0.01 mg g⁻¹); Mn (2.60 ± 0.02 - 2.67 ± 0.01 mg g⁻¹) with control (0.68 ± 0.00 mg g⁻¹); Cu (2.12 ± 0.00 - 4.10 ± 0.01 mg g⁻¹) with control (1.05 ± 0.00 mg g⁻¹); Cd (1.59 ± 0.00 - 2.54 ± 0.00 mg g⁻¹) with control (0.05 ± 0.00 mg g⁻¹); Ni (38.09 ± 0.11 - 42.01 ± 0.14 mg g⁻¹) with control (3.42 ± 0.00 mg g⁻¹) and Fe (1.02 ± 0.02 - 1.07 ± 0.00 mg g⁻¹) with control (0.81 ± 0.01 mg g⁻¹). A significant difference was observed in all physicochemical variables, heavy metal and microbial density when compared to the control site (*p*<0.05). The physicochemical and heavy metal indicators from different waste collection sites are presented in Table 1.

The range of the physicochemical variables include pH (4.91 ± 0.01 - 5.82 ± 0.04) with control (6.81 ± 0.02); temperature (28.0 ± 0.01 - 30.0 ± 0.03 °C) with control (29.0 ± 0.09 °C); electrical conductivity (542.01 ± 0.08 - 639.56 ± 0.23 μS cm⁻¹) with control (83.20 ± 0.05 μS cm⁻¹); cation exchange capacity (2.98 ± 0.05 - 4.52 ± 0.02 cmol kg⁻¹) with control (8.76 ± 0.01 cmol kg⁻¹); total nitrogen (0.31 ± 0.01 - 639.56 ± 0.23 %) with control (0.63 ± 0.00 %); total organic matter (3.57 ± 0.01 - 5.15 ± 0.01 %) with control (1.25 ± 0.01 %); total organic carbon (6.49 ± 0.01 - 7.65 ± 0.02 %) with control (4.72 ± 0.03 %); sand (87 ± 0.13 to

88±0.15 %) with control (89±0.18 %); silt (4±0.01 - 7±0.01 %) with control (6±0.05 %); clay (6±0.00 - 7±0.02 %) with control (5±0.03 %); moisture (67.20±0.19 - 79.51±0.21 %) with control (79.31±0.13 %); NO₂⁻ (29.32±0.07 - 32.81±0.11 mg g⁻¹) with control (9.72±0.01 mg g⁻¹); NO₃⁻ (49.71±0.06 - 53.72±0.11 mg g⁻¹) with control (5.51±0.00 mg g⁻¹); SO₄²⁻ (47.30±0.12 - 63.25±0.04 mg g⁻¹) with control (12.12±0.06 mg g⁻¹); and PO₄³⁻ (2.91±0.01 - 3.51±0.01 mg g⁻¹) with control (0.64±0.01 mg g⁻¹).

Table 1: Physicochemical characterization and heavy metal concentrations from the different waste collection sites

