

ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

The relationship between sleep and quality of sexual life in pregnant women

DOI: 10.29063/ajrh2024/v28i5.4

Serap Öztürk Altınayak^{1*} and Zümri Yılar Erkek²

Ondokuz Mayıs University Faculty of Health Science, Department of Midwifery, Kurupelit Campus, 55200, Samsun, Turkey¹; Gaziosmanpaşa University Faculty of Health Science, Department of Midwifery, Taşlıçiftlik Campus, Tokat, Turkey²

*For Correspondence: Email: serapozturk88@hotmail.com; Phone: 090 532 361 33 94

Abstract

This study aimed to explore the relationship between sleep quality and quality of sexual life in pregnant women. This cross-sectional and correlational study was conducted with 362 pregnant women. Data were collected using a "Sociodemographic Information Form", the "Cumhuriyet Subjective Sleep Quality Scale (CSSQS)", and the "Sexual Quality of Life Questionnaire-Female (SQLQ-F)". Descriptive statistics, Pearson correlation analysis, and simple linear regression analysis were used for data analysis. There was a statistically significant negative correlation between the mean scores of the pregnant women on CSSQS and its subscales and their mean scores on SQLQ-F ($p < 0.05$). It was seen that the sleep quality of pregnant women had a significant negative effect on their quality of sexual life ($p < 0.001$). In pregnant women, the level of sleep quality explained 30.4% of the quality of sexual life. It was concluded that their quality of sexual life was negatively affected as pregnant women sleep quality decreased. (*Afr J Reprod Health* 2024; 28 [5]: 30-38).

Keywords: Pregnancy, sleep quality, quality of sexual life

Résumé

Cette étude visait à explorer la relation entre la qualité du sommeil et la qualité de la vie sexuelle chez les femmes enceintes. Cette étude transversale et corrélatrice a été menée auprès de 362 femmes enceintes. Les données ont été collectées à l'aide d'un "Formulaire d'informations sociodémographiques", de l'échelle "Échelle subjective de qualité du sommeil de la République (ESQSR)" et du "Échelle de qualité de vie sexuelle - Formulaire féminin (EQS-FF)". Des statistiques descriptives, une analyse de corrélation de Pearson et une analyse de régression linéaire simple ont été utilisées pour l'analyse des données. Il y avait une corrélation négative statistiquement significative entre les scores moyens des femmes enceintes sur le ESQSR et ses sous-échelles et leurs scores moyens sur le EQS-FF ($p < 0,05$). Il a été constaté que la qualité du sommeil des femmes enceintes avait un effet négatif significatif sur leur qualité de vie sexuelle ($p < 0,001$). Chez les femmes enceintes, le niveau de qualité du sommeil expliquait 30,4 % de la qualité de la vie sexuelle. Il a été conclu que leur qualité de vie sexuelle était affectée négativement lorsque la qualité du sommeil des femmes enceintes diminuait. (*Afr J Reprod Health* 2024; 28 [5]: 30-38).

Mots-clés: Grossesse, qualité du sommeil, qualité de vie sexuelle

Introduction

Although pregnancy is a physiological period, it is accompanied by many physiological, psychological, and social changes¹. These changes that pregnant women experience may cause sleep disorders in pregnant women. However, the exact incidence of sleep disorders in pregnant women is unknown. In the literature, it has been reported that sleep disorders increase starting from the first trimester to the third trimester. During the third trimester of pregnancy, 97% of women have been

reported to experience sleep disorders^{2,3}. Sleep disorders experienced by pregnant women vary from simple snoring to obstructive sleep apnea. However, snoring, insomnia, respiratory sleep disorders, and restless legs syndrome can be frequently seen during this period⁴. These disorders can be triggered by pregnancy-related hormonal and physiological changes, physical factors, pregnancy-specific complaints, and psychological changes⁵⁻⁷.

