



ASSESSMENT OF ENTRANCE SURFACE DOSE (ESD) IN SOME ROUTINE X-RAYS EXAMINATIONS AT THE FACULTY OF VETERINARY MEDICINE AHMADU BELLO UNIVERSITY, ZARIA

***¹Vatsa, M.A., ¹Bello, I.A., ¹Onuh, E., ¹Garba, N.N, ²Kado, S., ¹Ahmad, A.A and ¹Aminu, M.A.**

¹Department of Physics, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria.

²Department of Physics, Federal University Dutsin-Ma, Katsina State. Nigeria.

*corresponding author: mvabdullahi@abu.edu.ng, +2348038890035

ABSTRACT

Radiography is an important part of diagnostic imaging in veterinary medicine. It relies on X-rays to diagnose diseases in form of images. The research aims to estimate the ESD for animals undergoing X-ray diagnostic examinations at the Digital Imaging Centre (DIC), Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria. The concepts of radiological protection specified for human beings was observed and an indirect measurement of ESD was applied (mathematical formula). The result showed that the entrance surface dose (ESD) ranges for different examinations carried out on the animals were 0.97 - 39.89 mGy, 1.68 - 7.22 mGy, 5.04 - 10.61 mGy, 17.65 - 58.11 mGy and 12.78 - 38.41 mGy respectively for chest (AP), skull (AP), cervical spine (AP), lumbar spine and pelvis. The mean ESD values are slightly higher than the mean ESD from the related study specified for human beings. This indicates that there is a need for a periodic quality control program at the DIC, to reduce the animal doses to as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA).

Keywords: Entrance Surface Dose, Veterinary, Radiology, Diagnostic, Quality Control

INTRODUCTION

Animal radiology is used extensively for the diagnosing of various kinds of diseases in animals. Radiography is an important part of diagnostic imaging in veterinary medicine. It relies on X-rays to diagnose disease in the chest, abdomen and musculoskeletal system in form of images. The principles of radiography are essentially the same for small and large animals, although they vary in size and posture. The size and posture of animals require special consideration in patient preparation, equipment, animal restraint, positioning devices and radiation safety during exposure. The mounted X-ray machines are majorly used for large animals, while the portable or mobile unit is preferable for small pets.

In the medical field, the use of ionizing radiation contributes significantly to the source of exposure of the population (Maria et. al., 2009, Taha et. al., 2014). The ESD can be determined by measuring the amount of radiation dose absorbed by the skin during exposure. The need for radiation dose assessment of patients during diagnostic X-ray examination has been highlighted by increasing knowledge of hazards from ionizing radiation (Taha et. al., 2014).

The majority of information on ionizing radiation exposure and effect documented were done to meet the needs of human radiological protection (Venezahi et al., 2009). In Nigeria and other developing countries, some works were done to determine radiation dose to patients in diagnostic radiology: Atalabi et. al. (2013) determined the entrance surface dose from pediatric diagnostic X-ray examination. A survey of radiological techniques in three (3) hospitals in Nigeria was determined by Ogundare et. al. (2004). Many authors had also contributed to the assessment of ESD in humans using X-ray machines worldwide (Akhdar, 2007, Olowookere et al., 2009 & Osman, 2013). Few or no attention on the assessment of radiation dose in animals using diagnostics equipment in developing countries were carried out. A system of radiological protection of nonhuman organisms must be harmonized with the principles for the radiological protection in humans (ICRP-19, 2002). Therefore, the research seeks to estimate the ESD for animals exposed to diagnostic X-rays at the Digital Imaging Centre (DIC), Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria, with the concepts of radiological

protection specified for human beings by applying mathematical methods.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research was performed to estimate the ESD received by animals at the Digital Imaging Centre (DIC), Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria. ESD can be determined mainly by two methods (direct method and indirect method). The direct method involves using Thermoluminescent Dosimeters (TLD) stacked on the patient skin, while the indirect method via mathematical model calculation based on the X-rays machine output (Alghoul et. al., 2017). The latter method was adopted for this study.

