

## NITROGEN MINERALIZATION FROM PRUNINGS OF THREE MULTIPURPOSE LEGUME AND MAIZE UPTAKE IN ALLEY CROPPING SYSTEM.

Okonkwo C.I.<sup>1</sup> Mbagwu J.S. C.<sup>2</sup> and Egwu S.O.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Soil and Environmental Management  
Ebonyi State University. Abakaliki, Nigeria.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Soil Science, University of Nigeria Nsukka, Nigeria.

### ABSTRACT

A study was conducted on soil N mineralization in the field in an alley cropping system between 2003 -2005 under two soil depths (0-30cm and 30-60cm) through the utilization of an in-situ soil core technique for studying fluxes of mineral N and its uptake by maize. Result obtained indicated that N mineralization was in the pattern of *Gliricidia sepium* > *Leucaena leucocephala* > *Cajanus cajan* > fertilizer > control. The highest N mineralization of 78.91 mg N Kg<sup>-1</sup> soil was obtained in the *Gliricidia sepium* treatment under 0-30cm soil depth. Between the alley and the fertilizer treatment, cumulative N mineralization was more in the alley treatments. Both mineralization and nitrification processes occurred more in the 0-30cm than 30-60cm soil depths. Among the legumes, low N mineralization, nitrification and ammonification were found in the *Cajanus cajan* alley treatments. Leaching was more pronounced in the fertilizer than in the alley treatments. However, nutrient uptake was observed highest in the alley treatments showing that nutrient recovery by maize was highest from the mineralization pruning of legumes in alley cropping system.

**Key Words:** Mineralization, Nitrification, Ammonification, Leaching, Uptake, NO<sub>3</sub>-N, NH<sub>4</sub>-N, *Leucaena leucocephala*, *Gliricidia sepium*, *Cajanus cajan*, Fertilizer.

### INTRODUCTION

Cropping practice involving a close association of trees or shrubs with crops and/or pasture termed agroforestry (Young, 1989), offer potential solution to the problem of declining rural agricultural production in the tropics. Apart from the potential benefits of recycling nutrients from deep soil by trace legume prunings from woody legumes generally have higher nitrogen content than that of non-legumes because many of them fix nitrogen symbiotically with rhizobia. Crops may benefit from the N supplied when leaves are incorporated as green manure. For this to be successful requires mineralization of N from the prunings and efficient uptake of the N released by subsequent crops. Although the prunings from the hedgerows supply enough N for crop growth, the N might be supplied at times or rates that not in synchrony with crop demand. The challenge therefore, is improving N use efficiently in these systems by synchronizing the released nutrient with crop demand.

At International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) Ibadan, studies in alley cropping system have shown that recovery of this N by maize is low (Kang and Mulongoy 1992, Mulongoy et al 1992). Nitrogen recoveries by maize from pruning as low as 10% alley cropped maize have been reported (Sanginga and Mulongoy 1995).

*Leucaena leucocephala* has been used in many parts of the world as green manure for maize and rice (Pound and Martinez-Cairo, 1993). Because of its high nitrogen fixation potential, which may reach 350kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (Sanginga et al, 1988), *Leucaena leucocephala* has been the most studied woody legume. However, for low pH soils such as in Abakaliki, *Gliricidia sepium* and *Cajanus cajan* which abound in the area, were brought into the alley cropping system.

This study outlines the mineralization process in alley cropping system of three woody legumes- *Leucaena leucocephala*, *Gliricidia sepium* and *Cajanus cajan*. Two types of studies were conducted, one no N mineralization from

incorporated prunings in the field through the monitoring of ammonification ( $\text{NH}_4^+$  - N) and nitrification ( $\text{NO}_3^-$  - N) processes and the sound, on the leaching and uptake of N by maize crops.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Location

The experiment was conducted for three years (2003 – 2005) in an existing alley cropping system of three multipurpose legumes – *Leucaena leucocephala*, *Gliricidia sepium* and *Cajanus cajan* (Pigeon Pea), in the experimental site of the Faculty of Agriculture and Natural Resources Management, Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki, in the South Eastern Nigeria.

### Experimental Design

The experiment was established using a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with four replications and five treatments. The treatments were:

- 10t ha<sup>-1</sup> of *Leucaena leucocephala* prunings,
- 10t ha<sup>-1</sup> of *Gliricidia sepium* prunings,
- 10t ha<sup>-1</sup> of *Cajanus cajan* prunings,
- 300kg ha<sup>-1</sup> NPK 20-10-10 fertilizer,
- Control plots with no prunings and no fertilizer.

