

Short Communication

FOOD SECURITY PROBLEMS IN VARIOUS INCOME GROUPS OF KENYA

Tom Olielo^{1*}



Tom Olielo

*Corresponding author email: olielotk@gmail.com

¹P.O Box 4777 – 40103 Kisumu; ECOHIM Department Maseno University, Kenya

ABSTRACT

Poverty and hunger are common in Kenya especially in arid and semi arid lands that cannot support crops and are also overgrazed thereby yielding low incomes, and in urban low income dwellings. Indicators of food insecurity and malnutrition such as proportion of the poor in the population, those requiring food assistance and anthropometric measurements have through the years shown that large proportions, about half the population of Kenya are food insecure. Among the affected, around 3.5 million go hungry or are malnourished. Increasing income promotes consumption of diverse foods and facilitates change in diets from basic staples such as maize to foods that require less preparation fruits and processed foods. This research was carried out to assess consumption of foods and characteristics of various income groups and determine factors that cause food insecurity. Three Nairobi housing estates were selected to represent low income group, medium income group, and high income group. These estates are, respectively, Kayole, Buruburu and Westlands. Monthly income by the low income, medium income and high income households were, respectively, KES \leq 14000 (US\$ 177.5), 14001 to 56000 (US\$178 to 812) and \geq 56000 (US\$ 812). In each estate, a sample of 130 households was studied. The average household had 5 people. Ugali (thick porridge) is the main staple food and was consumed by 88% of the households, while vegetables were consumed by 92 %. Meat was consumed by 46 % of the households and fruit products by 17 %. It was found that the low income group could not purchase adequate food and amounts they consumed did not meet the FAO recommended levels for foods and nutrients. Low incomes and poverty are the main cause of food insecurity in Kenya. It is recommended that there be better education for employment, irrigation of crops especially in arid and semi arid lands for food production as well as improved health status and sanitation to enable earning of high income to reduce poverty and consequently enhance food security and nutrition.

Key words: Poverty, Income, Irrigation, Food Security.

INTRODUCTION

Food security is defined as access by all people at all times to enough food for an active healthy life in the community [1]. Poverty is the underlying cause of food insecurity, while food insecurity causes malnutrition [2]. Food insecurity is a challenge for Kenya that endorsed the Millennium Development Goals in 2000, which include Goal 1 as the eradication of poverty and hunger [3]. This research was carried out to map out foods consumption per capita in households of various income levels.

The “minimum dietary energy requirement” for each person as stipulated by the UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) is just below 2,000 kilocalories per day [4]. In Kenya, the poverty line is determined by expenditure required to purchase the food basket that yields a minimum 2,250 kcal per adult equivalent per day in addition to non food needs [5]. Dietary recommendations for Kenya include daily per capita consumption of cereals maize or wheat products and or rice (500g), green leafy vegetables (50 -150g), meat or fish (100g), carrots (100g) and fruit (100g), oil (15-25 g), salt (10g), milk or milk in tea and eggs [6]. National food balance sheet in Kenya, in 2005 provided 2256 kcal per capita per day. Among foods that contributed to this were: maize products 212 grams containing 672 kcal and 16g protein, wheat products 76.4g with 207 kcal and 6 g protein, dry beans 28.8g with 98 kcal and 6g protein, meat 42.5g with 80 kcal and 6 g protein, fish 6.9g with 5 kcal, vegetables 68g with 15 kcal, and fruit 16g with 100 kcal. As a country prospers, its diet becomes more varied; higher consumption of animal protein foods, fats, and oils increases the energy level of the diet and protein utilization. Animal proteins contain more of essential amino acids than plant proteins and have, therefore, higher nutritive value [7]. In Kenya, the poor have per capita income of US\$ 1 or less a day. For an average household with five persons the household income of the poor is KES 12,245 (US\$ 177.5) or less per month, low income household earns KES 14000 (US\$ 202.5) and below per month, middle income is KES 14001 to 56000 (US\$ 203 to 812) and high income is above KES 56,000 pm (US\$ 812) [8].