The ESD values of about 41 patients (animals) were estimated using mathematical model calculations (indirect method), based on the X-rays machine output. The five routine medical examinations used for the ESD evaluation include: Skull (AP), Chest (AP), Cervical Spine (AP), Lumbar Spine and Pelvis.

The X-rays machine used for the medical diagnostic examination has a specification of minimum inherent filtration Aluminum of 2 mmAl/75, X-rays rating up to 150 kVp. The animals examined during this study were mainly large animals such as cows, horses and goats. The animals' age and weight were put into consideration at the beginning of the procedure,

to adjust the exposure factors of the X-rays machine to achieve an optimal image. The X-rays machine parameters recorded during the examinations include: focus to surface distance (FSD), peak tube voltage (kVp) and exposure current and time product (mAs). This information was recorded for each animal undergoing a medical procedure.

The ESDs were calculated using the Tung and Tsai (1999) formula, as expressed below and Microsoft-Excel spreadsheet was used for the estimation and statistical analysis:

$$ESD (mGy) = c \left(\frac{kVp}{FSD} \right)^2 \left(\frac{mAs}{mm.Al} \right) \dots \quad 1$$

where: FSD: Focus to Skin Distance, kVp: X-rays peak tube voltage, mAs: Tube current time exposure time, Al: minimum inherent filtration Aluminum equivalent and C: constant value 0.2775.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The result obtained were recorded in the tables shown below (Table 1-7). The result includes medical procedures at a different position, gender, animal age, kVp, mAs, FSD and ESD for all the different diagnostic X-rays procedures carried out during the period of this study at the DIC, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ahmadu Bello University Zaria, Nigeria. The exposure factors were slightly different for the same procedures, due to the size of the animals.

Table 1: ESDs for Chest AP

| Number | Age (months) | Gender | kVp | mAs | FSD (cm) | ESD (mGy) |
|--------------|--------------|--------|-------|-------|----------|--------------|
| 1 | 12 | Female | 75 | 66 | 100 | 4.12 |
| 2 | 8 | Female | 86 | 30 | 33 | 22.62 |
| 3 | 24 | Male | 80 | 60 | 33 | 39.14 |
| 4 | 12 | Female | 70 | 66 | 100 | 3.59 |
| 5 | 36 | Male | 50 | 35 | 100 | 0.97 |
| 6 | 12 | Male | 90 | 79 | 100 | 7.10 |
| 7 | 6 | Female | 77 | 66 | 33 | 39.89 |
| 8 | 24 | Female | 60 | 55 | 33 | 20.18 |
| 9 | 18 | Female | 68 | 52 | 100 | 2.67 |
| 10 | 18 | Male | 78 | 40 | 100 | 2.70 |
| Range | | | 50-90 | 30-79 | 33-100 | 0.97 – 39.89 |
| Mean | | | 73.4 | 54.9 | 73.2 | 14.30 |

Table 1 indicates the exposure factors and obtained ESDs for animals that have undergone chest (AP) examination, the ESDs ranged from 0.97 – 39.89 mGy with the average value of 14.30 mGy.

Table 2: ESD Estimation for Skull AP

| Number | Age (months) | Gender | kVp | mAs | FSD (cm) | ESD (mGy) |
|--------------|--------------|--------|-------|-------|----------|-------------|
| 1 | 8 | Female | 80 | 45 | 100 | 3.19 |
| 2 | 36 | Female | 55 | 50 | 100 | 1.68 |
| 3 | 24 | Female | 70 | 66 | 80 | 5.61 |
| 4 | 12 | Male | 80 | 65 | 80 | 7.21 |
| 5 | 12 | Female | 75 | 66 | 100 | 4.12 |
| Range | | | 55-80 | 45-66 | 80-100 | 1.68 – 7.22 |
| Mean | | | 72 | 58.4 | 92 | 4.36 |

Table 2 shows exposure factors and estimated ESDs for animals undergoing a skull (AP) examination, the ESDs ranged from 1.68 – 7.22 mGy with a mean value of 4.36 mGy.