Parameters	Collection sites					Control site	p-value
	Site A	Site B	Site C	Site D	Site E		
pH	4.91±0.01 ^a	5.24±0.02 ^b	5.72±0.01 ^c	5.31±0.02 ^b	5.82±0.04 ^c	6.81±0.02 ^d	0.001
Temp (°C)	28.50±0.03 ^b	28.0±0.01 ^a	30.0±0.03 ^c	29.0±0.01 ^c	29.5±0.14 ^d	29.0±0.09 ^c	0.000
EC (µS cm ⁻¹)	568.61±0.12 ^d	639.56±0.23 ^e	542.01±0.08 ^b	635.52±0.11 ^c	551.13±0.18 ^c	83.20±0.05 ^a	0.000
CEC (cmol kg ⁻¹)	2.98±0.05 ^a	3.49±0.02 ^b	4.52±0.02 ^d	3.87±0.00 ^b	4.03±0.01 ^c	8.76±0.01 ^c	0.000
TN (%)	0.31±0.01 ^a	0.35±0.00 ^a	0.46±0.00 ^b	0.55±0.00 ^c	0.56±0.00 ^c	0.63±0.00 ^d	0.001
TOM (%)	4.12±0.03 ^c	5.15±0.01 ^d	4.13±0.01 ^c	3.57±0.01 ^b	3.89±0.00 ^b	1.25±0.01 ^a	0.001
TOC (%)	6.56±0.02 ^b	6.49±0.01 ^b	7.65±0.02 ^c	6.68±0.01 ^b	6.92±0.01 ^b	4.72±0.03 ^a	0.005
Sand (%)	88.0±0.15 ^{bc}	87.0±0.13 ^a	88.0±0.16 ^{bc}	89.0±0.03 ^c	88±0.13 ^{bc}	89.0±0.18 ^c	0.015
Silt (%)	5.0±0.01 ^b	7.0±0.01 ^d	6.0±0.00 ^c	4.0±0.01 ^a	5.0±0.00 ^b	6.0±0.05 ^c	0.001
Clay (%)	7.0±0.00 ^c	6.0±0.00 ^b	6.0±0.01 ^b	7.0±0.02 ^c	7.0±0.01 ^c	5.0±0.03 ^a	0.013
Moisture (%)	79.51±0.21 ^c	71.22±0.15 ^b	67.20±0.19 ^a	69.53±0.07 ^b	70.01±0.13 ^b	79.31±0.13 ^c	0.012
NO ₂ ⁻ (mg g ⁻¹)	29.32±0.07 ^b	32.51±0.11 ^d	30.30±0.12 ^c	34.93±0.06 ^c	32.81±0.11 ^d	9.72±0.01 ^a	0.001
NO ₃ ⁻ (mg g ⁻¹)	49.71±0.06 ^b	52.52±0.12 ^c	53.72±0.11 ^c	50.45±0.04 ^b	49.83±0.06 ^b	5.51±0.00 ^a	0.013
SO ₄ ²⁻ (mg g ⁻¹)	63.25±0.04 ^d	55.80±0.16 ^d	59.53±0.13 ^c	47.30±0.12 ^b	49.52±0.11 ^c	12.12±0.06 ^a	0.000
PO ₄ ³⁻ (mg g ⁻¹)	3.0±0.00 ^b	2.91±0.01 ^b	3.51±0.01 ^c	3.42±0.01 ^c	3.24±0.00 ^{bc}	0.64±0.01 ^a	0.013
Zn (mg g ⁻¹)	4.45±0.00 ^b	4.48±0.02 ^b	5.03±0.01 ^c	5.76±0.02 ^c	5.65±0.01 ^c	1.76±0.01 ^a	0.012
Pb (mg g ⁻¹)	17.10±0.01 ^d	15.13±0.03 ^{bc}	15.05±0.03 ^{bc}	14.10±0.13 ^b	16.21±0.12 ^c	0.30±0.00 ^a	0.001
Cr (mg g ⁻¹)	2.54±0.00 ^b	1.15±0.00 ^a	2.27±0.01 ^b	2.46±0.00 ^b	2.51±0.01 ^b	1.01±0.01 ^a	0.038
Mn (mg g ⁻¹)	2.61±0.00 ^b	2.65±0.01 ^b	2.60±0.02 ^b	2.67±0.01 ^b	2.66±0.02 ^b	0.68±0.00 ^a	0.027
Cu (mg g ⁻¹)	2.23±0.00 ^b	3.42±0.01 ^c	4.10±0.01 ^d	3.55±0.02 ^c	2.12±0.00 ^b	1.05±0.00 ^a	0.001
Cd (mg g ⁻¹)	1.73±0.01 ^{bc}	1.59±0.00 ^b	2.54±0.00 ^d	1.84±0.00 ^c	2.53±0.02 ^d	0.05±0.00 ^a	0.001
Ni (mg g ⁻¹)	40.03±0.13 ^c	42.01±0.14 ^d	39.13±0.11 ^{bc}	38.09±0.11 ^b	40.21±0.17 ^c	3.42±0.00 ^a	0.001
Fe (mg g ⁻¹)	1.02±0.02 ^b	1.05±0.12 ^c	1.07±0.00 ^c	1.06±0.01 ^c	1.03±0.00 ^b	0.81±0.01 ^a	0.034

Values are means of the overall readings of triplicates ± standard deviations (SD). Site A; B; C; D and E are different waste collection sites. EC: electrical conductivity, CEC: cation exchange capacity, TOC: total organic carbon, TOM: total organic matter, TN: total nitrogen, Values which carry different alphabets across rows show a significant difference (p<0.05).

The physicochemical variables such as pH, clay content, total organic carbon, total nitrogen; biological factors such as population densities, catalytic and inhibiting interactions between microorganisms; as well as pollutants such as heavy metals and/or xenobiotics influence the microbial density and soil structure (Osemwota, 2010; Igbinosa, 2015).

Correlation matrix of the soil nutrient, heavy metal and the microbial cell density: The correlation of the heavy metal and microbial density from the waste collection sites are presented in Table 2. A p-value less than 0.05 level of significance, NO₂⁻ negatively correlates SO₄²⁻ (r= -0.954) but positively correlates Mn (r= 0.934); while Mn negatively correlates SO₄²⁻ (r= -0.914).