The Kenya Demographic and Health Survey, 2003 showed anthropometric indicators of malnutrition among children aged five years as: 20.2% of children were underweight, 4% were severely underweight, 33% of male and 28% of female children were stunted, and 6.1 % were wasted. According to anthropometric height and weight deviations from mean of population, a -2 standard deviation from population means is considered moderately affected and -3 is severely affected by malnutrition [9].

In 2007, about 56% of Kenyans were poor and hence their households had no income to afford basic needs including food of 2250 kilocalories per adult equivalent per day. According to provinces, poverty in Nairobi was 29.5%, Central 31.4%, Nyanza 46.1%, Rift Valley 49.5%, Eastern 45.2%, Western 51.1%, Coast 63.5% and North Eastern 66.5% [10]. Between February and September 2009, Kenyans requiring food assistance numbered 2.6 million out of 38.6 million people. On August 20, 2009, the

Kenya Food Security Steering Group (KFSSG) projected the number of people requiring emergency food assistance between September 2009 and February 2010 to be 3.8 million. In addition, the report identified approximately 2.5 million chronically food insecure individuals located in urban areas, 1.5 million primary school students in drought-affected areas, 100,000 persons displaced by post-election violence and 2 million rural HIV/AIDS patients as food insecure and in need of humanitarian assistance countrywide [11]. In 2011 the number of hungry Kenyans was 3.5 million. In July 2011 deaths caused by starvation elicited organizations such as Kenya Red Cross Society, Safaricom Mobile Phone Company, Kenya Commercial Bank and the Media to launch the Kenyans for Kenya appeal for donations at which 500 million KES was raised in the first 10 days in July 2011. By end of August 2011, about 700 million KES (US \$ 8.75 million) had been raised to buy food for the affected especially school children and the vulnerable, and also disburse funds to those at risk in arid and semi arid parts of northern Kenya and other affected rural and urban areas [12].

According to FAO, the main factors that influence poverty and food insecurity at national, community or individual levels are: land and water quality, political structures, government policies and services, exchange rates, fuel, farm equipment and inputs, agricultural production, research, development and processing, employment, culture, income, storage facilities, money and credit, transport, resource management, advertising, water and sanitation, healthcare, prepared food, and family food distribution [13] and education since women's secondary education correlates with almost 43 per cent in reduction of child malnutrition in developing countries [14]. In agriculture, the climate, rainfall and/ or irrigation for adequate soil moisture are necessary for growing crops. The extension providers in agricultural industry include the Government, NGOs, and community based organizations. However, most of the extension service providers, in carrying out their activities often do not consider the priority demands of the farmers [15]. Kenya Red Cross has proved that by giving families water pumps and/or greenhouses for irrigation they become food secure [16].

Poor people who are affected by hunger and limited understanding lack self initiative to utilize resources in development opportunities and, therefore, make no strategies for sustainable productivity but attack and deplete available resources from the environment and from other persons. Their strategies to cope with poverty include overgrazing, deforestation and overexploitation for fuel wood which result in soil degradation and reduced rainfall [17], making and selling charcoal, seeking employment, child labour, child marriages, begging, and selling assets like land and cows to buy food [18]. Other coping strategies are; abandoning responsibility of paying debts, trespassing, engaging in crime, corruption, stealing, prostitution, selling voters cards, seeking financial assistance from government or non-government organizations, migrating [19] and waiting for food aid or death by starvation. These strategies are not self sustaining and perpetuate vicious cycle of poverty [20].

In Africa, urbanization has occurred in an environment of consistent economic decline. Moreover, conflicts, drought, and rural economic decline have contributed to rapid rural to urban migration of millions of people seeking a chance at a better life [21]. Over 70 per cent of the urban population in sub-Saharan Africa lives in slum like conditions, with little or no access to basic services [22].

