



ASN-PH-020919
ISSN: 2315-5388

International Journal of Basic, Applied and Innovative Research
IJBAIR, 2012, 1(4): 183 - 187
www.antrescentpub.com

RESEARCH PAPER

THE PREVALENCE AND RESISTIVITY PATTERN OF *STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS* ISOLATES FROM APPARENTLY HEALTHY UNIVERSITY STUDENTS IN EKPOMA, EDO, NIGERIA

¹Eke, S., ¹Abdulkadiri, S., ²Okoro, C.J., ³Ekeh, S.N., ²Mbachi, N.G.

¹Department of Medical Laboratory Science, Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma, Nigeria; ²Department of Microbiology, University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital, Ituku-Ozalla, Enugu, Nigeria; ³Department of Chemical Pathology, Enugu State University Teaching Hospital, Enugu, Nigeria.

*Corresponding Author: elokachidebere@yahoo.com

Received: 24th September, 2012

Accepted: 27th December, 2012

Published: 31st December, 2012

ABSTRACT

Based on reported cases of increased multi-antibiotic resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus*, this study investigates the prevalence and resistivity pattern of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from ear and nasal swabs of apparently healthy students. A total of 100 samples comprising 50 nasal and 50 ear swabs, were collected randomly from students of Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma, Edo State, Nigeria. The samples were inoculated on Mannitol salt agar and then incubated for a period of 18-24 hours following standard laboratory procedures. The results showed that the isolates obtained from cultures of nose and ear swabs included *Staphylococcus aureus* (66.70%; 44.40%), *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (55.6%; 33.3%), and *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* (60%; 40%) respectively. However, the level of resistivity to Methicillin by *Staphylococcus aureus* amongst the sampled population was observed to be 44.4% for ear swabs and 66.7% for nose swabs. Interestingly, microbial resistance was higher for Ampicillin than Methicillin, while Tetracycline, among other antibiotics, was the most effective to both ear and nose isolates. Thus, the treatment for *Staphylococcus aureus* with Methicillin and other related antibiotics should be limited or controlled by susceptibility test results. It is also recommended that complete doses of appropriate antibiotics should always be taken to avoid the emergence of resistant strains.

Keywords: *Methicillin, Staphylococcus aureus, Antibiotics, Antibiotic resistance,*

INTRODUCTION

Staphylococcus aureus -the most important pathogenic organisms in the genus *Staphylococcus*, is a Gram-positive, catalase positive, coagulase positive, non-motile coccus bacterium that causes a variety of human infections in all age groups (Boyce, 1981). It has overcome most of the therapeutic agents that have been developed in recent years and hence, antimicrobial chemotherapy for this species has always been empirical (Jun *et al.*, 2004). Its mechanism of resistance to beta lactam and the fluoroquinolones has been documented (Kloos, 1998).

It has also been reported that *S. aureus* strains have a wide variety of multi-drug resistant genes on plasmids, which can be exchanged and spread among different species of Staphylococci and can be transferred to new bacterial hosts (Neihart *et al.*, 1988). This phenomenon is more worrisome in developing countries such as Nigeria, where antimicrobial drugs are readily available to consumers across the counter with or without prescription from a medical practitioner (Nnochiri, 1973; Adekeye, 1979). The most notable example is the emergence of Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), which was reported just one year after the launch of Methicillin (Qureshi *et al.*, 2004).

MRSA is a major nosocomial pathogen causing significant morbidity and mortality (Sachdev *et al.*, 2003). This pathogen causes various types of diseases and infections ranging from minor skin to soft tissues infections including immune-compromised patients due to its ability to survive in different growth conditions (Lowy, 1998; Lowy, 2003). It has also been reported that the predominant mode of patient-to-patient transmission in hospitals are infected or colonized patients and transient hand carriage on the hands of health care workers (McDonald, 1997). On the other hand, prolonged hospital stay, indiscriminate use of antibiotics, lack of awareness, and receipt of antibiotics before coming to the hospital have also been adjudged as possible predisposing factors of MRSA emergence (Anupurba *et al.*, 2003). In addition, with increasing migration throughout the world, transmission of multi-resistant super bugs from one country to another has become a possibility (Ang *et al.*, 2004)

Despite the global incidence of MRSA coupled with the increasing multi-antibiotic resistance, it is worrisome to note that the spread of *Staphylococcus aureus* in developing countries is still been underestimated. This study therefore, is designed to establish the prevalence and resistivity pattern of *staphylococcus aureus* isolates from ear and nasal swabs of apparently healthy students of Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma, Nigeria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area and population: This study was carried out in Ekpoma, located in Esan West Local Government Area of Edo State, Nigeria.

