



**Revue des Sciences humaines
et sociales, Lettres, Langues et
Civilisations**

**ISSN
(E) 2958-2814
(P) 3006-306X**

Numéro 007, Juin 2024

**Université Alassane Ouattara
UFR Communication Milieu et Société**

revue.akiri-uao.org



ISSN-L: 2958-2814
ISSN-P: 3006-306X

Site web: <https://revue.akiri-uao.org/>

E-mail : revueakiri@gmail.com

Editeur

UFR Communication, Milieu et Société
Université Alassane Ouattara, Bouaké (Côte d'Ivoire)



ISSN-L: 2958-2814
ISSN-P: 3006-306X

INDEXATIONS INTERNATIONALES

Pour toutes informations sur l'indexation internationale de la revue *AKIRI*, consultez les bases de données ci-dessous :

auréHAL
accès aux données
de référence de HAL

<https://aurehal.archives-ouvertes.fr/journal/read/id/398946>

Mir@bel
“(RE) CUEILLIR
LES SAVOIRS”

<https://reseau-mirabel.info/revue/15150/Akiri>



<http://sjifactor.com/passport.php?id=23334>

**Academic
Resource
Index**
ResearchBib

<https://journalseeker.researchbib.com/view/issn/2958-2814>

ORCID

<https://orcid.org/0009-0002-6794-1377>

SJIF 2024 : 5.214

ISSN-L: 2958-2814
ISSN-P: 3006-306X

REVUE ELECTRONIQUE

AKIRI

Revue Scientifique des Sciences humaines et sociales, Lettres, Langues et Civilisations

E-ISSN 2958-2814 (Online ou en Ligne)

I-ISSN 3006-306X (Print ou imprimé)

Equipe Editoriale

Coordinateur Général : BRINDOUMI Kouamé Atta Jacob

Directeur de publication : MAMADOU Bamba

Rédacteur en chef : KONE Kiyali

Chargé de diffusion et de marketing : KONE Kpassigué Gilbert

Webmaster : KOUAKOU Kouadio Sanguen

Comité Scientifique

SEKOU Bamba, Directeur de recherches, IHAAA, Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny

OUATTARA Tiona, Directeur de recherches, IHAAA, Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny

LATTE Egue Jean-Michel, Professeur titulaire, Université Alassane Ouattara

FAYE Ousseynou, Professeur titulaire, Université Cheick Anta Diop

GOMGNIMBOU Moustapha, Directeur de recherches, CNRST,

ALLOU Kouamé René, Professeur titulaire, Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny

KAMATE Banhouman André, Professeur titulaire, Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny

ASSI-KAUDJHIS Joseph Pierre, Professeur titulaire, Université Alassane Ouattara

SANGARE Abou, Professeur titulaire, Université Peleforo Gbon Coulibaly

SANGARE Souleymane, Professeur titulaire, Université Alassane Ouattara

CAMARA Moritié, Professeur titulaire, Université Alassane Ouattara

COULIBALY Amara, Professeur titulaire, Université Alassane Ouattara

NGAMOUNTSIKA Edouard, Professeur titulaire, Université Marien N'gouabi de Brazzaville

KOUASSI Kouakou Siméon, Professeur titulaire, Université de San-Pedro

BATCHANA Esohanam, Professeur titulaire, Université de Lomé

N'SONSSISA Auguste, Professeur titulaire, Université Marien N'gouabi de Brazzaville

DEDOMON Claude, Professeur titulaire, Université Alassane Ouattara

BAMBA Mamadou, Professeur titulaire, Université Alassane Ouattara

NGUE Emmanuel, Maître de conférences, Université de Yaoundé I

N'GUESSAN Mahomed Boubacar, Professeur titulaire, Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny

BA Idrissa, Professeur titulaire, Université Cheick Anta Diop

KAMARA Adama, Maître de conférences, Université Alassane Ouattara

SARR Nissire Mouhamadou, Maître de conférences, Université Cheick Anta Diop

ALLABA Djama Ignace, Maître de conférences, Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny

DIARRASSOUBA Bazoumana, Maître de conférences, Université Alassane Ouattara

TOPPE Eckra Lath, Maître de conférences, Université Alassane Ouattara

M'BRA Kouakou Désiré, Maître conférences, Université Alassane Ouattara

Comité de Lecture

BATCHANA Essohanam, Professeur titulaire, Université de Lomé
 N'SONSSISA Auguste, Professeur titulaire, Marien N'gouabi de Brazzaville
 CAMARA Moritié, Professeur titulaire, Université Alassane Ouattara
 FAYE Ousseynou, Professeur titulaire, Université Cheick Anta Diop
 BA Idrissa, Maître de conférences, Université Cheick Anta Diop
 BAMBA Mamadou, Professeur titulaire, Université Alassane Ouattara
 SARR Nissire Mouhamadou, Maître de conférences, Université Cheick Anta Diop
 GOMGNIMBOU Moustapha, Directeur de recherches,
 DEDOMON Claude, Professeur titulaire, Université Alassane Ouattara
 BRINDOUMI Atta Kouamé Jacob, Professeur titulaire, Université Alassane Ouattara
 DIARRASOUBA Bazoumana, Maître de conférences, Université Alassane Ouattara
 ALABA Djama Ignace, Maître de conférences, Université Alassane Ouattara
 DEDE Jean Charles, Maître-Assistant, Université Alassane Ouattara
 BAMBA Abdoulaye, Maître de conférences, Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny
 BAKAYOKO Mamadou, Maître de conférences, Université Alassane Ouattara
 SANOGO Lamine Mamadou, Directeur de recherches, CNRST, Ouagadougou
 GOMA-THETHET Roval, Maître-Assistant, Université Marien N'gouabi de Brazzaville
 GBOCHO Roselyne, Maître-Assistante, Université Alassane Ouattara
 SEKA Jean-Baptiste, Maître-Assistant, Université Lorognon Guédé,
 SANOGO Tiantio, Maître-Assistante, Institut National Supérieur des Arts et de l'Action Culturelle
 ETTIEN N'doua Etienne, Maître-Assistant, Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny
 DJIGBE Sidjé Edwige Françoise, Maître-Assistante, Université Alassane Ouattara
 YAO Elisabeth, Maître-Assistante, Université Alassane Ouattara