Table 2: Correlation matrix of the soil nutrient, heavy metal and microbial cell density

Parameters	NO ₂ ⁻	NO ₃ ⁻	SO ₄ ²⁻	PO ₄ ³⁻	Zn	Pb	Cr	Mn	Cu	Cd	Ni	Fe	HBC	TC
NO ₂ ⁻	1													
NO ₃ ⁻	-0.18	1												
SO ₄ ²⁻	-0.95*	0.28	1											
PO ₄ ³⁻	0.23	0.25	-0.34	1										
Zn	0.71	-0.28	-0.85	0.70	1									
Pb	-0.73	-0.45	0.60	-0.51	-0.47	1								
Cr	-0.10	-0.55	-0.09	0.53	0.52	0.28	1							
Mn	0.93*	-0.41	-0.91*	-0.05	0.60	-0.46	-0.13	1						
Cu	0.17	0.84	-0.02	0.50	0.02	-0.79	-0.36	-0.15	1					
Cd	-0.10	0.18	-0.14	0.68	0.51	0.02	0.46	-0.20	0.03	1				
Ni	-0.24	0.15	0.31	-0.85	-0.68	0.35	-0.77	-0.01	-0.24	-0.36	1			
Fe	0.32	0.78	-0.22	0.64	0.25	-0.86	-0.26	-0.01	0.96**	0.20	-0.35	1		
HBC	0.71	0.14	-0.47	-0.12	0.08	-0.75	-0.56	0.62	0.46	-0.65	0.06	0.43	1	
TC	-0.23	0.98**	0.33	0.12	-0.38	-0.36	-0.63	-0.42	0.77	0.13	0.29	0.69	0.12	1

Values are means of the overall readings of triplicates ± standard deviations (SD). EC: electrical conductivity, CEC: cation exchange capacity, HBC: heterotrophic bacteria count, TOC: total organic carbon, TOM: total organic matter, TN: total nitrogen, TC: coliform count *Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed); **Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

A *p*-value less than 0.01 level of significance, Cu significantly correlates Fe ($r= 0.969$); coliform bacteria significantly correlate NO_3^- ($r= 0.989$). A soil which sand predominates is referred to as sand textured soil. They are coarse in texture and drain easily and quickly after the rain. It is lower in moisture-holding and nutrient-holding capacity than another type of soil (Dou *et al.*, 2016). Sand negatively correlates silt and Ni in this study. This could be attributed to the dominant nature of sand ($87.00\pm 0.13 - 88.00\pm 0.15$ %) on the soil which also affects the formation and accumulation of nickel on the soil. Silt is usually found in soil along with other types of sediment, sand, clay and gravel (Xu *et al.*, 2016). Clay soil can vary in different places but usually, act the same way. It tends to be slow to drain and quick to harden (Cai *et al.*, 2016). Silt negatively correlates clay in this study. This could be ascribed to the dominant nature of clay ($6.00\pm 0.00 - 7.00\pm 0.02$ %) against silt. A study by Khan *et al.* (2014) on bioremediation of diesel-contaminated soil site revealed clay content (39.67%), sand content (34.34%) and silt content (25.98%) which differs from the findings in this study. Organic carbon in the soil is principally derived from residual plant and animal matter, synthesized by microbes and degraded via the influence of moisture, temperature and ambient soil conditions (Bowles *et al.*, 2014). The availability of fixed nitrogen in a form that could be available to plants is of prime importance in revealing the fertility of soil (Mooshammer *et al.*, 2014). Inorganic nitrogen compounds such as nitrites, nitrates and ammonia are converted to organic nitrogen such as protein and nucleic acids using nitrogen assimilation in the presence of bacteria (Hoffman *et al.*, 2014). However, total organic carbon did not correlate with other variables in this study, total nitrogen positively correlates Zn. Iron (Fe) is the fourth most abundant element on earth. Plants require iron for the synthesis of chlorophyll and generally contain between 1 and 5 % iron in their content. There was no correlation of Fe on any of the variables. However, it has been reported that soil pH and aeration influence the availability of iron (Hermann *et al.*, 2016). Though Pb did not correlate any of the parameters in this study, urban environments have generally received higher deposition of Pb from vehicular emission than rural areas or environment less of vehicular emission (Yang *et al.*, 2016). Zn correlated total nitrogen in this study. Although Zn occurs naturally, most Zn finds its way into the environment as a result of human activities. In soil, most of the Zn stays bound to the soil particle. When high levels of Zn are present in the soil, the metal can seep into groundwater (Gua *et al.*, 2016). Cd correlated pH and EC in this study. Cd is much less mobile in soils than in air and water (Mahar *et al.*, 2016). The major