### Field incubation

The fresh prunings were incorporated to a depth of 0-30cm in their respective alleys. Beds measuring 15m x 4m were prepared within the plots. An in-situ methodology for studying mineralization (fluxes of mineral) -N) of soil N (and its uptake by plants) described by Raison et al (1987) was used. Three pairs of polyvinyl chloride (PVC) tubes measuring 5cm in diameter and 65cm in length were inserted into the soil to a depth of 60cm as follows: after the incorporation of fresh prunings, after fertilizer application and in the control plots at the same time. The PVC tubes were placed randomly in groups of three (A, B and C) in each plot. Tube A was removed immediately and returned to the laboratory. The unrecompensed materials were carefully removed and soil from the core divided into 0-30cm and 30-60cm and analyzed for the time zero for  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$ . The remaining tubes were incubated in the field for 3 weeks. Tubes B were covered with plastic cup to keep out moisture and avoid leaching losses, while tubes C were open. After 3 weeks, tubes B and C were removed to assess the accumulated mineral N, and another tubes D were inserted and removed immediately for analysis. The difference between the mineral N accumulated in tubes D and tube C were

assumed to be the estimates of plant N uptake. In the laboratory, the cores were pushed out of the tubes and divided into two sections, 0-30cm and 30-60cm. Soil samples were extracted with 0.5M  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$ . This was shaken for 30 minutes, followed by filtration, and the filtrate was analyzed for  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N using technique described by Gine et al (1989) and  $\text{NH}_4^+$ -N analysed using procedure described by Alves et al; (1992). The following expression as described by Scholes and Sanchez (1991) was adopted.  $\text{NO}_3^-$  = extractable nitrate,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  = extractable ammonium, a = Time zero, bulk soil b = Time one, covered soil c = Time one, uncovered soil d = Time one bulk soil.

1. Net ammonification =  $(\text{NH}_4^b + \text{N}_3^b) - (\text{NH}_4^a + \text{NO}_3^a)$
2. Net ammonification =  $\text{NH}_4^b - \text{NH}_4^a$
3. Net nitrification =  $\text{NO}_3^b - \text{NO}_3^a$
4. Leaching =  $(\text{NH}_4^b + \text{NO}_3^b) - (\text{NH}_4^c + \text{NO}_3^c)$
5. Uptake =  $(\text{NH}_4^d + \text{NO}_2^d) - (\text{Leaching})$

### Plant Establishment

Maize variety Oba super II was planted one week after incorporation (WAL) of prunings at a plating distance of 25cm intra-row spacing and 75 cm inter-row spacing giving a 50,000 stands per ha. Two grains were planted per hill and thinned down to one per stand at 14 days after planting. NPK (20-10-10) fertilizer was applied immediately after thinning at the rate of 3000kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. There were repeated pruning of the alley hedgerow to prevent shading. Pruned material was removed from the plots to avoid compounding mineralization process. At WAI five tagged maize plants per plot were assessed for nutrient content of maize ear leaf using Reuter and Robinson (1986) methods. All the data obtained in this study were analyzed statistically, using SAS (1985).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Mineralization

The cumulative N mineralization in the control plots, alley plots (receiving pruning) and the fertilizer plots are presented in Table 1. The pattern of N mineralization was in the following order: *Gliricidia sepium* > *Leucaena leucocephala* > *Cajanus cajan* > fertilizer > control. Mineralization was highest in *Gliricidia*. *Sepium* plots in all the years of study (41,90 N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil in 2003, 55.0 N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil in 2004 and 78.91 N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil in 2005) at 0-30cm depth. These showed significant increases (p<0.05) in N mineralization over the other legume species, fertilizer and control plots. Mineralization was lowest at all periods of study at 30-60cm and the

lowest obtained in 2003 in the *Cajanus cajan* alley plots (22.50 N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil). Between the alley plots and the fertilizer plots, cumulative N mineralization was more in the alley plots, in the three years of study. The lowest N mineralization occurred in the control plots at all depths (11.28 N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil in 2003, 17.97 N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil in 2004, and 20.40 N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil in 2005).