Sleep quality can be defined as being able to feel fit, energetic, and ready for a new day after

waking up. Sleep quality includes quantitative aspects of sleep such as sleep latency, sleep duration, and number of awakenings during the night, and subjective aspects such as depth of sleep and its restfulness^{8,9}. Sleep problems encountered during pregnancy can lead to problems such as poor sleep quality, increased fear and anxiety, preterm delivery, low birth weight of infants, and postpartum depressive symptoms¹⁰⁻¹³.

Sexuality is a concept that begins in the prenatal period and continues throughout life, is shaped by the influence of many factors such as people's value judgments and beliefs, and includes not only the sexual organs but the entire body and mind^{14,15}. The vascularity in the female sexual organs increases with the pregnancy-related hormonal changes; therefore, the sensitivity in the breasts and vagina increases. In parallel with this physiologic change, women may become more eager and sensitive to sexual intercourse during this period. These changes may sometimes cause discomforting conditions such as vulvar pain and urinary incontinence, especially in the later weeks of pregnancy. Such conditions can disturb not only the woman but also her partner sometimes. These changes during pregnancy can have both positive and negative effects on sexuality^{16,17}.

In the literature, there are studies on sleep quality and coping methods of pregnant women in the last trimester, the effect of sleep quality on quality of life, the relationship between sleep quality and perceived stress, the quality of sexual life of breastfeeding women in the postpartum period, and the quality of sexual life of women in climacteric period^{7,10,12,18,19}. However, there is no study in which the relationship between sleep quality and quality of sexual life during pregnancy has been investigated. For this reason, this study aimed to determine the relationship between sleep quality and quality of sexual life in pregnant women.

Methods

Research design and participants

The data of this descriptive and correlational study were collected online using Google forms between August 20 and November 30, 2023. The population consisted of pregnant women living in the Central

Black Sea Region of Turkey. The purposive sampling method was used and 362 pregnant women who were literate, had access to the internet and social media, did not have a diagnosed psychiatric illness, did not have mental disability and communication problems, were diagnosed with intrauterine pregnancy, were not restricted from sexual activity by their physicians, were healthy, and volunteered to participate in the study were included in the study.

Data collection tools

The study data were collected using a "Sociodemographic Information Form", the "Cumhuriyet Subjective Sleep Quality Scale", and the "Sexual Quality of Life Questionnaire-Female".

Sociodemographic information form (SDIF): The sociodemographic information form was prepared by the researchers in line with the literature and consists of 13 items regarding sociodemographic characteristics such as age, employment status, educational status, status of using of tobacco, alcohol, and coffee, and pregnancy^{1,10,12,16}.

Cumhuriyet Subjective Sleep Quality Scale (CSSQS): The scale was developed by Sarıçam to assess the subjective sleep quality of adults. All items of the scale are scored between 0 and 3. The minimum score obtainable from the scale is 0 and the maximum score is 54. The scale consists of 18 items and 3 subscales: "psychosomatic effects (5 items)", "sleep course (7 items)", and "sleep satisfaction (6 items)". An increase in the total scale score indicates a decrease in sleep quality²⁰. The Cronbach α coefficient of the original scale was 0.91. In this study, the Cronbach α coefficient was found to be 0.81.

Sexual Quality of Life Questionnaire-Female (SQLQ-F): The scale aims to assess the quality of sexual life. Its validity and reliability were established by Tuğut and Gölbaşı. The scale consists of 18 items and each item is scored between 1 and 6. The minimum score possible is 18 and the maximum score is 108. A high score on the scale indicates a good quality of sexual life²¹. The Cronbach α coefficient of the original scale was 0.83. In the current study, the Cronbach α coefficient was found to be 0.90.

Data collection

The data were collected through online surveys and social media tools (such as WhatsApp, Instagram, Facebook). The necessary information about the research was given in the form and the “I voluntarily agree/disagree to participate in the research” button was added. After consent was taken from all participants, they were asked to fill in the form. The reason why we decided on online data collection was that the research was about the private lives of individuals. For this reason, it was thought that the online form could be easily answered by women in safe areas where they could feel comfortable. With this method based on self-reporting, it is thought that the reliability of the data also increased.