Comité de rédaction

N'SONSSISA Auguste, Professeur titulaire, Marien N'gouabi de Brazzaville
 KONÉ Kpassigué Gilbert, Maître-Assistant, Histoire, Université Alassane Ouattara
 KONÉ Kiyali, Maître-Assistant, Histoire, Université Péléforo Gon Coulibaly
 BAKAYOKO Mamadou, Maître de Conférences, Philosophie, Université Alassane Ouattara
 OULAI Jean-Claude, Professeur titulaire, Communication, Université Alassane Ouattara
 MAMADOU Bamba, Maître-Assistant, Histoire, Université Alassane Ouattara
 TOPPE Eckra Lath, Maître de Conférences, Etudes Germaniques, Université Alassane Ouattara,
 ALLABA Djama Ignace, Maître de Conférences, Etudes Germaniques, Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny,
 KONAN Koffi Syntor, Maître de Conférences, Espagnol, Université Alassane Ouattara
 SIDIBÉ Moussa, Maître-Assistant, Lettres Modernes, Université Alassane Ouattara
 ASSUÉ Yao Jean-Aimé, Maître de Conférences, Géographie, Université Alassane Ouattara
 KAZON Diescieu Aubin Sylvère, Maître de Conférences, Criminologie, Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny
 MEITÉ Ben Soualiou, Maître de Conférences, Histoire, Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny
 BALDÉ Yoro Mamadou, Assistant, FASTEF, Université Cheikh Anta Diop de Dakar
 MAWA Miraille-Clémence, Chargée de cours, Université de Bamenda

Contacts

Site web: <https://revue.akiri-uao.org/>

E-mail : revueakiri@gmail.com

Tél. : + 225 0748045267 / 0708399420/ 0707371291

Indexations internationales :

Auré HAL : <https://aurehal.archives-ouvertes.fr/journal/read/id/398946>

Mir@bel : <https://reseau-mirabel.info/revue/15150/Akiri>

Sjifactor : <http://sjifactor.com/passport.php?id=23334>

Academic Resource Index: <https://journalseeker.researchbib.com/view/issn/2958-2814>

ORCID : <https://orcid.org/0009-0002-6794-1377>

Facteur d'impact ou Impact Factor (IF)

Année 2024 : **5.214**

Année 2023 : **3,023**

ISSN-L: 2958-2814

ISSN-P: 3006-306X

PRESENTATION DE LA REVUE AKIRI

Dans un environnement marqué par la croissance, sans cesse, des productions scientifiques, la diffusion et la promotion des acquis de la recherche deviennent un impératif pour les acteurs du monde scientifique. Perçues comme un patrimoine, un héritage à léguer aux générations futures, les productions scientifiques doivent briser les barrières et les frontières afin d'être facilement accessibles à tous.

Ainsi, s'inscrivant dans la dynamique du temps et de l'espace, la revue « **AKIRI** » se présente comme un outil de promotion et de diffusion des résultats des recherches des enseignants-chercheurs et chercheurs des universités et de centres de recherches de Côte d'Ivoire et d'ailleurs. Ce faisant, elle permettra aux enseignants-chercheurs et chercheurs de s'ouvrir davantage sur le monde extérieur à travers la diffusion de leurs productions intellectuelles et scientifiques.

AKIRI est une revue à parution trimestrielle de l'Unité de Formation et de Recherches (UFR) : Communication, Milieu et Société (CMS) de l'Université Alassane Ouattara. Elle publie les articles dans le domaine des Sciences humaines et sociales, Lettres, Langues et Civilisations. Sans toutefois être fermée, cette revue privilégie les contributions originales et pertinentes. Les textes doivent tenir compte de l'évolution des disciplines couvertes et respecter la ligne éditoriale de la revue. Ils doivent en outre être originaux et n'avoir pas fait l'objet d'une acceptation pour publication dans une autre revue à comité de lecture.

PROTOCOLE DE REDACTION DE LA REVUE AKIRI

La revue *AKIRI* n'accepte que des articles inédits et originaux dans diverses langues notamment en allemand, en anglais, en espagnol et en Français. Le manuscrit est remis à deux instructeurs, choisis en fonction de leurs compétences dans la discipline. Le secrétariat de la rédaction communique aux auteurs les observations formulées par le comité de lecture ainsi qu'une copie du rapport, si cela est nécessaire. Dans le cas où la publication de l'article est acceptée avec révisions, l'auteur dispose alors d'un délai raisonnable pour remettre la version définitive de son texte au secrétariat de la revue

Structure générale de l'article :

Le projet d'article doit être envoyé sous la forme d'un document Word, police Times New Roman, taille 12 et interligne 1,5 pour le corps de texte (sauf les notes de bas de page qui ont la taille 10 et les citations en retrait de 2 cm à gauche et à droite qui sont présentées en taille 11 avec interligne 1 ou simple). Le texte doit être justifié et ne doit pas excéder 18 pages. Le manuscrit doit comporter une introduction, un développement articulé, une conclusion et une bibliographie.

Présentation de l'article :

- Le titre de l'article (15 mots maximum) doit être clair et concis. De taille 14 pts gras, il doit être centré.
- Juste après le titre, l'auteur doit mentionner son identité (Prénom et NOM en gras et en taille 12), ses adresses (institution, e-mail, pays et téléphones en italique et en taille 11)
- Le résumé (200 mots au maximum) présenté en taille 10 pts ne doit pas être une reproduction de la conclusion du manuscrit. Il est donné à la fois en français et en anglais (abstract). Les mots-clés (05 au maximum, taille 10pts) sont donnés en français et en anglais (key words)
- Le texte doit être subdivisé selon le système décimal et ne doit pas dépasser 3 niveaux exemples : (1. - 1.1. - 1.2. ; 2. - 2.1. -2.2. - 2.3. - 3. - 3.1. - 3.2. etc.)
- Les références des citations sont intégrées au texte comme suit : (L'initial du prénom suivi d'un point, nom de l'auteur avec l'initiale en majuscule, année de publication suivie de deux points, page à laquelle l'information a été prise). Ex : (A. Kouadio, 2000 : 15).
- La pagination en chiffre arabe apparait en haut de page et centrée.
- Les citations courtes de 3 lignes au plus sont mises en guillemet français («... »), mais sans italique.