Subjects: A total of 100 apparently healthy students of Ambrose Alli University students (50 males; 50 females) served as the study population.

Study Duration: This study was carried out within a period of two months.

Sample collection: The materials used are swab sticks, slides, petri dishes, wire loops, hydrogen peroxide, normal saline, human plasma, crystal violet, lugol's iodine, acetone, neutral red, Bunsen burner, sensitivity discs (Methicillin, Tetracycline, Ciprofloxacin and Ampicillin). Nasal and ear swabs were collected from 50 males (25 ear and 25 nasal swabs) and 50 females (25 ear and 25 nasal swabs) and examined. This was done by inserting a sterile swab stick each inside each nostrils and ear of each subject. After this, the swab sticks were taken to the laboratory for culturing in Nutrient agar and then Manitol salt agar for specificity.

Sample Analysis: Isolation and characterization of bacteria: The swab specimens were inoculated on nutrient agar and subsequently sub-cultured in mannitol salt agar (Difco) as to obtain discrete colonies. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours under aerobic conditions. After 24 hours of incubation, the culture plates were examined recording the appearance, size, colour, and morphology of the colonies. The bacterial isolates were identified using standard bacteriological procedures, including Gram stain, microscopic examination and biochemical tests as described by Cheesbrough (2004). Isolates that were gram-positive cocci, catalase positive and coagulated human plasma were considered *Staphylococcus aureus* in this study.

Antibiotics sensitivity test: Commercially prepared antibiotics discs such as methicillin, tetracycline, ciprofloxacin and ampicillin were used to test the susceptibility of *staphylococcus* isolates obtained. The test isolate was streaked aseptically using a sterile wire loop and spread on a nutrient agar plate uniformly. The antibiotic discs were aseptically placed on the plate; this was incubated at 37°C for 24 hours and examined for zones of inhibition around each antibiotic. The zones of inhibition were measured in millimetres and recorded. Antibiotic zone with less than 10mm in diameter were recorded as being resistant (R) by the organism while those with diameter of 10mm and above were recorded as sensitive (S).

Data analysis: Chi- square statistic was used to determine the differences in the level of resistance by *staphylococcus aureus* to methicillin.

RESULTS

The result showed that of the 50 nasal swabs examined, 26 samples yielded positive for *staphylococcus* species and also, of 50 samples of ear swabs examined, 13 yielded positive for *staphylococcus* species as seen in table 1.

The number of *staphylococcus aureus* isolated from nose was 26 (52%) and from ear was 13 (26%) - table 1. Among the *staphylococcus* species isolated, the prevalence of *Staphylococcus aureus* was higher.

Exposure of *Staphylococcus aureus* to standard concentrations of different antibiotics by agar diffusion method on nutrient agar plates, showed that 6(46.2%) from the ear swabs and 10 (38.5%) from nasal swabs were found resistant to Methicillin (see table 2).

Table 1: Isolates obtained from cultures of ear and nose swabs of Ambrose Alli University students.

| Isolates | Ear | Nose |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> | 13(44.4%) | 26(66.7%) |
| <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> | 4(33.3%) | 5(55.6%) |
| <i>Staphylococcus saprophyticus</i> | 2(40%) | 3(60%) |
| Total | 19(35.8%) | 34(64.2%) |

Table 2: Antibiotic resistance pattern of *Staphylococcus aureus* to methicillin

| Samples | Number of <i>staphylococcus aureus</i> isolates | Met (%) | Tet (%) | Pen (%) | Ap (%) | Cip (%) |
|----------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Nose | 26 | 10(38.5%) | 3(11.5%) | 1(7.7%) | 12(92.3%) | 1(7.7%) |
| Ear | 13 | 6(46.2%) | 1(7.7%) | 9(34.6%) | 25(96.2%) | 4(15.4%) |
| Total | 39 | 16(41.0%) | 3(7.7%) | 10(25.6%) | 37(94.9%) | 5(12.8%) |
| X ² | | 12.154 | 51.231 | | | |
| P.value | | <0.05 | <0.05 | | | |

Key: Met = Methicillin; Tet =Tetracycline; Pen=Penicillin; Ap=Ampicillin; Cip=Ciprofloxacin

DISCUSSION

Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) has been proven to be one of the most worldwide spread nosocomial pathogen of the 20th century (Nimmo *et al.*, 2000). The observed prevalence of *Staphylococcus* in this study, as compared to *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*, is in line with the findings from a study by Doig, (1981). It is also in agreement with the reports by Nester *et al.* (2001) that 20 % of healthy adults have continually positive nasal cultures for a year or more, while over 60 % will be colonized at some time during a given year. Also, the colonization rate may range from 10 % or more than 40 % in a normal adult population (Kloos, 1998).