Statistical analysis

SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) for Windows 25.0 program was used for data analysis. Number, percentage, minimum, maximum, median, mean, and standard deviation were used in the analysis of descriptive data. Skewness and kurtosis values were analyzed to test the normal distribution of the data (+1,-1). Normally distributed data are presented as mean and standard deviation values. In the evaluation of normally distributed data, independent samples t-test and One-Way ANOVA were used as parametric tests. Pearson correlation analysis was used to examine the relationship between the data and simple linear regression analysis was used to determine the predictive power. In the statistical tests, a confidence interval of 95% and a significance level of $p < 0.05$ were considered.

Ethical considerations

Prior to the research, ethics committee approval was obtained from the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Ethics Committee of a university on 08/15/2023 with the decision number 01-39. Since the data were collected online, the purpose and importance of the research were explained at the beginning of the form and a space was created for the participants to give their consent. Participants could not proceed to the next page without giving their consent. In this way, the ‘Principle of Informed Consent’ was fulfilled.

Again, in the same area, the participants were told that they could withdraw from the research at any time, ensuring compliance with the “Principle of Autonomy”, that individual information would be protected, ensuring compliance with the “Principle of Confidentiality and Protection of Privacy”, and that the information obtained and the identity of the respondents would be kept confidential, ensuring compliance with the “Principle of Anonymity and Safety”. This study has been conducted in accordance with the principles set forth in the Helsinki Declaration.

Results

The mean age of the pregnant women was 28.95 ± 16.29 ; the mean number of pregnancies was 2.10 ± 1.14 ; the mean gestational week was 33.93 ± 5.62 . Sociodemographic and some obstetric characteristics of the pregnant women are presented in Table 1.

The mean scores of the pregnant women on the CSSQS and SQLQ-F are shown in Table 2. The mean scores of the pregnant women were 8.20 ± 3.41 for the psychosomatic effects subscale of the CSSQS, 10.94 ± 3.75 for the sleep course subscale, 8.22 ± 3.50 for the sleep satisfaction subscale, and 27.38 ± 9.06 for the total scale. The mean score on SQLQ-F was 77.93 ± 17.45 .

Table 3 shows the comparison of the mean scores of the pregnant women on CSSQS and its subscales according to their sociodemographic. There was no statistically significant difference between place of residence, educational status, family type, type of marriage, income status, and status of having a planned pregnancy and the mean scores on CSSQS and its subscales ($p > 0.05$). There was a statistically significant difference between employment status and smoking status, the mean scores on CSSQS ($p < 0.05$). There was no statistically significant difference between age, number of pregnancies, and gestational week and the mean scores on CSSQS and its subscales ($p > 0.05$).

Table 4 shows the comparison of SQLQ-F mean scores of pregnant women according to their sociodemographic and some obstetric characteristics. There was a statistically significant correlation between the number of pregnancies and the mean SQLQ-F score ($p < 0.05$). The mean score on SQLQ-F decreased as the number of pregnancies

Table 1: Sociodemographic and some obstetric characteristics of the pregnant women

Variable		n	%
Employment Status	Employed	79	21.8
	Unemployed	283	78.2
Place of Residence	City	172	47.5
	Town	155	42.8
	Village	35	9.7
Educational Status	Primary school	92	25.4
	High school	164	45.3
	University and above	106	29.3
Family Type	Nuclear Family	309	85.4
	Extended Family	53	14.6
Type of Marriage	Prearranged Marriage	60	16.6
	Companionate Marriage	302	83.4
Income Status	Income Less Than Expenses	82	22.6
	Income Equal to Expenses	254	70.2
	Income More Than Expenses	26	7.2
Smoking	Yes	39	10.8
	No	323	89.2
Alcohol Use	Yes	6	1.7
	No	356	98.3
Daily Coffee Consumption	Yes	109	30.1
	No	253	69.9
Planning of Pregnancy	Planned Pregnancy	275	76.0
	Unplanned Pregnancy	87	24.0