In other parts of the world, urban transition has been linked to industrialisation and greater economic opportunities [21]. Urbanization and increasing incomes promote consumption of diverse foods and facilitate change in diets from basic staples such as maize to cereals that require less preparation like wheat products and to fruits and processed foods. Dietary diversity is associated with child nutritional status and growth as seen in Kenya [23]. However, urbanization is also associated with negative changes of nutrition transition that include quantitative and qualitative shifts in the structure of diets away from staples like roots and tubers towards more livestock products and vegetable oils, with higher energy dense diets, more fat, added sugars in foods, greater saturated fat intake (mostly from animal sources), reduced intakes of complex carbohydrates and dietary fibre, and reduced fruit and vegetable intakes [24].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was a cross-sectional survey of household food consumption patterns in various income groups of Nairobi, by use of a questionnaire.

Households' food consumption survey

The estates Kayole, Buruburu and Westlands were purposely selected since they, respectively, represent different income groups based on household income as follows: the low income group earns \leq KES 14000 per household per month, middle income group is KES 14001 to 56000 and high income group with KES 14001 to 56000 pm. During the survey, US\$ 1 was equivalent to KES 69 [8]. Housing estates are rated according to household residence plot size, respectively, with Low income households being situated on $\leq \frac{1}{8}$ acre, Middle on $\frac{1}{8}$ to $\frac{1}{4}$ acre, and High income households on $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{3}{4}$ acre ($\frac{1}{5}$ to $\frac{3}{10}$ hectare) [25].

The target population of Nairobi residents totaled 3 million. The sample size of households in the survey was determined by formula of Medicines Sans Frontiers

(1995) [26], by which the desired sample size $n = \frac{t^2 \times p(1-p)}{d^2}$

where t = standard deviate z set at 2; p = estimate proportion of the 1 whole, or percent of individuals with the particular characteristic in consideration is set at 0.6; d is degree of accuracy to involve extreme ends set at 0.05;

The calculated sample size was 390 households. In each of the purposely selected estates/clusters representing particular income groups, a sample of 130 households was randomly selected for the study. The table of random numbers was used to select a random first household in the estate among the first 5 households by the main

entrance of the estate. From then on, a third of households was sequentially picked until 130 households were included. A structured questionnaire was used to collect the data on food consumption and other characteristics of household members.

The researcher and trained assistants made initial visits to the sampled households and explained the purpose of the survey to each household head and other members of the household. Thereafter, the researcher and assistant made visits to each selected household once every 24 hours for seven (7) days and interviewed the housewife or the person responsible for food preparation and serving in the household. The researcher asked the respondent to describe all the dishes made in the house the previous day and explain the ingredients and amount consumed. The stated weights of food ingredients were randomly checked by the researcher using a balance and also with the supermarket prices and weights.

RESULTS

Food consumption patterns by households in various income groups

Ugali, which is a thick porridge made from maize flour is the main staple carbohydrate food consumed by 88% of the households at least 4 times in the week. Green vegetables were consumed by 92% and meat, a main protein source, was eaten by 46% of the sample households at least 4 times in seven days. In Kenya, the concept of balanced diet means a diet that includes a carbohydrate staple, vegetable and a protein source. Foods eaten by the 46% of all population at least 4 times a week were 13 foods which are: tea, sugar, milk, bread, thin porridge with lemon (*uji*), ugali, green leafy vegetables, beef, cooking oil or fat, salt, carrot, onion and tomato. Among the low income households, 46% ate 12 foods at least four times in seven days; the meals included ugali, green vegetables and beans but left out beef, milk, bread and carrots as well as eggs. Among middle income households, 46% consumed 15 foods at least four times in a week, which included the thirteen foods listed above and also beans or green grams and carrots. Forty six percent of the high-income group consumed 17 foods which included beef, eggs and carrots at least 4 times in a week. Eggs were eaten by 43% of all households. Eggs were commonly eaten by both the low-income and middle-income groups as the main side dish for *ugali* during lunch or supper whereas in high-income group, eggs are consumed as part of breakfast. Fruits such as bananas, oranges and mangoes were eaten at least four times a week by only 26 percent of the households, while processed fruit drinks were consumed by 17% of the households. Carbonated soft drinks were also consumed at home at least four times in a week by 12% of households mainly in the high-income group. In this present survey, the mean amount of specific foods consumed per capita is in Table 1. The significance difference in consumption by various income groups is in Table 2. Values among groups differ significantly at $p \leq 0.05$