factors governing Cd speciation, adsorption and distribution in soils include soluble organic matter content, pH, clay content and types, inorganic ligands and competition from other metal ions (Thakur *et al.*, 2016). Cu significantly correlated Fe. The effect of FeO_2 on Cu from soil has previously been described (Diagboya *et al.*, 2015). Cu is not mobile in soils, it is attached to soil organic matter and clay minerals. Studies on the assimilation and bioaccumulation of heavy metal which could be phytotoxic have been reported (Balkhair and Ashraf, 2016). Heavy metals in the likes of Zn, Pb, Cu, Co, and Hg from ground and surface water in close proximity to dump sites have previously been reported (David and Oluyeye, 2014).

As soil temperature increases, more soil phosphates become available (Zhang *et al.*, 2014). Soil pH also influences the availability of soil phosphate with an optimum pH (6.5-7.0). Soil high in cation levels such as Mn, Fe, Al and Ca immobilizes phosphate between pH 6.5-7.0; while Fe and Al can bind to phosphate below pH 6.0 (Liang *et al.*, 2014). There was no correlation of phosphate on any of the parameters investigated which could be ascribed to the soil temperature ($28.00\pm 0.01 - 30.00\pm 0.03$ °C) and pH ($4.91\pm 0.01 - 5.82\pm 0.04$) observed in this study. A study by Atalia *et al.*, (2015) shows that temperature, pH, moisture, total organic carbon and total nitrogen from municipal solid waste were in accordance with the findings of this study. In addition, Olukunle (2013) reported similar findings on moisture content, pH, organic matter, Mn, total nitrogen and total organic carbon from oil-polluted sites. Chikere and Ekwuabu (2014) reported similar total organic carbon, pH, Ni and Pb to this study but with higher levels of electrical conductivity, total nitrogen, phosphate, and Zn from crude oil-impacted sites. Gupta and Rajamani (2015) reported higher pH, electrical conductivity, Cr, and sulphate but with lower Pb from municipal solid waste landfill leachate. Eze *et al.*, (2014) reported similar temperature, total organic carbon, sulphate, nitrate, nitrite, phosphate, Mn, and Zn but with significantly higher pH and Fe from soil contaminated site with used petroleum products.

Correlation matrix of the physicochemical and the microbial variables: The correlation of the physicochemical and microbial density from the waste collection sites are presented in Table 3. A *p*-value less than 0.05 level of significance, temperature significantly correlates total organic carbon ($r= 0.900$); pH significantly correlates cation exchange capacity ($r= 0.896$); cation exchange capacity negatively correlates moisture ($r= -0.921$); total organic matter negatively correlates sand ($r= -0.943$); Sand negatively correlates silt ($r= -0.881$); silt

negatively correlates clay ($r = -0.881$). A p -value less than 0.01 level of significance, electrical conductivity significantly correlates total heterotrophic bacteria

count ($r = 0.971$); while clay significantly but negatively correlates coliform bacteria ($r = -0.989$).

Table 3: Correlation matrix of the physicochemical and the microbial cell density

Parameters	pH	Temp	EC	CEC	TN	TOM	TOC	Sand	Silt	Clay	Moisture	HBC	TC
pH	1												
Temp	0.79	1											
EC	-0.47	-0.71	1										
CEC	0.89 ^a	0.84	-0.35	1									
TN	0.73	0.65	-0.10	0.70	1								
TOM	-0.23	-0.60	0.31	-0.29	-0.67	1							
TOC	0.71	0.90 ^a	-0.68	0.85	0.35	-0.25	1						
Sand	0.06	0.44	-0.03	0.23	0.62	-0.94 [*]	0.14	1					
Silt	0.05	-0.27	0.05	0.02	-0.54	0.93 [*]	0.10	-0.93 [*]	1				
Clay	-0.19	0.00	-0.06	-0.35	0.32	-0.72	-0.40	0.64	-0.88 [*]	1			
Moisture	-0.81	-0.58	-0.01	-0.92 ^{**}	-0.69	0.09	-0.61	-0.12	-0.13	0.44	1		
HBC	-0.30	-0.54	0.97 ^{**}	-0.12	0.04	0.25	-0.49	0.04	0.05	-0.16	-0.23	1	
TC	0.26	0.13	-0.01	0.46	-0.24	0.61	0.52	-0.54	0.81	-0.98 ^{**}	-0.51	0.12	1