Table 2: Mean scores of pregnant women on CSSQS and SQLQ-F

Scales		Mean±SD	Median	Minimum	Maximum
CSSQS	Psychosomatic effects	8.20±3.41	8	0	15
	Sleep course	10.94±3.75	11	2	21
	Sleep satisfaction	8.22±3.50	8	0	17
	Total	27.38±9.06	26	6	53
SQLQ-F		77.93±17.45	83	18	100

Table 3: Comparison of the mean scores of pregnant women on CSSQS and its subscales according to their sociodemographic

Variables	CSSQS Psychosomatic effects	Sleep Course	Sleep Satisfaction	Total
Employment Status				
Employed	7.54±3.26	10.55±3.25	7.38±3.36	25.44±7.94
Unemployed	8.38±3.44	11.04±3.88	8.43±3.50	27.91±9.01
t=	2.000	1.030	2.428	2.355
p=	0.048	0.303	0.017	0.020
Smoking				
Yes	9.33±3.69	12.05±3.82	9.61±3.91	31.00±10.18
No	8.06±3.36	10.80±3.73	8.04±3.41	26.94±8.57
t=	2.200	1.960	2.676	2.732
p=	0.028	0.051	0.008	0.007
Daily Coffee Consumption				
Yes	8.40±3.66	11.36±3.63	8.87±3.92	28.67±9.54
No	8.11±3.30	10.75±3.79	7.92±3.26	26.82±8.47
t=	0.280	1.415	2.354	1.826
p=	0.462	0.158	0.019	0.069

F: One-way ANOVA; t: Independent samples t-test; r: Pearson’s correlation coefficient: a-b: There is no significant difference between values assigned with the same letter

Table 4: Comparison of mean SQLQ-F scores of pregnant women according to their sociodemographic and pregnancy characteristics

Variables	SQLQ-F
Number of pregnancies	r=0.183** p=0.001
Educational status	
Primary school	69.60±19.86 ^a
High school	79.32±16.20 ^b
University and above	82.78±14.58 ^b
F=	15.901
p=	0.000
Income status	
Income less than expenses	73.08±18.48 ^a
Income equal to expenses	73.37±18.51 ^a
Income more than expenses	79.95±16.67 ^b
F=	5.760
p=	0.003
Type of marriage	
Prearranged marriage	71.50±19.22
Companionate marriage	79.22±16.82
t=	3.138
p=	0.002
Planning of pregnancy	
Planned pregnancy	79.43±16.53
Unplanned pregnancy	73.35±19.40
t=	2.845
p=	0.005

F: One-way ANOVA; t: Independent samples t-test; r: Pearson’s correlation coefficient: a-b: There is no significant difference between values assigned with the same letter

increased. There was no statistically significant difference between place of residence, employment status, family type, smoking status, and daily coffee consumption and the mean SQLQ-F score (p>0.05). There was a statistically significant difference between the mean SQLQ score and educational status, income status, type of marriage, and planning of pregnancy (p<0.05). There was no statistically significant correlation between age and gestational week and the mean SQLQ-F score of the pregnant women (p>0.05).

Table 5 shows the relationship between the mean CSSQS and SQLQ-F scores. There was a statistically significant negative correlation between the mean scores of the pregnant women on CSSQS and its subscales and their mean score on SQLQ-F (p<0.05). Accordingly, the mean SQLQ-F score decreased as the mean scores on the psychosomatic effects and sleep satisfaction subscales and the total CSSQS increased.

Table 6 shows the results of simple linear regression analysis to determine the effect of sleep quality on the quality of sexual life in pregnant women. According to the table, sleep quality had a significant negative effect on the quality of sexual life (p<0.001). According to this result, the level of sleep quality explained 30.4% of the quality of sexual life in pregnant women. A 1-unit increase in the level of sleep quality caused a 0.334-unit decrease in the quality of sexual life.