Special Conference Edition, April, 2022

Table 3: ESD for Cervical Spine AP

| Number | Age (months) | Gender | kV _p | mAs | FSD (cm) | ESD(mGy) |
|--------------|--------------|--------|-----------------|---------|----------|--------------|
| 1 | 12 | Female | 85 | 79 | 100 | 6.34 |
| 2 | 12 | Male | 70 | 66 | 100 | 3.59 |
| 3 | 24 | Male | 72 | 66 | 100 | 3.79 |
| 4 | 36 | Female | 80 | 66 | 100 | 4.69 |
| 5 | 12 | Female | 80 | 66 | 128 | 2.86 |
| 6 | 8 | Male | 88 | 75 | 118 | 4.63 |
| 7 | 6 | Female | 75 | 66 | 100 | 4.12 |
| 8 | 18 | Male | 75 | 66 | 100 | 4.12 |
| 9 | 30 | Male | 70 | 66 | 80 | 5.61 |
| 10 | 24 | Male | 88 | 79 | 80 | 10.61 |
| Range | | | 70 – 88 | 66 – 79 | 80 – 128 | 5.04 – 10.61 |
| Mean | | | 78.3 | 69.5 | 100.6 | 2.86 |

Table 3 shows the exposure factors and estimated ESDs for the cervical spine, the ESDs ranged from 5.04 – 10.61 mGy with an average value of 2.86 mGy.

Table 4: ESD for lumbar Spine

| Number | Age (months) | Gender | kV _p | mAs | FSD (cm) | ESD(mGy) |
|--------------|--------------|--------|-----------------|---------|----------|-------------|
| 1 | 24 | Female | 110 | 80 | 43 | 58.11 |
| 2 | 18 | Female | 90 | 70 | 43 | 34.03 |
| 3 | 6 | Male | 80 | 66 | 43 | 25.36 |
| 4 | 12 | Male | 88 | 75 | 43 | 34.87 |
| 5 | 12 | Male | 75 | 66 | 43 | 22.29 |
| 6 | 24 | Female | 70 | 60 | 43 | 17.65 |
| 7 | 12 | Male | 90 | 80 | 43 | 38.90 |
| 8 | 8 | Female | 90 | 80 | 43 | 38.90 |
| Range | | | 70 – 110 | 66 – 80 | 43 | 17.65-58.11 |
| Mean | | | 86.63 | 72.13 | 43 | 33.76 |

Table 4 presented the exposure factors and estimated ESDs for the lumbar spine (AP), the ESDs ranged from 17.65 – 58.11 mGy with an average value of 33.76 mGy.

Table 5: ESD for Pelvis

| Number | Age (months) | Gender | kV _p | mAs | FSD (cm) | ESD (mGy) |
|--------------|--------------|--------|-----------------|---------|----------|-------------|
| 1 | 8 | Female | 80 | 66 | 53 | 16.69 |
| 2 | 12 | Male | 88 | 78 | 43 | 36.26 |
| 3 | 12 | Male | 70 | 66 | 53 | 12.78 |
| 4 | 18 | Male | 75 | 66 | 53 | 14.67 |
| 5 | 24 | Female | 77 | 60 | 53 | 14.06 |
| 6 | 36 | Male | 90 | 79 | 43 | 38.41 |
| 7 | 12 | Female | 95 | 66 | 43 | 35.76 |
| 8 | 24 | Female | 80 | 60 | 43 | 23.05 |
| Range | | | 75 – 95 | 66 – 79 | 43 – 53 | 12.78-38.41 |
| Mean | | | 81.88 | 67.63 | 48 | 23.96 |

Table 5 present the exposure factor and the estimates ESDs for the pelvis, the ESDs ranged from 12.78 – 38.41 mGy with an average value of 23.96 mGy.