There were consistent differences in mineralization over time at the two study depths in plots treated with either prunings or fertilizer and the control plots. More N mineralization occurred at the 0-30cm depth than at the 30-60cm depth. However, palm and Sanchez (1991), in their studies of N mineralization with *Sesbania sesban* leaves at two different depths (0-20cm and 20-40cm), observed slight differences at the study depths. The result of this study, did not fully agree with that of Palm and Sanchez (1991) since results showed decreases in N mineralization at lower soil depths (30-60).

#### Nitrification and Ammonification

Data on nitrification and ammonification as shown in Table 1, indicated that greater activities on these two processes were more in the top 0-30cm depth, than the 30-60cm and with increasing time of study. The highest NO<sub>3</sub>-N of 59.60 N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil was obtained in the *Gliricidia sepium*, alley plots in 2005. In the same year, fertilizer introduced NO<sub>3</sub>-N to the level of 28.30 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil at the same depth, while the control plots had 6.63 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil. Both *Leucaena leucocephala* and *Cajanus cajan* with values 32.0 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil and 29.35 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil, respectively were significantly higher than the values obtained in the fertilizer and control plots at 30-60cm depth. The values obtained for ammonification in similar depths increased in all the years but were not as high as values obtained for nitrification. In 2005, NH<sub>4</sub>-N values were 28.07 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil in *Gliricidia sepium* plots, 25.20 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> in *leucaena leucocephala* plots and 21.33 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil in the *Cajanus cajan* plots. The NH<sub>4</sub>-N in both the fertilized and control plots were 12.07 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil and 8.37 N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil respectively. The nitrification and ammonification processes have shown that increased NO<sub>3</sub>-N to NH<sub>4</sub>-N may have resulted from better aerobic condition in the 0-30cm soil depth than in the 30-60cm depth. The NO<sub>3</sub>-N levels were high in the high N input system and very low in both the fertilized and control plots. Thus, hedgerow prunings from the legume trees in alley plots with high N value (Table 1) supported better yield of NO<sub>3</sub>-N. The low level of NH<sub>4</sub>-N in this work could be that as soon as they were formed they were rapidly converted to NO<sub>3</sub>-N by nitrification. Among the legumes pruning, the low N mineralization,

nitrification and ammonification were found in the *Cajanus cajan* alley plots as compared to the *Gliricidia sepium* or *Leucaena leucocephala* alley plots. This was related to the low N content of the *Cajanus cajan* prunings. Comparing the fertilized and control plots with plots receiving prunings (from the legume hedgerow tree in alley), it was observed that the three processes were low in the former two treatments (fertilizer and control).

#### Leaching and Uptake

Values obtained for maximum leaching and uptake are presented in Table 2. Leaching was more in the fertilized plots at the various depths. Mineralized N was leached more at the depth of 0-30cm with a total of 60.30 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil in the fertilized plots. Leaching was considerably low in all the alley plots compared with the fertilized plots. The lower leaching values were obtained from the control plots throughout the study. The least occurred in 2005 (1.73 N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil) at the same depth (0-30cm). However, not much of the leaching process was observed at 30-60cm depth. The highest leaching values at this depth were obtained in the fertilized plots (10.50, 21.62 and 12.98 N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil) in the three-year study periods respectively.

A large proportion of the mineral N found in the 0-30cm soil depth constitute N uptake for maize plant. The highest N available for maize uptake was observed in the year 2005 at both study depths (0-30cm and 30-60cm). The total available N of 61.82 N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil, 101.60 N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil and 106.40 N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil were obtained from the *Gliricidia sepium* alley plots. These values were significantly different (p<0.05) from all the other treatments both *Leucaena leucocephala* and *Cajanus cajan* alley plots had higher N values for maize uptake, than the fertilized and control plots and showed significant differences (p<0.05) over them. The lowest N available for maize uptake occurred in the control plots throughout the period of study. The high level of mineral N at 0-30cm was advantageous for the early growth of maize. However, because of the rooting pattern of maize, mineral N within 40cm depth will still be absorbed by the maize plants. When the total mineral N available for maize uptake, resulting from the prunings of *Gliricidia sepium*, *Leucaena leucocephala* and *Cajanus cajan* are compared to the fertilizer N, it became obvious that more N came from the prunings than from fertilizer in the study. A greater percentage of mineral N from fertilizer were found in the leaching process. Thus, the N from fertilizer might have been completely released at the peak of the leaching process. Therefore, the decomposition rate of the pruned materials

controlled N release and more N from pruned materials were utilized by maize than that of fertilizer N, which might have been released very fast and leached beyond the rooting zone of the maize plant.

