# Radiation Dosimetry and Heavy Metal Assessment of Honey in Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria

\*<sup>1</sup>Nkiruka E. Adesiji and <sup>1</sup>Samuel T. Ogunjo <sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, The Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria <u>neadesiji@futa.edu.ng</u> | <u>stogunjo@futa.edu.ng</u>

Received: 07-OCT-2024; Reviewed: 27-DEC-2024; Accepted: 29-DEC-2024 https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/fuovejet.v9i4.24

#### ORIGINAL RESEARCH

**Abstract** - Honey samples from the wild, apiary, and commercial outfits have been evaluated for the activity concentration of  ${}^{40}$ K,  ${}^{238}$ U, and  ${}^{232}$ Th using gamma spectrometry, and for heavy metals using Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy. The mean committed effective doses ranged from 9 ± 6 for adults to 25 ± 12 nSvy<sup>-1</sup> for children (1 year) for the samples from the wild; 23 ± 14 for adults to 52 ± 25 nSvy<sup>-1</sup> for children (1 year) for the samples from the apiary; and 29 ± 19 for adults to 68 ± 41 nSvy<sup>-1</sup> in children (1 year) for honey samples from commercial outfits. The samples collected from the wild and apiary had Cd and Cr below detection levels, while Pb, Cu and Zn have mean values of 7.5, 3.0 and 0.3 ppm and 3.8, 4.8 and 1.0 respectively. But for samples from commercial outfits, Cu, Cd and Pb were below detection limits while Cr and Zn have mean values of 23.0 and 11.0 ppm, respectively. The committed effective dose was below 0.29 mSvy<sup>-1</sup> recommended by UNSCEAR. The honey samples may not be of any radiological concern. However, health risks due to heavy metals cannot be ruled out.

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

Keywords: committed effective dose, excess lifetime cancer risk, gamma spectrometry, heavy metals, honey

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

## **1** INTRODUCTION

Honey is a complex natural sweetener produced by honey bees from the nectar of different plants and honeydews and stored in a honeycomb (Caridi et al., 2022; Xarchoulakos and Lasithiotakis, M. 2022). It is reported to be a source of food to ancient men (Crittenden, 2011). It is widely used and consumed in human diet because of its nutritious and medicinal properties (Borawska et al., 2013). Honey has been reported to be rich in antioxidants, antibacterial, antiviral and antifungal agents (Borawska et al., 2013; Xarchoulakos & Lasithiotakis, 2022), thus, it has found applications in medicine, cosmetic, human diet etc (Boryło et al., 2019; Dizman et al., 2020). During the process of producing honey, the bees gather not only the honeydews and nectars, but also pollens, plant resins, water as well as any pollutants that must have deposited or transferred via the roots to the plant materials (Bulubasa et al., 2021; Caridi et al., 2022). Given the

Section F- GENERAL SCIENCES

Can be cited as:

731

distanced covered by honey bees and the huge number of flowers needed to produce honey, honey can used as a bio-indicator of the environmental pollutants (Boryło et al., 2019).

Natural radionuclides is ubiquitous in the earth crust, thus the exposure of human to radiation from natural sources is nonstop and inevitable (UNSCEAR, 2000a). Some human activities like farming, quarry and mining trigger the suspension of soil samples and dust particles in the air. Vehicle movement through untarred roads also raise soil dust which settle on nearby plants and flowers. The soil dust on plants and flowers from where the bees harvest the nectars and honeydews for the production of honey could lead to the contamination of the honey with natural radionuclides present in the soil. Heavy metal contamination has being, but has increased with industrial and technological development (Fakhimzadeh & Lodenius, 2000). Agricultural, industrial, transport and other anthropogenic activities have contributed significantly to the concentration of heavy metals in the soil, atmosphere and water (Šerevičienė et al., 2022). There have also been reports of heavy metal contamination in honey from different countries (Adugna et al., 2020; Akbari et al., 2012). Some

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Faculty of Engineering, Federal University Oye-Ekiti.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author

