

**SECRETION OF METHIONINE BY MICROORGANISMS ASSOCIATED  
WITH CASSAVA FERMENTATION**

**Anike N<sup>1</sup> and N Okafor\*<sup>2</sup>**



**Nduka Okafor**



**Ngozi Anike**

\*Corresponding author email: [ndukaokafor1@yahoo.com](mailto:ndukaokafor1@yahoo.com)

Department of Applied Microbiology and Brewing, Nnamdi Azikiwe University,  
Awka, Nigeria

<sup>1</sup> Ngozi Anike - Present address: ARC Building reception, Dept of Food And Animal  
Science, Alabama A & M University, P.O.Box 1628 , Normal, Al. 35762; e-mail:  
[ngoizianike@yahoo.com](mailto:ngoizianike@yahoo.com)

<sup>2</sup> \*Nduka Okafor - Present address: 132 Long Hall, Department of Biological  
Sciences, Clemson University, Clemson, South Carolina 29634, USA. Tel: 864 646  
6060; 864 356 5203.

## ABSTRACT

Forty-six (46) bacteria were isolated from different sites associated with garri production from cassava: cassava pulp grated for garri production, grating machines, soil in the vicinity of the production of garri, and utensils involved in the processing of cassava into garri in several locations in Anambra State of Nigeria. Of these, *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Lactobacillus* sp., *Leuconostoc* sp., *Corynebacterium* sp. and *Bacillus* sp. secreted methionine. The organisms were assessed for optimum methionine production at various levels of glucose, ammonium sulphate and varying mixtures of potassium hydrogen phosphate and di-potassium hydrogen phosphate. All the organisms required 10 g glucose for maximum methionine secretion. All the isolates required 20 g of  $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ /litre, except for *Bacillus* sp. which required as little as 5 g of  $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ /litre. The organisms' requirement for phosphate varied widely: the two lactobacilli required 0.5 g  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$  and 1.5 g  $\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$  per litre, *Leuconostoc* sp. and *Bacillus* sp. required 1.0 g  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$  and 3.0 g  $\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$  per litre. *Lactobacillus* spp. were the highest secreters of methionine, followed in that order by *Leuconostoc* sp., *Corynebacterium* sp. and *Bacillus* sp. The optimum period of incubation for the secretion varied from 48 h to 96 h, which is the period for cassava mash fermentation in garri production. The findings on *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Lactobacillus* sp. and *Leuconostoc* sp. are of importance in any possible effort to increase the methionine content of garri. In this study the maximum quantities of methionine were secreted after 96 hours and 72 hours respectively by the lactobacilli and *Leuconostoc* sp. Since lactic acid bacteria are micro-aerophilic, it is suggested that lactic acid bacteria (the two lactobacilli and *Leuconostoc* sp.), which are the major organisms involved in cassava fermentation for garri production, may, in the less aerated environment of the cassava mash, produce more methionine and in shorter time, than observed under the aerobic conditions of this work.

**Key words:** garri, fermentation, lactic acid, methionine

## INTRODUCTION

The enlarged root of the cassava plant, *Manihot esculenta* Crantz is consumed all over the tropical world, in Africa, Asia and the Caribbean. In West Africa, including Ghana, Cote d'Ivoire, Togo, Cameroon and Nigeria, it is consumed by about 200 million people as garri, which forms a major supply of carbohydrate in these countries. Cassava is poor in proteins and amino acids, including lysine and methionine, and these deficiencies are carried over into foods derived from cassava [1, 2, 3]. The two amino acids have important functions in human health as well as in farm animal nutrition.

Methionine is a principle supplier of sulphur which prevents disorders of the hair, skin and nails; it helps lower cholesterol levels by increasing the liver's production of lecithin; it reduces liver fat and protects the kidneys; it is a natural chelating agent for heavy metals, and finally it regulates the formation of ammonia and creates ammonia-free urine which reduces bladder irritation [4, 5, 6]. When both amino acids are added as supplements into animal feed, a dramatic increase in animal growth rate is observed. Previous studies on the increase in lysine content of garri produced by inoculating cassava mash, from which garri is made, with lysine-secreting microorganisms has been reported [1, 2].