Values are means of the overall readings of triplicates \pm standard deviations (SD). EC: electrical conductivity, CEC: cation exchange capacity, HBC: heterotrophic bacteria count, TOC: total organic carbon, TOM: total organic matter, TN: total nitrogen, TC: coliform count
*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed); **Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

The soil pH directly impacts the proliferation of plants and microorganisms as it affects the availability of nutrients. Between pH 6.0 and 6.5, most plant nutrients are in their most available state while between pH 6.5 and 7.5, most microorganisms proliferate effectively (Balkhair and Ashraf, 2016). The pH in this study ranged from 4.91 ± 0.01 - 5.82 ± 0.04 which could be detrimental to the proliferation of some group of microorganisms compared to soil pH (6.80 – 7.58) from Ogunmwoyi *et al.* (2008) which favours microbial growth. Soil pH in this study correlated cation exchange capacity (CEC) positively. Soil electrical conductivity is a measurement that correlates cation exchange capacity, soil texture, total organic matter level and subsoil characteristics (Pathak *et al.*, 2011). Electrical

conductivity consequently correlates strongly to soil particle size and texture. It varies depending on the concentration of moisture in the soil (Grisso *et al.*, 2009). A significant difference was observed in all physicochemical variables, heavy metal and microbial density when compared to the control site ($p < 0.05$). Soil contamination causes pressure on sensitive microbes and, consequently, changes in the diversity of the microflora representation of the soil of trophic groups of microorganisms (Igbiosa, 2015).

Population densities of bacteria from the different waste collection sites: The population densities of bacteria from the different waste collection sites and the control site are presented in Table 4.

Table 4: Microbial population density of the different waste collection and control sites

Bacteria type	Sites	Minimum CFU/g	Maximum CFU/g	Mean \pm Standard Deviation CFU/g
Culturable heterotrophic bacteria	A	1.56×10^6	2.68×10^9	$5.50 \times 10^7 \pm 0.92^a$
	B	3.25×10^5	5.16×10^9	$4.23 \times 10^8 \pm 0.03^b$
	C	4.18×10^5	6.67×10^8	$7.05 \times 10^7 \pm 0.06^c$
	D	3.12×10^6	6.24×10^9	$4.51 \times 10^8 \pm 0.12^d$
	E	2.23×10^6	2.16×10^8	$4.03 \times 10^7 \pm 0.35^e$
	Control	2.20×10^4	2.43×10^6	$5.31 \times 10^5 \pm 0.02^e$
	<i>p</i> -value			0.001
Total coliform bacteria	A	1.30×10^4	1.30×10^5	$1.02 \times 10^4 \pm 0.12^a$
	B	5.20×10^4	1.50×10^6	$2.53 \times 10^5 \pm 0.03^e$
	C	3.50×10^4	5.10×10^5	$3.10 \times 10^5 \pm 0.02^e$
	D	4.10×10^4	7.10×10^5	$3.10 \times 10^4 \pm 0.04^b$
	E	3.20×10^4	3.50×10^5	$1.60 \times 10^4 \pm 0.06^d$
	Control	4.10×10^2	2.20×10^4	$4.60 \times 10^3 \pm 0.01^d$
	<i>p</i> -value			0.001

Values are means of the overall readings of triplicates \pm standard deviations (SD). Site A; B; C; D and E are different waste collection sites. Values which carry different alphabets across column show a significant difference ($p < 0.05$).

The culturable heterotrophic bacteria density range between 4.18×10^5 and 6.24×10^9 CFU/g across the studied waste collection sites. For the control site, the culturable heterotrophic bacteria density range between 2.20×10^4 and 2.43×10^6 CFU/g. The coliform bacteria density ranged from 1.30×10^4 - 1.50×10^6 CFU/g across the studied waste collection sites. For the control site, the coliform bacteria density ranged from 4.10×10^2 - 2.20×10^4 CFU/g.