Adesiji N. E. and Ogunjo S. T.(2024). Radiation Dosimetry and Heavy Metal Assessment of Honey in Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria\_FUOYE Journal of Engineering and Technology (FUOYEJET), 9(4), 731-737. https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/fuoyejet.v9i4.24

This is an open access article under the CC BY NC license. (<u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/</u>) http://dx.doi.org/10.46792/fuoyejet.v9i4.24

of the heavy metal metals like Zn, Cu, Ni, Fe, Se and Mn are essential for human body metabolism at trace values, but become toxic when their respective tolerance levels are exceeded while other heavy metals like Pb, Cd,Cr and Al are considered toxic to human health (Kiliç Altun et al., 2017).

There is a massive media campaign on the elimination of refine sugar from human diet and substitution of the refined sugar with natural sweeteners like honey, stevia and date fruit. There has been therefore increase in the consumption rate of honey as a result of its nutritious and medicinal benefits (Arowosoge, 2018). As a result of the increasing demand for honey, wild nest harvesting is unable to meet up with the demand. Apiculture is thus on the increase to meet up with the increasing demand. (Ajibola et al., 2012)

In line with the goals of the United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR) in the continuous estimation of radiation exposure to the human population (UNSCEAR 2000b), this work seeks to estimate the concentration of natural radionuclides and heavy metals in honey samples, estimate the committed effective dose from honey consumption due to natural radionuclides and lifetime cancer risk due to the consumption of honey.

# 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study area Akure is located in Ondo State, Nigeria. It has a GPS reading 7.2571 °N and 5.2058 °E. Fifteen (15) honey samples were collected from the study area with 5 samples from the wild, 5 samples from apiary, and five samples from some grocery stores in Akure. The honey samples harvested from the wild were purchased from local farmers who harvest honey from the forest. The samples of honey collected from the wild and apiary were multifloral type while the samples collected from the grocery stores are not specified on the labels of the containers. The collected honey samples were poured into plastic container of diameter 30 cm and height 30 cm, each weighting 150 g. The samples were analysed for natural radionuclides (40K, 238U and 232Th) and heavy metals (Zinc (Zn), Cadmium (Cd), Lead (Pb), Copper (Cu) and Chromium (Cr)).

The concentrations of the natural radionuclides were estimated using gamma spectrometry method. The energy calibration of the NaI(Tl) spectrometer was carried out using the RSS8 gamma source set traceable to Spectrum Techniques LLC, USA. It was accomplished by measuring the spectra of point sources emitting gamma-rays of known energies. The efficiency calibration of the detector was also carried out using a reference source consisting of known radionuclide activities: 40K (578.4 Bqkg-1), 238U (20.9 Bqkg-1) and 232Th (10.47 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup>). The source was prepared in a container that has the same geometry as the sample and counted for a period of 18000 s. Before the sample measurement, an empty container was counted for 18000 s, so as to determine the background gamma-ray distribution count. The sealed samples, after attaining secular equilibrium were each placed on the detector one after the other for analysis. Each sample was counted for the same period as that of the empty container. The following energy peaks were used in determining the concentration of the radionuclides: 1460.0 keV (40K), 1764.5 keV of <sup>214</sup>Bi (<sup>238</sup>U), and 2614.7 keV of <sup>208</sup>Tl (<sup>232</sup>Th). The activity concentration A (Bqkg<sup>-1</sup>) of each radionuclide in the sample was estimated using

$$A = \frac{C_{net}}{P_{\gamma} \times \varepsilon \times m \times t} \tag{1}$$

Equation 1 (Jibiri et al., 2007)

Where  $C_{net}$  is the net peak count for each radionuclide present in the sample after subtracting the background count from the gross count,  $P\gamma$  is the absolute gamma ray emission probability of the identified radionuclide,  $\varepsilon$  is the obtained full energy peak efficiency for each identified radionuclide, m is sample mass and t is the counting time.

