# Direct Air Capture: Economy and Technical Cost of Low-Purity CO<sub>2</sub> on Post-Capture Operating Cost

<sup>1</sup>Luqman K. Abidoye

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, Osun State University, Osogbo, Nigeria <u>abidoye.luqman@uniosun.edu,ng</u>

Received: 06-MARCH-2023; Reviewed: 17-JUNE-2024; Accepted: 26-JUNE-2024 https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/fuoyejet.v9i2.19

#### ORIGINAL RESEARCH

**Abstract**— Direct air capture has the potential to capture from innumerable random, mobile and immobile small-scale carbon-emission sources. In this study, simulations result of Direct Air Capture (DAC) reveal the isentropic compression work increases as the mole fraction of CO2 decreases in the stream. At the same depth of sequestration, compression power requirement increases by 20% to sequestrate 10% CO2 stream compared to pure CO2. Also, sequestration at deeper geological layer further raises the power cost of the compression by approximately 14% from 1000 to 1500m and by almost 32% from 1500m to 2500m depth, for pure CO2. This increases to around 34% for storage at 2500m with 50% CO2 mole fraction and 36% for 20% CO2 fraction at the same depth. Similarly, the cost of cooling compressed CO2 increases in proportion to the reduction in CO2 mole fraction in the gas stream. It was shown that the solution density increase with impurities as a result of falling pH in the presence of N2 and O2.

\_\_\_\_\_

Keywords— compression, dissolution, direct air capture, economy

### **1** INTRODUCTION

The continuous emission of greenhouse gases into the atmosphere is setting a pathway to difficult climate conditions with consequences on ecological displacement and shift. This trend is already aggravating the state of the global warming. Fossil fuel consumption and transformation generates 13 gigatonnes of CO2 annually (IAEA, 2013). Substantial reduction of emission is needed to keep within and below the 2oC. This requires emissions reduction of about 37Gt/yr till 2050 or removal of the atmospheric incremental of 1 Gt CO2 per year (Haszeldine et al., 2018). This shows the enormity of the tasks required to remediate the climate and safeguard the planet.

Among the existing emission reduction technologies, Direct Air Capture is a promising option, owing to the possibility to tackle innumerable random, mobile and immobile small-scale carbon-emission sources that account for half of the global GHG emissions, which are simply impossible to neutralize by conventional CO2 capture applications (Seipp et al., 2017). The other options are simply expensive and restricted in scope. For example, bio-sequestration by microbes, plants, oceans, rock weathering and so on, have been on for ages but at rate not in step with emission rate since industrial revolutions (Goeppert et al., 2012).

Even the popular carbon capture and sequestration (CCS), carbon capture and utilization (CCUS), cryogenic carbon capture (CCC) and so on are far too expensive and technically costly to operate.

\*Corresponding Author

Abidoye L.K., (2024): Direct Air Capture: Economy and Technical Cost of Low-Purity CO<sub>2</sub> on Post-Capture Operating Cost, FUOYE Journal of Engineering and Technology (FUOYEJET), 9(2), 289-292. https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/fuovejet.v9i2.19 DAC is advantageous in that it can be engineered to consume less space, avoid toxic solvent for capture while continuously loop to sense and scrub the atmosphere of carbon (Haszeldine et al., 2018). Thus, Direct Air Capture (DAC) provides the lifeline to deal comprehensively with the menace of unceasing emission from these innumerable sources.

Thus, the use of DAC is pivotal to attain the target CO2 concentration in the atmosphere. But, the presence of impurities in the CO2 captured by DAC system has been a source of concern over time, because of the dilute nature of gases in the atmosphere (Broehm et al., 2015; Goeppert et al., 2012; Lackner, 2009). To be completely satisfactory, effective and sustainable DAC technology must be of lower cost and promotes environmental safety, among others. Also, the technology must be all-pervasive to cover many distributed outlets of emissions in different localities with a view to meeting the target of limiting global warming below 2 °C by the end of this century (Brethomé et al., 2018). But, the challenge of purity in the CO2 stream emanating from DAC system is difficult to overcome. Therefore, emphasis has to be placed on the effects of these impurities on the performance of the DAC systems owing to the unique compositions of gases available for this system, vis-a-vis its effects on the economy of the technology and the mineralization or permanent storage of the stored carbon.

Currently and in the near future, DAC economy will be reckoned by factors such as Cost/tCO2, Energy/tCO2, space/unit DAC, Capture capacity and so on. Right now, DAC technology is reckoned with significant cost reduction potential (Keith et al. 2018; Fasihi et al. 2019) while overall efficiency in extracting CO2 is comparably high (Fuss et al. 2018). Company like Carbfix (Iceland) had embarked on open air installation of this technology and started to capture carbon, creating large-scale Direct Air Carbon Capture and Storage (DACCS) or CO2 utilization (Direct Air Carbon Capture and Utilization-DACCU). Application of the captured carbon can be

Section D- MATERIAL/CHEMICAL ENGINEERING & RELATED SCIENCES Can be cited as:

found in transport fuels, including in marine, aviation and chemical industries (Haegel et al. 2019).