This paper reports the first of series of similar studies with methionine and describes the isolation of methionine-secreting bacteria and the optimization of methionine secretion in liquid medium. The production of methionine from various carbohydrates has been discussed [7]. A recent review raised optimism about the production of methionine by fermentation, and suggested ways of overcoming any problems [8]. However, no study has so far linked microbial production of methionine with the possibility of the improvement of a cassava food product.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Isolation, identification and storage of bacteria

One gram of cassava pulp collected from garri processing establishments in Awka, Nimo, Nkwelle, Ogbaru and Nsugbe, all in Anambra State of Nigeria, was shaken in 100 ml of sterile water and streaked on plates of glucose yeast extract agar (GYEA) [9]. Machines used for grating cassava for garri production and utensils for mixing cassava mash were swabbed with sterile swabs and streaked on plates of GYEA. In addition 1 g of soil was collected at the sites of garri processing in these towns and also shaken in sterile water and plated out on GYEA. The ensuing microorganisms were purified and stored on agar slants of GYEA until they were used. Subsequently,

they were identified according to *Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology*, 9<sup>th</sup> Edition [10].

### Screening of bacteria for methionine secretion

The isolates were incubated at room temperature (30 °C) with shaking at 150 rev/min for 48 h and thereafter centrifuged at 5,000 x g. [11]. The methionine content of the supernatant was determined by the colorimetric cyanide acetate-ninhydrin method for the analysis of amino acids of Rosen, 1957 using a Spectronic 21 spectrophotometer at 570 nm [11, 12].

### Optimization of fermentation conditions for methionine secretion

Since the nutrient requirements and period of incubation for optimum methionine secretion were likely to be different for each organism, these requirements were studied in two phases. In the first phase, the optimum quantities of carbon, nitrogen and phosphate for methionine production were studied by incubating each organism for 24 and 48 h in different quantities of glucose, ammonium sulphate and varying mixtures of potassium hydrogen phosphate and di-potassium hydrogen phosphate in a basal medium. The basal medium consisted of 0.5 g glucose and 5 g yeast extract (DIFCO) in a litre of distilled water, adjusted to neutral pH.

The second phase was carried out to determine the optimum incubation period for maximum methionine secretion in a medium which contained quantities of carbon, nitrogen and phosphate optimum for methionine secretion for each organism as determined in the first phase experimentation. The organisms were grown in 50 ml of media in 250 ml conical flasks, and shaken (150 rev/min) at 30 °C for 24 h and 48 h as in the first phase (Tables 1-4) and for six days (144 h) in the second phase (Table 5). Thereafter, methionine in the supernatant was evaluated as described above. All the experiments were replicated thrice and the means reported.

## RESULTS

### Isolates

Of the 46 bacterial isolates, only five were found to be active in methionine secretion. These isolates were subsequently identified as *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Lactobacillus* sp., *Corynebacterium* sp., *Bacillus* sp. and *Leuconostoc* sp., using the 9<sup>th</sup> edition of *Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology* [10].

### **Effect of glucose concentration on methionine secretion**

Table 1 shows that methionine secretion was highest when 10 g/l of glucose was used. It was decided not to use more than 10 g/l so as to limit the cost of the medium should the findings need to be scaled up for industrial production. Furthermore, the longer the incubation period, the more the methionine secreted. It was decided not to use more than 10 g of glucose per litre so as not to render the possible scale up of the results of this experiment financially unattractive for industrial adaptation.

### **Effect of nitrogen and phosphate concentrations on methionine secretion**

Most isolates (Table 2) secreted methionine maximally with 20 g (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/litre of medium, except for *Bacillus* sp. which produced methionine maximally with 5 g/l.

The effect of phosphate concentrations on methionine secretion is as shown in Table 3, where it would be seen that the phosphate requirements of the isolates varied widely. The lactobacilli required the least amount of phosphates, *Corynebacterium* and *Bacillus* sp. followed and *Leuconostoc* sp. required the most.