The committed effective dose  $E_{ing}$  (Svy<sup>-1</sup>) due to the ingestion of honey was calculated using Equation 2 while Equation 3 gives the lifetime cancer risk which is the probability of developing cancer during lifetime (Adesiji & Ademola, 2019; Aladeniyi et al., 2021; Isinkaye & Emelue, 2015).

$$E_{ing} = \sum_{s} C, A_{ing} D_{s}$$
 (2) Where Cs

is the activity concentration of a specific radionuclide (Bqkg<sup>-1</sup>), A<sub>ing</sub> is the amount of honey consumed (0.02 kgy<sup>-1</sup>) (FAOSTAT, 2019) and D<sub>s</sub> is the ingestion dose coefficients for specific radionuclide (SvBq<sup>-1</sup>). The values for D<sub>s</sub> used in this study for ages 1, 5, 10. 15

<sup>732</sup> 

<sup>© 2024</sup> The Author(s). Published by Faculty of Engineering, Federal University Oye-Ekiti.

This is an open access article under the CC BY NC license. (<u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/</u>) http://dx.doi.org/10.46792/fuoyejet.v9i4.24

years and adult for <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th were obtained from International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP), (2012).

$$ELCR = E_{ing}..LE..RF$$
 (3) Where  $E_{ing}$ 

is the committed effective dose (Svy<sup>-1</sup>), LE is the life expectancy in Nigeria (55 years for males and 57 for females) (UNFPA, 2022) and RF is the fatal cancer risk factor ( $0.05 \text{ Sv}^{-1}$ ) ((ICRP. 1991).

The Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS) technique was employed to estimate the heavy metal concentration in the honey samples. The honey samples were digested with acid and the concentrations of Cu, Cd, Cr, Pb, and Zn were determined using a Buck Model 210 VGP Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer. Digestion of samples was performed in FOSS TECATOR<sup>™</sup> Digester. The digested samples were cooled to room temperature, then transferred into test tubes and examined for the presence of the heavy metals using VARIAN AA 240FS Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrometer. The fuel and oxidant used were acetylene and air, respectively.

### 3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The activity concentration of <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th in Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> is presented in Table 1. The samples collected from the wild are labelled HON 1-5, samples collected from the apiary are labelled HONT 1 - 5, and samples collected from commercial outfits are labelled HONK 1 - 5. The activity concentration of <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>238</sup>U, and <sup>232</sup>Th ranged from 6.49 to 14.96 with a mean of 11.67 ± 3.63; 0.34 to 1.28 with a mean of 0.82 ± 0.39 and 0.29 to 2.96 with a mean of 1.47  $\pm$  1.13 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively in the HON samples. The HONT samples ranged from 3.90 to 16.56 with a mean of 10.68 ± 5.01; 0.53 to 1.64 with a mean of 0.97 ± 0.44 and 1.55 to 8.65 with a mean of 4.53  $\pm$  3.14 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> respectively, for <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th. For the HONK samples, the activity concentration of <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th ranged from 1.83 to 33.68 with a mean of  $18.77 \pm 12.30$ ; 0.40 to 2.15 with a mean of 1.68 ± 0.75 and 0.82 to 10.95 with a mean of  $5.40 \pm 3.80$  Bqkg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. From the average activity of the radionuclides <sup>40</sup>K had the highest values followed by 232Th with 238U having the lowest values in all the samples. The honey samples sourced from the commercial stores recorded the highest mean values for the radionuclides. The concentrations of the radionuclides in the honey samples were much lower than the maximum permitted levels of radionuclides in foodstuffs (Varga, 2008).