N.B. : Les caractères majuscules doivent être accentués. Exemple : État, À partir de ...

Références bibliographiques

Ne sont utilisées dans la bibliographie que les références des documents cités. Les références bibliographiques sont présentées par ordre alphabétique des noms d'auteur. Les divers éléments d'une référence bibliographique sont présentés comme suit : NOM et Prénom (s) de l'auteur, Année de publication, zone titre, lieu de publication, zone éditeur, pages (p.) occupées par l'article dans la revue ou l'ouvrage collectif.

Dans la zone titre, le titre d'un article est présenté entre guillemets et celui d'un ouvrage, d'un mémoire ou d'une thèse, d'un rapport, d'une presse écrite est présenté en italique. Dans la zone éditeur, on indique la maison d'édition (pour un ouvrage), le Nom et le numéro/volume de la revue (pour un article). Au cas où un ouvrage est une traduction et/ou une réédition, il faut préciser après le titre le nom du traducteur et/ou l'édition (ex : 2nde éd.).

Les références des sources d'archives, des sources orales et les notes explicatives sont numérotées en série continue et présentées en bas de page.

- Pour les sources orales, réaliser un tableau dont les colonnes comportent un numéro d'ordre, nom et prénoms des informateurs, la date et le lieu de l'entretien, la qualité et la profession des informateurs, son âge ou sa date de naissance et les principaux thèmes abordés au cours des entretiens. Dans ce tableau, les noms des informateurs sont présentés en ordre alphabétique
- Pour les sources d'archives, il faut mentionner en toutes lettres, à la première occurrence, le lieu de conservation des documents suivi de l'abréviation entre parenthèses, la série et l'année. C'est l'abréviation qui est utilisée dans les occurrences suivantes :
Ex. : Abidjan, Archives nationales de Côte d'Ivoire (A.N.C.I), 1EE28, 1899.
- Pour les ouvrages, on note le NOM et le prénom de l'auteur suivis de l'année de publication, du titre de l'ouvrage en italique, du lieu de publication, du nom de la société d'édition et du nombre de page.
Ex : LATTE Egue Jean-Michel, 2018, *L'histoire des Odzukru, peuple du sud de la Côte d'Ivoire, des origines au XIX^e siècle*, Paris, L'Harmattan, 252 p.
- Pour les périodiques, le NOM et le(s) prénom(s) de l'auteur sont suivis de l'année de la publication, du titre de l'article entre guillemets, du nom du périodique en italique, du numéro du volume, du numéro du périodique dans le volume et des pages.
Ex : BAMBA Mamadou, 2022, « Les Dafing dans l'évolution économique et socio-culturelle de Bouaké, 1878-1939 », *NZASSA*, N°8, p.361-372.

NB : Les articles sont la propriété de la revue.

SOMMAIRE

LANGUES, LETTRES ET CIVILISATIONS

Anglais

1. **Investigating secondary schools efl learners' difficulties in speaking acquisition: a case study of Tchaourou, Benin**
HOUNNOU Azoua Mathias, ZOUNHIN TOBOULA Coffi Martinien & NABINE Gnandi..... 1-12
2. **Exploring metadiscourse devices in George Weah's inaugural speech**
Albert Omolegbé KOUKPOSSI 13-25
3. **Exploring Patriotism Teaching Mechanism in the Schools of Mali**
Adama Coulibaly..... 26-43
4. **Translation in efl classes as a teaching method: malian teachers' perceptions**
Diakalia COULIBALY & Moussa SOUGOULE..... 44-54

Études hispaniques

5. **Psicoeducación de los estudiantes con tdah en la universidad**
Ahmadou MAÏGA & Xiomara SÁNCHEZ VALDÉS 55-65

Lettres Modernes

6. **Les figures de l'animus chez violette leduc**
Siaka SORI..... 66-81
7. **Structure et fonctions des olõ ou dictons proverbiaux dans les chansons de denagan janvier honfo**
Sylvestre DJOUAMON 82-96
8. **De la découverte de la guerre à la naissance d'une sensibilité dans *Le Premier homme* d'Albert Camus**
Sylvain Koffi KOUASSI 97-107

SCIENCES HUMAINES ET SOCIALES

Archéologie

9. **Les séquences chronoculturelles de la Préhistoire au Burkina Faso**
Serge Stéphane SANOU..... 108-126
10. **Migrations des Tchaman dans le district d'Abidjan : contact et dialogue des cultures**
Koutouan Marilyne DJAKO & Foniya Élise THIOMBIANO/ILBOUDO 127-137

Histoire

- 11. Le Magal à Grand-Bassam : un espace de pèlerinage et de socialisation de la communauté mouride de 2002 à 2022**
Amon Jean-Paul ASSI..... 138-155
- 12. La Bataille de Logo Sabouçiré de 1878 : Ma part de vérité**
Balla DIANKA..... 156-170
- 13. Inquisition à la fin du moyen âge : facteur de stabilisation d'une société chrétienne en crise**
BORIS Konan Kouassi Parfait & COULIBALY Pédiomatéhi Ali..... 171-185
- 14. L'Église de l'Alliance Chrétienne et Missionnaire du Gabon : une histoire marquée par une œuvre scolaire 1933-1982**
Michel ASSOUMOU NSI..... 186-204
- 15. La situation politique du Kombere de Lalle à la veille de la conquête coloniale**
Nongma Nestor ZONGO..... 205-219
- 16. Nagbanpoa : un patrimoine historique et culturel au service du développement socio-économique des villages de Nagbangou et Kaldjaoni**
Hamguiri LANKOANDÉ..... 220-236
- 17. École et mobilité au Togo pendant la période coloniale (1891-1960)**
Abaï BAFEI..... 237-252
- 18. La politique de reboisement dans le cercle d'Atakpamé sous administrations coloniales (1901-1960)**
Nanbidou DANDONUGBO..... 253-269
- 19. Le système d'alliance des Dan à l'épreuve des religions révélées en Côte d'Ivoire**
Achille César VAH & Kiyali KONE..... 270-282