Microbial consortium and identification of the bacterial isolates: Inappropriate management of waste collection sites could result in significant adverse environmental consequence such as attraction of mice, wind blow litter, the culmination of pollutants such as leachate and toxic heavy metals which can contaminate underground aquifer/soil bed and also serve as breeding sites of pathogenic microorganisms (Antai *et al.*, 2015). When waste is discarded on land, soil microorganisms readily

inhabit the waste carrying out the cleavage and transformation of degradable organic matter in the waste (Antai *et al.*, 2016). Microorganisms in waste collection sites use the waste materials as nutrients via digestive mineralization /transformation of complex organic matter into simpler/less toxic molecules (Verla *et al.*, 2014; Balkhair and Ashraf, 2016). The microbial density of the different waste collection sites is as shown in Table 4. The range of heterotrophic count in the study range between 2.20×10^4 and 5.16×10^9 . Similar heterotrophic bacteria count in agreement with the findings of this study have been reported (Ogunmwonyi *et al.*, 2008; Chikere and Ekwuabu, 2014; Eze *et al.*, 2014; Atalia *et al.*, 2015). Microorganisms from organic waste have been applied in the bioremediation of palm oil mill effluent (Ojonoma and Udeme, 2014). The bacterial identified from this study are presented in Table 5.

Table 5: Distribution of the bacteria isolated from the different waste collection sites

Sites	Bacterial Isolates
A	<i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i> , <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> and <i>Salmonella</i> sp.
B	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> , <i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> and <i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i>
C	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> , <i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Bacillus macerans</i> , <i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i> and <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>
D	<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i> , <i>Micrococcus luteus</i> , <i>Escherichia coli</i> and <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
E	<i>Proteus vulgaris</i> , <i>Micrococcus roseus</i> , <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> and <i>Pseudomonas putida</i>
Control	<i>Bacillus macerans</i> ; <i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> and <i>Staphylococcus saprophyticus</i>

Site A; B; C; D and E are different waste collection sites.

They include *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella oxytoca*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Salmonella* sp., *Bacillus subtilis*, *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Micrococcus luteus*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Proteus vulgaris*, *Micrococcus roseus*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Pseudomonas putida*, *Bacillus macerans*, and *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*. Studies on the contamination of ground and surface water in close proximity to dump site and the public health implication have been reported (David and Oluyeye, 2014). Eze *et al.*, (2014) also reported bacterial isolates to be *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella* spp., *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Micrococcus* spp., *Bacillus* spp., *Citrobacter* spp. and *Streptococcus* spp. which were similar to the bacteria isolates in our study. The elevated number of CFU/g in case of all these soil samples from waste collection sites indicates the spontaneous composting and enrichments potentials of the catabolic/anabolic profile of the microbial diversity in concomitance to the physicochemical nature of the collection sites at the various stages of compost process.

Conclusion: Findings from this study reveal that the municipal solid waste on the collection sites has impacts on the microbial community of the resident soil. We, therefore, call on relevant authorities, government and environmental agencies to help introduce/provide well planned and closed waste

collection sites, together with good waste management systems, so as to help decrease or stern further public health risk and environmental hazards that may emanate from the use of open and unplanned waste collection sites.

Acknowledgment: We are grateful to the University of Benin for providing the enabling environment for the study. Also we thank the Applied Microbial Processes and Environmental Health Research Laboratory, Department of Microbiology, University of Benin for providing lab space and materials to carry out this study.

REFERENCES

- Adefemi, OS; Awokunmi, EE (2009). The impact of municipal solid waste disposal in Ado Ekiti metropolis, Ekiti State, Nigeria. *Afri. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 3(8): 186-189.
- Antai, SP; Asitok, AD; Tiku, DR; Louis, OI (2015). Distribution and frequency of occurrence of bacteria and fungi isolates in Garden Street dumpsite in Calabar Municipality, Cross River State, Nigeria. *Int. J. Innov. Sci. Eng. Tech* 3(11): 185-201.