Keith al. (2018) reported process of CO2 capture from air using an aqueous KOH sorbent coupled to a calcium caustic recovery with levelized cost from 94 to 232 USD/ tCO2. Combining temperature and vacuum swing adsorption (TVSA), the authors successfully deployed DAC to achieve 95-100% vol CO2 with energy consumption around 10kWh/kg. Economic improvement can be seen in the demonstration and proposition of High Hopes Lab in Israel, resulting \$100-\$250 per ton, for the first stage and \$40-\$50 at the second stage.

Sustainable DAC requires sorbents with high CO2 capacity, easily regenerable, favorable kinetics, ready availability and environmental compatibility. The solvent/sorbent cost should be ordinarily cheap or lowered through continuous improvement and innovation. These cheap and efficient sorbents and solvents will make DAC, not only reduce the cost of operation, but also overcome the lower net contribution of DAC to CDR. Choice of solvent is another major factor that hampers efficiency of DAC. Many solvents suffer from the problems of volatility, toxicity, costs and separation difficulty. There are cases of solvent hampering the successful conversion of captured carbon from DAC into methanol, owing to volatility issues, oxidative degradation, and notable toxicity, making them less suitable for DAC in a scaled-up process. Using waste minerals or industrial wastes as adsorbents may eliminate the recovery stage, saving energy, project cost while also providing raw materials for other uses.

In addition, the DAC performance under different weather conditions and integration of DAC to systems with abundant waste heat needs to be demonstrated for overall sustainable energy performance, which will also bear down on cost. The flexibility of the sorbents and solvents under varying and extreme weather conditions are required to be checked for maintaining consistent performance (Kong et al. 2022).

In this work, cost-implications of impurities contained in CO2 captured through DAC were investigated using ASPEN software. Questions answered include how the quality or compositions of captured carbon influence the DAC economy? What effects do the post-capture processes have on the cost of operations? How does the stream impurity affect storage space in the aquifer?

#### 2. METHODS

This study simulates the compression characteristics of CO2 and its impurities, captured from DAC system.

The study utilized simulation software- ASPEN (version 10), to investigate the effects of post-capture processes on the economy of DAC and storage parameter.

The study further simulates the effects of these impurities on the solution density in the formation using PHREEQC. This is further related to the influence of depth and associated conditions.

Parameters utilized in the simulations were listed in tables 1 and 2.

Table 1: Geological depths for gas storage in Anorthiteand Goethite-rich rock together with the associated

conditions. Tsuji et al. (2017)				
Geological	Temperature (oC)	Pressure		
Depth (km)		(Mpa)		
1.0	38	10		
1.5	52.5	15		
2.5	80	25		

Probable mole fractions of N2-O2-CO2 stream in DAC process are listed in Table 2.

Table 2: Mole fractions N2-O2-CO2 stream in DAC process Tsuij et al. [17]

	process Isuji et al. [17]			
_	CO <sub>2</sub>	$N_2$	O <sub>2</sub>	
	1	0	0	
	0.8	0.16	0.04	
	0.7	0.24	0.06	
	0.5	0.4	0.1	
	0.3	0.56	0.14	
	0.2	0.64	0.16	
	0.1	0.72	0.18	
	0.05	0.76	0.19	
_	0	0.8	0.2	

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The results of the simulation performed in this work shows the increasing cost of compression based on the mole fractions of CO2 in the stream. This is shown in Figure 1. Just like in the work of Tsuji et al. (2021), the ratio of N2 to O2 in the mix was assumed consistent with atmospheric conditions (4:1). The isentropic compression work increases as the mole fraction of CO2 decreases in the stream. At the same depth of sequestration, compression power requirement increases by 20% to sequestrate 10% CO2 stream compared to pure CO2. Also, sequestration at deeper geological layer further raises the power cost of the compression by approximately 14% from 1000 to 1500m and by almost 32% from 1500m to 2500m depth, for pure CO2. This increases to around 34% for storage at 2500m with 50% CO2 mole fraction and 36% for 20% CO2 fraction at the same depth. Thus, purity poses the net cost on the carbon compression and eventual total capture and storage cost. Furthermore, the cost of cooling compressed CO2 imposes addition burden on the total storage cost. This is because the compression is an exothermic process, which makes the system requires heat reduction before further processing. Figure 2 shows the heat duty requires to cool the compressed, corresponding to various depths. In the figure, the amount of heat needed to be removed increases as the purity of CO2 reduces while also increases with depth of the storage domain.







