

*East African Medical Journal Vol. 94 No. 9 September 2017*

ASSESSMENT OF SERUM CYSTATIN-C BASED EGFR EQUATIONS AND KIDNEY DYSFUNCTION IN PATIENTS WITH SICKLE CELL ANAEMIA: A SINGLE CENTRE EVALUATION OF CASES AND HEALTHY CONTROLS.

RA Bolarinwa (Corresponding Author, Department of Haematology and Immunology Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria. TK Ojewumi, Department of Demography and Social Statistics, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria. KS Akinlade, Department of Chemical Pathology, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria. NO Akinola, Department of Haematology and Immunology, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.

**ASSESSMENT OF SERUM CYSTATIN-C BASED EGFR EQUATIONS AND KIDNEY DYSFUNCTION IN PATIENTS WITH SICKLE CELL ANAEMIA: A SINGLE CENTRE EVALUATION OF CASES AND HEALTHY CONTROLS.**

RA BOLARINWA, TK OJEWUMI, KS AKINLADE, and NO. AKINOLA

**ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Serum creatinine and its estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) may be imprecise in assessing renal impairment of patients with sickle cell anaemia (SCA).

**Objective:** To evaluate serum Cystatin-C and Cystatin-C eGF Equations in the assessment of kidney dysfunction in Nigerians with SCA and controls.

**Design:** A prospective, cross-sectional and case-control study

**Setting:** The study was conducted at the haematology units of the Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching hospital complex, Ile-Ife and the Ring Road State Hospital, Ibadan in the South Western Nigeria,

**Subjects:** The study participants included 46 sickle cell anaemia patients (HbSS) and 36 HbAA healthy controls in which GFR was calculated using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI, 2009 and 2012) equations for Creatinine, cystatin-C and Creatinine and cystatin-C combined.

**Results:** Mean serum cys-C was similar in patients and control group [0.29 (0.09) vs 0.26 (0.07) mg/L] while albuminuria occurred in 44.4% of patients only. Twice the number of patients with hyper filtration using CKD-EPI Cr equation was identified by CKD-EPI Cr-cys-C equation and none by CKD-EPI cys-C. CKD-EPI cys-C identified more patients with eGFR  $60 \text{ mL/min/1.73m}^2$  than the creatinine based only and/or Cr-cys-C equations. Patients with CKD stage 3 were identified by CKD-EPI Cr and CKD-EPI cys-C at 2.2% and 8.7% respectively. Age 19 years, male sex, low eGFR and albuminuria were predictive of having high levels of serum cystatin C.

**Conclusion:** Serum Cystatin C values appear lower for the sickle cell anaemia patients and healthy controls in the Nigerian population. Of the CKD-EPI equations, CKD-EPI cystatin-C equation best identified SCA patients with low eGFR ( $\text{eGFR} < 60 \text{ mL/min/1.73m}^2$ ).

**INTRODUCTION**

Sickle cell nephropathy (SCN) range from functional abnormalities, to gross anatomic alterations of the kidney including hyperfiltration

and glomerulopathy seen in individuals with sickle cell disease (SCD), which predispose them to chronic kidney disease

CKD) and/or end stage renal disease (ESRD) requiring dialysis and/or kidney transplantation [1- Chronic sickling underlies the several mechanisms of kidney injury but the exact mechanism of glomerular abnormalities in SCD remains undefined, overall, the production of renal vasodilating substances from ischaemic tubules, glomerular injury and ischaemic changes is often implicated [4-7].

Microalbuminuria and overt proteinuria are features and could precede renal abnormalities. As the disease progress, sickle nephropathy becomes irreversible with several associated factors including worsening anaemia, hypertension, increasing proteinuria, and microscopic haematuria [1].

Early assessment of kidney function using estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) from creatinine is a standard clinical practice, but this is fraught with imprecision [8]. Cystatin-C is an endogenous marker of renal function whose serum concentration is known to correlate well with glomerular filtration rate (GFR) than serum creatinine [9], its serum concentration is exclusively determined by the glomerular filtration rate [10]. Cystatin C is considered to be a better alternative and a good marker than serum creatinine for eGFR determination [11-13], with the equation combining serum cystatin C and creatinine providing a more precise GFR estimate [14].