Furthermore, the percentage resistant to methicillin as observed in this study, is of great concern that has been widely reported worldwide (Fridkin, 2001; Hiramatsu *et al.*, 1997), and in Nigeria communities (Ikeh, 2003; Onanuga *et al.*, 2005; Olayinka *et al.*, 2005). Judging by the number of *staphylococcus aureus* isolated from nose and ear, one can conclude that the nasal carrier rate in this study was higher than what earlier workers reported in normal populations (Osuide *et al.*, 1996). This may be attributed to the function of the nasal cavity as the route for air passage, making it more prone to dust carrying *Staphylococcus aureus*. Additionally, some individuals touch their nose more frequently, thereby transferring bacteria from their hands to nostril. On the other hand, it can be said that fewer *Staphylococcus aureus* colonized the ear probably because individuals also touch their ear less often than their nose (Chigbu and Ezeronye, 2003).

In addition, the observation that resistance to Ampicillin was greater, supports the findings by Gross- Schulman *et al.*, 1998) that MRSA strains are equally resistant to all beta-lactam antibiotics. The high level of resistance observed for Ampicillin is also in agreement with the reports by Onanuga *et al.*, (2005) who observed a resistivity of 91.7 % and 100 % to Ampicillin respectively in their two different studies. This can be attributed to antibiotic abuse in the developing countries such as Nigeria, where strict regulatory policies are not adequately implemented and as such, have rendered commonly used antibiotics completely ineffective in the treatment of *Staphylococcus aureus* infections (Odugbemi, 1981).

Although resistance to ciprofloxacin has been reported to be high (Qureshi *et al.*, 2004), the observation on the resistant pattern of *Staphylococcus aureus* to ciprofloxacin agrees with the findings from a study by Chigbu and

Ezeronye (2003) in which Cloxacillin, ciprofloxacin, and lincomycin, recorded high sensitivity. This may be because they are relatively new. Similarly, the observation that there was a low resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* to penicillin, contradicts the findings from a study by Rajaduraiipandi et al. (2006) whereby all the carrier strains were resistant to penicillin and most of them were resistant to ampicillin.

In conclusion, based on the fact that the resistance or sensitivity of MRSA towards commonly used antibiotics is recognized to be diverse from region to region (Rajaduraiipandi et al 2006), our findings suggest therefore, that there is a high prevalence of multi-drug resistance MRSA among apparently healthy students without any healthcare risk factor, and that there is the need for continuous surveillance of antibiotic sensitivity pattern of *Staphylococcus aureus* with a view to achieving effective therapy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We acknowledge the technical assistance provided by Mr. Eloka C. Chidebere towards the successful presentation of this article.

REFERENCES

Adekeye, D. (1979). Resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates of man and other animal to five antibiotics commonly used in Nigeria. *Nigeria Med. J.* 9: 195 – 197.

Ang, J.Y., Ezike, E. And Asmar, B.I. (2004). Antimicrobial resistance. In *Indian Journal of Pediatrics*, vol. 71, no. 3, 2004, p. 229-239.

Anupurba, S., Sen, M.R., Nath, G., Sharma, B.M., Gulati, A.K. and Mohapatra, T.M. (2003). Prevalence of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in a Tertiary care Referral Hospital in Eastern UttarPradesh. *Indian J Med Microbiol*; **21**:49–51.

Boyce, J.M. (1981). Nosocomial Staphylococcal infection. *Am. Intern. Med. J.* 95: 241 –242.

Cheesbrough, M. (2004). District laboratory practice in tropical countries part 2, Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom, 2004, pp. 434.

Chigbu, C.O. and Ezeronye, O.U. (2003). Antibiotic resistant *staphylococcus aureus* in Abia State of Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology* Vol. 2 (10), pp. 374-378.

Doig, C.M. (1981). Nasal carriage of *Staphylococcus* in a general surgical unit. *Brit. J. Surg.* 58: 113.

Fridkin, S.K. (2001). Vancomycin-intermediate and resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: What the infectious disease specialist need to know. In *Clinical Infectious Disease*, vol. 32, 2001. p. 108-115.

