



# Knowledge of the Administration of Medications among Undergraduate Nursing Students in Selected Clinical Sites in Kenya

Jerusha Mukonene Mtuankure<sup>1\*</sup>, Albanus Mutisya Kyalo<sup>1</sup> and Grace Githemo<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of General Nursing, School of Nursing, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, (JKUAT), PO BOX 62000 Nairobi, Kenya and <sup>2</sup>Department Medical-Surgical Nursing, School of Nursing Sciences, Kenyatta University, P.O BOX 43844 Nairobi, Kenya

\*Corresponding author: Jerusha Mukonene Mtuankure. Email address: nkurejerusha@gmail.com  
<https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajhs.v35i6.10>

---

## Summary

### BACKGROUND

Medication administration is one of the critical competencies in nursing to ensure patient safety. Globally, a lack of competence in medication administration has been associated with high morbidity and mortality rates and poor patient outcomes. This study focused on assessing knowledge of medication administration among undergraduate nursing students.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

This descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted between June and August 2021 in two County Referral Hospitals in Kenya. The study aimed to establish the level of knowledge on medication administration among second-year nursing students starting their clinical rotations, mainly in the medical/surgical wards. The census method was used to recruit 147 participants based on the pre-defined inclusion criteria. The data was collected using a pretested interviewer-administered knowledge questionnaire based on the World Health Organization (WHO) training curriculum on medication safety. The data was then cleaned, coded and analysed using SPSS version 26. Descriptive and inferential statistics were used to summarise and compare the results.

### RESULTS

The average score for the medication administration knowledge was 64.8 %, S.D=5.8. The highest score was 79%, whereas the lowest was 43 %. Poor performance was noted in five of the six categories of medication administration, whereby the total number of participants who passed the knowledge assessment with a score of 70% and above was 43 (29.3%) per the international recommendations. The proportion of those who passed differed significantly from those who failed the knowledge assessment,  $\chi^2 (1, n=147) = 25.31, p < 0.05$ . The results of one sample chi-square test indicated that most students failed the knowledge assessment in the various categories ( $p < 0.05$ ).

### CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The pass mark for high-risk skills such as medication administration is 70%. Therefore, the participants' knowledge was below the recommended pass mark. Nurse educators must identify the gaps in teaching and consider including the WHO medication safety curriculum guidelines and teaching strategies in the existing institutional



## **undergraduate nursing curriculums to enhance the medication administration process and patient safety.**

**Keywords:** *Patient safety, Nursing Students, Medication Administration Process, Medication errors, World Health Organization*

[*Afr. J. Health Sci.* 2022 35(6): 757-767]

---

### **Introduction**

Patient safety is globally considered one of the fundamentals of quality nursing care. Various healthcare facilities are currently faced with the challenge of promoting patient safety due to a shortage of resources and inadequate training of healthcare workers. Globally, it is estimated that 10% of hospitalised patients experience a patient safety issue(1). The Institute of Medicine (IoM) estimates that up to 98,000 deaths in the United States are linked to medication errors(2). This translates into the 14<sup>th</sup> cause of the global disease burden. Nurses make up more than 50% of the healthcare workforce, hence, playing a vital role in promoting patient safety.

Improving medication safety among students is one of the primary focuses of the World Health Organization(WHO) patient safety curriculum developed in 2011 (3). In 2017, WHO further launched the third Global Patient Safety Challenge: Medication Without Harm, which aimed at preventing medication-related harm by improving the strategies to prevent medication administration errors (4). A gap between abstract, theoretical knowledge and practical skills has been identified in the literature. For instance, in a cross-sectional study that sought to explore the clinical learning environment of nursing students, the students expressed that they were not adequately prepared to handle the medication administration process at the beginning of their clinical rotation(5). Further, literature has shown that nursing students submit the most significant number of medication error reports that reach the patient, accounting for up to 44.8%, ranked second among all medical errors(6,7). The

student nurses are supposed to learn safe medication practices anchored in the traditional six rights of medication administration(8).