Table 5: The relationship between the mean scores on CSSQS and SQLQ-F

	CSSQS			
	Psychosomatic effects	Sleep course	Sleep satisfaction	Total
SQLQ-F	r -.145**	-0.096	-.181**	-.172**
	p 0.006	0.073	0.001	0.001

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 6: Results of regression analysis on the predictive power of sleep quality of pregnant women on their quality of sexual life

	β	t	P
Sleep quality	-0.334	-3.265	0.001
R ² =0.030 F=10.659 p<0.001			

Discussion

Sleep covers one-third of human life. Therefore, it is not only a part of daily life but also a vital part of life in which all organs, especially the brain, renew themselves. In human life, sleep is as important as

eating, drinking, and breathing in terms of protecting psychological and physical health. Quality sleep patterns affect every aspect of life as well as sexual life. In this direction, the findings of the study in which the relationship between sleep quality and sexual life quality in pregnant women was examined were discussed in line with the literature.

In the study, it was determined that the level of sleep quality of pregnant women was poor according to their mean total score on CSSQS. In studies on sleep quality during pregnancy, it was generally concluded that sleep quality was poor in

pregnant women²²⁻²⁴. Moreover, in the literature, it has been shown that poor sleep quality was common among pregnant women in Northwestern University (40%), Vietnam (41.2%), Iran (43.8%), Taiwan (24.4%), Finland (16%), Turkey (31.5%), and in a global meta-analysis study (45.7%)²⁵. It can be suggested that the possible reasons for the inconsistency in the studies are the characteristics of participants, sample selection and scale used. The reason why the findings of this study differ from some findings in the literature is thought to be associated with the presence of different sociodemographic characteristics, online data collection. In the study, it was found that sleep quality was significantly higher in pregnant women who were unemployed, did not smoke, and consumed less coffee. These results were expected. Because caffeinated substances are rapidly and almost completely (99%) absorbed in the body. Caffeine blocks inhibitory substances in sleep-wake patterns and triggers wakefulness, which leads to insomnia²⁶.

In the study, it was determined that the quality of sexual life of pregnant women was above the moderate level according to their mean score on SQLQ-F. In similar studies conducted in the literature, it was observed that the quality of sexual life of pregnant women was generally above average and was also influenced by sociodemographic and obstetric characteristics²⁷⁻³². In the study, it was determined that the high number of pregnancies negatively affected the quality of sexual life of pregnant women. The results of the study conducted by Şahingöz are consistent with this study³³. This may be associated with the inability of women with children to spare time for themselves and their spouses due to excessive workload (such as spending time for their child/children), while they should go to regular follow-ups and spend more time for themselves during this period. It was observed that the quality of sexual life of pregnant women increased as their education level and income increased. Similarly, in the literature, it has been reported that the quality of sexual life increased as the level of education and income increased^{29,31-33}. On the contrary, it was observed that education level, in the study of Değerli Kodaz and Ege, and economic status, in the study of Çelimli Oruç, did not make a difference^{34,35}. It is thought that a higher education level may positively affect the sexual lives of

couples as they are more knowledgeable about sexuality and understand each other better. A good income status may be associated with the fact that couples are happier because they do not have problems in this sense and this reflects on sexual life. The quality of sexual life of pregnant women increased as the couples had a companionate marriage, and the pregnancies were planned. In the literature, it has been reported that love marriages provide more emotional intimacy compared to prearranged marriages, that these couples have more contact with their families, that they show more equalitarian attitudes, that they have less conflict, and that their sexual satisfaction is high³⁶⁻³⁸.

In the study, it was found that the quality of sexual life of pregnant women was negatively affected as their sleep quality decreased. The results in the literature also support this study. In relevant studies, it has been found that women with sleep apnea have more disorders in their sexual life than women without sleep apnea. It has been stated that when there is no regular sleep pattern, sexual functioning is also negatively affected by the disruption in the functioning of the body^{39,40}. When a woman does not get enough sleep, it causes fatigue and exhaustion, leading to sexual anorexia. It has been reported that people who sleep less have more sexual anorexia than those who sleep well. People who sleep extremely little have more difficulty in their sexual activities and are more tend to depression than people with minimal sleep problems⁴¹. Kalmbach *et al.* reported that the duration, quality, and restfulness of sleep significantly increased subsequent sexual desire⁴². Varquez *et al.* made this comparison from a different perspective and concluded that conditions that negatively affect sexual life in women are associated with poor sleep quality⁴³. The results of the studies in the literature are consistent with the results of this study, but the samples of the studies include not pregnant women but only women. At this point, it is thought that more studies should be conducted on pregnant women.