The energy content (kcal), vitamin A ug retinol equivalent, and vitamin C in each amount of food were calculated based on equivalent values per gram as provided by USDA [27]. It was found that the average consumption per capita by low income group included 1915 kcal, 335 ug vitamin A and 55 mg, vitamin C; per capita

consumption in middle income group included 2653 kcal, 627 ug vitamin A and 81 mg, vitamin C; and per capita consumption in high income group included 2958 kcal, 828 ug vitamin A and 167 mg, vitamin C.

DISCUSSION

The study found that 88% of the households consumed maize, 90% sugar, 92% vegetables, 26% fruits, 46% meat and 4.5% chicken. This compares favourably with figures obtained by a 24h recall study previously done in 5 districts which showed the percentage of households who consumed maize was 91.1 %, while 80.5% consumed sugar, 50% consumed vegetables and 10% fruit[28]. This research found, that the low income group only met the FAO recommendations for food consumption of cereals food at 500 g and green leaves at 50 g per capita per day, an indication that they lack income to purchase recommended amounts of other foods that are expensive. The middle and high-income groups' diets met the FAO recommendations for cereals foods, green leaves, meat, milk, cooking fat/oil and margarine and eggs, the four animal source foods which the low income diets fell short of meeting. The significance of difference of foodstuffs consumption among various income groups was calculated using SPSS, and there was significance at $p \leq 0.05$.

People choose to consume food according to interest, budget constraints, to avoid diseases and to the availability of foods. Nutrition educators routinely encourage people to eat more fruits and vegetables as these provide vitamins and minerals such as vitamin A, C, iron and zinc which would otherwise be deficient in various sectors of children and adult populations [29].

It appears that during dietary transitions, there is need for guidelines for good food consumption patterns. Many countries have issued dietary guidelines for the consumption of diverse foods intended to achieve adequate nutrition. Daily consumption of a variety of nutrient dense foods with at least an item from each food group is recommended. The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) has categorized recommended foods into five groups which are cereals, vegetables, fruit, dairy and protein source foods (meat, fish, poultry, eggs, nuts and beans) [29].

Strategies that promote and facilitate dietary diversification among the poor are essential so that cereal or tuber based diets are complemented with micronutrient rich foods. FAO has recommended improvement of soils for agriculture, small-scale home and community vegetable and fruit gardens; production of small animals such as rabbits, goats, guinea pigs; and implementation of large scale commercial vegetable and fruit production; and improvement of storage and food preservation [30].

CONCLUSION

It was noted that income is a major factor influencing consumption. There is significant difference in per capita daily intake of foodstuffs among the three income groups, at $p \leq 0.05$. The low income group households have low purchasing power for adequate foods. The low income group did not consume the FAO recommended amounts of white bread, rice, meat, eggs, carrots, fresh bananas, butter or margarine, sugar and milk in tea. The low income group cannot meet the FAO and WHO recommended levels of the calculated or tested nutrients kcl, vitamin A (RE), although they consumed adequate vitamin C. They, therefore, suffer food insecurity and nutrient deficiencies. The middle and high income groups consumed the FAO/WHO recommended levels of the tested nutrients that are kcl, vitamin A (RE) and vitamin C.