The medication administration process involves three steps: ordering, dispensing and administration(8). The last step is where the nurses' responsibility lies. The ability to safely administer medications is a critical component in nursing. The process of administering medications is complex, thus, requiring knowledge in various aspects such as dosage calculations, medication route techniques, dispensing systems and protocols. Inadequate training and experience in the nursing workforce have been closely associated with medication errors (9). Nursing students' theoretical and practical medication competence is part of clinical decision-making. Strong knowledge of pharmacology and medication management is a requirement to tackle issues related to patients' management procedures in various patient care settings(10). A systematic review to synthesise the available evidence on medication errors among nursing students concluded that only a few studies had analysed the errors involving nursing students (11).

Literature shows that poor medication knowledge is related to the limited number of opportunities the students have for medication administration in the clinical area due to either facility protocols or policies, lack of well-equipped clinical sites and high student: mentor ratios (2). Additional literature indicates a significant gap in medication administration knowledge as taught in various pre-registration nursing programs(1,2). In an exploratory study conducted in India, students reported that more



time was spent teaching the pre-clinical sciences than nursing interventions (12).

In Kenya, up to 20% prevalence of medication errors has been reported (13). This has been attributed to the inability to follow the medication administration guidelines due to poor medication administration knowledge. Anecdotal evidence has also indicated that the students' entry knowledge level on medication administration is poor. Most students need help doing simple dosage calculations and observing the rights of medication administration when they begin clinical rotations. Due to a lack of empirical data on this critical patient safety issue, the magnitude of the problem still needs to be determined and thus could be higher. Therefore due to the limited published studies in Africa and especially Kenya on the students' medication administration knowledge, it was necessary to study this critical aspect of patient safety.

## Materials and Methods

### Study design

This descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted in June-August 2021 in two County referral hospitals in Kenya. The two County referral hospitals were conveniently sampled based on the accessibility and the availability of the required number of nursing students. The participants consisted of 147 second-year Bachelor of Science in Nursing students starting their clinical rotations mainly in the medical/surgical wards. After the power analysis calculation, the sample size was 56 participants per group, with the alpha level set at 0.05 and the power level at 0.8. Evidence-based research recommends over-recruitment of 5-30% (14,15) to avoid attrition bias. Therefore, 30% more participants were recruited;  $112 + (30\% \times 112) = \text{Total sample } 146$ .

The Census method was used to recruit the participants. The inclusion criteria involved all direct entries from the selected universities undertaking their clinical rotation and completing

the pharmacology and introductory nursing skills course in their respective institutions. Students repeating the clinical rotation were excluded because they already had prior clinical experience.

### Study tools

An interviewer-administered questionnaire was used to assess the participants' knowledge of medication administration. The questionnaire comprised various components and content drawn from the literature review. Pretesting of the tool was done to ensure quality assurance using the test-retest method among at least 10% (31 participants) from a different institution and clinical area that was not participating in the study. The internal consistency and reliability of the tool were ascertained because Cronbach's  $\alpha$  was 0.81. The questionnaire was organised into seven (7) parts in line with the content of the medication administration process to ensure face validity. Two experts were consulted to guide the questionnaire's content and improve the face validity. The items in the study tool were also compared with the study's objectives to ensure construct validity.

### Study procedure

After recruitment, the study participants who consented completed the study questionnaire. The questionnaire focused on medication administration knowledge based on the steps of the medication administration process. The questionnaires were collected, checked for completeness and accuracy, and coded before the data entry. The knowledge scores for all the questionnaires were calculated in percentages.

### Data management

The data was entered using EpiData version 3.1 and then exported to the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 26 for analysis. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test ascertained the normality and similarity of the



two groups,  $p < 0.05$ . The demographic data were analysed using descriptive statistics. Inferential statistics, such as one sample chi-square test, were used to assess any statistical significance between those who passed and those who failed the knowledge test. The data were presented in percentages and tables.