Conclusion and Recommendations

In line with the findings of the study in which the relationship between sleep quality and quality of sexual life in pregnant women was examined, it was concluded that the level of sleep quality of pregnant

women was moderate, that their quality of sexual life was above the moderate level, and that the quality of sexual life of pregnant women was negatively affected as their sleep quality decreased. In line with these results, it is recommended that sleep quality and quality of sexual life of pregnant women be evaluated during the follow-up, that risk factors be identified, and that appropriate counseling services be provided to pregnant women who have problems at this point. To carry out this counseling service, professionals such as midwives, nurses, and obstetricians need to master the practices and care behaviors that can improve sleep and quality of sexual life in pregnant women. Furthermore, it is recommended that studies that develop alternative methods to improve sleep quality and quality of sexual life during pregnancy be conducted.

Conflict of interest

The authors report there are no competing interests to declare.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Authors' contribution

Design of the study: SÖA, ZYE

Acquisition of data: SÖA

Analysis and interpretation of data: SÖA, ZYE

Study supervision: SÖA, ZYE

Manuscript writing: SÖA, ZYE

Critical revisions for important intellectual content: SÖA, ZYE

All authors contributed to the article and approved the submission.

References

1. Çoban A and Yanikkerem U. Sleep quality and fatigue in pregnant women. *Ege Journal of Medicine* 2010; 49(2), 87- 94. <http://egetipdergisi.com.tr/en/download/article-file/350417>
2. Miller, E. Women and insomnia. *Clinical Cornerstone* 2004; (6), 6-18. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1098359704800152>
3. Sharma S and Franco R. Sleep and its disorders in pregnancy. *Wisconsin Medical Journal* 2004; (103), 48-52. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Sunil-Sharma-36/publication/8172845_Sleep_and_its_Disorders_in_Pregnancy/links/56aa2d1508ae7f592f0f246a/Sleep-and-its-Disorders-in-Pregnancy.pdf
4. Fernández-Alonso A, Trabalón-Pastor M, Chedraui P and Pérez-López F. Factors related to insomnia and sleepiness in the late third trimester of pregnancy. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2012 (286), 55-61. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00404-012-2248-z>
5. Tamanna S and Geraci, S. Major sleep disorders among women (Womens's health series). *J. South Med J* 2013; (106), 470-8. http://www3.med.unipmn.it/papers/2013/LWW_Journals/2013-09-20_lww/Major_Sleep_Disorders_Among_Women___Women_s_Health.8.pdf
6. Sahota P, Jain S and Dhand R. Sleep disorders in pregnancy. *Curr Opin Pulm Med* 2003; (9), 477-83. https://journals.lww.com/copulmonarymedicine/fulltext/2003/11000/Sleep_disorders_in_pregnancy.00005.aspx
7. Kostanoğlu A, Manzak AS and Şahin A. The effect of physical activity level and sleep quality on quality of life in pregnant women. *Journal Of Turkish Sleep Medicine,* 2019; (3), 80-87. <https://www.proquest.com/docview/2833658728?pq-origsite=gscholar&fromopenview=true&sourcetype=Scholarly%20Journals>
8. Asi Karakaş S, Gönültaş N and Okanlı A. The quality of sleep of nurses who works shift workers. *Erciyes Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Fakültesi Dergisi* 2017; 17-26. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/erusaglik/issue/28766/307885>
9. Üstün Y and Çınar Yücel Ş. Examining the sleep quality of nurses. *Maltepe Üniversitesi Hemşirelik Bilim ve Sanatı Dergisi* 2011; 4(1).
10. Murat Öztürk D, Yılmaz A, Müsturoğlu S, Sevinç S and Akcan B. Determination of sleep quality of sleeping pregnancies in last trimester and methods of coping: sleep in last trimester pregnancy. *SDÜ Sağlık Bilimleri Dergisi* 2019; 10(3), 212-216. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/sdusbed/issue/48870/490651>
11. Ölçer Z and Bozkurt G. The effect of sleep quality to the labor and labor pain . *HSP* 2015; 2(3), 334-344.
12. Pınar Ş, Arslan Ş, Polat K, Çiftçi D, Cesur B and Dağlar G. Examining the association of perceived stress with sleep quality in pregnancy. *Dokuz Eylül Üniversitesi Hemşirelik Yüksekokulu Elektronik Dergisi* 2014; 7(3), 171-177. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/deuhfed/issue/46807/586970>
13. Wu M, Li X, Feng B, Wu H, Qui C and Zhang, W. Poor sleep quality of third-trimester pregnancy is a risk factor for postpartum depression. *Med Sci Monit* 2014; (20), 2740-2745. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4280053/>