- Antai, SP; Louis, OI; Tiku, DR (2016). Microbiological and physicochemical analysis of some selected municipal dumpsites in Calabar municipality, Cross River State. *Imperial J. Int. Res.* 2(11): 1463-1478.
- Atalia, KR; Buha, DM; Joshi, JJ; Shah, NK (2015). Microbial biodiversity of municipal solid waste of Ahmedabad. *J. Mat. Environ. Sci.* 6(7): 1914-1923.
- Bahnasawy, M; Khidr, A; Dheina, N (2011). Assessment of heavy metal concentrations in water, plankton, and fish of Lake Manzala, Egypt. *Turk. J. Zool.* 35(2): 271-280.
- Balkhair, KS; Ashraf, MA (2016). Field accumulation risks of heavy metals in soil and vegetable crop irrigated with sewage water in the western region of Saudi Arabia. *Saudi. J. Biol. Sci.* 23: S32-S44.
- Bassey, FI; Iwegbue, CMA; Obi-Iyeke, GE; Tesi, GO; Rotu, AR; Gobe, OA; Tsafe AI (2014). Heavy metals in soils and tomatoes grown in urban fringe environment in Asaba, Delta State, Nigeria. *Nig. J Basic and Appl. Sci.* 22(1&2): 27-31.
- Bowles, TM; Acosta-Martínez, V; Calderón, F; Jackson, LE (2014). Soil enzyme activities, microbial communities, and carbon and nitrogen availability in organic agroecosystems across an intensively-managed agricultural landscape. *Soil. Biol. Biochem.* 68: 252-262.
- Boyucos, GH (1951). A recalibration of the hydrometer for making a mechanical analysis of soils. *Agro. J.* 43: 434-438.
- Cai, A; Feng, W; Zhang, W; Xu, M (2016). Climate, soil texture, and soil types affect the contributions of fine fraction-stabilized carbon to total soil organic carbon in different land uses across China. *J. Environ. Mangt.* 172: 2-9.
- Chikere, BC; Ekwuabu, CB (2014). Culture-dependent characterization of hydrocarbon utilizing bacteria in selected crude oil-impacted sites in Bodo, Ogoni land, Nigeria. *Afr. J Environ. Sci. Tech.* 8(6): 401-406.
- Chopra, G; Kanzar, C (1988). Analytical Agricultural Chemistry (2nd ed.). New Delhi, India: Prentice-Hall, 1988.
- David, OM; Oluyeye, AO (2014). Effect of open refuse dumpsite on the quality of underground water used for domestic purposes in Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria - A public health awareness study. *J. Environ. Ecol.* 5(2): 1-9.
- Dewis, J; Freitas, F (1984). Physical and chemical methods of soil and water analysis. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. FAO. Soils. Bull. 10: pg275.
- Diagboya, NP; Olu-Owolabi, IB; Adebowale, OK (2015). Effects of time, soil organic matter, and iron oxides on the relative retention and redistribution of lead, cadmium, and copper on soils. *Environ. Sci. Poll. Res.* 22: 10331-10339.
- Dou, F; Soriano, J; Tabien, RE; Chen, K (2016). Soil texture and cultivar effects on rice (*Oryza sativa*, L.) grain yield, yield components and water productivity in three water regimes. *PLoS ONE* 11(3): e0150549.
- Dubey, RC (2009) A textbook of Biotechnology. S. Chandy and Company Ltd. Ram Nagar, New Delhi – 110055
- Eze, VC; Onwuakor, CE; Orok, FE (2014). Microbiological and physicochemical characteristics of soil contaminated with used petroleum products in Umuahia, Abia State, Nigeria. *J. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2(6): 281-286.
- Grisso, BR; Mark-Allay, WG; Holshouser, D; Thomason, W (2009). Precision Farming Tools: Soil Electrical Conductivity. Virginia Corporative Extension 6pp.
- Gua, Y; Gaob, Y; Lin, Q (2016). Contamination, bioaccessibility and human health risk of heavy metals in exposed-lawn soils from 28 urban parks in southern China's largest city, Guangzhou. *Appl. Geochem.* 67: 52-58.
- Gupta, A; Rajamani, P (2015). Toxicity assessment of municipal solid waste landfill leachate collected in different seasons from Okhala Landfill site of Delhi. *J. Biomed. Sci. Eng.* 8: 357-369.
- Hermann T, Da-Silva MR, Montanarella L: Heavy metals in agricultural soils of the European Union with implications for food safety. *Environ. Int.* 88: 299-309. 2016.
- Hoffman, BM; Lukoyanov, D; Yang, Z; Dean, DR; Seefeldt, LC (2014). Mechanism of nitrogen fixation by nitrogenase: The next stage. *Chem. Rev.* 114(8): 4041- 4062.
- Igbinosa, EO; Igiehon, ON (2015). The impact of cassava effluent on the microbial and