Previous but limited reports in sickle cell patients showed that serum cystatin-C detects renal deterioration early and correlates well with the levels of albuminuria, identify hyperfiltration and Compare well with GFR [15, 16].Bevc et al showed that among patients with CKD, cystatin-C prediction equation has a higher diagnostic accuracy in patients with low eGFR than creatinine based formulae [17].The cystatin-C based eGFR equations has not been previously evaluated in SCD patients from the sub Saharan Africa. In this report, we evaluated serum Cystatin-C and Cystatin-C based eGFR equations using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology

Collaboration (CKD-EPI) equation derived from serum creatinine (CKD-EPI, 2009), Cystatin C and Cystatin C with creatinine (CKD-EPI, 2012) in assessing kidney dysfunction in indigenous Nigerians with SCA.

## PATIENTS AND METHODS.

### Study Population

The study participants were sickle cell anaemia patients(SCA) attending routine clinic at the haematology units of the Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching hospital complex, Ile-Ife and the Ring Road State Hospital, Ibadan in the South Western Nigeria, they were patients previously and prospectively studied from February to July 2009. Those included were patients aged 15 years and above, with documented evidence of homozygous sickle cell gene phenotype (HbSS), and in steady state clinical condition at least two weeks prior to recruitment.

None of the patients was at this time on hydroxyurea or renal replacement therapy. Patients with established renal impairment were excluded. Institutional ethical approval was obtained from the Health and Research Ethics Committee of the Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospitals' Complex, Ile-Ife, Nigeria. All the patients and controls gave their written informed consent. A total of 46 patients and 36 controls were studied. A designed proforma was used to obtain defined bio-data and clinical profile of the patients. Measurement and Assessment of Kidney Function abnormalities. Proteinuria was defined as at least 1+ protein following urinary dipstick examination.

All the subjects and controls had serum sample from venous blood obtained for Cystatin-C and creatinine following standard procedure. Urinary dipstick examination (Medi-Test 9®, MN, Germany), as well as quantitative rinary albumin estimation was performed. The estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) in mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup> was obtained for serum

creatinine using CKD-EPI Cr [14, 18], and cystatin C independently (CKD-EPI cys-C) and in combination with creatinine (CKD-EPI Cr-cys-C) [14] using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) equations. The serum creatinine was measured by the modified Jaffe kinetic method using the reagent kits (Linear Chemicals, Montgat, Spain).

Urine sample was tested for the presence of blood, haemoglobin, protein and other All the study participants had quantitative urinary microalbumin determined in duplicates using Fortress® diagnostic kit by immunoturbidimetry assay method for urinary albumin. The kit content for analysis included: assay buffer (R1), antibody reagent (R2), and calibrators C1-C5 (R4) as standards.

The urinary creatinine was also determined using the Fortress® diagnostic kit for urinary creatinine estimation, following strictly the manufacturer's instructions. All absorbance (corresponding to the concentration of the measured substance) was measured using a UV spectrophotometer (DU S20, Beckman Coulter). The urine albumin/creatinine ratio was determined according to protocol previously described; value  $>30\mu\text{g}/\text{mg}$  (range = 30-300  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mg}$ ) indicate microalbuminuria [19].

Serum cystatin-C kit (Cat. ALX-850-292-K101) was obtained from Alexis Biochemicals (Axxora, Germany) and the value of serum Cystatin-C was determined using ELISA microplate reader (Perlong DNM-9602) at 450nm. In summary, standards and samples were incubated in the micro-titration wells coated with anti-human cystatin C antibody in duplicates. After a thorough wash, anti-human cystatin-C antibody labelled with horseradish peroxidase (HPR) was added to the wells and incubated with the immobilized antibody-cystatin-C complex. Following another wash, the remaining HPR conjugated antibody was allowed to react with the substrate. The

reaction was stopped by the addition of an acidic solution (stop reagent).