### **Ethical considerations**

The Kenyatta University Ethics Review Committee approved the study (Approval number: PKU/2160/11308). The National Council of Science, Technology and Innovation, NACOSTI (License number: NACOSTI/P/20/8031) granted the research permit. The two clinical sites also approved the study. The researchers adhered to the Ministry of Health (MoH) and World Health Organization's (WHO) guidelines on the COVID-19 pandemic. The study was carried out in adherence to the requirements of the Declaration of Helsinki.

## **Results**

### **Demographic characteristics**

All the respondents were second-year BSc. in Nursing students undertaking clinical

rotation. The sample was predominantly college-age ( $20 \pm 1$  yrs), and slightly more than half, 87 (59.2%), were female. The respondents were equally distributed in the two clinical sites. Table 1.

### **Medication administration knowledge**

The medication administration knowledge performance was analysed based on the local grading scale in various nursing schools as follows;  $< 50$ =fail,  $50-64$ =satisfactory,  $65-74$ =good,  $\geq 75$ =very good. The results were further analysed based on a pass/fail cut ( $< 70$ =fail,  $70 \geq$ =pass) according to the recommended international grading scale for high-risk skills (16,17).

### **Infection Prevention and Control (IPC) Knowledge**

As shown in table 2, the students were evaluated on their knowledge of infection control during drug administration. From our findings, most (109;74%) participants scored below 65%. Out of the remaining 38 (26%), only 12 (8.2%) scored 75% and above.

**Table 1:**  
Demographic characteristics

<b>Characteristics</b>	<b>Frequency (%)</b>
Age(years)	Mean (20.4) SD (1.06)
Gender:	
Male	60(40.8)
Female	87(59.2)
Clinical site 1	74(50.3)
Clinical site 2	73(49.7)

**Table 2:**  
**Infection Prevention and Control (IPC) Knowledge**

<b>IPC scores</b>	<b>Frequency (%)</b>
Fail	35 (23.8)
Satisfactory	74 (50.3)
Good	26 (17.7%)
Very good	12 (8.2%)



### ***Principles of medication administration knowledge***

The principles of medication administration knowledge findings showed that nearly half, 71 (48.3%) of the students scored below 65%, and a quarter 56(38.1), scored between 65 and 74 %. Only 20 (13.6%) participants scored 75% or higher. Table 3.

### ***Right patient knowledge***

On the "the right patient" knowledge, 124 (84.4%) scored more than 75%, categorised as an

excellent score. However, only 9 (6.1%) students failed in this category (Table 3).

### ***Right time knowledge***

Participants were also assessed on the right time for medication administration. The results suggest that almost half, 68 (46.3%) of the students failed. However, only 11 (7.5%) students scored 75% or higher. Table 3 has the details.

**Table 3:**

**Rights of Medication Administration**

<b>Rights of Medication Administration</b>	<b>Frequency (%)</b>
<b>Principles of Medication Administration Knowledge</b>	
Fail	11 (7.5)
Satisfactory	60 (40.8)
Good	56 (38.1)
Very Good	20 (13.6)
<b>Right Patient Knowledge</b>	
Fail	9 (6.1)
Satisfactory	6 (4.1)
Good	8(5.4)
Very good	124 (84.4)
<b>Right Time Knowledge</b>	
Fail	68(46.3)
Satisfactory	68 (46.3)
Good	0 (0.0)
Very Good	11 (7.5)
<b>Right Route Knowledge</b>	
Fail	16 (10.9)
Satisfactory	92 (62.6)
Good	32 (21.8)
Very Good	7 ( 4.8)
<b>Right Documentation Knowledge</b>	
Fail	43 (29.3)
Satisfactory	71 (48.3)
Good	0 (0.0)
Very Good	33 (22.4)
<b>Right Dose Knowledge</b>	
Fail	40 (28.3)
Satisfactory	46 (31.3)
Good	0 (0.0)
Very Good	59 (40.2)



### **Right route knowledge**

Table 3 shows the results of the correct route assessment. Based on these findings, the majority (108;73%) scored below 65%. It is also noted that only a small proportion, 7 (4.8%) of the students, scored 75% and above.