- physicochemical characteristics on soil dynamics and structure. *Jordan J. Biol. Sci.* 8(2): 107-112.
- Igbinosa, EO (2015). Effect of cassava mill effluent on the biological activity of soil microbial community. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 187: 418-427.
- Khan, SR; Kumar, NJI; Kumar, RN; Patel, JG (2014). Baseline study for bioremediation of diesel-contaminated soil site of Anand, Gujarat, India. *Int. Res. J. Chem.* 4: 37-53.
- Liang, Y; Cao, X; Zhao, L; Arellano, E (2014). Biochar- and phosphate-induced immobilization of heavy metals in contaminated soil and water: Implication on simultaneous remediation of contaminated soil and groundwater. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 21(6): 4665-4674.
- Mahar, A; Wang, P; Ali, A; Awasthi, MK; Lahori, AH; Wang, Q; Li, R; Zhang, Z (2016). Challenges and opportunities in the phytoremediation of heavy metals contaminated soils: A review. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 126: 111-121.
- Majolagbe, AO; Adeyi, AA; Osibanjo, O; Adams, AO, Ojuri, OO (2017). Pollution vulnerability and health risk assessment of groundwater around an engineering Landfill in Lagos, Nigeria. *Chem. Int.* 3(1): 58-68.
- Mooshammer, M; Wanek, W; Hammerle, I; Fuchsluger, L; Hofmans, F; Knoltsch, A; Schnecker, J; Takriti, M; Watzka, M; Wild, B; Keiblinger, KM; Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S; Richter, A (2014). Adjustment of microbial nitrogen use efficiency to carbon: nitrogen imbalances regulate soil nitrogen cycling. *Nat. Comm.* 5: 3694-3701.
- Ogunmwoyi, IN; Igbinosa, OE; Aiyegoro, OA; Odjajare, EE (2008). Microbial analysis of different topsoil samples of a selected site in Obafemi Awolowo University, Nigeria. *Sci. Res. Essay.* 3(3): 120-124.
- Ojonoma, LO; Udemé, JJI (2014). Bioremediation of palm oil mill effluent (pome) polluted soil using microorganisms found in organic wastes. *Int. J. Biotechnol.* 3(3): 32-46.
- Olukunle, OF (2013). Microbial population and physicochemical properties of oil-polluted sites in selected areas of Niger Delta, Nigeria. *FUTA J. Res. Sci.* 2: 249-258.
- Osemwota, OI (2010). Effect of abattoir effluent on the physical and chemical properties of soils. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 167: 399-404.
- Pathak, H; Bhatnagar, K; Jaroli, DP (2011). Physicochemical properties of petroleum polluted soil collected from transport Nagar (Jaipur). *Indian J. Fund. Appl. Life. Sci.* 1 (3): 84-89.
- Peech, M (1965). Hydrogen-ion activity. In CA Black, DD Evans, JL White, LE Ensminger, FE Clark(Eds.). *Methods of Soil Analysis, part 2, Chemical and Microbiological Properties Agronomy*; Madison, Wisconsin, USA 9: 914-925.
- Rowell, DL (1996). *Soil science: Methods and Applications* (3rd edition) London, UK Longman, 1996.
- Sungur, A; Soylak, M; Ozcan, H (2014). Investigation of heavy metal mobility and availability by the BCR sequential extraction procedure: Relationship between soil properties and heavy metals availability. *Chem. Spec. Bioavail.* 26(4): 219-230.
- Thakur, S; Singh, L; Ab-Wahid, Z; Siddiqui, MF; At Naw, SM; Md-Din, MF (2016). Plant-driven removal of heavy metals from soil: Uptake, translocation, tolerance mechanism, challenges, and future perspectives. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 188: 206-217.
- Uffia, ID; Ekpo, FE; Etim, DE (2013). Influence of heavy metals pollution in borehole water collected within abandoned battery industry, Essien Udim, Nigeria. *J. Environ. Sci. W. Res.* 2(1): 022-026.
- Verla, AW; Adowei, P; Verla, EN (2014). Physicochemical and microbiological characteristic of palm oil mill effluent (POME) in Nguru, Aboh Mbaise, Eastern Nigeria. *Acta. Chim. Pharm. Indica.* 4(3): 119-125.
- Walkley, A; Black, CA (1934). An examination of the Degtjarett method for determining soil organic matter and proposed a modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Sci* 37: 29-38.
- Xu, X; Shi, Z; Li, D; Rey, A; Ruan, H; Craine, JM; Liang, J; Zhou, J; Luo, Y (2016). Soil properties control decomposition of soil organic carbon: Results from the data-assimilation analysis. *Geoderma.* 262: 235-242.
- Yang, X; Liu, J; McGrouther, K; Huang, H; Lu, K; Guo, X; He, L; Lin, X, Che, L; Ye, Z; Wang, H (2016). Effect of biochar on the extractability of heavy metals (Cd, Cu, Pb, and Zn) and enzyme activity in soil. *Environ. Sci. Pol. Res.* 23(2): 974-84.
- Zhang, L; Fan, J; Ding, X; He, X; Zhang, F; Feng, G (2014). Hyphosphere interactions between an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus and a phosphate solubilizing bacterium promote phytate mineralization in soil. *Soil. Biol. Biochem.* 74: 177-183.