Table 6: Mean values and range of the exposure factors used f

| Diagnostic type | kV _p | mAs | FSD (cm) | ESD (mGy) |
|---------------------|-----------------|---------|----------|--------------|
| Chest (AP) | 73.4 | 54.9 | 73.2 | 14.30 |
| | 50-90 | 30-79 | 33-100 | 0.97 – 39.89 |
| Skull (AP) | 72 | 58.4 | 92 | 4.36 |
| | 55-80 | 45-66 | 80-100 | 1.68 – 7.22 |
| Cervical Spine (AP) | 78.3 | 69.5 | 100.6 | 2.86 |
| | 70 – 88 | 66 – 79 | 80 – 128 | 5.04 – 10.61 |
| Lumbar Spine (AP) | 86.63 | 72.13 | 43 | 33.76 |
| | 70 – 110 | 66 – 80 | 43 | 17.65-58.11 |
| Pelvis (AP) | 81.88 | 67.63 | 48 | 23.96 |
| | 75 – 95 | 66 – 79 | 43 – 53 | 12.78-38.41 |

Table 6 indicates the ranges and mean values of the exposure factors used for medical procedures in all the body positions.

Table 7: Comparison between the present study and the literature

| Diagnostic type | This study ESD (mGy) | Yacoob <i>et al.</i> , 2017 ESD (mGy) | Korir <i>et al.</i> , 2007 ESD (mGy) | Seo <i>et al.</i> , 2014 ESD (mGy) | Alghoul <i>et al.</i> , 2017 ESD (mGy) | Yousif, 2016 ESD (mGy) |
|-------------------|-------------------------|---|---|--|---|------------------------------|
| Chest (AP) | 14.30 | 1.43 | 1.85 | 0.37 | 7.43 | - |
| Skull (AP) | 4.36 | 4.14 | 14.16 | 2.08 | 11.24 | - |
| Cervical (AP) | 2.86 | 1.45 | 3.89 | 1.21 | 7.25 | 2.40 |
| Lumbar Spine (AP) | 33.76 | - | - | - | 103.7 | 22.61 |
| Pelvis | 23.96 | 4.71 | 9.02 | 2.34 | 41.73 | - |

Table 7 presented the comparison between the results obtained during this study, previous related studies and the established international references levels of ESDs (EC, 1996; Tamboul et al., 2014). The mean ESD values were slightly higher than the mean ESD from the related study specified for human beings.

An important parameter that has featured a lot from the above tables is the kVp. This parameter always affects the procedure of a medical X-ray machine. Its increase supports image quality and as well increasing radiation dose to the animals (Dendy & Heaton, 2011; Tungjai, Phathakanon, Ketnuam, Tinlapat, & Kothan, 2018). Thus, the quest for quality image with good contrast could be attributed to the slight increase in the ESDs for this study as well as some positions in the previous related studies. More so, from equation 1 the linear relation shows that kVp is twice the ESD, this could also be attributable to the slight increment in the estimated ESDs.

CONCLUSION

The entrance surface skin dose range evaluated in this study and the procedures observed were

between 2.86 and 33.76 mGy for all animals with different sizes and ages. The ESD values were slightly higher than the mean ESD from the related studies specified for human beings. This indicates that there is a need to implement a quality control program at the DIC, to ensure reduction in the animal doses and to conform with the acceptable limits. The results presented in this study will serve as a baseline data needed for deriving a reference dose level for animal radiography. Finally, despite the notable significant increase in the ESD, there is no cause for alarm, further studies such as the use of multiple indirect methods and the use of TLDs is recommended to ensure that the principles of radiation protection are observed on nonhuman subjects.