### **Right documentation knowledge**

Table 3 also shows the results of the correct documentation whereby most

A sample chi-square test was performed to determine whether those who passed the various categories differed from those who failed. As shown in Table 4, most students failed the knowledge assessment ( $p < 0.05$ ). On the contrary, students 132 (89.8%) significantly passed the right patient category,  $\chi^2 (1, n=147) = 93.12$ ,  $p < 0.05$ . Further shown in table 4 is the total medication administration knowledge whereby 43 (29.3%) of the participants passed with a score of  $\geq 70\%$ , whereas more than two-thirds, 104 (70.7%), failed with a score  $< 70\%$  ( $p < 0.005$ ).

### **Total medication administration knowledge**

The mean score for the total medication administration knowledge was 64.8%, SD 5.9,

participants, 114 (77.6%), scored below 65%, whereas 33 (22.4%) scored 75% or higher.

### **Right dose knowledge**

More than half, 86 (59.6%) participants scored 50% and below. Additionally, only 59 (40.2%) out of the 147 participants scored 75% and above. Table 3.

### **High-risk skills score**

whereas the median score was 65.6 %. The minimum score was 43%, whereas the maximum score was 79%. Table 5.

## **Discussion**

This study aimed to assess the knowledge of medication administration among undergraduate nursing students in two County referral hospitals. Analysis of the demographic characteristics showed that most of the respondents were female and of college age. This is similar to other studies that have also reported more female participants (11,18,19). This similarity implies that nursing has traditionally been a female-dominated profession.

**Table 4:**

High-risk skills pass mark

<b>MA Knowledge Category</b>	<b>Pass [Frequency (%)]</b>	<b>Fail [Frequency (%)]</b>	<b>One sample <math>\chi^2</math></b>	<b>df</b>	<b>Asymp. Sig.</b>
<b>Principles of MA</b>	42(28.6)	105(71.4)	27.000 <sup>a</sup>	1	0.000
<b>IPC guidelines</b>	19(12.9)	128(87.1)	80.823 <sup>a</sup>	1	0.000
<b>Right patient</b>	132(89.8)	15(10.2)	93.122 <sup>a</sup>	1	0.000
<b>Right time</b>	11(7.5)	136(92.5)	106.293 <sup>a</sup>	1	0.000
<b>Right route</b>	39(26.5)	108(73.5)	32.388 <sup>a</sup>	1	0.000
<b>Right documentation</b>	33(22.4)	114(77.6)	44.633 <sup>a</sup>	1	0.000
<b>Right Dose</b>	59(40.1)	88(59.1)	5.721 <sup>a</sup>	1	0.017
<b>Total Medication Administration</b>	43(29.3)	104(70.7)	25.313 <sup>a</sup>	1	0.00



**Medication administration knowledge**  
**Infection Prevention and Control Practices**

The current study's findings show that nearly a quarter (35; 23.8%) of respondents failed the infection prevention and control practices category with a score of below 50%. This finding is consistent with Chan's study on medication errors among nursing students, whereby more than 50% (n=19) of the respondents failed the infection prevention and control category (18). Despite rigorous theoretical and practical learning opportunities on infection control during medication administration, the students continue to generate these errors, thus, compromising patient safety(20). Therefore, it is imperative to examine the knowledge of infection control and develop strategies to improve this vital aspect of medication administration.

**Rights of medication administration**

The majority (124;84%) of the participants in the study scored 75% and above in the patient identification category. Additionally, consistent with the above findings, Musafiri & Daniels reported that 100 (80%) students in the Western Cape perceived themselves as able to identify the right patient using two identifiers

during medication administration (21). The two researchers associated the above findings with the fact that the patient's identification is emphasised the most as opposed to the other rights of medication administration.