Food security and nutrition in households can be improved by up-scaling occupations such as small-scale businesses and income, especially among the low income group. Irrigation equipment and practice should be carried out in arid lands. There should also be nutrition training for households to consume balanced diet supplying nutrients. Households should, where possible, develop and maintain kitchen gardens to raise and provide fruit, vegetables, poultry and other small animals.

Table 1: Intake of foods in g by individuals of various income groups per day

| Food | Food intake per capita per day in g | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|
| | Low Income | Middle Income | High Income |
| Sugar | 10 | 30 | 30 |
| Milk | 30 | 100 | 100 |
| Bread | 8 | 100 | 100 |
| Magarine fat | 15 | 15 | 20 |
| Wheat-Flour-dough - fried-bun or chapati | 40 | 50 | 80 |
| Thin porridge of either maize, millet or oat | 200 | 160 | 160 |
| Eggs | 25 | 40 | 60 |
| Maize flour (Ugali) | 300 | 300 | 220 |
| Rice | 3 | 80 | 80 |
| Potato | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| Pumpkin | 80 | 50 | 0 |
| Beans/gram | 20 | 25 | 35 |
| Green leaf vegetables | 97 | 97 | 97 |
| Beef | 15 | 50 | 100 |
| Chicken | 2 | 40 | 80 |
| Fish | 5 | 20 | 40 |
| Cooking fat or oil | 20 | 25 | 30 |
| Salt | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Carrot | 1 | 20 | 30 |
| Tomato | 10 | 10 | 35 |
| Carbonated soft drink | 0 | 246 | 246 |
| Fruit /banana or orange or mango | 70 | 85 | 95 |
| Fruit soft drink | 8 ml | 16 ml | 33.5 ml |

Table 2: Intake of particular food groups in grams by individual in the various income groups per day

| Foods | Low Income Group | Middle Income Group | High Income Group | Total Mean± Standard Deviation | Significant value for p |
|------------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Cereals | 540 | 590 | 640 | 590±118 | 0.00 |
| Roots and tubers | 20 a | 20 a | 28 b | 22±31 | 0.00, where food amount qualified by letters a, and b vary |
| Green leafy vegetables | 180 a | 130 b | 130 b | 147±57 | 0.00 '' |
| Pulses | 20 | 25 | 30 | 25± 25 | 0.00 |
| Meat / fish | 5 | 35 | 80 | 50±50 | 0.00 |
| Carrots | 15 | 20 | 30 | 20±30 | 0.00 |
| Eggs | 10 | 22 | 50 | 32±40 | 0.01 |
| Milk and milk products | 25 a | 100 b | 100 b | 75± 82 | 0.00, where food amount varies as shown by letters a, and b |
| Sugar | 10 a | 25 b | 25 b | 20±11 | 0.00 '' |
| Fats and oils | 20 | 25 | 30 | 25± 20 | 0.00 |
| Fruits | 20 | 43 | 65 | 43±40 | 0.00 |
| Total | 880 | 1012 | 1168 | 1039 | |

N = 390

Values are means of intakes per person. Sd = standard deviation

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of per capita mean values of food consumed in each row reveals that values among groups differ significantly at $p \leq 0.05$