Table 2 shows the mean committed effective doses that the public could incur from the consumption of honey samples stated according to age. The mean committed effective doses ranged from  $9 \pm 6$  nSvy<sup>-1</sup> in adults to  $25 \pm$ 12 nSvy<sup>-1</sup> in children (1 year) for HON samples. In the HONT samples, the mean committed effective doses ranged from  $23 \pm 14$  nSvy<sup>-1</sup> in adults to  $52 \pm 25$  nSvy<sup>-1</sup> in children (1 year). The mean committed effective doses in the HONK samples ranged from  $29 \pm 19$  nSvy<sup>-1</sup> in adults to  $68 \pm 41$  nSvy<sup>-1</sup> in children (1 year). The values obtained for the committed effective dose were below 0.29 mSvy<sup>-1</sup> which is the total committed effective dose from the ingestion of natural radionuclide as recommended by UNSCEAR, (2000b) for the general public.

The mean ELTR (Table 3) for males in the HON samples ranged from  $2.5 \times 10^{-8}$  (1 year) –  $6.9 \times 10^{-8}$  (adult) and  $2.6 \times 10^{-8}$  (adult) –  $7.1 \times 10^{-8}$  (adult) for females. For HONT sample, ELCR ranges from  $6.3 \times 10^{-8}$  (1 year) –  $14.3 \times 10^{-8}$  (adult) for males and  $6.6 \times 10^{-8}$  (adult) –  $14.3 \times 10^{-8}$  (adult) for males. While for HONK samples, ELCR ranged from  $7.9 \times 10^{-8}$  (1 year) –  $18.8 \times 10^{-8}$  (adult) for males and  $8.2 \times 10^{-8}$  (adult) –  $18.8 \times 10^{-8}$  (adult) for males and  $8.2 \times 10^{-8}$  (adult) –  $18.8 \times 10^{-8}$  (adult) for males and  $8.2 \times 10^{-8}$  (adult) –  $18.8 \times 10^{-8}$  (1 year) for females. It can be observed that the ELCR for females is higher for the males for all the samples. This could result from the LE for females being higher than the male in Nigeria. All the ELCR values obtained were less than  $0.29 \times 10^{-3}$  reported to be the world standard limit (Aladeniyi et al., 2021).

Table 4 shows the concentration of the detected heavy metals in part per million (ppm). The concentration of heavy metal of Cd and Cr were below detection level in both the HON and HONT samples. While the mean values for Cu, Pb and Zn are 3.0, 7.5 and 0.3 ppm and 4.8, 3.8 and 1.0 ppm respectively, for HON and HONT samples. For HONK samples, Cu, Cd and Pb were below detection level and the mean values for Cr and Zn were 23.0 and 11.0 ppm.

The mean value of Pb in HON and HONT samples exceed the European Union limit set at 3 ppm (Šerevičienė et al., 2022), thus there would be a need for caution to be applied in consuming these samples.

Table 5 compares the activity concentrations of the natural radionuclides in honey for the present study to the values obtained from other countries as reported in the literature. The average value of the activity

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Faculty of Engineering, Federal University Oye-Ekiti.

733 This is an open access article under the CC BY NC license. (<u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/</u>) <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.46792/fuoyejet.v9i4.24</u>

concentration of the natural radionuclides for the honey samples sourced from commercial outfits are higher than the honey samples from the wild. A similar observation is shown from the values reported by Meli et al., (2020) and Meli et al., (2016) where the honey samples sourced from commercial outfits have higher activity concentrations than honey samples from apiaries. The activity concentration of <sup>40</sup>K in the honey samples was observed to have highest values for all the countries reported.

Table 1: Activity concentration of <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th in Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> in samples of honey