#### Nutrient Content of Ear leaf

At 7WAI ear leaf samples showed that the incorporated pruned materials in the alley plots supplied better nutrient than fertilizer (Table 3). The most important nutrient was N. Among the different alley plots, the least amount of N in the ear leaf was from the *C. cajan* plots, with a total N content of 173kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2005, while both *L. leucocephala* and *G. sepium* had 210kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and 256kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively in the same year of study. The N content of ear leaf of maize grown in the fertilized plot was 80kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Between 2003 and 2005, the N content of maize ear leaf was higher in the *G. sepium* alley plots. *Gliricidia sepium* within these periods showed significant increase ( $p < 0.05$ ) over *Cajanus cajan* and *Leucaena leucocephala*. The N content on the maize ear leaf as observed in this study, far exceeded that obtained by Mulongoy and Gasser, 1993, and Kang and Mulongoy, 1992, who reported that the effective N from *Leucaena* and *Gliricidia* alley cropped maize was estimated to be less

than 90 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. The higher N content in the maize ear leaf of 256kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and 210kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in *G. sepium* and *Leucaena leucocephala* alley plots as observed in this study, suggested that the main contribution of the legume prunings to maize was N. The N found in the ear leaf of maize from each of the pruning materials in alley cropping treatments far exceeded that supplied by inorganic fertilizer.

Besides N prunings also supplied substantial amount of P, K, Ca and Mg. The highest P in the ear leaf occurred in the *Cajanus cajan* alley plots (254 mg Kg<sup>-1</sup>) in 2005. This was significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ) from all the other treatments. Both Ca and Mg increased appreciably in the maize ear leaf of *Leucaena leucocephala* and *Gliricidia sepium* alley plots and were significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ) from the other treatments.

However, the fertilizer plots showed significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) from *Cajanus cajan* in the amount of Mg content in the maize ear leaf. The levels of P, K, Ca and Mg in the maize ear leaf from the control plots were very low compared with the other treatments and decreased from year to year. The high nutrient recorded in the maize ear leaf from the alley plots indicated that the pruned materials from the three legumes hedgerow trees have enough nutrients for crop growth.

**Table: 1 Net N- Mineralization, Nitrification and Ammonification As Affected By the Incorporated Pruning and Fertilizers In An Alley Farm. (mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> Soil)**

Treatments	2003														
	N-MINERALIZATION					NITRIFICATION					AMMONIFICATION				
	Time 0-30		Time 30- Total			Time 0-30		Time 30-60 Total			Time 0-30		Time 0-30 Total		
cm	60cm				cm	cm				cm	cm				
Zero 3WAI	Zero 3WAI	Zero 3WAI	Zero 3WAI	Zero 3WAI	Zero 3WAI	Zero 3WAI	Zero 3WAI	Zero 3WAI	Zero 3WAI	Zero 3WAI	Zero 3WAI	Zero 3WAI	Zero 3WAI	Zero 3WAI	
<i>Leucaena</i>	3.98	36.53	1.42	27.49	64.02	2.92	33.89	1.78	20.94	54.83	1.34	16.94	0.84	12.59	29.53
<i>Gliricidia</i>	4.01	41.90	1.85	29.77	71.67	3.24	38.68	1.92	21.40	60.08	1.41	18.71	0.91	13.38	32.09
<i>Cajanus</i>	3.87	34.00	1.09	22.53	56.53	2.88	28.63	1.66	18.54	47.17	1.31	15.34	0.80	10.28	25.62
300kg ha <sup>-1</sup> NPK	3.92	21.29	0.87	13.44	34.73	2.78	17.49	1.58	14.46	31.95	1.30	4.89	0.84	2.98	7.87
Control	1.05	11.28	0.35	9.20	20.48	1.69	3.72	1.04	1.67	5.39	1.29	1.56	0.60	0.83	2.39
LSD (0.05)	NS	4.81	NS	3.54	7.09	NS	4.02	NS	2.33	6.71	NS	1.64	NS	1.58	3.96
	2004														
<i>Leucaena</i>	6.82	48.24	3.34	30.66	78.90	9.34	42.31	6.83	28.44	70.75	3.38	21.00	1.38	16.32	37.32
<i>Gliricidia</i>	9.60	55.01	6.46	32.91	87.92	12.46	49.76	9.40	30.12	78.88	4.11	25.65	2.17	16.01	41.66
<i>Cajanus</i>	5.34	40.33	3.08	26.84	67.17	8.68	36.55	5.98	21.31	57.88	2.96	17.24	1.26	14.46	31.70
300kg ha <sup>-1</sup> NPK	4.28	28.42	3.10	18.53	46.93	5.10	20.01	3.96	14.28	34.29	1.98	8.04	0.98	3.12	11.16
Control	2.35	17.97	2.06	1.43	19.22	4.92	12.22	3.86	8.84	21.04	1.65	5.71	0.75	1.66	7.37
LSD (0.05)	2.06	5.31	1.58	2.12	10.56	1.56	4.87	1.54	2.92	8.84	0.84	2.36	0.31	1.87	3.02
	2005														
<i>Leucaena</i>	15.22	62.78	11.44	42.30	105.08	12.00	54.38	8.12	32.00	86.38	5.32	25.21	1.51	19.40	44.61
<i>Gliricidia</i>	19.34	78.92	13.96	50.22	129.14	16.28	59.62	10.36	35.07	94.69	7.08	28.07	2.98	21.32	49.39
<i>Cajanus</i>	13.28	59.44	10.74	31.60	91.04	10.36	46.04	7.98	29.35	75.39	4.10	21.33	1.74	16.58	37.91
300kg ha <sup>-1</sup> NPK	8.41	32.36	5.86	20.32	52.68	6.04	28.30	4.01	17.01	45.31	2.36	12.07	1.32	9.36	21.43
Control	4.69	20.40	3.64	14.08	34.48	5.32	16.44	3.16	6.63	23.07	2.03	8.37	1.03	2.04	10.41
LSD (0.05)	2.35	10.38	2.04	5.02	15.46	2.14	5.46	1.84	3.91	10.38	1.67	3.21	0.53	3.02	4.27