14. Taylor T. The origins of human sexual culture. *Journal of Psychology & Human Sexuality* 2007; (18), 69-105.
15. Moseley, A. A'dan Z'ye Felsefe. İstanbul: Pegasus. 2019
16. Beyazıt F, Pek E and Şahin B. Alterations in sexual functions during and after pregnancy: Prejudice? or Real? *Klinik Psikiyatri* 2018; (21), 397-406. <https://jag.journalagent.com/kpd/pdfs/KPD-73644-REVIEW-PEK.pdf>
17. Kennedy C, Turcea A and Bradley C. Prevalence of vulvar and vaginal symptoms during pregnancy and the puerperium. *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics* 2009; (105), 236-9. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0020729209000691>
18. Erbaş N and Demirel G. Evaluation of the effect of menopausal complaints and menopausal attitudes of climacteric women on the quality of sex life . *ACU Sağlık Bilimleri Dergisi* 2017; (4), 220-225. <http://journal.acibadem.edu.tr/en/download/article-file/1701654>
19. Şahbaz Selimoğlu E and Beydağ KD. The impact of breastfeeding on sexual life quality in postpartum periods. *ACU Sağlık Bilimleri Dergisi* 2020; 11(1), 174-180. <http://journal.acibadem.edu.tr/en/download/article-file/1701892>
20. Sarıçam H. A Scale Development Study: Psychometric Properties of the Cumhuriyet Subjective Sleep Quality Scale. *Cumhuriyet Medical Journal* 2022; 44(1), 44-50. <https://doi.org/10.7197/cmj.1070438> <http://cmj.cumhuriyet.edu.tr/en/download/article-file/2241758>
21. Tuğut N and Gölbaşı Z. A validity and reliability study of turkish version of the sexual quality of life questionnaire-female . *Cumhuriyet Medical Journal* 2010; 32(2), 172-180. <http://cmj.cumhuriyet.edu.tr/en/download/article-file/47694>
22. Sedov ID, Cameron EE, Madigan S and Tomfohr-Madsen LM. Sleep quality during pregnancy: A meta-analysis. *Sleep Medicine Reviews* 2018; 38, 168-176, doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2017.06.005 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1087079217300291>
23. Öztürk MD, Yılmaz A, Müstüroğlu S, Sevinç S and Akcan B. Son trimesterdeki gebelerin uyku kalitelerinin ve uyku problemlerine karşı başetme yöntemlerinin belirlenmesi. *SDÜ Sağlık Bilimleri Dergisi* 2019; 10 (3), 212–216. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/sdusbed/issue/48870/490651>
24. Özhüner Y and Çelik N. Sleep quality and quality of life in pregnants. *Sağlık Bilimleri ve Meslekleri Dergisi* 2019; 6 (1), 25–33. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/hsp/issue/39786/434753>
25. Takelle GM, Muluneh NY and Biresaw MS. Sleep quality and associated factors among pregnant women attending antenatal care unit at Gondar, Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ open* 2022; 12(9), e056564. <https://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/12/9/e056564.abstract>
26. Van Ravesteyn LM, Tulen JHM, Kamperman AM, et al. Perceived sleep quality is worse than objective parameters of sleep in pregnant women with a mental disorder. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2014;10:1137–41. <https://jcsma.aasm.org/doi/abs/10.5664/jcsm.4118>
27. Alizadeh S, Riazi H, Majd HA and Ozgoli G. The effect of sexual health education on sexual activity, sexual quality of life, and sexual violence in pregnancy: a prospective randomized controlled trial. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2021; 21, 1, 334. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s12884-021-03803-8>
28. Dikmen HA, Gönenç İ and Şanlı Y. Effects of progressive muscle relaxation exercises on sexual quality of life in women during pregnancy. *Turkish Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care* 2020; 14, 3, 452-60. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/tjfm/issue/56370/727126>
29. Ceylan Ş. Examination of the relationship between sexual quality of life and happiness level in pregnant women. *Türkiye Sağlık Bilimleri ve Araştırmaları Dergisi* 2023; 6(3), 1-13. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/tusbad/issue/82125/1384860>
30. Nezamnia M, Iravani M, Bargard MS and Latify M,. Effectiveness of cognitive-behavioral therapy on sexual function and sexual self-efficacy in pregnant women: An RCT. *International Journal of Reproductive BioMedicine* 2020; 18, 8, 625. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7457155/>
31. Bilen Sadi Z and Aksu H. The sexual life of partners in pregnancy and examine of affecting factors. *Anadolu Hemşirelik ve Sağlık Bilimleri Dergisi* 2016; 19(2), 128-38. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/ataunihem/article/257876>
32. Bakır N, Vural PI and Demir C. The determination of health practices and the sexual quality of life during the prenatal period. *Perinatal Journal* 2020; 28, 1, 1-6. <https://perinataldergi.com/Files/Archive/Covers/en-US/PJ-28-261.pdf#page=7>
33. Şahingöz M. The effect of sexual myths in pregnancy on quality of sexual life. 2021. (Master's thesis, Necmettin Erbakan University Institute of Health Sciences).
34. Değerli Kodaz N and Ege E. Sexual Quality of Life During Pregnancy and the Associated Factors. *Mitteilungen Klosterneuburg*. 2016; 66(4), 23-35.
35. Çelimli Oruç Ş. The relationship between sexual attitudes and behaviors during pregnancy and quality of sexual life. 2022. (Master's thesis, Selçuk Erbakan University Institute of Health Sciences)
36. Kartal S. Investigation of the relationship between conflict resolution skill, marital satisfaction in married individuals. 2020. (Master's thesis, İstanbul Sabahattin Zaim University Postgraduate Education Institute).
37. Kahveci GA. Assessment of marital harmony, types of marital conflict and levels of depression in married couples. 2016. (Master's thesis, Beykent University Social Sciences Institute).
38. Ak S and Balcı Çelik S. Quality of sexual life in married women, estrangement from the partner and marital