nple		J	ĥ
DN1	28±0.33	3±0.19	9±0.11
)N2	9±0.24	8±0.09	1±0.09
)N3	29±0.37	4±0.13	6±0.08
DN4	3±0.26	3±0.12	6±0.04
DN5	$96 \pm 0.33$	4±0.04	4±0.07
an ± STD	$11.67 \pm 3.63$	$2 \pm 0.38$	7 ± 1.13
)NT1	$56 \pm 0.33$	$4 \pm 0.06$	$7 \pm 0.07$
)NT2	$16 \pm 0.32$	$3 \pm 0.05$	$4 \pm 0.06$
)NT3	$94 \pm 0.28$	$4 \pm 0.04$	$5 \pm 0.05$
DNT4	$0 \pm 0.17$	$4 \pm 0.07$	$5 \pm 0.07$
)NT5	$4 \pm 0.23$	$8 \pm 0.05$	$6 \pm 007$
an ± STD	68 ± 5.01	$7 \pm 0.44$	$3 \pm 3.14$
)NK1	$51 \pm 0.35$	$3 \pm 0.12$	$2 \pm 0.04$
)NK2	$00 \pm 0.50$	$0 \pm 0.11$	$95 \pm 0.15$
)NK3	$68 \pm 0.55$	$5 \pm 0.12$	$8 \pm 0.12$
DNK4	$85 \pm 0.40$	$0 \pm 0.05$	$7 \pm 0.09$
)NK5	$3 \pm 0.13$	$4 \pm 0.11$	$8 \pm 0.08$
ean ± STD	77 ± 12.30	$8 \pm 0.75$	$0 \pm 3.80$
ference Level <sup>a</sup>	)0	)0	)0

<sup>a</sup> (Varga, 2008)

Table 2: Committed effective dose (mean ± std) (nSvy<sup>-1</sup>) due to natural radionuclides in honey samples

nple	ear	ears	years	years	ult
)N	± 12	± 9	±7	± 6	6
DNT	± 25	± 20	±17	± 15	± 14
)NK	± 41	± 30	±24	± 20	±19
ference	Level 9	9	9	9	9
Svv <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>b</sup>					

<sup>b</sup>(UNSCEAR, 2000b)

# **4 CONCLUSION**

The activity concentration of natural radionuclides in honey samples collected from the wild, apiary and commercial outfits have been studied and the annual committed effective dose from ingestion of the honey samples estimated. Potassium had the highest contribution to the activity concentration and the consumption of honey possess no radiological concern as the committed effective was below the UNSCEAR recommended limit. Though there may be health risk as a result of high value of lead in the honey samples sourced from the wild.

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Faculty of Engineering, Federal University Oye-Ekiti.

Table 3: Mean values of the life time cancer risk for male and fema	(10⁻ <sup>8</sup>	) from the consum	ption of natura	I radionuclides in hone	y samples
---	-------------------	-------------------	-----------------	-------------------------	-----------

Sample	1 year		5 years		10 years		15 years		Adult	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
HON	6.9±3.3	7.1±3.4	4.5±2.4	4.7±2.5	3.5±1.9	3.6±2.0	2.8±1.6	2.9±1.7	2.5±1.5	2.6±1.6
HONT	14.3±6.8	14.8±7.1	10.4±5.6	10.8±5.8	8.4±4.7	8.7±4.9	7.0±4.1	7.3±4.3	6.3±3.8	6.6±4.0
HONK	$18.8 \pm 11.4$	19.5±11.8	13.3±8.3	13.8±8.6	10.6±6.7	11.0±6.9	8.8±5.6	9.2±5.8	7.9±5.1	8.2±5.3
Reference Level (10 <sup>-3</sup> ) <sup>c</sup>	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29

c (Aladeniyi et al., 2021)

Table 4: Average concentration of heavy metals (ppm) detected in the samples of honey

Sample	Cu	Cd	Cr	Pb	Zn
HON	3.0	ND	ND	7.5	0.3
HONT	4.8	ND	ND	3.8	1.0
HONK	ND	ND	23.0	ND	11.0
Reference Level	5ª	0.5ª	_a,b	0.5ª	25 <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> (Šerevičienė et al., 2022), <sup>b</sup> (Ru *et al.*, 2013)

Table 5: Comparison of the concentration of natural radionuclide (Bqkg<sup>-1</sup>) from the present work with values from other parts of the world as reported from literature