The plate was read at 450nm using ELISA microplate reader. The absorbance was proportional to the concentration of Cystatin-C in the serum. A standard curve was plotted with mean absorbance at 450nm against tables, while Chi square was used to test the significance of difference between the selected non-continuous variables.

A multivariate analysis using binary logistic regression model was used to determine variables associated with high serum Cystatin-C concentration. All analyses were done at 95.0% significant level. The log of Cystatin-C concentration of known standards. The concentrations of unknown samples were determined using the standard curve. Kidney function was categorized according to K/DOQI guidelines (eGFR  $<15$ , 15-29, 30-59, 60-89, and  $>90$  mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>) for eGFRcr, eGFRcys and eGFRcr-cys [19]. SCA patients with eGFR values  $>140$  mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup> were defined as having renal hyperfiltration [15] as previously described.

## STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The data entry was done using STATA IC software version 12.0 for Windows, descriptive analysis was performed and results expressed as mean and standard deviation or as frequencies presented in tables, while Chi square was used to test the significance of difference between the selected non-continuous variables. A multivariate analysis using binary logistic regression model was used to determine variables associated with high serum cystatin-C concentration. All analyses were done at 95.0% significant level.

## RESULTS

Demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients and controls were similar with no statistically significant difference except for the urinary albumin/creatinine ratio. (Table 1); patients tended to have a higher mean (SD) of 48.9 (86.2)  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mg}$  ( $p = 0.059$ ). Of the 46 SCA

patients and 36HbAA controls studied, the mean (SD) value of the serum Cystatin-C of the patients was 0.29 (0.09)  $\text{mg}/\text{L}$  not statistically different from that of the control group, 0.26 (0.07)  $\text{mg}/\text{L}$ .

**Table 1**

*Characteristics of the study population*

Parameter	Patient group	Control group	P - Value
N	46 (100%)	36 (100%)	
Male	14 (30.4%)	24 (66.7%)	
Female	32 (69.6%)	12 (33.3%)	
Mean age ( $\pm\text{SD}$ )	25.3 (9.9) years	28.0 (10.6) years	0.16
BMI ( $\pm\text{SD}$ )	18.9 (3.3) $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$	23.9 (5.3) $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$	0.75
Serum cystatin C ( $\pm\text{SD}$ )	0.29 (0.09) $\text{mg}/\text{L}$	0.26 (0.07) $\text{mg}/\text{L}$	0.14
Serum creatinine ( $\pm\text{SD}$ )	76.9 (26.5) $\text{mmol}/\text{L}$	80.2 (19.4) $\text{mmol}/\text{L}$	0.64
Mean Urine alb/Cr ( $\pm\text{SD}$ )	48.9 (86.2) $\mu\text{g}/\text{mg}$ (N = 36)	9.5 (4.4) $\mu\text{g}/\text{mg}$ (N = 36)	0.059

*Alb/Cr – albumin/creatinine ratio; N=36 for both patient and control group.*

The serum Cystatin-C levels was not different among the male and female patients ( $X^2 = 2.89$ ;  $p = 0.52$ ), neither was there difference across the weight ( $X^2 = 2.89$ ;  $p = 0.68$ ) or the BMI group ( $X^2 = 2.68$ ;  $p = 0.75$ ). Of the patients that were

studied formicro-albuminuria (N =36), 16 (44.4%) had micro-albuminuria while none of the controls had (Table2)

**Table 2**

*Markers of kidney damage in the studied patients using eGFR equations (CKD-EPI) from serum creatinine and/or serum cystatin C only and with albuminuria.*

Markers of kidney damage	Using CKD-EPI Cr n (%)	Using CKD EPI cys C n (%)	Using CKD EPI Cr-cys C n (%)
Hyperfiltration (eGFR $\geq$ 140 mL/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> )	18 (39.2%)	0 (0%)	36 (78.3%)
Reduced eGFR (eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> )	1 (2.2%)	4 (8.7%)	0 (0%)
Hyperfiltration and Microalbuminuria	9 (56.3%)	0 (0%)	12 (42.9%)
Reduced eGFR and Microalbuminuria	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)