**Table 2: Leaching and Uptake of N measured at 3 WAI as affected by pruning and fertilizer in alley cropping system.**

Treatments	LEACHING			UPTAKE		
	0.3cm	30-60cm	Total	0-30cm	30-60cm	Total
	N kg <sup>-1</sup> Soil.					
	<b>2003</b>					
Leucaena	9.32	5.04	14.36	36.70	20.28	56.98
Gliricida	8.74	4.91	13.65	43.06	18.76	61.82
Cajanus	6.08	3.87	9.95	31.29	19.89	51.18
300kg ha <sup>-1</sup> NPK	25.22	10.56	35.78	10.61	32.67	33.28
Control	2.16	1.10	3.26	4.15	2.23	6.38
LSD (0.05)	2.14	1.94	3.97	3.69	2.91	7.64
	<b>2004.</b>					
Leucaena	19.24	13.84	33.08	55.06	36.37	91.43
Gliricida	17.30	10.33	27.63	68.74	32.86	101.60
Cajanus	12.08	7.05	19.13	50.32	20.04	70.36
300 Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> NPK	52.36	21.62	73.98	20.46	43.28	60.74
Control	3.10	2.09	5.19	6.68	3.96	10.64
LSD (0.05)	3.84	2.61	7.17	6.32	4.08	12.86
	<b>2005</b>					
Leucaena	12.17	8.41	20.58	60.32	39.22	99.54
Gliricida	11.50	7.01	18.51	76.00	30.04	106.40
Cajanus	10.91	4.19	15.10	54.46	26.32	80.78
300Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> NPK	30.27	12.98	43.25	20.38	46.00	66.38
Control	1.73	0.90	2.63	10.77	2.44	13.21
LSD (0.05)	1.13	1.07	3.33	8.91	4.06	15.02

**Table: 3 Nutrient Composition of maize ear leaf under alley cropping system.**

Treatments	Org C	N	P	K	Ca	Mg
	%			Kg ha <sup>-1</sup>		
	<b>2003</b>					
Leucaena	1.54	125	84	28	48	20
Gliricidia	1.31	160	102	21	67	27
Cajanus	1.91	110	156	17	50	21
300 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> NPK	1.42	98	92	19	66	33
Control	0.68	30	55	12	21	14
LSD (0.05)	0.42	32	17	1.8	5.21	2.34
	<b>2004</b>					
Leucaena	1.74	180	110	33	67	43
Gliricidia	1.58	204	124	40	82	38
Cajanus	2.25	156	198	23	72	32
300 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> NPK	1.86	90	104	22	80	47
Control	0.32	28	67	14	39	16
LSD (0.05)	1.04	48	36	3.31	5.63	3.33
	<b>2005</b>					
Leucaena	2.02	210	138	41	88	51
Gliricidia	1.90	256	166	56	97	69
Cajanus	2.46	173	254	30	80	44
300 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> NPK	2.17	80	112	26	72	50
Control	0.21	22	84	18	52	20
LSD (0.05)	1.22	57	54	4.24	1.80	4.04