Country	Source of honey	<sup>40</sup> K	238U	<sup>232</sup> Th	Reference
Nigeria	Wild	$11.67 \pm 3.62$	$0.82 \pm 0.38$	$1.47 \pm 1.13$	Present work
Nigeria	Commercial	$18.78 \pm 12.30$	$1.68 \pm 0.74$	$3.40 \pm 3.80$	Present work
Italy	Commercial	$50.6 \pm 46.3$	$0.023 \pm 0.010$	< 0.007	(Meli et al., 2020)
Central Italy	Apiary	$28.1 \pm 23.0$	$0.020 \pm 0.010$	< 0.007	(Meli et al., 2016)
Greek	Wild and Apiary	7.9 – 102.2	0.013 - 0.10	-	(Xarchoulakos and
					Lasithiotakis, 2022)
Kosovo (Europe)	Apiary	$20.44 \pm 0.69$	-	$1.28 \pm 0.81$	(Dizman et al., 2020)
Romania	Apiary	$24.08 \pm 4.37$	BDL	$1.51 \pm 0.74$	(Bulubasa et al., 2021)
Poland	Apiary	32.92 (2010)	-	-	(Borawska et al., 2013)
		31.13 (2011)			
Italy	Wild	16 -111	-	-	(Caridi et al., 2022)
Reference Level		1000	1000	1000	(Varga, 2008)

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Faculty of Engineering, Federal University Oye-Ekiti. 735 This is an open access article under the CC BY NC license. (<u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/</u>) <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.46792/fuoyejet.v9i4.24</u>

engineering.fuoye.edu.ng/journal

#### References

Adesiji, N. E., & Ademola, J. A. (2019). Soil-to-maize Transfer Factor of Natural Radionuclides in a Tropical Ecosystem of Nigeria. *Nigeria Journal of Pure and Applied Physics*, 9(1), 6–10.

Adugna, E., Hymete, A., Birhanu, G., & Ashenef, A. (2020). Determination of some heavy metals in honey from different regions of Ethiopia. *Cogent Food and Agriculture,* 

6(1).https://doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2020.1764182

Ajibola, A., Chamunorwa, J. P., & Erlwanger, K. H. (2012). Nutraceutical values of natural honey and its contribution to human health and wealth. *Nutrition and Metabolism*, *9*, 1–12.

Akbari, B., Gharanfoli, F., Khayyat, M. H., Khashyarmanesh, Z., Rezaee, R., & Karimi, G. (2012). Determination of heavy metals in different honey brands from Iranian markets. *Food Additives and Contaminants: Part B Surveillance*, 5(2), 105–111. https://doi.org/10.1080/19393210.2012.664173

Aladeniyi, K., Arogunjo, A. M., Pereira, A. J. S. C., Khandaker, M. U., Bradley, D. A., & Sulieman, A. (2021). Evaluation of radiometric standards of major building materials used in dwellings of South-Western Nigeria. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, *178*, 109021. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2020.109021

Arowosoge, O. G. E. (2018). Evaluation of honey consumption pattern in Ekiti State. *International Journal of Development and Sustainability*, 7(4), 1376–1388. www.isdsnet.com/ijds

Borawska, M. H., Kapała, J., Puåcion-Jakubik, A., Horembała, J., & Markiewicz-Zukowska, R. (2013). Radioactivity of honeys from poland after the fukushima accident. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, *91*(5), 489–492. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-013-1089-1

Boryło, A., Romańczyk, G., Wieczorek, J., Strumińska-Parulska, D., & Kaczor, M. (2019). Radioactivity of honey from northern Poland. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*, 319(1), 289–296. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-018-6343-x

Bulubasa, G., Costinel, D., Miu, A. F., & Ene, M. R. (2021). Activity concentrations of 238U, 232Th, 226Ra 137Cs and 40K radionuclides in honey samples from Romania. Lifetime cancer risk estimated. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 234(April),106626.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.202 1.106626

Caridi, F., Venuti, V., Paladini, G., Crupi, V., Belmusto, G., & Majolino, D. (2022). The radioactivity

distribution and radiation hazard in honey samples from Italian large retailers. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*,2162(1).https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2162/1/012002

Crittenden, A. N. (2011). The Importance of Honey Consumption in Human Evolution Food and Food Pathway: Explorations in the History and Culture of Human Nourishment, 19, 257-273. https://doi.org/10.1080/07409710.2011.630618

Dizman, S., Hodolli, G., Kadiri, S., Aliu, H., & Makolli, S. (2020). Radioactivity in Kosovo honey samples. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*, 29(2), 1119–1127. https://doi.org/10.15244/pjoes/105968

Fakhimzadeh, K., & Lodenius, M. (2000). Heavy metals in Finnish honey, pollen and honey bees. *Apiacta*, *35*(2), 85–95.