One of the critical steps in the medication administration process is knowing the six rights of medication administration. Violating any of the six rights puts the students at risk of making a medication error and compromising the patients' safety. It is vital to ascertain that the patient being treated is the one receiving the medication. To maintain the therapeutic effect, medications should be administered at the right time as ordered by the prescriber. In this study, 46.3% (n=68) of the respondents failed to identify the correct time of medication administration. This finding is comparable to a study done in Indonesia, where students stated that the medications were administered at inappropriate times to save time and get the task over with (6). These time-related errors are common, whereby medications are administered up to two hours prior or two hours late. These errors have been attributed to the limited human resource, whereby the ratio of nurses to patients is very high. The fact that the students have to prepare the medications under supervision is the other reason contributing to delay in the administration.

**Table 5:**  
 Total medication administration knowledge

<b>Statistics</b>		
<b>Total Knowledge Scores</b>		
<b>N</b>	Valid	147
	Missing	0
Mean		64.88
Median		65.57
Mode		66 <sup>a</sup>
Std. Deviation		5.886
Minimum		43
Maximum		79

a. Multiple modes exist. The smallest value is shown



Medications are administered using different routes. The current study indicated that 108 (73.5%) of the respondents failed to identify the correct route of medication administration. These findings correlate with what was reported by Bowling, whereby 75.3% of the students were unable to state the correct route of medication administration in a study to evaluate safe medication administration (22). According to Bowling, most educators emphasised the commonly used routes, such as oral, intramuscular and intravenous, compared to the less commonly used routes of medication administration; thus, the deficiency in knowledge.

The student's ability to administer the correct dose is associated with mathematical and clinical calculations competence. The majority, 88 (59.1%) of the respondents in this study, were unable to perform simple dosage calculations. This is comparable to a study by Koharchik at the University of Maine, the United States, to evaluate the nursing students' dosage calculation proficiency. According to the study, 35% of the participants failed all the questions on dosage calculations, whereas only 13% had no calculation errors(23). The current study and Koharchik associate poor performance in dosage calculation with the nursing students' negative attitude towards mathematical calculations.

Medication errors have also been reported because of gaps in documentation. The findings of this study showed that 114 (77.6%) of the respondents failed the documentation category. Documentation is vital to indicate that the medication was given in adherence to the rights of medication administration. The errors related to documentation have been identified as problem areas in previous research (1,24). Literature shows that there has been a lack of consensus on whether documentation is considered one of the rights of medication administration. For that reason, little emphasis is

put on documentation compared to the other rights. This highlights the need for the students to consistently document the nursing care provided to the patients and, most notably, the skills that impact patient safety.

### ***Total medication administration knowledge***

This study's mean knowledge score of 64.8 % was below the recommended scores for high-risk skills. The internationally recommended pass mark for high-risk skills such as medication administration is 70 % (16,17). Moreover, the participants failed in five out of the six categories of medication administration, with a pass mark lower than the recommended 70%. These findings are coherent with a study done in Sri Lanka, which showed that the pre-licensure students' medication administration knowledge score was 47% (25). Consistently, a systematic review indicated that there were gaps in medication administration knowledge among undergraduate BScN students. These gaps were attributed to deficiencies in the pharmacology content learned in class and a lack of practice in the clinical content (2).

Similar gaps are also identified in a different study whereby the students' performance in medication administration before the intervention was 5 out of 10 (50%) (26). Moreover, Musafiri & Daniels agrees with the above findings whereby only 19.2% of the students in a descriptive study perceived themselves as competent in medication administration(21). The results are similar to that of Musafiri & Daniels and Craig et al.'s where there was poor knowledge at baseline among the two groups. The intervention and control groups had a mean score of 16.9(67%) and 17.1(68%), respectively, out of the possible 25 points(27).

