

Drug Utilization Study in Ophthalmology Out-patient Department of a Medical College in India

Banerjee I, Bhadury T¹, Sengupta T², Roy D³

Department of Clinical and Experimental Pharmacology, School of Tropical Medicine, ¹Department of Medicine, AMRI Hospitals Limited, ²Department of Pharmacology, NRS Medical College, Kolkata, ³Department of Ophthalmology, Burdwan Medical College and Hospital, Burdwan, West Bengal, India

Address for correspondence:

Dr. Indranil Banerjee,
10P, D.P.P Road, Kolkata - 700 047,
West Bengal, India.
E-mail: dronineo@yahoo.co.in

Abstract

Background: Drug utilization studies provide a pharmacoeconomic basis for making evidence-based health-care decisions. In ophthalmology practice, rational prescribing plays a crucial role in reducing the ocular disease burden. **Aim:** The aim of the study was to investigate the drug utilization pattern in ophthalmology out-patient department (OPD) of a Medical College in India. **Subjects and Methods:** A prospective, cross-sectional study was conducted for a period of 2 months. The prescriptions for all consecutive patients attending the OPD for the first time (first time encounter) were included and audited using a pre-designed form to record information from the OPD prescription cards of each patient. Data analysis was carried out using the descriptive statistical methods: Frequencies, percentage, mean and standard deviation. **Results:** A total of 640 prescriptions were analyzed with the average number of drugs per prescription being 2.4 (0.9). The most common disorders diagnosed were refractive errors (31.6% [202/640]) followed by cataract, glaucoma and others. Drugs were prescribed in different dosage forms with eye drops being the most common (70.8% [1073/1516]) followed by tablets (15.9% [241/1516]), ointment (6.1% [93/1516]), syrup (1.1% [16/1516]) and others; injections contributed 2.1% (30/1516) of all dosage forms. The frequency of drug administration and duration of treatment was recorded in 96% (614/640) and 75% (480/640) of all prescriptions respectively. Antimicrobials were most commonly prescribed (36.4% [552/1516]) followed by anti-inflammatory and anti-allergic (24.2% [367/1516]), anti-glaucoma medications (21.4% [323/1516]), mydriatic and cycloplegics (7.2% [109/1516]), miotics (6.2% [94/1516]), multivitamins (4.6% [70/1516]). Drugs were predominantly prescribed in brand name 83% (1258/1516) instead of generic name. A total of 62% (940/1516) of drugs were prescribed from national essential medicine list. **Conclusion:** The present study revealed certain lacunae in the prescribing practices of the Ophthalmologists of the institute as evidenced by low generic prescribing, inadequate information about frequency of administration and duration of therapy in many prescriptions. This can be addressed through proper sensitization of clinicians in the art of rational prescribing.

Keywords: Drug utilization study, Ophthalmology, Out-patient department

Introduction

Drug utilization has been defined as the marketing, distribution, prescription and use of drugs in a society with special emphasis on the resultant medical and social consequences.^[1] They

provide a sound pharmacoeconomic basis for making better health-care decisions. The current variations in the drug prescribing pattern, concerns over adverse drug reactions and escalation in the pricing of drugs have increased the importance of drug utilization studies.^[2] A periodic auditing of drug utilization pattern has become necessary for promoting rational use of drugs by increasing the therapeutic efficacy and the cost-effectiveness while decreasing occurrence of untoward adverse effects. To promote rational use of drugs in developing countries, international agencies like the World Health Organization (WHO) and the International Network for The Rational Use of Drugs have applied themselves to evolve standard drug use indicators.^[3] In ophthalmology practice,

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website: www.amhsr.org

DOI:
10.4103/2141-9248.139372

rational prescribing plays a crucial role in reducing the ocular disease burden of the country.

A literature search was conducted, which consisted of a Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online database search (accessed on 18.4.2011) and a World Wide Web search (Search engine: Google, accessed on 14.4.2011) using the following keywords: Drug utilization study, Ophthalmology Department. The search revealed that very few studies have been conducted in India to explore the drug utilization pattern in Ophthalmology Out-patient Department (OPD).^[4-6] These studies were conducted earlier in 1998-99, 2003 and 2009 implicating the need of a recent study to address similar objectives. Though majority of these earlier studies considered WHO suggested prescribing indicators; they did not provide any information regarding the ocular disorder from which the patients were suffering. In this backdrop, the present study was conducted to investigate the drug utilization pattern of the ophthalmologists at a tertiary care Medical College in India in the light of WHO suggested drug use indicators.