Figure 2: Heat duty at various CO2 purity level Apart from compression and cooling power requirement, Tsuji et al. (2021) found that low-purity of CO2 stream raised the cost of storage disproportionately, owing to density disparity. For example, at 1000m depth, low purity stream was found cost-ineffective owing to reduced CO2 density of the CO2 at low purity. At 80% CO2 purity, storage cost is doubled that of 90% CO2 stream, baring the costs of drilling and transport, which can be offset by situating the system near the injection site (Tsuji et al., 2021). Comparing the work of these authors with the findings in this work shows that low-purity of CO2 is generally problematic to DAC cost. But, the cost impacts of density disparity under different conditions can be ameliorated by choosing higher depth to raise the density of the stream and consequently the amount of CO2 stored. The net increase in the cost of compressional and cooling can be offset by the storage quantity per unit space allocated at higher depth. The impurity or presence of higher proportion of N2 and O2 decreases the CO2 density and affects the effectiveness and cost of the storage (Tsuji et al., 2021). Commonly, CO2 possesses much higher freezing point (-78oC) than many other components of the gas stream (Font-Palma et al. 2021). So, the presence of impurity will lead to reduced density of CO2, as other components exist as mainly gas even under high pressure, unlike CO2 that increases in density under pressure at pure condition.

Some unclear deleterious and possibly advantageous effect of low purity CO2 and high concentrations of N2 may be complex to decipher. Ordinarily, owing to its high

bond energy, N2 requires high activation energy for reaction to take place. To get the best yield of carbon adsorption for carbon mineralization, it is essential to reduce the level of competing molecules, like unreactive N2, that can preferentially occupy adsorption sites of the mineral adsorbents. Thus, it is essential to utilize dehumidifier for the entering air to reduce moisture entry. Figure 3 shows that the density of the solution increases with impurities in the CO2 stream.



Figure 3: Solution Density at 1km Depth

This is because the pH decreases in the presence of N2 and O2, which leads to increased mineral dissolution. The increase in solution density should not be confused with the density reduction of the gas stream, reported by Tsuji et al. (2021). The solution density increases purely as a result pH fall with impurities.



Figure 4: Solution Density at Different Depths Figure 4 shows that the solution density decreases with depth. This is because, though, pH increases with depth of sequestration, but mineral dissolution decreases, as a result of rising temperature at higher depth.

### 4. CONCLUSIONS

The potential of Direct Air Capture (DAC) in tacking numerous and distributed small and medium scale mobile and immobile emission sources is affected by the number of impurities present in the gas stream. In this study, simulations results reveal the isentropic compression work and cost increase as the mole fraction of CO2 decreases in the stream. At the same depth of sequestration, compression power requirement increases by 20% to sequestrate 10% CO2 stream compared to pure CO2. Also, sequestration at deeper geological layer further raises the power cost of the compression by approximately 14% from 1000 to 1500m and by almost 32% from 1500m to 2500m depth, for pure CO2. This increases to around 34% for storage at 2500m with 50% CO2 mole fraction and 36% for 20% CO2 fraction at the same depth. Thus, purity poses the net cost on the carbon compression and eventual total capture and storage costs. Similarly, the cost of cooling compressed CO2 will impose addition on the total storage cost, as it increases in proportion to the reduction in CO2 mole fraction in the gas stream. Also, the density of the solution increases with impurities in the CO2 stream as a result of pH fall in the presence of impurities.

## REFERENCE

- Thonemann, N., Zacharopoulos, L., Fromme, F. and Nühlen, J., 2022. Environmental impacts of carbon capture and utilization by mineral carbonation: A systematic literature review and meta life cycle assessment. Journal of Cleaner Production, 332, p.130067.
- Nedunuri, A.S.S.S., yar Mohammed, A. and Muhammad, S., 2021. Carbonation potential of concrete debris fines and its valorisation through mineral carbonation. Construction and Building Materials, 310, p.125162.
- Simon, A., Kaahaaina, N., Julio Friedmann, S., Aines, R., 2011. Systems analysis and
- cost estimates for large scale capture of carbon dioxide from air. Energy Procedia 4, 2893e2900
- Kong, F., Rim, G., Song, M., Rosu, C., Priyadarshini, P., Lively, R.P., Realff, M.J. and Jones, C.W., 2022. Research needs targeting direct air capture of carbon dioxide: Material & process performance characteristics under realistic environmental conditions. Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering, 39(1), pp.1-19.
- Rim, G., Kong, F., Song, M., Rosu, C., Priyadarshini, P., Lively, R.P. and Jones, C.W., 2022. Sub-Ambient Temperature Direct Air Capture of CO2 using Amine-Impregnated MIL-101 (Cr) Enables Ambient Temperature CO2 Recovery. JACS Au, 2(2), pp.380-393.
- Cooper, J., Dubey, L. and Hawkes, A., 2022. Life cycle assessment of negative emission technologies for effectiveness in carbon sequestration. Procedia CIRP, 105, pp.357-361.