In contrast, the findings of this study are opposed by Sulosaari in Finland in a study to assess nursing students' theoretical competence in medication administration. In the said study, the





students attained 72% in the medication administration knowledge test(10). This performance was deemed better compared to previous studies. The researcher, however, still admits that there were deficiencies identified in certain items of the knowledge test. The researcher in the above study associates the difference in theoretical competence with the confounders that were not eliminated during the study.

In Summary, there is a clear indication of potential gaps in acquiring medication administration knowledge that needs to be addressed to achieve optimum patient safety.

### Study limitations

The first major limitation of the current study was the need for more financial support. The researchers entirely relied on themselves to fund the study, thus limiting the number of clinical sites that could have been included. Data was collected from only two clinical sites; therefore, the study's results may not be generalisable to other clinical sites. The other limitation was collecting data amidst the COVID-19 pandemic, which introduced unforeseen financial challenges, including the cost of purchasing masks and hand sanitisers.

### Conclusion

The findings indicate that more than half of the student's knowledge of medication administration was poor. This was denoted by the average student's medication administration performance being below the recommended level.

### Recommendations

The gaps in knowledge identified in this study were mainly attributed to the need for more practice and incorporation of the appropriate teaching strategies among nursing students in administering medications before they start clinical rotations. For that reason, exploring the gaps in undergraduate nursing curriculums and

teaching strategies is imperative. There is also a need for nurse educators to include the WHO medication safety curriculum guidelines and teaching strategies in the already existing institutional undergraduate nursing curriculums to enhance the medication administration process and patient safety to fill the identified gaps.

### Acknowledgements

Special thanks to the management of Thika and Embu County hospitals for their support during the study.

### Source of funding

The research did not have any external financial support. The principal researcher fully funded it.

### Competing interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

### References

1. **Dimitriadou M, Merkouris A, Charalambous A, Lemonidou C, Papastavrou E.** The knowledge about patient safety among undergraduate nurse students in Cyprus and Greece: a comparative study. *BMC Nurs.* 2021;20(1):1–12.
2. **D KJBE.** Bachelors of Science in Nursing Students and a Qualitative Analysis of their Medication Administration Experiences. 2016;7(27):76–81.
3. Topic 11 : Improving medication safety. Why focus on medications ? :229–44.
4. **World Health Organization.** Medication Without Harm-Global Patient Safety Challenge on Medication Safety. Who [Internet]. 2017;1–16. Available from: <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/255263/1/WHO-HIS-SDS-2017.6-eng.pdf?ua=1&ua=1>
5. **Alammar K, Ahmad M, Almutairi S, Salem O.** Nursing Students' Perception of the Clinical Learning Environment. *Open Nurs J.* 2020;14(1):174–9.