Géographie

- 20. Agriculture maraîchère et l'accès au foncier au sein de l'Université Omar Bongo (UOB) au Gabon**
Leticia Nathalie SELLO MADOUNGOU épouse NZÉ & Pacôme TSAMOYE..... 283-299
- 21. Occupation du sol et dynamique urbaine de Daoukro (centre-est de la Côte d'Ivoire)**
Aka Yves Serge Pacôme ETTIEN, Blé Konan Aristide YAO & Dominique Ahebe KONAN..... 300-313
- 22. Femmes, actrices de la commercialisation du riz local dans la plaine de Satégui-Déressia au Sud-ouest du Tchad**
ASSOUE Obed & MANIGA EGUETEGUE Talkibing 314-326

- 23. Le système participatif de garantie :
une aubaine pour les producteurs biologiques locaux dans le Grand Ouaga**
Odette OUEDRAOGO..... 327-342
- 24. Les implications socio-économiques du commerce du poisson malien
dans la ville de Bouaké (Côte d’Ivoire)**
Yaya DOSSO, N’Guessan Séraphin BOHOUSSOU & Koffi Denis SIÉ..... 343-359
- 25. Les inondations dans l’île Mbamou au Congo Brazzaville :
facteurs et résilience des populations locales**
Rolchy Gonalth LONDESSOKO DOKONDA & Damase NGOUMA..... 360-380
- 26. Infrastructures de transport et accès aux centres de santé
dans le département de Taï en Côte d’Ivoire**
Palingwindé Vincent de Paul YAMEOGO & Kouamé Sylvestre KOUASSI..... 381-396
- 27. Implication des institutions locales dans la gouvernance
du Ranch de Gibier de Nazinga, centre sud du Burkina Faso**
Boureima SAWADOGO, Ibrahim OUÉDRAOGO, & Joachim BONKOUNGOU... 397-412
- Philosophie**
- 28. Les trois figures du « souci » chez Martin Heidegger**
Pascal Dieudonné ROY-EMA & Serge Fiéni Kouamé KOUAKOU..... 413-428
- 29. Le rationalisme critique poppérien,
une contribution à l’éthique de la discussion**
Crépin Zanan Kouassi DIBI..... 429-443
- 30. De l’état de nature hobbesien à la société réelle : une ventilation de la peur**
Justin MOGUE..... 444-454
- 31. Expériences d’utilisation des médias sociaux
chez les primo-féministes étudiantes**
Amani Angèle KONAN..... 455-472
- 32. L’antipsychologisme d’Edmund Husserl,
une critique de la doctrine psychologue**
Moctarou BALDE & Boubé NAMAÏWA..... 473-482
- 33. Cybercriminalité et cybersécurité en Afrique : pourquoi articuler
l’action techno-juridique et la responsabilité collective ?**
Koffi AGNIDE & Yaou Gagnon ALI..... 483-498
- 34. Les coups d’État militaires en Afrique :
un nihilisme constitutionnel d’un pouvoir constituant**
Narcisse Rostand MIAFO YANOU..... 499-517

Anthropologie et sociologie

- 35. Analyse de l'évaluation et du pilotage de l'enseignement supérieur et la recherche scientifique au Gabon**
Georges Moussavou..... 518-537
- 36. Viabilité socio-économique des microprojets au sein des exploitations agricoles dans la Boucle du Mouhoun (Burkina Faso) au Burkina Faso**
Christophe Yorsaon HIEN, Tionyélé FAYAMA,
Taminou COULIBAL & Salifou KABORE..... 538-554
- 37. Genre, accès aux moyens d'existence et services publics des ménages PDI dans la région du centre-Est (Burkina Faso)**
LOMPO Miyemba 555-571

Science de l'éducation

- 38. Evaluation des pratiques enseignantes dans les matières fondamentales à l'école primaire du département de l'Alibori au Bénin**
AKA Rémi Oscar, TAMBOURA Amadou,
HOUEHA Saturnin & OLONI Felix..... 572-589
- 39. La pédagogie inversée : modèle innovant d'enseignement des arts plastiques au secondaire général en Côte d'Ivoire**
Armel Kouamé KOUADIO, Kignigouoni Dieudonné Espérance TOURE & Rodolphe Kouakou MENZAN..... 590-605
- 40. Perceptions et attitudes des élèves-professeurs sur la collaboration pédagogique**
Baba Dièye DIAGNE..... 606-624

Sciences économiques et de gestion

- 41. Analyse des effets socioéconomiques du programme d'alphabétisation des apprenants de la Médina (2017-2019)**
Salif BALDE, Adja Marième KANE, Mamadou FOFANA & Pape Amadou KANE 625-639

Exploring metadiscourse devices in George Weah's inaugural speech

Albert Omolegbé KOUKPOSSI

English Department,

University of Abomey-Calavi, Benin,

Email : koukpossialbert@gmail.com

Abstract

This research explores the use of interactive and interactional metadiscourse devices in President George Weah's inaugural speech. Specifically, it offers insights into the rhetorical dynamics of political discourse used in the inaugural speech genre. By analysing the speech's structure through the lens of metadiscourse, as defined and categorised by Hyland (2005), this study investigates how President Weah employs these linguistic tools to engage with his audience, articulate his political agenda, and foster a sense of collective identity among Liberians. The research delineates interactive markers, such as transitions, frame markers, endophoric markers, evidentials, and code glosses, highlighting their role in guiding the audience through the president's inaugural speech. Additionally, the study emphasises the significant presence of interactional markers, especially self-mentions and engagement markers, demonstrating how Weah uses them to personalise his address to the population and to enhance its persuasive impact. More exactly, the quantitative analysis reveals a dominant use of self-mentions, constituting 56.71% of interactional metadiscourse, and a strong reliance on transition markers, making up 58.41% of interactive devices. These findings underscore the speech's strategic construction to maximise coherence, clarity, and emotional resonance.

