

ON UNIFORMLY ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS IN NON-DIVERGENCE FORM

P. W. DARKO

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, 1570 Baltimore Pike, P. O. Box 179,
Lincoln University, PA 19352.*

Email:pdarko10@aol.com

Abstract

Using fundamental solutions, exact solutions are constructed for uniformly elliptic equations in non-divergence form. Distributional and viscosity solutions and L_p estimates are obtained and solutions are derived for the Dirichlet problem.

Introduction

In the AMS colloquium publication (Caffarelli & Cabre, 1995), the regularity theory of fully non-linear elliptic equations is reduced to solutions of uniformly elliptic equations in non-divergence form. Fully non-linear elliptic equations are very useful in applied mathematics. They arise in gas dynamics, in control theory, in optimisation, in elastic thin shells, in stochastic theory and Monge-Kantorvitch mass transfer problem. Because of this, uniformly elliptic equations in non-divergence form are also very useful. However, the regularity theory for these linear equations (Chen & WV, 1998) is ageing. Therefore, it pays to take a closer fresh look at these linear equations. In the paper, fundamental solutions were used to construct exact solutions of uniformly elliptic equations in non-divergence form. Distributional solutions, viscosity solutions, and L_p estimates were obtained. The Dirichlet problem for these linear equations were solved.

Uniformly elliptic equations in the form

$$L(u) = a_{ij} \partial_{ij}(u) = f \quad \text{were considered.} \tag{1.1}$$

In a bounded domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^n , where there are constants $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$ such that

$$\lambda |\xi|^2 \leq a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \Lambda |\xi|^2 \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega \text{ and } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \tag{1.2}$$

and the summation convention was used. This implies that $\lambda \leq a_{jj}(x) \leq \Lambda$ for all $x \in \Omega$ and

$$1 \leq j \leq n. \quad \left(\text{Here } \partial_{ij}(u) = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right).$$

Results

Throughout this section Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then there is $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ such that $L(u) = f$ in the sense of distributions and

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq K \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \quad (2.1)$$

where K is independent of f . (Here $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, are the usual Sobolev spaces.)

Theorem 2.2. Let f and each a_{ij} be continuous on Ω and $f \in L^1(\Omega)$. Then there is $u \in C^0(\Omega) \cap L^1(\Omega)$ such that $L(u) = f$.

Theorem 2.3. Let f and each a_{ij} be in $C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ and $g \in C^0(\partial\Omega)$, then there is u defined on Ω , $u \in C^0(\Omega)$, such that

$$L(u) = f \text{ on } \Omega \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$u = g \text{ on } \partial\Omega \quad (2.3)$$

Solutions and estimates

In this section those parts of the theorems that need to be proved were proved. For Theorem 1,

let e be a fundamental solution of $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$ in \mathbb{R} , that $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} e = \delta$, then the Dirac delta in \mathbb{R} .

$$\text{Define the distribution } E_j(\varphi) = e(\varphi(0, 0, \dots, j, \dots, 0, 0)), \quad (3.1)$$

the action of e being in the j th coordinate; $\varphi \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ – a test function.

Let f be zero outside Ω , $a_{ij} \equiv 1$ outside Ω and define v by

$$u_v = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n E_j * \left(\frac{f}{a_{ij}} \right) \quad (3.2)$$

where $*$ is convolution. It is then clear that u , the restriction of v to Ω satisfied $L(u) = f$ and (2.1).

To prove Theorem 2, let $\Omega_1 \subset \subset \Omega_2 \subset \subset \Omega_3 \subset \subset \dots$ with $\bigcup_{v=1}^{\infty} \Omega_v = \Omega$ be an exhaustion of Ω . Let $\{\varphi_v\}_{v=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of functions with $\varphi_v \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega_{v+1})$, $\varphi_v \equiv 1$ on Ω_v , and $0 \leq \varphi_v \leq 1$. Define $u_v \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$u_v = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n E_j * \left(\frac{f}{a_{ij}} \right) \quad (3.3)$$

where again $*$ is convolution.

Now, it is clear that $L(v_\nu) = f$ in Ω_ν and $\{u_\nu\}$ trends locally uniformly to a continuous $u \in C^0(\Omega) \cap L^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$L(u) = f \quad (3.4)$$

To prove Theorem 3, let $\{\varphi_\nu\}$ be the sequence in $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ constructed above and define

$$u_\nu := \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n E_{j*} \left(\varphi_\nu \frac{f}{a_{jj}} \right) \right\} \varphi_\nu + (1 - \varphi_\nu)g \quad (3.5)$$

Then $L(u_\nu) = f$ in Ω_ν and $\{u_\nu\}$ converges locally uniformly in Ω to a function u in $C^0(\Omega)$ such that

$$L(u) = f \text{ in } \Omega \quad (3.6)$$

$$u = g \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \quad (3.7)$$

References

- CAFFARELLI, L. A. & CABRE, X. (1995) *Fully non-linear elliptic equations; AMS colloquium publications* Vol. 43, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island.
- CHEN, Y-Z. & WV, L.-C. (1998) *Second order elliptic equations and elliptic systems, Translations of Mathematical Monographs* Vol. 174, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island.

Received 14 Jul 14; revised 29 Jul 14.