REFERENCES

1. **World Bank.** Poverty and hunger: issues and options for food security in developing countries. World Bank policy study, Washington DC: World Bank, 1986.
2. **ACC/SCN Symposium Report, Nutrition and Poverty.** Papers from the 24th Session symposium, Kathmandu.1997. United Nations Nutrition Policy Paper No, **16** pp 69- 76.
3. **GOK Ministry of Planning and Development.** The Planning Bulletin , 2 no 1 Special issue on the Millennium Development Goals.
4. **uk.oneworld.net.** Food security country briefings. May 2010, Food Security in Kenya. <http://uk.oneworld.net/guides/kenyadevelopment> . Accessed 10 May 2010.
5. **GOK Central Bureau of Statistics.** Geographic dimensions of wellbeing in Kenya. Who and where are the poor? A constituency level profile. Vol. II, GOK 2000.
6. **FAO corporate document repository.** Agriculture food and nutrition for Africa. <http://www.fao.org/DOCEP/W0078e?w0078e08.htm> Accessed 2006/1/7.
7. **GOK Central Bureau of Statistics,** 2006. National food balance sheets 2000 – 2005.
8. **GOK.** *Economic Survey* 2002; pp 19 and 68.
9. **GOK CBS .**Kenya Demographic and Health Survey.2003, pp 163 – 167.
10. **Otieno J** Quoting from a Kenyan Government survey,Sixteen million Kenyans are living in extreme poverty. Daily Nation, April 27, 2007.
11. **USAID.** Kenya Food Insecurity. Dec 11, 2009. (*USAID web site at http://www.usaid.gov/our_work/humanitarian_assistance/disaster_assistance/.* Accessed Dec 11, 2009.
12. **Daily Nation.** Kenyans for Kenya, DN 2, Wednesday, August 31, 2011, Nairobi.
13. **FAO.** *Food and Nutrition: Creating a Well Fed World.* FAO,1990. Rome.
14. **Smith L and L Haddad** Overcoming child malnutrition in developing countries: Past achievements and future choices. Food, agriculture and

- environmental discussion paper, 30. International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington DC. 2000.
15. **Kinyua J** Towards Achieving Food Security in Kenya. *Proceedings of Workshop on Assuring food and nutrition security in Africa by 2020: Prioritizing action, strengthening actors, and facilitating partnerships.* April 1-3, 2004, Kampala, Uganda.
 16. **Daily Nation** Kenyans for Kenya, DN 2, Wednesday, August 31, 2011, Nairobi.
 17. **Pinstrup-Andersen P and R Pandya-Lorch** Prospects for world food security and distribution. In: Bouma J *et al* (eds). *Ecoregional approaches for sustainable land use and food production.* Kluwer Academic Publ.The Hague, 1995 pp 89- 111.
 18. **Barrett CB** Food security and food assistance programs. *Handbook of Agricultural Economics, Volume 2*, edited by B. Gardner and G Rausser.Elsevier Science BV New York, 2002, pp 1- 49.
 19. **World Bank.** *Repositioning Nutrition as Central to Development: A Strategy for Large Scale Action.* World Bank, Washington, DC.2006.
 20. **AMREF.** *Coping without coping:What the poor say about poverty in Kenya.* AMREF/GOK, 1996, Nairobi.
 21. **Mucheke B** Slum housing plan calls for resources, proper planning. *The Standard* February 25th, 2005.
 22. **Warah R** Zimbabwe evictions a pointer to Africa's chaotic urban growth, says Habitat. *East African* August 15th-21st, 2005.
 23. **Onyango A, Koski K and K Turker** Food diversity versus breast feeding choice in determining a, nthropometric status in rural Kenyan Toddlers. *International journal of Epidemiology* 27: 484 – 489.
 24. **Drewnowski A and BM Popkin** The nutrition transition; new trends in the global diet. *Nutrition Reviews*, 55: 31- 43.
 25. **Muchiri T and P Kivinda** Classification of housing estates (Researchers personal communication with the two Nairobi city planners in their officers, 2002).
 26. **Medicines Sans Frontier** *Nutrition Guidelines Part II*, 1995, cap 3, pp 48 - 55.

27. **USDA.** Nutrient data base <http://www.nal.usda.gov/fnic/foodcomp/search/> accessed 2007/03/15.
28. **GOK/UNICEF.** Anemia and status of iron, vitamin A, and Zinc in Kenya. National Micronutrient Survey report, 1999.
29. **USDA.** Dietary Guidelines for Americans. Washington, Posted online on Jan 12, 2005 <http://www.healthierus.gov/dietaryguidelines/> accessed Jan 2008.
30. **Bowman BA and RM Russel** *Present Knowledge in Nutrition*. 8th ed. ILSI Press Washington, DC, 2001, pp 636 -686.