*Microalbuminuria only was found in 16/35 (44.4%) of the patients.*

CKD-EPI-Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation; eGFR – estimated glomerular filtration rate; Cr – Creatinine; cys C – serum cystatin C. Using the CKD-EPI equations with serum creatinine and Cystatin-C independently and in combination, twice the number of patients with hyperfiltration using CKD-EPI Cr equation was identified by the combination CKD-EPI Cr-cys-C equation while none was identified by CKD-EPI cys-C equation

when used alone (Table 2). The Cystatin-C based only equation did not identify sickle cell patients with hyperfiltration in this study (Table 3). Similarly, CKD-EPI cys-C identified more patients with low eGFR (< 60 mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>) than the creatinine based equation. No patient with low eGFR was identified by the CKD-EPI equation combining serum creatinine and cystatin C (Table 3).

Table 3

*Chronic kidney disease (CKD) stage of 46 patients with SCA using the different CKD-EPI equations<sup>14,17</sup> for serum creatinine and cystatin C alone and in combination.*

CKD stage Renal function	eGFR value in mL/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup>	CKD-EPI Cr N (%)	CKD EPI cys C N (%)	CKD EPI Cr-cys C N (%)
Hyperfiltration	≥ 140	18 (39.1%)	0 (0%)	36 (78.3%)
1	90 - < 140	15 (32.6%)	30 (65.2%)	10 (21.7%)
2	60 - 89	12 (26.1%)	12 (26.1%)	0 (0%)
3	30 - 59	1 (2.2%)	4 (8.7%)	0 (0%)
4	15 - 29	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
5	< 15	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
	Total	46 (100%)	46 (100%)	46(100%)

*CKD-EPI - Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation; eGFR – estimated glomerular filtration rate; Cr – Creatinine; cys C – serum cystatin C.*

The CKD-EPI equations using serum creatinine and cystatin C independently tend to identify patients with low eGFR with or without albuminuria better than the CKD-EPI Cr-cys-C equation (Tables 2 and 3). The severity of renal dysfunction was graded (Table 3) according to the Kidney Disease Quality Outcome Initiative (K/DOCQI) guidelines [20], while those with eGFR ≥ 140 mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup> were defined as having hyperfiltration as previously published [15]. Those with stage 3 kidney damage were identified by CKD-EPI Cr and CKD-EPI cys-C at 2.2% and 8.7% respectively but none was identified by the combination equation. CKD-EPI cys-C equation was more sensitive in identifying

patients with low eGFR (eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>) with or without albuminuria. Remarkably, none of the patients studied was classified into CKD stages 4 and 5 by all the equations independently or in combination. Using the general logistic regression model; age ≤ 19 years, male sex, low eGFR (eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>) and presence of micro-albuminuria were predictive of high serum levels of Cystatin-C in SCA patients (Table 4)

**Table 4**

*Binary Logistic Regression Model showing the likelihood of SCD patients having high levels of serum cystatin C*

Observed Parameters	Serum cystatin C value > 0.26 mg/L (mean value for the control group)		
	Odds-ratio ( <i>standard error</i> )	p-value	[95% Confidence Interval]
<b>Parameters</b>			
<b>Age in years</b>			
15 – 19	19.91 (0.95)	0.034	1.43 – 52.82
20 – 24	13.89 (2.97)	0.175	0.31 – 24.58
25 – 29	0.33 (0.41)	0.377	0.03 – 22.08
30 - 39	RG		
40+	8.86 (7.38)	0.996	0.00 – 1.00
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	RG		
<b>Female</b>	0.07 (0.09)	0.041	0.01 – 0.89
<b>BMI in kg/m<sup>2</sup></b>			
14.00 – 16.00	RG		
16.01 – 18.00	4.20 (5.60)	0.282	0.31 – 57.35
18.01 – 20.00	6.05 (12.66)	0.390	0.10 – 5.32
20.01 – 22.00	9.45 (43.62)	0.092	0.44 - 51.69
22.01 – 24.00	7.28 (8.05)	1.000	0.00 – 1.011
24.01 – 28.90	1.86 (6.48)	0.858	0.00 – 1712.16
<b>eGFR in mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup></b>			
GFR < 60	6.44 (0.01)	0.000	0.00 – 1.00
eGFR = 60 - 89	5.03 (6.13)	0.185	0.46 – 54.86
eGFR = 90 <140	RC		
eGFR ≥ 140	0.18 (0.28)	0.268	0.01 – 3.67
<b>Alb/Cr values</b>			
Alb/Cr ≤ 30µg/mg	RG		
Alb/Cr >30 µg/mg	1.00 (0.00)	0.000	0.00 – 1.00
<b>eGFR and Alb/Cr values</b>			
eGFR <90 & Alb/Cr >30	RG		
eGFR >90 & Alb/Cr <30	0.07 (0.09)	0.046	0.01 – 0.96
eGFR ≥ 140 & Alb/Cr >30	0.14 (0.21)	0.026	0.02 – 0.79