Keywords: Metadiscourse, Political Discourse Analysis, Inaugural Speech, Interactive markers, Interactional markers

Exploration des dispositifs métadiscursifs dans le discours d'investiture de George Weah

Résumé

Cette étude explore l'utilisation des dispositifs métadiscursifs interactifs et interactionnels dans le discours inaugural du Président George Weah. Plus précisément, elle offre un aperçu des dynamiques rhétoriques du discours politique employées dans le genre du discours inaugural. En analysant la structure du discours à travers le prisme du métadiscours, tel que défini et catégorisé par Hyland (2005), cette étude examine comment le Président Weah utilise ces outils linguistiques pour interagir avec son auditoire, articuler son agenda politique et favoriser un sentiment d'identité collective parmi les Libériens. La recherche met en évidence les marqueurs interactifs, tels que les transitions, les marqueurs de cadrage, les marqueurs endophoriques, les évidentiels et les gloses de code, soulignant leur rôle dans le guidage de l'auditoire à travers le discours inaugural du président. De plus, l'étude souligne la présence significative de marqueurs interactionnels, en particulier les auto-mentions et les marqueurs d'engagement, démontrant comment Weah les utilise pour personnaliser son discours à la population et renforcer son impact persuasif. L'analyse quantitative de ces structures linguistiques révèle une utilisation dominante des auto-mentions, constituant 56,71% du métadiscours interactionnel, et une forte dépendance aux marqueurs de transition, représentant 58,41% des dispositifs interactifs. Ces résultats soulignent la construction stratégique du discours pour maximiser la cohérence, la clarté et la résonance émotionnelle.



Mots clés : Métadiscours, Analyse du Discours Politique, Discours inaugural, Marqueurs interactifs, Marqueur interactionnels

Introduction

In recent year, scholars have worked out several approaches to analyse discourse, which include: systemic functional analysis, speech act theory, pragmatics, conversation analysis, and variation analysis. These different methods have brought invaluable help in the analysis of both the linguistic features and contextual element of written texts. Many have given much heed to the field of Discourse Analysis by focusing on the interplay between textual elements and the broader cultural and social contexts. For example, Jorgensen and Philips (2002) define Discourse Analysis as the examination of patterns in people's speech across different social situations, focusing on the structure of language and its use to effectively convey meanings.

Specialised area of Discourse Analyse such as Political Discourse Analysis has gained momentum and the focus has been on the pivotal role that language play in shaping people's opinions and identities. Fairclough and Fairclough (2012:18) argue that analysing the structure of arguments in political speeches and understanding their intended goals are essential. This approach by considering political discourse as a process of negotiation and legitimation, aids in uncovering the deeper meanings and intentions behind political discourse, highlighting the significance of language in shaping political realities.

In addition to these traditional methods, Metadiscourse analysis has emerged as a critical tool. It offers insights into how discourse is shaped by social contexts and reflects them at the same time. Zellig Harris coined the term in 1959, highlighting it as a means to understand how language is used in practice, guiding the audience's interpretation of texts. Crismore et al. (1993) describe metadiscourse as linguistic elements in texts that, while not adding to the content directly, assist the reader or listener in organizing, interpreting, and evaluating the information presented.

Current trends tend to focus on classical analysis methods, often overlooking the importance of metadiscourse elements. However, scholars like Hyland (2007) and Vande Kopple (1985) have emphasised the significance of metadiscourse in deepening our understanding of discourse analysis. Many scholars find interest in applying metadiscourse analysis to various fields and texts such as book reviews (Soleimani and Mohammadkhah, 2022), Economics (Garces, 1996), newspaper editorials (Koutchadé, 2021), scientific journal articles (Nugrahani & Bram, 2020), etc.

Based on the context described above, this study aims at exploring the rhetorical construction of President George Weah's inaugural speech through a special focus on metadiscourse markers. Specifically, the research seeks to (1) identify the types of metadiscourse markers present in the speech, (2) quantify their occurrence to understand their prominence, and (3) examine the role these markers play in influencing the speech's reception and overall effectiveness to allow a smooth implementation of his political agenda. Guided by these objectives, the research questions posed are twofold: Firstly, what metadiscourse devices are predominantly used in President Weah's inaugural address? Secondly, how are these devices strategically structured and utilised to articulate and advance the President's vision and objectives for Liberia? Through addressing these questions, this study intends to provide insights into the strategic use of language in political communication, (which consists in, among others, forming and informing the public, shaping public opinion, and promoting civic engagements) particularly within the context of inaugural addresses.

The analysis in the study is conducted manually, identifying and quantifying the different metadiscourse devices used in the speech and discussing their functions. While tools like AntConc concordance software (Corpus Linguistics) exist to aid in such analysis, I rely on manual estimation for this particular study.

1. Theoretical Framework

1.1. Definition of metadiscourse

Using a metaphor, we can say that metadiscourse is like the invisible threads that connect the fabric of our conversations and texts, weaving through the content without being the content itself. It is what makes communication not just a transmission of information but a rich interaction between people, filled with personality, attitudes, and underlying assumptions. Williams (1981) introduces us to metadiscourse by explaining it as the elements in our language that do not directly concern the subject matter but are crucial in organizing and making sense of it. Hyland (2005) expands on this, illustrating metadiscourse as the essence of communication that transcends mere information exchange. It is about how we, as communicators, involve our listeners or readers, drawing them into a shared space of understanding and community. He points out three major characteristics of a metadiscourse device. It stands apart from the core content or propositions. It embodies the interactions between the communicator and the audience. It finally focuses solely on the discourse's internal dynamics (ibid., p. 35).

Vande Kopple (1985) simplifies it further to “discourse about discourse,” showing how it is the author’s way of engaging with their audience linguistically. Beauvais (1986) adds another layer, describing metadiscourse as the guideposts that help readers navigate through an author’s arguments, making a clear distinction between the message and its delivery. Echoing this, Crismore et al. (1993) view metadiscourse as the linguistic tools that, while not adding new facts, ensure the audience can sort, understand, and evaluate the information presented effectively.

1.2. Categorization of Metadiscourse Devices

Hyland’s interpersonal model for understanding metadiscourse is akin to dissecting the layers of a conversation, identifying how each part plays a role in engaging and guiding the audience. This model distinguishes between two main types of metadiscourse markers: interactive and interactional.