International Commission on Radiological Protection, (ICRP). (2012). *Compendium of dose coefficients based on ICRP 106 ICRP Publication 119 Volume 41*.

International Commission on Radiological Protection, (ICRP)D. (1991). ICRP Publication 60: 1990 Recommendations of the International Commission of Radiological Protection. In *Annals of the ICRP* (Vol. 6, Issue 1). https://doi.org/10.1016/0146-6453(81)90127-5

Isinkaye, M. O., & Emelue, H. U. (2015). Natural radioactivity measurements and evaluation of radiological hazards in sediment of Oguta Lake, South East Nigeria. *Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences*, 8(3),459–469. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrras.2015.05.001

Jibiri, N. N., Farai, I. P., & Alausa, S. K. (2007). Estimation of annual effective dose due to natural radioactive elements in ingestion of foodstuffs in tin mining area of Jos-Plateau ,Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental* Radioactivity,94,31-40 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2006.12.011

Kiliç Altun, S., Dinç, H., Paksoy, N., Temamoğullari, F. K., & Savrunlu, M. (2017). Analyses of Mineral Content and Heavy Metal of Honey Samples from South and East Region of Turkey by Using ICP-MS. *International Journal of Analytical Chemistry*,2017. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/6391454

Meli, M. A., Desideri, D., Battisti, P., Giardina, I., Gorietti, D., & Roselli, C. (2020). Assessment of radioactivity in commercially available honey in Italy. *Food Control*, *110*(August 2019), 107001. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2019.107001

Meli, M. A., Desideri, D., Roselli, C., Feduzi, L., & Benedetti, C. (2016). Radioactivity in honey of the central Italy. *Food Chemistry*, 202,349–355.

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Faculty of Engineering, Federal University Oye-Ekiti.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.02.010

Odejayi, O. A., Adesiji, N. E. and Ademola, J. A. (2022). Radiological assessment of natural radionuclides in soil from playgrounds in Southwestern Nigeria and evalaution of radiation dose. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-022-08295-0.

Ru, Q., Feng, Q. and He, J. (2013). Risk assessment of heavy metals in honey consumed in Zhejiang province, southeastern China. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, *53*, 256-262.

Šerevičienė, V., Zigmontienė, A., & Paliulis, D. (2022). Heavy Metals in Honey Collected from Contaminated Locations: A Case of Lithuania. *Sustainability* (*Switzerland*), 14(15). https://doi.org/10.3390/su14159196

United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR). (2000a). Sources and Effects of Ionizing Radiation. Official Records of the General Assembly UNSCEAR 2000 Report to the General Assembly, with Scientific Annexes. ANNEX A – Dose Assessment Methodologies.: Vol. I. http://www.unscear.org/docs/publications/2000/UNS CEAR\_2000\_Annex-A.pdf

United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR). (2000b). Sources and Effects of Ionizing Radiation. Official Records of the General Assembly UNSCEAR 2000 Report to the General Assembly, with Scientific Annexes. ANNEX B – Exposures from natural radiation sources. https://doi.org/10.1088/0952-4746/21/1/609

Varga, B. (2008). Regulations for radioisotope content in food- and feedstuffs, *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 46, 3448-3457.

Xarchoulakos, D. C., & Lasithiotakis, M. (2022). Radioactivity evaluation and radiation dosimetry assessment in Greek honey. *Food Chemistry*, 394(May), 133556.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2022.133556