- satisfaction. *Gümüşhane Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi* 2024; 15(1), 34-52. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/gumus/issue/83018/1162362>
39. Petersen M, Kristensen E, Berg S, Giraldo A and Midgren B. Sexual function in female patients with obstructive sleep apnea. *The journal of sexual medicine* 2011; 8(9), 2560-2568. <https://academic.oup.com/jsm/article-abstract/8/9/2560/6844779>
40. Bal F. Investigation of the relationship between sleep quality and sexual life. *Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi* 2018; 5(27): 239-250. https://sobider.com/?mod=makale_tr_ozet&makale_id=32007
41. Saygılı S, Akıncı AÇ, Arıkan H and Dereli E. Sleeping quality and fatigue among university students. *Ejovoc* (Electronic Journal of Vocational Colleges) 2011; 1(1). <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/ejovoc/issue/5395/73180>
42. Kalmbach DA, Arnedt JT, Pillai V and Ciesla JA. The impact of sleep on female sexual response and behavior: a pilot study. *J Sex Med* 2015; 12:1221–32. doi: 10.1111/jsm.12858. <https://academic.oup.com/jsm/article-abstract/12/5/1221/6980158>
43. Vázquez SM, Martínez AH, Molina RAP and Galiano JMM. Association between sexual function in women and sleep quality. *Frontiers in Medicine* 2023; 10. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC10457145/>.