Table 1: An Interpersonal Model of Metadiscourse (Hyland, 2005, p.49)

Category	Function	Examples
Interactive Resources	Help to guide the reader through the text	
Transitions	Express relations between main clauses	in addition; but; thus; and
Frame Markers	Refer to discourse acts, sequences, or stages	finally; to conclude; my purpose is
Endophoric Markers	Refer to information in other parts of the text	noted above; see Fig; in section 2
Evidentials	Refer to information from other texts	according to X; Z states
Code Glosses	Elaborate propositional meanings	namely; e.g.; such as; in other words
Interactional Resources	Involve the reader in the text	
Hedges	Withhold commitment and open dialogue	might; perhaps; possible; about
Boosters	Emphasize certainty or close dialogue	in fact; definitely; it is clear that
Attitude Markers	Express writer's attitude to proposition	unfortunately; I agree; surprisingly
Self Mentions	Explicit reference to author(s)	I; we; my; me; our
Engagement Markers	Explicitly build relationship with reader	consider; note; you can see that

Interactive Metadiscourse Markers

The interactive metadiscourse includes the ways by which the writer directs the readers, guides them throughout the text, and anticipates readers’ knowledge and interests in order to help them comprehend the text. This includes using certain devices to set out an argument, accommodate readers’ expectations, and formulate the text to adapt readers’ needs. Examples of interactive markers include frame markers, transitions, endophoric markers, evidentials, and code glosses.

Transitions

Transitions are linguistic devices which act as the connectors or bridges between ideas, making the text flow smoothly. Examples include “furthermore” to add information, “however” to

introduce a contrast, and “therefore” to show a consequence. These little words are powerhouses in linking thoughts and guiding the reader through the argument’s progression.

Frame Markers

These markers act as the framework of the text, highlighting its structure. They include temporal markers like “firstly” and “finally,” and purpose statements such as “the aim of this paper is.” Frame markers help readers understand the organization of the text and what to expect from it.

Endophoric Markers

These refer back to something mentioned elsewhere in the text, like “as discussed earlier” or “refer to Figure 2.” They are essential for maintaining coherence and ensuring the reader can follow the argument without getting lost.

Evidentials

These markers cite sources or other authoritative references, adding credibility to the text. Phrases like “according to Koukpossa (2020)” or “as evidenced by” signal to readers that the information is supported by research or expert opinion.

Code Glosses

Code Glosses provide additional explanations or clarifications, often necessary for understanding complex ideas. They can rephrase a concept (“in other words”), elaborate (“for instance”), or define specific terms (“namely”). Code glosses ensure that the writer’s intended meaning is clear to the reader.

Interactional Metadiscourse Markers

Interactional metadiscourse device are of pivotal interest in exploring the interpersonal dimension of language use. These devices are words, phrases or specific linguistic patterns that help the speaker/writer to make his/her text more engaging by involving him/her directly in the text. They help creating a dialogical tone in text. Interactional markers include:

Hedges

Hedges are markers that introduce a tone of uncertainty or openness in the text. Hyland (2005: 52) defines them as markers “which indicate the writer’s decision to recognise alternative voices and viewpoints and so withhold complete commitment to a proposition.” Using words like “might,” “could,” or “perhaps” softens statements, making them less absolute and more approachable to be presented not as a fact but as an opinion (ibid.)

Boosters

The opposite of hedges are boosters. They express certainty and close down any counterarguments. Words like “clearly,” “definitely,” and “indeed” signal strong confidence in the presented information.

Attitude Markers

Attitude markers according to Hyland (2005) are used to indicate the speaker/writer’s affective involvement in his/her text. They reflect his/her personal feelings or evaluations concerning the topic, using phrases like “unfortunately,” “interestingly,” or “importantly.” Attitude markers add a subjective layer to the text, inviting readers to share in the writer's perspective.

Self-Mentions

Self-mentions, as the name indicates, are the explicit mentions of the writer/speaker in the text by using first-person pronouns such as “I,” “we,” “me” or possessive adjectives or pronouns such as “my,” “our,” “mine” “ours”, etc. They ultimately help text producers to insert themselves directly into the discourse, making it more personal and engaging.

Engagement Markers

Engagement Markers are devices that help the speaker/writer to address the reader/listener directly through phrases like “consider this,” “note that,” or questions that invite them to think or respond. This mechanism is achieved either by including the readers/listeners directly as participants in the text or calling their attention on the unfolding text.

In short, metadiscourse devices are important tools in communication. Understanding them and using them effectively can transform a piece of writing from a simple monologue into a dynamic dialogue, inviting readers into a shared space of exploration and understanding.

2. Methodology: Data Collection and Method of Analysis

This study adopts both qualitative and quantitative approaches. It consists of engaging in a detailed examination of linguistic elements as well as employing statistical analysis to quantify their occurrences. The focal point of the investigation is the inaugural speech delivered by George Weah. Firstly, I have conducted a swift reading of George Weah’s inaugural speech to get an overall sense of the discourse and to count all the sentences. This preliminary step was crucial for setting the stage for a more focused analysis.

Subsequently, I have embarked on a meticulous examination of the text, with a particular focus on identifying metadiscourse markers. The analysis is structured around two primary categories: interactive and interactional metadiscourse markers. In the interactive category, the

study takes into account transitions, frame markers, endophoric markers, evidentials, and code glosses. After thoroughly documenting these elements, the investigation shifts to the interactional category, which encompasses hedges, boosters, attitude markers, self-mentions, and engagement markers.

Following the data collection phase, the metadiscourse markers are organised into ten distinct categories, as outlined by Hyland (2005). This categorization served as the foundation for a quantitative analysis. Utilising Excel 2010, I calculated the frequencies of occurrence for each metadiscourse marker category. This quantitative assessment was not only instrumental in understanding the prevalence of each category but also in facilitating a comparative analysis among them.

The culmination of the methodology used in this paper involves the presentation of the findings through tables and figures. These visual representations detailed the categories of metadiscourse markers and their respective frequencies, providing a clear and accessible overview of the analysis. Finally, I have engaged in a comprehensive discussion of these findings, offering interpretations that shed light on the significance and implications of metadiscourse usage in George Weah's inaugural speech. This holistic approach, combining qualitative insights with quantitative data, enriched the understanding of the speech's rhetorical and communicative dynamics.