6. **Musharyanti L, Ed MM, Claramita M, Ph D, Haryanvi F, Ph D, et al.** Why do nursing students make medication errors? A qualitative study in Indonesia. *J Taibah Univ Med Sci* [Internet]. 2019;14(3):282–8. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtumed.2019.04.002>
7. **Gunes RN, PhD U, Efteli RN, PhD E, Ceylan RN, PhD B, Baran RN, MSc L, Huri RN, MSc O.** Medication Errors Made by Nursing Students in Turkey. *Int J Caring Sci* [Internet]. 2020;13(2):1183–92. Available from: <https://www.proquest.com/scholarly-journals/medication-errors-made-nursing-students-turkey/docview/2462487742/se-2>
8. **Miller K.** Educational Strategies for Reducing Medication Errors Committed by Student Nurses: A Literature Review. 2016;3(1).
9. **McLeod M, Barber N, Franklin BD.** Facilitators and barriers to safe medication administration to hospital inpatients: A mixed methods study of nurses' medication administration processes and systems (the MAPS study). *PLoS One*. 2015;10(6):1–20.
10. **Sulosaari V, Huupponen R, Hupli M, Puukka P, Torniaainen K, Leino-Kilpi H.** Factors associated with nursing students' medication competence at the beginning and end of their education. *BMC Med Educ* [Internet]. 2015;15(1):1–11. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12909-015-0513-0>
11. **Asensi-Vicente J, Jiménez-Ruiz I, Vizcaya-Moreno MF.** Medication Errors Involving Nursing Students: A Systematic Review. *Nurse Educ*. 2018;43(5):E1–5.
12. **Hall S, Kumar C.** Exploring Relationships Between Practicing Registered Nurses ( RNs ) Pharmacology Knowledge and Medication Errors. 2015;
13. **Mumbi MM, Mwenda C, Njoroge G, Njau S.** Adherence to Medication administration guidelines among Nurses in a Mission Hospital in Meru, Kenya. 2020;(September 2019).
14. **Babic A, Tokalic R, Amílcar Silva Cunha J, Novak I, Suto J, Vidak M, et al.** Assessments of attrition bias in Cochrane systematic reviews are highly inconsistent and thus hindering trial comparability. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2019;19(1):1–10.
15. **Nunan D, Aronson J, Bankhead C.** Catalogue of bias: attrition bias. *BMJ evidence-based Med*. 2018;23(1):21–2.
16. **Lipsky MS, Cone CJ, Watson S, Lawrence PT, Lutfiyya MN.** Mastery learning in a bachelor's of nursing program: The Roseman University of Health Sciences experience. *BMC Nurs*. 2019;18(1):1–9.
17. **Gurková E, Žiaková K, Zanovitová M, Cibříková S, Hudáková A.** Assessment of nursing student performance in clinical settings - the usefulness of rating scales for summative evaluation. *Cent Eur J Nurs Midwifery*. 2018;9(1):791–8.
18. **Chan R, Booth R, Strudwick G, Sinclair B.** Nursing Students' Perceived Self-Efficacy and the Generation of Medication Errors with the Use of an Electronic Medication Administration Record (eMAR) in Clinical Simulation. *Int J Nurs Educ Scholarsh*. 2019;16(1):1–10.
19. **Salami I.** Nursing Students ' Medication Errors and Adherence to Medication Best Practice. 2018;281–91.
20. **Bam V, Safowaa A, Lomotey AY, Nkansah AS.** Nursing students ' perception of medical errors: A cross- - sectional study in a university. 2021;(January):3152–60.
21. **Musafiri JJ, Daniels F.** Nursing students' perceptions of clinical learning opportunities and competence in the administration of oral medication in the Western Cape. *Curationis*. 2020;43(1):1–9.
22. **Zamanzadeh V, Ghahramanian A, Rassouli M, Abbaszadeh A, Alavi- H.** Design and Implementation Content Validity Study: Development of an instrument for



- measuring Patient-Centered Communication Design and Implementation Content Validity Study: Development of an instrument for measuring Patient-Centered Communication. 2015;(June). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15171/jcs.2015.017>
23. **Eremita DA.** Examining the Relationship Between the Use of Simulation in Nursing Education and Safety with Medication Administration in the Clinical Setting. *Univ Maine.* 2018;
24. **Mostafazadeh-Bora M.** The Hawthorne effect in observational studies: Threat or opportunity? *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2020;41(4):491.
25. **Devananda R, Priyadarshani U, Gamage M, Chandrika R.** Student nurses ' knowledge acquisition on oral medication administration: comparison of lecture demonstration vs video demonstration. 2021;6:1–7.
26. **Konieczny L.** Using high-fidelity simulation to increase nursing student knowledge in medication administration 1. *Teach Learn Nurs* [Internet]. 2016; Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.teln.2016.08.003>
27. **Craig SJ, Castello JC, Cieslowski BJ, Rovnyak V.** Nurse Education Today Simulation strategies to increase nursing student clinical competence in safe medication administration practices: A quasi-experimental study. *Nurse Educ Today* [Internet]. 2021;96(September 2020):104605. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2020.104605>