*However, there was no significant difference in the serum Cystatin-C levels of those patients with or without hyperfiltration ( $0.278 \pm 0.81$  vs  $0.299 \pm 0.97$  mg/L;  $p = 0.49$ ) in this study.*

## DISCUSSION

This study evaluated the usefulness of serum cystatin C in indigenous Nigerians patients with homozygous (HbSS) disease using the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) calculated from CKD-EPI equations.

Of all eGFR equations, the CKD-EPI equations has assumed a more accurate method of assessing renal function; it has been shown to be a reliable marker of GFR in clinical practice [21], and found to be useful and more applicable compared to other commonly used equations in patients with sickle cell disease [23]. Asnani et al recently observed that the cystatin-C based CKD-EPI equation has the greatest accuracy and least bias when compared to creatinine and/or cystatin-C-creatinine based equations [23].

In this study, and compared to other populations, serum cystatin C levels appears lower in our population, the mean serum cystatin C levels (SD) of 0.29 (0.09) mg/L in the patients was similar to that of the control group 0.26 (0.07) mg/L, these levels is lower than the reference value of 0.49-0.98mg/l reported for the Caucasians [24] and the mean value (0.95 – 1.32 mg/l) observed by Marouf et al [15] among the Kuwaiti or Asmani et al [23] among the Jamaican patients with SCA. The reasons for these differences are unclear but may not be unrelated to the ethno-racial differences or other non renal factors [25-27].

Groesbeck et al found a lower value in serum cystatin-C for non Hispanic black American and Mexican American compared to white American [25]. In addition, different assay methods of serum cystatin-C affect reported range of values [27]. In evaluating the markers of renal dysfunction (reduced eGFR, hyperfiltration, both with or without albuminuria); our study showed that greater number of patients with low eGFR (< 60 mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>) were identified by CKD-EPI cys C compared to CKD-EPI Cr and cys C combining equations, this is consistent with the findings of

high sensitivity of cystatin c in reduced renal function by Alvarez et al [16]. The robustness of cystatin C equation in assessing renal function is well established in children [16, 28], and also found useful in adult with SCD [23], our study reinforce the superiority of cystatin c equation over and above combined (creatinine + cystatin C) equation even in indigenous black population with SCA. Expectedly, all patients with reduced eGFR had albuminuria, cystatin C had been shown to correlate well with albuminuria [16].

Studies using creatinine based equations have shown over-estimation of eGFR values in SCD even in children [22, 29]; No patient with hyperfiltration was identified by CKD-EPI cys C in this study, while 39.2% and 78.3% were identified by CKD-EPI Cr and CKD-EPI Cr + cys C respectively. Serum creatinine levels tend to be lower in patients with SCD due to reduced muscle mass and increased renal tubular excretion of creatinine well documented in SCD patients [30], the outcome is a lower serum creatinine values and over-estimation of eGFR from creatinine based equations.

Compared with earlier study in the same group of patients [31], using the Cockcroft-Gault equation; similar kidney disease (CKD) staging was found with CKD-EPI Cr equation in the present study. About two percent of patients in the two groups had reduced eGFR while hyperfiltration was observed in 30.6% and 39.1% respectively; however, the CKD-EPI cystatin-C based equations re- classified the CKD staging of the patients. Many more patients were shown to have reduced eGFR and none with hyperfiltration using the CKD-EPI cystatin C equation. This study has again confirmed previous findings [23, 25] that young age, gender, albuminuria and low eGFR (< 60 mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>) are predictive of increase serum cystatin C level across all population.