Subjects and Methods

A total of 640 prescriptions were analyzed following WHO recommendation^[4] that the study of a single health facility should measure facility specific prescribing indicators with a 95% confidence limit plus minus 10%. Accordingly, it has been recommended that at least 600 encounters or more should be included in a cross-sectional survey.

The study was conducted at the Department of Pharmacology in collaboration with the Department of Ophthalmology. Permission was obtained from the Institution's Ethics Committee. The ophthalmology OPD of the institute was considered as the sampling unit while data was collected prospectively from the out-patients unit of the ophthalmology OPD between 8 am and 2 pm, thrice a week in alternate days excluding weekends for a period of 2 months (1st May 2011-30th June 2011). The prescriptions for all consecutive patients attending the OPD for the first time (first time encounter) were included in the study and audited prospectively using the prescribing indicator form designed by WHO.^[3] The form has already been validated by WHO. Patients were explained about the study and informed consent was obtained from them. In the present study, each patient was referred to as a prescription and only those medications used for treating ocular disorders were considered. All drugs prescribed were noted including dose, route, dosage form, frequency of administration, indications for prescription and duration of therapy.

These forms were used to analyze average number of drugs per prescription, number of encounters with antibiotics, percentage of drugs prescribed by generic name and whether the dosage form, frequency of administration and duration of therapy were mentioned or not. Numbers of drugs prescribed from essential

drug list were also noted. Essential medicines as defined by the WHO are those drugs that satisfy the health-care needs of the majority of the population; they should therefore be available at all times in adequate amounts and in appropriate dosage forms, at a price the community can afford.^[7] Central Drugs Standard Control Organization, the regulatory body in India, has recently formulated the National list of essential medicines in 2011.^[8]

Statistical analysis

The filled-in forms were checked for completeness of data and then analyzed using the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) program version 10 (Chicago, IL, USA). Data analysis was carried out by using descriptive statistics: Frequency, percentage, mean and standard deviation (SD).

Results

During the study period, a total of 683 patients attended the OPD for the first time (first time encounter). However, as 43 patients refused to provide their prescriptions to the study team, only 640 prescriptions were available for analysis. The mean (SD) age of these patients was 48.3 (8.9) years. The total number of male patients was 431 (67.3%), which clearly outnumbered their female counterparts (209), (32.6%) giving a Male: Female ratio of 2.1:1. The total number of drugs prescribed in these prescriptions amounted to 1,516. Average number of drugs per prescription was 2.3 (Mean [SD]: 2.4 [0.9]) and the number of drugs per prescription varied from 1 to 4 [Table 1].

Patients suffering from various ocular disorders attended the OPD during the study period [Table 2]. The most common disorders diagnosed were refractive errors (31.6% [202/640]) followed by cataract, glaucoma, corneal ulcer, foreign body in eye, squint and others. Drugs were prescribed in six different dosage forms with eye drops being the most common (70.8% [1073/1516]) followed by tablets (15.9% [241/1516]), ointment (6.1% [93/1516]), syrup (1.1% [16/1516]), capsules (3.9% [60/1516]), lotion (0.3% [4/1516]); injections contributed 2.1% (30/1516) of all the dosage forms prescribed. The dosage form was mentioned in 98% (627/640) of the prescriptions. The frequency of drug administration was recorded in 96% (614/640) and the duration of treatment was mentioned in 75% (480/640) of the drugs prescribed.

Amongst the drugs, antimicrobials were the most commonly prescribed (36.4% [552/1516]) followed by anti-inflammatory and anti-allergic (24.2% [367/1516]), anti-glaucoma medications (21.4% [323/1516]), mydriatic and cycloplegics (7.2% [109/1516]), miotics (6.2% [94/1516]), multivitamins (4.6% [70/1516]) [Table 2]. Drugs were predominantly prescribed in brand name 83% (1258/1516) instead of generic name. A total of 62% (940/1516) of drugs were prescribed from national essential medicine list.

Table 1: Distribution of no. of drugs per prescription among all prescriptions (n=640)

Number of drugs per prescription	Number of prescriptions N=640 (100%) (%)
1	164 (25.6)
2	246 (38.4)
3	124 (19.3)
4	64 (10)
5	30 (4.6)
6	12 (1.8)

Table 2: Distribution of ocular diseases among 640 patients

Ocular disease	Number of prescriptions N=640 (100%) (%)
Refractive errors	202 (31.6)
Cataract	149 (23.2)
Glaucoma	134 (20.9)
Foreign body in eye	70 (10.9)
Corneal ulcer	49 (7.6)
Squint	19 (2.9)
Others	17 (2.7)