3. Results

The findings from a comprehensive analysis of interactive and interactional metadiscourse markers within President Weah's inaugural speech are presented in this section. As said earlier, the investigation seeks to explore how these rhetorical devices function not only to structure the speech and guide the audience through the discourse but also to foster engagement, express attitudes, and reflect his commitment and personal presence within the text. By examining the use linguistic units such as transition markers, frame markers, evidentials, code glosses, endophoric markers, hedges, boosters, attitude markers, self-mention, and engagement markers, this study reveals the strategic use of metadiscourse to achieve coherence, persuasion, and relational goals. these metadiscourse devices are therefore presented and classified following Hyland's (2005) framework.

3.1. Interactive Metadiscourse

Table 2 encapsulates the interactive marker found in the speech in terms of numbers and percentages of occurrence.

Table 2: Interactive Metadiscourse Markers in Weah’s Inaugural Speech

Interactive Markers	Number	Percentage
Transition	59	58.41%
Frame markers	29	28.71%
Evidentials	3	2.97%
Code glosses	8	7.92%
Endophoric markers	2	1.98%
Total	101	100%

Table 2, which details the distribution of interactive metadiscourse markers in President Weah’s inaugural speech, exhibits several observations and insights. All five interactive markers suggested by Hyland (2005) are present in the speech. These are transition markers, frame markers, evidentials, code glosses, and endophoric markers. Transition markers are the most prevalent category, constituting 58.41% of all interactive metadiscourse markers used in the speech. This dominance suggests a strong organisational structure, with the speaker frequently guiding the audience through the discourse, connecting ideas, and signalling shifts in topic or perspective. The high use of transition markers is indicative of a carefully crafted speech aimed at maintaining coherence and ensuring the audience can easily follow the progression of ideas.

Frame markers account for 28.71% of the interactive metadiscourse, highlighting the president’s effort to structure the speech clearly and signal different sections or phases of the discourse. This includes marking the beginning of new sections, summarising points, and indicating the speech’s logical sequence. It is also observable that evidentials and endophoric markers are used sparingly, at 2.97% and 1.98%, respectively. The low percentage of evidentials suggests that direct references to external sources or authoritative evidence were minimal, which may be typical for inaugural speeches that focus more on vision, unity, and the future rather than on detailed argumentation or citation of past research or data. Similarly, the minimal use of endophoric markers indicates that the speech was designed to stand alone, with few references to other parts of the text or previous statements. With 7.92% of the total, code glosses play a modest but significant role in ensuring clarity and elaborating on key points. This usage suggests that the speaker aimed to make certain ideas more accessible to the audience, providing explanations, rephrasings, or examples to enhance understanding.

3.2. Interactional Metadiscourse markers

Table 3: Interactional Markers in George Weah's Inaugural Speech

Interactional Markers	Frequency	Percentage
Hedges	15	4.47%
Boosters	15	4.47%
Attitude markers	39	11.64%
Engagement markers	76	22.68%
Self-mentions	190	56.71%
TOTAL	335	100%

Table 3 displays the statistics concerning the distribution of interactional metadiscourse markers in President Weah's inaugural speech. It is clear that the most dominant markers are the self-mentions. The most striking feature is the high frequency of self-mentions, which comprise over half of the interactional markers used (56.71%). This indicates a strong personal presence in the speech, with the speaker frequently referencing their own experiences, thoughts, and commitments. This high level of self-mention is characteristic of inaugural speeches, where establishing personal credibility and connection with the audience is crucial with mostly the use of inclusive pronoun “we”.

Engagement markers make up 22.68% of the interactional elements, underscoring a deliberate strategy to involve the audience directly. This includes addressing the audience collectively, posing rhetorical questions, or invoking shared experiences and values. Such markers play a vital role in creating a sense of inclusivity and dialogue between the speaker and the audience. With 11.64%, attitude markers are used significantly to express feelings, evaluations, or stances towards the content being discussed. This usage reflects the speaker's intent to convey emotions such as pride, hope, or concern, thereby enriching the speech with an affective layer that resonates with the audience. As far as hedges and boosters are concerned, they are used equally, each making up 4.47% of the interactional markers. This balance indicates a nuanced approach to expressing certainty and caution where appropriate. Hedges are used to soften claims or acknowledge potential alternative perspectives, while boosters strengthen the speaker's assertions, reflecting confidence in the messages being delivered.

4. Discussion

The exploration of President George Weah's inaugural speech, focusing on the utilisation of interactive and interactional metadiscourse markers, disclose one of the existing linguistic analysis approaches to political communication. This section integrates quantitative and qualitative findings derived from the analysis to discuss the strategic use of metadiscourse markers and their implications within the broader scope of political discourse analysis. Consequently, some linguistic and communicative features like how engagement and personalization are expressed through metadiscourse devices, strategies for clarity and coherence, the balanced use of between boosters and hedges to establish certainty and openness. The new elected president's engagement and ethical appeals and the direct implication of this study for political discourse analysis are also discussed.

The analysis reveals a notable predominance of self-mentions, constituting 56.71% of interactional markers, paired with a significant presence of engagement markers at 22.68%. This high usage of self-mentions underscores President Weah's strategy to forge a direct, personal connection with his audience, highlighting his individual commitment and the personal stakes he associates with the nation's trajectory. The following phrases include examples of self-mentions: "I am humbled" and "I promise to do everything in my power," "I am humbled," "I am thankful," "I am filled with joy," "I have spent many years," "I am overwhelmed," "I guarantee you," "I promise to do everything in my power," "I cannot do it alone;" etc. Combined with attitude markers, as is the case in some of those instances, not only do they personalise the political message but also aim to build trust and credibility with the Liberian population. The frequent engagement with the audience, through direct addresses like "My fellow citizens," "to all our citizens and international guests, we thank you for coming," "it is to you we are responsible," "I call upon" further endeavours to foster a communal spirit, emphasising solidarity and collective effort in national development.