International Energy Agency. CO2 Emissions From Fuel Combustion: Highights (IEA, 2013); see go.nature.com/9dpily

- Lange, L.C., Hills, C.D. and Poole, A.B., 1995. Preliminary investigation into the effects of carbonation on cement-solidified hazardous wastes. Environmental science & technology, 30(1), pp.25-30.
- Ojifinni, R.A., Gong, J., Froemming, N.S., Flaherty, D.W., Pan, M., Henkelman, G. and Mullins, C.B., 2008. Carbonate formation and decomposition on atomic oxygen precovered Au (111). Journal of the American Chemical Society, 130(34), pp.11250-11251.

Ammendola, P., Raganati, F., Landi, E., Murri, A.N. and Miccio, F., 2021. Kinetics of the carbonation reaction of an SrO-Al2O3 composite for thermochemical energy storage. Chemical Engineering Journal, 420, p.129618.

Stokreef, S., Sadri, F., Stokreef, A. and Ghahreman, A., 2022. Mineral Carbonation of Ultramafic Tailings: A Review of Reaction Mechanisms and Kinetics, Industry Case Studies, and modelling. Cleaner Engineering and Technology, p.100491.

- Molahid, V.L.M., Mohd Kusin, F., Syed Hasan, S.N.M., Ramli, N.A.A. and Abdullah, A.M., 2022. CO2 Sequestration through Mineral Carbonation: Effect of Different Parameters on Carbonation of Fe-Rich Mine Waste Materials. Processes, 10(2), p.432.
- Madden, A.S. and Hochella Jr, M.F., 2005. A test of geochemical reactivity as a function of mineral size: Manganese oxidation promoted by hematite nanoparticles. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 69(2), pp.389-398.

Carolina Font-Palma \*, David Cann and Chinonyelum Udemu, 2021. Review of Cryogenic Carbon Capture Innovations and Their Potential Applications. Journal of Carbon Research, 7, 58. https://doi.org/10.3390/c7030058

- SUE SURKES, 2022. Israeli balloon tipped as world's 1st affordable, scalable method for carbon capture
- https://www.timesofisrael.com/balloon-tipped-as-worlds-firstaffordable-scalable-method-for-carbon-capture/
- Fujikawa S, Selyanchyn R and Kunitake T, A new strategy for membrane-based direct air capture. Polym J (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41428-020-00429-z
- IPCC, Carbon Capture and Storage, Bert Metz, OgunladeDavidson, Heleen de Coninck, Manuela Loos and Leo Meyer(Eds.) Cambridge University Press, UK. pp 431. Available fromCambridge University Press, The Edinburgh BuildingShaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 2RU ENGLAND(2005)
- Energy Technology Perspectives 2020, Special report on carbon capture utilisation and storage (CCUS in clean energy transitions),IEA(2020).
- Breyer, C., Fasihi, M., Bajamundi, C. and Creutzig, F., 2021. Direct Air Capture of CO2: A Key Technology for Ambitious Climate Change Mitigation. Joule 3, 2053–2065.
- Bradshaw J, Bachu S, Bonijoly D, Burruss R, Holloway S, Christensen NP et al., CO2 storage capacity estimation: issues and development of standards. Int J Greenh Gas Control 1:62–68 (2007)
- Brethomé, F.M., Williams, N.J., Seipp, C.A., Kidder, M.K. and Custelcean, R., 2018. Direct air capture of CO2 via aqueous-phase absorption and crystalline-phase release using concentrated solar power. Nature Energy, 3(7), pp.553-559.

Hansen, J., Sato, M., Kharecha, P., Von Schuckmann, K., Beerling, D.J., Cao, J., Marcott, S., Masson-Delmotte, V., Prather, M.J., Rohling, E.J. and Shakun, J., 2017. Young people's burden: requirement of negative CO 2 emissions. Earth System Dynamics, 8(3), pp.577-616.

Keith, D. W.; Holmes, G.; Angelo, D. S.; Heidel, K. A Process for Capturing CO2 from the Atmosphere. Joule 2018, 2, 1573–1594.

Fasihi, M.; Efimova, O.; Breyer, C. Techno-economic assessment of CO2 direct air capture plants. J. Cleaner Prod. 2019, 224, 957–980.