Gross-Schulman, S., Dassey, D., Mascola, L. and Anaya, C. (1998). CA-MRSA disease in three communities. In *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 352, p. 1436-1444.

Hiramatsu, K., Hanaki, H., Ino, T., Ogun, T., Tenover, F.C. (1997). MRSA clinical strains with reduced vancomycin susceptibility. In *Journal of Antimicrobials and Chemotherapy*,. 40: 135-136.

Ikeh, E.I. (2003). Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) at the Jos University Teaching Hospital. In *African Journal of Clinical and Experimental Microbiology*, 4: 52-62.

Jun, I.S., Tomoko, F., Katsutoshi, S., Hisami, K., Haruo, N. and Akihiko, K. (2004). Prevalence of erythromycin, tetracycline, and aminoglycoside-resistance genes in methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in hospitals in Tokyo and Kumamoto. *Jpn J Infect Dis*; **57**:75–7.

Kloos, W.E. (1998). *Staphylococcus*. In: Topley and Wilson's Microbiology and Microbial Infections, London: Edward Arnold Vol. 2, 9th edn, pp 602-611.

Lowy, F.D. (1998). *Staphylococcus aureus* infections. In *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 339, 1998, p. 520-532.

Lowy, F.D. (2003). Antimicrobial resistance: The example of *Staphylococcus aureus*. In *Journal of Clinical Investigations*, vol. 111, 2003, p. 1265-1267.

McDonald, M. (1997). The epidemiology of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: Surgical relevance 20 years on. *Aust N Z J Surg*; **67**:682-5.

Neihart, R.E., Fried, J.S. and Hodges, G.R. (1988). Coagulase – positive Staphylococci. *South Med. J.* 81: 491 – 500.

Nester, E.W., Denise, G.A., Evans-Roberts, J.N.R.C., Nancy, N.P., Martha, T. N. (2001). *Microbiology : A Human Perspective*. 3rd Edition., McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc, New York, pp. 820.

Nimmo, G.R., Schooneveldt, J.O., O'kane, G., Mccall, B. And Vickery, A. (2000). Community acquisition of gentamicin sensitive methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in South East Queensland, Australia. In *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 38, 2000, p. 3926-3931.

Nnochiri, R. (1973). The changing patterns of antibiotic resistance and pathogenic bacterial isolates as indicators of drug abuse in middle Africa. In: Proceedings of the 1973 Annual Scientific Conference of East Africa Medical Research Council, pp. 4 – 6.

Odugbemi, T. (1981). The use and abuse of antibiotics. *Nig Med Pract.* 1(1):4-8

Olayinka, B.O., Olayinka, A.T., Onaolapo, J. A. and Olurinola, P.F. (2005). Pattern of resistance to vancomycin and other antimicrobial agents in a university teaching hospital. In *African Journal of Clinical and Experimental Microbiology*, 6: 46- 52.

Onanuga, A., Oyi, A.R. and Onaolapo, A. J. (2005). Prevalence and susceptibility pattern of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates among healthy women in Zaria, Nigeria. In *African Journal of Biotechnology*, vol. 4, no. 11, p. 1321- 1324.

Osuide, M.I., Agborilahor, D.E., Imarenezor, O.F. and Odenema, E.M. (1996). Carriage of *Staphylococcus aureus* among students of Edo State University, Ekpoma, Nigeria. *J. Med. Lab. Sci.* 5: 65 – 68.

Qureshi, A.H., Rafi, S., Qureshi, S.M. and Ali, A.M. (2004). The current susceptibility patterns of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* to conventional anti *Staphylococcus* antimicrobials at Rawalpindi. *Pak J Med Sci*; 20:361-4.

Rajaduraiipandi, K., Mani KR., Panneerselvam K, Manii M., Bhaskar K. and Manikandan P. (2006). Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: A multicentre study. *Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology*; 24(1): 34-38.

Sachdev, D., Amladi, S., Nataraj, G., Baveja, S., Kharkar, V. and Maharajan, S. (2003). An Outbreak of Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) infection in dermatology indoor patients. *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol*; 69:377– 80.

AUTHOR(S) CONTRIBUTION

Eke, S., Abdulkadiri, S., Okoro, C.J., Ekoh, S.N. and Mbachii, N.G., were involved in the design of the study, subject's recruitment, sample collection and analysis. Each author's efforts contributed to the successful presentation of this article.