Transition markers and frame markers appear to play the function of structural clarity and Coherence. Transition markers emerged as the dominant category among interactive metadiscourse markers, representing 58.41% of their total use, followed by frame markers at 28.71%. This dominance suggests a meticulously structured speech designed to guide listeners through a cohesive narrative. The strategic employment of transition markers such as "but" and "and" alongside frame markers like "first" and "indeed" serves to delineate the speech's structure, marking shifts in topic or focus and organising content into a logical sequence. This



structural clarity is instrumental in enhancing audience comprehension and engagement, ensuring the speech's message is conveyed effectively.

The balanced utilisation of hedges and boosters, each accounting for 4.47%, illustrates a deliberate adoption of a rhetorical approach that balances certainty with openness. Hedges introduce a degree of uncertainty, acknowledging the complexities and challenges ahead, while boosters reinforce confidence in the articulated vision and commitments of the president. This rhetorical balancing act is crucial in presenting a vision that is ambitious yet realistic, encouraging optimism among the Liberians while maintaining credibility.

Attitude markers, accounting for 11.64% of the interactional markers, play a significant role in the speech's emotional and ethical appeals. Through expressions of gratitude, pride, hope, and acknowledgment of historical challenges, these markers engage the audience on an emotional level. The invocation of shared historical narratives and collective aspirations works to unify the audience, cultivating a sense of shared identity and purpose. This emotional resonance is pivotal in mobilising public support and fostering a sense of national unity.

All in all, the strategic use of metadiscourse markers in Weah's speech underscores the critical role of rhetorical strategies in shaping political discourse. This analysis contributes to the understanding of how political leaders leverage language to not only inform and persuade but also to construct and negotiate identities and relationships. The findings highlight the importance of personalisation, structural clarity, emotional engagement, and ethical appeals in effective political communication.

Conclusion

The detailed examination of interactive and interactional metadiscourse markers in President George Weah's inaugural address offers a deep understanding of the rhetorical fabric of political speeches especially in this inaugural speech genre. By dissecting the frequency and function of these metadiscourse devices, this research has demonstrated the "explanatory power of metadiscourse" (Hyland, 2005: 85). It has underscored the different strategies employed by Weah to engage with his audience, assert authority, and foster a sense of collective identity and purpose. The findings of this analysis reveal a dynamic use of interactive markers such as transitions, frame markers, endophoric markers, evidentials, and code glosses. These devices have actually allowed the new elected president to manage the flow of his speech and guide his audience in his political agenda. Moreover, the significant prevalence of interactional metadiscourse markers, particularly self-mentions (56.71%) mainly through the use of personal



pronouns (I and we) and engagement markers (22.68%), highlights the personalised approach President Weah takes to connect with his audience. Not only does this strategic use of language serve to humanise the president but also builds a narrative of unity and shared goals, emphasising the role of the individual in the collective progress of Liberia.

This study has contributed to the overall debate in Discourse Analysis, especially in political communication by illustrating how metadiscourse can serve as a pivotal tool for politicians to explore the complex landscape of public discourse. Throughout the analysis, this paper suggests that metadiscourse markers play a vital role in effective political communication. They allow speakers to strategically structure their discourse, clarify their goals, and build emotional resonance with their audience.

Pedagogically, this research has significant implications for students in applied linguistics and communication studies. Being trained on using interactional metadiscourse markers (e.g., hedges, boosters, attitude markers, engagement markers, self-mentions), students could explicitly build a relationship with the reader, express the author's attitude, withhold author's commitment, establish presence in the text and emphasise their point of view. By integrating these findings into academic curricula, educators can enhance students' analytical skills, fostering a critical appreciation of the power of language in shaping public opinion and political realities.

References

WEAH Georges Manneh, 2018, "President George Manneh Weah's Inaugural Speech in Full". Available at www.emansion.gov.lr/doc/inaugural. Retrieval date: 25th May, 2022.

Theoretical/Methodological resources

BEAUVAIS Paul, 1986, «Metadiscourse in context: A speech act model of illocutionary content. » *ERIC*. Available at : https://archive.org/details/ERIC_ED272878/page/n17/mode/2up Retrieval date: 28 May, 2022.

Crismore Avon, MARKKANEN Raija & STEFFENSEN Margaret, 1993, «Metadiscourse in persuasive writing: A study of texts written by American and Finnish university students. » *Written Communication*, 10, 39-71.

FAIRCLOUGH Isabella & FAIRCLOUGH Norman, 2012, *Political Discourse Analysis: A Method for Advanced Students*. London: Routledge, 266 p.



HYLAND Ken, 2005, *Metadiscourse: Exploring Interaction in Writing*. London: Continuum, 230 p.

HYLAND Ken, 2007, «Metadiscourse: What is it and where is it going? » *Journal of Pragmatics*. Vol 113, p.16-29.

JORGENSEN Marianne & PHILLIPS Louise, 2002, *Discourse Analysis as Theory and Method*. London: SAGE Publication, 229 p.

KOUTCHADE Sourou Innocent, 2021, «Analysing metadiscourse markers in two online newspapers' editorials on Covid-19. » *Journal of Applied Linguistics and Language Research*, 2021, 8(4), p.76-88.

NUGRAHANI Veronica Esti, BRAM Barli, 2020, «Meta-discourse markers in Scientific Journal Articles.» *LANGWAKI, Journal of the Association for Arabic and English*. Vol 6 N° 1. <http://dx.doi.org/10.31332/lkw.v6i1.1528>

SOLEIMANI Neda & MOHAMMADKHAH Ebrahim, 2020, « Meta-discourse markers in the book reviews published in ISI and non-ISI journals of applied linguistics. » *Cogent Arts & Humanities*, vol 7. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311983.2020.180677>.

VALERO GARCES, Carmen, 1996, «Constractive ESP Rhetoric: Metatext in Spanish-English Economics Texts. » *English for Specific Purposes*, 5, p.279-294.

VANDE KOPPLE, William, 1985, «Some exploratory discourse on metadiscourse.», *College Composition and Communication*, Vol 36 N°1, p.82-93.

WILLIAMS Joseph, 1981, *Style: Ten Lessons in Clarity and Grace*. Boston: Scott Foresman, 238 p.