### **Compounding the Medium Optimum for Methionine Secretion for Each Organism**

Table 4 shows the composition of the medium for each organism's optimal methionine production as determined from the above (phase 1) experiments. All the organisms required 10 g glucose for maximum methionine secretion. With regard to nitrogen, all the isolates required 20 g of (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/litre, except for *Bacillus* sp. which required as little as 5 g of (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/litre. The organisms' requirement for phosphate varied widely: the two lactobacilli required 0.5 g KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and 1.5 g K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>/litre, *Leuconostoc* sp. and *Bacillus* sp. required 1.0 g KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and 3.0 g K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>/litre.

### **Optimal Incubation time for the Secretion of Methionine by Each Isolate**

The highest quantities of methionine were produced by the two lactobacilli, after growth for 96 hours: 3.48 and 3.30 g of methionine per litre, respectively. *Corynebacterium* sp., *Leuconostoc* sp. and *Bacillus* sp. secreted 2.76, (48 h) 2.48 (72 h) and 1.35 (72 h) methionine per litre, respectively.

## DISCUSSION

Five of the 46 bacteria isolated from cassava-fermentation related sites and appliances secreted methionine, namely *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Leuconostoc* sp., *Corynebacterium* sp. and *Bacillus* sp. For maximum methionine secretion the different organisms required media with different compositions of carbon, nitrogen and phosphate as well as different incubation periods; all organisms were shaken in 50 ml of medium in 250 ml conical flasks at 150 rev per minute at 30 °C. These conditions are summarized in Table 6. The three lactic acid bacteria (*Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Lactobacillus* sp. and *Leuconostoc* sp.) are well known as important agents in cassava fermentation [1, 2, 13, 14]. Although *Corynebacterium* sp. has been described in cassava fermentation, it is not thought to be important and its preponderance in the work of Collard and Levi, was probably due to the use of nutrient agar by the authors rather than media which contain sugar and would encourage lactic acid bacteria to grow [15, 16]. Published works on cassava fermentation for garri production have not included *Bacillus* sp. as important agents. The findings on *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Lactobacillus* sp. and *Leuconostoc* sp. are of importance in any possible effort to increase the methionine content of garri. In this study the maximum quantities of methionine were secreted after 96 hours and 72 hours respectively by the lactobacilli and *Leuconostoc* sp. In practice, cassava mash is fermented for between 18 and 96 hours, the shorter fermentation period being used in the eastern part of Nigeria, and the longer period in the western. These results are a guide to what might be expected with the organisms to be inoculated into cassava mash. Lactic acid bacteria are known to generally prefer micro-aerophilic conditions where only limited quantities of oxygen are available as is most likely to be the case in the environment of cassava mash. In contrast, the bacteria were grown under aerobic conditions; it is therefore likely that in cassava mash, where the oxygen availability will be limited, more methionine may be secreted within a shorter time.

## CONCLUSION

Methionine secreting bacteria are present in environments associated with garri production from cassava. This amino acid is lacking in cassava and garri produced from it. The work showed that sources exist for the isolation of methionine producers in future studies, aimed at improving garri by inoculating methionine producers into cassava mash fermenting for garri production.



**Table 1: Effect of sugar concentration on methionine secretion**

Organisms	Methionine Secreted (g methionine/litre of medium)									
	2g glucose/litre		4g glucose/litre		6 g glucose/litre		8 g glucose/litre		10g glucose/litre	
	24 h	48 h	24 h	48 h	24 h	48 h	24 h	48 h	24 h	48 h
<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>	0.10	0.25	0.40	0.80	0.82	1.00	1.20	1.30	1.20	<u><i>1.40</i></u> <sup>1</sup>
<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp.	0.10	0.55	0.60	0.30	0.60	1.10	0.70	1.22	1.25	<u><i>1.40</i></u>
<i>Leuconostoc</i> sp.	0.50	0.70	0.60	0.70	0.65	0.70	0.35	0.75	0.70	<u><i>0.80</i></u>
<i>Corynebacterium</i> sp.	0.15	0.20	0.41	0.51	0.55	0.58	0.65	0.80	0.85	<u><i>1.00</i></u>
<i>Bacillus</i> sp.	0.70	0.80	0.20	0.70	0.80	0.90	0.70	1.10	1.20	<u><i>1.20</i></u>