REFERENCES

- Akhdar, H. A. (2007). Assessment of entrance skin dose and effective dose of some routine X-ray examination using calculation techniques. *KSU (M.Sc Thesis)*.
- Alghoul, A. A; and Yasir, M. (2016) Alternative mathematical form for determining the effectiveness of high LET Radiation at lower dose region. *International Journal of Radiology and imagine technology 2* (1): 1-4.
- Alghoul, A., Abdalla, M. M., and Abubaker, H. M. (2017). Mathematical Evaluation of Entrance Surface Dose (ESD) for Patients Examined by Diagnostic X-Rays. *Open Access J. Sci.: 1-5*.
- Atalabi, O. M., Akinlade, B., Adekanmi, A. J., and Samuel, O. A. (2013). Entrance Surface Dose from Pediatric diagnostic X-ray examinations in Developing World Setting: Are We 'ALARA Principle' Compliant. *British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research*. 3(4): 2288 – 2298.
- Dendy, P. P., & Heaton, B. (2011). *Physics for diagnostic radiology*. CRC press.
- European Commission (EC), (1996). Quality Criteria for Diagnostic Radiographic Images in Pediatrics. Report EUR 16261, Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg, 1-35.
- International Commission on Radiological Protection, 2002. A Framework for Assessing the Impact of Ionizing Radiation on Non-human Species. *Publication 91. ICRP*.
- Korir G. K., Wambani J. S., and Ochieng B. O. M. (2007). Optimization of the Radiological Protection of Patients in Diagnostic Radiology Department of Kenyatta National Hospital in Kenya. Phase (1). Proceedings of the second all African IRPA Regional Radiation Protection Congress 22 – 26 April, 2007 Ismailia Egypt.
- Marie, J. R., Raoline, A. M., Virginia, T., and Gfrtner, H. (2009). Survey of image quality and patient dose in simple

Special Conference Edition, April, 2022

- radiographic examinations in Madagascar: Initial results HEP-MAD 09 Antananarivo (*Madagascar*). 8:21 – 28.
- Ogundare, F. O., Ajibola, C. L., and Balogun F.A. (2004): Survey of radiological techniques and doses of children in some common X-ray examinations in three hospitals in Nigeria. *Med Phys*. 31(3):521-524.
- Olowokere C. J., Babalola, I. A., Olayiwola, M. O., Odina, G., Obed, R.I. and Bello, T. O. (2009) Comparison of Five Models for Assessing Patient Dose from Radiological Examination. *Afr. J. Med Phy, Biomed Eng and Sc*. 21 - 29.
- Osman, H. (2013). Pediatric radiation dose from routine X-ray examination hospital-based study, Taif pediatric hospital. *Scholars Journal of Applied Medical Sciences*. 5: 511 - 515.
- Seo, D., Seogoo, J., and Kim, H. (2014). A comparative assessment of entrance surface doses in analogue and digital radiography during common radiographic examinations. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*. 158 (1): 22 - 27.
- Taha, M. T., Al-Ghorabie, F. H., Kutbi, R. A., and Saib, W. K. (2015). Assessment of entrance skin doses for patients undergoing diagnostic X-ray examinations in King Abdullah Medical City, Makkah, KSA. *Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences*. 8: 100 - 103.
- Tamboul, J., Yousef, M., Mokhtar, K., Alfaki, A., and Sulieman, A., (2014). Assessment of entrance surface dose for the patients from common radiology examinations in Sudan. *Life Science Journal*. 11(2):1-5.
- Tung, C. J., and Tsai, H. J. (1999). Evaluation of Gonad Dose for Diagnostic Radiology. *Proc Natl Sci Counc Repub China*. 23(3):107 – 113.
- Tungjai, M., Phathakanon, N., Ketnuam, P., Tinlapat, J., & Kothan, S. (2018). Determination of hemolysis, osmotic fragility and fluorescence anisotropy on irradiated red blood cells as a function of kV of medical diagnostic X-rays. *International Journal of Radiation Research*, 16(1), 123-127.
- Veneziani, G. R., Matsushima, L. C., Fernandez, R. M., and Rodrigues, L. L. (2010). Thermoluminescence measurements of entrance surface skin dose in exams of dog's chest in veterinary radiology. *Radiation Measurements*. 45: 733 – 735.
- Yacoob, H. Y., and Mohammed, H. A. (2017). Assessment of patients X-ray doses at three government hospitals in Duhok city lacking requirements of effective quality control. *Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences*. 10: 183 -187.
- Yousif, A. (2016). Estimation of Entrance Surface Dose (ESD) of Patients Examined by Diagnostic X-Ray at Sebha Medical Center. *Journal of Applied Science, Libya*.