## CONCLUSION

Serum Cystatin C values appears lower in the cases and control population in this study. While the CKD-EPI creatinine and Cystatin-C based equations classified kidney dysfunction differently in sickle cell anaemia patients; the CKD-EPI Cystatin-C equation identified those with low eGFR (eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>) better than other equations. Albuminuria and eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup> are predictive of high serum Cystatin-C level in these cohort.

## COMPETING INTEREST

No competing interest declared by all the authors

## AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

RAB and KSA conceived the study and designed the protocol. RAB and NOA were involved in laboratory work while RAB and TKO participated in the data analysis. RAB, KSA, and NOA drafted the manuscript, while NOA performed expert review prior to submission. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank Mrs A Adeloje, a chief scientist at the Department of Haematology and Immunology, Obafemi Awolowo University Ile-Ife, Nigeria for assisting with quantitation (ELISA) of serum cystatin-C.

## REFERENCES

1. Powars DR, Elliot-Mills DD, Chan L, Niland J, Hiti AL, Opas LM, Johnson C: Chronic renal failure in sickle cell disease: risk factors, clinical course and mortality. *Ann Intern Med* 1991; 115: 614-620.
2. Saborio P, Scheinman JI: Sickle cell nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 1999; 10: 187-192.
3. Ataga KI, Orringer EP: Renal abnormalities in sickle cell disease. *Am J Hematol* 2000; 63: 205-211.
4. Sheinman JI: Sickle cell disease and the kidney. *Nat Clin pract Nephrol*. 2009; 5: 78-88
5. Allon M: Renal abnormality in sickle cell disease. *Arch Intern Med* 1990; 150(3): 501-504
6. Bakir AA, Hathiwala SC, Ainis H, Hryhorczuk DO, Rhee HL, Devy PS, Dunea G: Prognosis of the nephrotic syndrome in sickle glomerulopathy. A retrospective study. *Am J Nephrol*. 1987; 7:110-115.
7. Becker AM: Sickle cell nephropathy: challenging the conventional wisdom. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2011; 26: 2099-2109.
8. Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Zhang Y, Castro AF, Feldman HI, Kuseki JW, Eggers P, Van Lente F, Greene T, Coresh J: A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Ann Intern Med* 2009; 150: 604-612
9. Hermida J, Romero R, Tutor JC: Relationship between serum cystatin C and creatinine in kidney and liver transplant patients. *Clin Chim Acta* 2002; 316: 165-170.
10. Tangri N, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Zhang YL, Beck GJ, Greene T, Coresh J, Levey AS: Changes in dietary protein intake has no effect on serum cystatin C levels independent of the glomerular filtration rate. *Kidney Int* 2011; 79: 471-474.

11. Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Greene T, Li L, Beck GJ, Joffe MM, Froissart M, Kusek JW, Zhang Y, Coresh J, Levey AS: Factors other than glomerular filtration rate affect serum cystatin C levels. *Kidney Int*, 2009; 75: 652- 660.
12. Shlipak MG, Sarnak MJ, Katz R, Fried LF, Seliger SL, Newman AB, Siscovick DS, Stehman-Breen C: Cystatin C and the risk of death and cardiovascular events among elderly persons. *N Engl J Med* 2005, 352: 2049-2060.
13. Mojiminiyi OA, Abdella N, George S: Evaluation of serum cystatin C and Chromogranin as a marker of nephropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest*. 2000; 48(3): 436-472.
14. Inker LA, Schmid CH, Tighouart H, Eckfeldt JH, Feldman HI, Greene T, Kusek JW, Manzi J, Van Lente F, Zhang YP, Coresh J, Levey AS: Estimating glomerular filtration rate from creatinine and cystatin C. *N Engl J Med* 2012; 367: 20-29.
15. Marouf R, Mojiminiyi O, Abdella N, Kortom M, Al Wazzan H: Comparison of renal function markers in Kuwaiti patients with sickle cell disease. *J Clin Pathol*. 2006; 59: 345-351.
16. Alvarez O, Zilleruelo G, Wright D, Montane B, Lopez-Mitnik G: Serum cystatin C levels in children with sickle cell disease. *Paediatric Nephrol*. 2006; 21(4): 533-537.
17. Bevc S, Hojs R, Ekart R, Gorenjak M, Puklavec L: Simple Cystatin C Formula Compared to Serum Creatinine-Based Formulas for Estimation of Glomerular Filtration Rate in Patients with Mildly to Moderately Impaired Kidney Function. *Kidney Blood Press Res* 2012; 35: 649-654.
18. Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Zhang Y, Castro AF, Feldman HI, Kusek JW, Eggers P, Van Lente F, Greene T, Coresh J: Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology (CKD-EPI-Collaboration), A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Ann Intern Med*. Erratum, *Ann Intern Med* 2011; 155:408.
19. Sacks DB, Bruns E, Goldstein DE, Maclaren NK, McDonald JM, Parrot M: Guidelines and recommendation for laboratory analysis in the diagnosis and management of diabetes mellitus. *Clin Chem*. 2002; 48(3): 436-472.
20. National Kidney Foundation: K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines for chronic kidney disease: Evaluation, classification and stratification. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2002; 39: S1- S266.
21. Mathew TH, Johnson DW, Jones GR: Chronic kidney disease and automatic reporting of estimated glomerular filtration rate: revised recommendations. *Med J Aust* 2007; 187: 459-463.
22. Asnani MR, Lynch O, Reid ME: Determining glomerular filtration rate in homozygous sickle cell disease: utility of serum creatinine based estimating equations. *PLoS ONE* 2013; 8 e69922.
23. Asnani M, Reid M: Cystatin C: a useful marker of glomerulopathy in sickle cell disease? *Blood Cells Mol Dis*. 2015; 54(1): 65-70 doi: 10.1016/j.bcmed.2014.07.018.
24. Galteau MM, Guyon M, Gueguen R, Siest G: Determination of serum cystatin C: biological variation and reference values. *Clin Chem Lab Med*. 2001; 39: 850-857.

25. Groesbeck D, Kottgen A, Parekh R, Selvin E, Schwartz GJ, Coresh J, Furth S: Age, gender, and race effects on cystatin C levels in US adolescents. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2008; 3: 1777-1785.
26. Knight EL, Verhave JC, Spiegelman D, Hillege HL, De Zeeuw D, Curhan GC, De Jong PE: Factors influencing serum cystatin C levels other than renal function and the impact on renal function measurement. *Kidney Int* 2004; 65: 1416-1421.
27. Newman DJ: Cystatin C. *Ann Clin Biochem* 2002; 39(2): 89-104.
28. Aygun B, Mortier NA, Smeltzer MP, Hankins JS, Ware RE: Glomerular hyperfiltration and albuminuria in children with sickle cell anaemia. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 2011; 26: 1285-1290.
29. Ware RE, Rees RC, Sarnaik SA, Iyer RV, Alvarez OA, Casella JF, Shulkin BL, Shalaby-Rana E, Stripe CF, Miller JH, Lene PA, Wang WC, Miller ST, BABY HUG Investigators: Renal function in infants with sickle cell anaemia: baseline data from BABY HUG trial. *J Paediatr* 2010; 156: 66-70.
30. Aparicio SA, Mojiminiyi S, Kay JD, Shepstone BJ, de Ceulaer K, Serjeant GR: Measurement of glomerular filtration rate in homozygous sickle cell disease: a comparison of <sup>51</sup>Cr EDTA clearance, creatinine clearance, serum creatinine and beta 2 microglobulin. *J Clin Pathol* 1990; 43: 370-372
31. Bolarinwa RA, Akinlade KS, Kuti MAO, Olawale OO, Akinola NO: Renal disease in adult Nigerians with sickle cell anaemia: a report of prevalence, clinical features and risk factors. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl* 2012; 23(1): 171-175