Discussion

Drugs play a key role in human health and in promoting well-being. The availability and affordability of drugs along with their rational use is crucial for rendering effective health-care. However, irrational drug use is prevalent in the developing countries due to irrational prescribing, dispensing and administration of medications. In this perspective, drug utilization study is an important tool in assessing rationality of prescriptions. The average number of drugs per prescription is an important indicator to measure the degree of polypharmacy. It emphasizes the need for periodic review and educational intervention in prescribing practices. The number of drugs per prescriptions should be as low as possible since higher figures culminate in increased risk of drug interactions, increased hospital cost and errors of prescribing.^[4] In the present study, average number of drugs per prescriptions was 2.3, which fell within the range reported in previous studies by Biswas *et al.* (3.0),^[4] Maniyar *et al.* (2.0),^[5] Nehru *et al.* (1.8).^[6] In resource constrained country like India, generic prescribing is a potential measure for reducing the drug cost thus increasing people's access to medicine. Recently, regulatory authorities of different countries are advocating generic prescribing to cut total health-care cost. Similar endeavor has also been taken up by local state government. In this backdrop, the percentage of drugs prescribed by generic names in our study was 17%, which is lower than what was reported by Biswas *et al.*^[4] (35%), but higher than 1% reported by Maniyar *et al.*^[5] Inappropriate sensitization of the clinicians to generic prescribing and the frequent visit of the medical representatives in health facilities may be the probable cause of the under prescribing of the drugs by generic name.

The percentage of prescription of antibiotics in different dosage form was 36.4% and this corroborated the findings of Maniyar *et al.* (30.1%),^[5] and Nehru *et al.* (32.3%).^[6] According to WHO, 15% to 25% prescription with antibiotics is expectable in most of the countries where infectious disease is more prevalent.^[3]

However, information about the frequency of drug administration was missing in 4% of the prescriptions in the present study compared to 22.1% in the study conducted by Biswas *et al.*^[4] The duration of therapy and frequency of drug administration are the important parameters which is not clearly stated in the prescription, can culminate in indiscriminate and irrational use of drugs.

Thus, overall the present study has pointed toward some lacunae in the prescribing practices of the institute as evidenced by low generic prescribing, lack of information about frequency of administration and duration of therapy in many prescriptions. The study suggests a need for proper sensitization of clinicians in the art of rational prescribing, which can be achieved by through short-term training sessions, continuing medical education, prescription audits at regular intervals.

The short period of 2 months for this study might be a limitation to this study because an adequately powered study conducted over a longer time frame would have been more informative. Another major limitation of this study is its inability to consider the associated co-morbidities of patients.

Conclusion

The present study revealed certain lacunae in the prescribing practices of the Ophthalmologists at the selected institute and this is evident by the low generic prescribing, inadequate information about frequency of administration and duration of therapy in many prescriptions.

References

1. WHO. The selection of essential drugs: Report of a WHO expert committee. World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser 1977;615:1-36.
2. Hasnani AA, Patil SS, Narayan KA, Rashid AK, Mohanty BK. Drug utilization study for acute illnesses in village Banggol, Malaysia; the findings of a household survey. Al Ameen J Med Sci 2010;3:165-8.
3. World Health Organization: How to Investigate Drug Use in Health Facilities: Selected Drug Use Indicators. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1993. WHO/DAP 1993;1:1-87.
4. Biswas NR, Jindal S, Siddiquei MM, Maini R. Patterns of prescription and drug use in ophthalmology in a Tertiary Hospital in Delhi. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2001;51:267-9.
5. Maniyar Y, Bhixavatimath P, Akkone V. A drug utilization study in the ophthalmology department of a Medical College, Karnataka, India. J Clin Diagn Res 2011;5:82-4.
6. Nehru M, Kohli K, Kapoor B, Sadhotra P, Chopra V, Sharma R.

Drug utilization study in outpatient ophthalmology department of Government Medical College. JK Science 2005;7:149-51.

7. The Selection and Use of Essential Medicines-WHO Technical Report Series, No. 914, 2003. Available from: <http://www.apps.who.int/medicinedocs/en/d/Js4875e/5.2.html>. [Last accessed on 2012 Feb 16].
8. National List of Essential Medicines of India 2011. Available

from: <http://www.cdsc.nic.in/National%20List%20of%20Essential%20Medicine-%20final%20copy.pdf>. [Last accessed on 2012 Jun 17].

How to cite this article: Banerjee I, Bhadury T, Sengupta T, Roy D. Drug utilization study in ophthalmology out-patient department of a medical college in India. *Ann Med Health Sci Res* 2014;4:667-70.

Source of Support: Nil. **Conflict of Interest:** None declared.