## CONCLUSION

Mineralization of prunings from alley cropping hedgerow trees maintained higher soil nitrogen and nutrient levels than both the fertilized and control treatments. The highest mineralized N was obtained from the *G. sepium* prunings and this yielded the highest N content in the ear leaf of maize. Both mineralization and nitrification were pronounced in the 0-30cm soil depth, with more accumulation of NO<sub>3</sub>-N than NH<sub>4</sub>-N in this soil depth. Leaching was highest

in the fertilizer treatment than in any other treatment in this experiment. Nutrient availability and utilization were highest in the alley plots where N mineralization of pruning occurred. In all, the high nutrients recovered by maize from the mineralized prunings in the alley treatments, indicated that the pruned materials from the three legumes hedgerow trees had the potential of improving soil nutrient quality and sustain crop production.

## REFERENCES

- Alves, B. J. R., M. Boddey, S Urguiaga, (1992). A rapid and Sensitive flow injection technique for the analysis of ammonium in soil extracts. *Communication in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*. 23: 14-20.
- Gines, M. F., F. H. Bergamin, E. A. G. Zagatto and B. F. Rels, (1980). Simultaneous determination of nitrate and nitrite by flow injection analysis. *Anatchin. Acta*. 114: 191-197.
- Kang, B. T. and K. Mulongoy, (1992). Nitrogen contribution of woody legumes in alley cropping systems. In: K Mulongoy, M. Gueye and D. S. C. Spencer (eds). *Biological Nitrogen Fixation and Sustainability of Tropical 70 Agriculture* pp 367-375, J. Willey Chichester, UK.
- Mulongoy, K. and M. O. Grasser, (1993): Nitrogen-supplying capacity of leaves of *Dactyladenia barterri* (Hook ex olw) and *Leucaena leucocephala* (Lam.) de wit in two soils of different acidity from Southern Nigeria. *Biology and Fertility of Soil* 16: 57-62.
- Palm, C. A. and P. A. Sanchez, (1991). Nitrogen release from the leaves of some tropical legumes as affected by their lignin and polyphenolic contents. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 23: 83-88.
- Pound, B. and L. Marinez – Cairo, (1983). *Leucaena, its Cultivation and uses*. London, Uk: ODA.
- Mulongoy, K, E. B. Ibewiro, O. Oseni, N. K. Lumba, A. O. Opara-Nachi and O. Osonubi. (1992). Influence of Management Practices on alley cropped-maize utilization of pruning N on a degraded alfisol in South Western Nigeria. In: Mulongoy, K and R. Merckx (eds). *Dynamics of Soil Organic Matter in Relation to sustainability of Tropical Agriculture* pp 223-231 John Willey and Sons. Chichester.
- Raison, R. M. Cornell and M. Khana, (1987). Methodology for studying fluxes of soil mineral N in situ. *Soil Biochemistry* 19:521-530.
- Reuter, D. J. and J. B. Robinson, (1986). *Plant Analysis. An interpretation Manual* Lukata Press. Melbourne, Australia p. 155.
- Sanginga, N., K. Mulongoy, and A. Ayanaba, (1988). Nitrogen fixation of field invented *leucaena leucocephala* (2cm) dewitt, estimated by the 15N and different method. *Plant and soil* 117:269-274.
- Sanginga, N. and K. Mulongoy, (1995). Increasing Biological N<sub>2</sub> –fixation and its contribution to the N cycle in alley cropping. In: *Alley Farming Research and Development. Proceeding of an International Conference on Alley Farming*. B. T. Kang, A.O. Osineme and A. Larbi (ed) Ibada, Sept. 1995. AFNETA/IITA/ICRAF/ILCA pp. 203-210.
- SAS Institute staff-1985. *SAS User's Guide, 1985* (ed) Statistical Analysis System Institute. Inc. Carry Nc.
- Scholes, R. J. and P. A. Sanchez, 1991. Nitrogen mineralization and leaching as affected by crop-management practices. *Comparative soil Dynamics* 11: 371-379.
- Young, A. 1998: Soil productivity, soil conservation and land evaluation. *Agroforestry System*, 5: 277-292.