<sup>1</sup> Figures in italics and underlined indicate the highest secretion for the treatment

**Table 2: Effect of nitrogen concentration on methionine secretion**

Organisms	Methionine Secreted (g methionine/litre of medium)									
	5 g (NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> /litre of medium		10 g (NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> /litre of medium		20 g (NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> /litre of medium		30 g (NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> /litre of medium		40 g (NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> /litre of medium	
	24 h	48 h	24 h	48 h	24 h	48 h	24 h	48 h	24 h	48 h
<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>	0.15	0.22	0.30	0.50	0.30	<b><i><u>1.20</u></i></b> <sup>1</sup>	0.42	0.50	0.38	0.40
<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp.	0.30	0.82	0.30	0.85	0.45	<b><i><u>1.20</u></i></b>	0.30	0.40	0.15	0.20
<i>Leuconostoc</i> sp.	0.22	0.28	0.50	0.65	0.30	<b><i><u>0.70</u></i></b>	0.30	0.50	0.20	0.25
<i>Corynebacterium</i> sp.	0.30	0.40	0.50	0.70	0.60	<b><i><u>0.90</u></i></b>	0.05	0.10	0.05	0.05
<i>Bacillus</i> sp.	0.70	<b><i><u>0.80</u></i></b>	0.35	0.60	0.28	0.65	0.35	0.40	0.25	0.40

<sup>1</sup> Figures in italics and underlined indicate the highest secretion for the treatment



**Table 3: Effect of phosphate concentrations on methionine secretion**

Organisms	Methionine Secreted (g methionine/litre of medium)									
	A		B		C		D		E	
	24 h	48 h	24 h	48 h	24 h	48 h	24 h	48 h	24 h	48 h
<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>	0.60	<u><i>1.60</i></u> <sup>1</sup>	0.80	1.00	0.50	1.40	0.50	0.58	0.10	0.15
<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp.	0.60	<u><i>1.00</i></u>	0.60	0.70	0.40	0.60	0.62	0.65	0.30	0.80
<i>Leuconostoc</i> sp.	0.20	0.30	0.20	0.23	0.10	<u><i>1.41</i></u>	0.40	<b>0.60</b>	0.10	0.15
<i>Corynebacterium</i> sp.	0.80	1.00	0.98	<u><i>1.10</i></u>	0.60	1.20	0.95	1.00	0.02	0.05
<i>Bacillus</i> sp.	0.40	0.80	1.00	<b>1.22</b>	0.60	<u><i>1.60</i></u>	0.60	0.65	0.30	0.05

<sup>1</sup> Figures in italics and underlined indicate the highest secretion for the treatment

A = 0.5 KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 1.5K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>

B = 0.75KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 2.0K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>

C = 1.0KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 3.0K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>

D = 1.5KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 4.0K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>

E = 2.0KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 6.0K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>

**Table 4: Composition of the medium Giving Optimal Methionine secretion for each Organism**

<b>Organism</b>	<b>Glucose (g)</b>	<b>Nitrogen</b>	<b>Phosphate</b>
<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>	10	<b>20 g (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/litre</b>	A
<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp.	10	<b>20 g (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/litre</b>	A
<i>Leuconostoc</i> sp.	10	<b>20 g (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/litre</b>	C
<i>Corynebacterium</i> sp.	10	<b>20 g (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/litre</b>	B
<i>Bacillus</i> sp.	10	<b>5 g (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/litre</b>	C

A = 0.5 KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 1.5K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>

B = 0.75KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 2.0K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>

C = 1.0KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 3.0K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>

D = 1.5KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 4.0K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>

E = 2.0KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 6.0K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>

**Table 5: Effect of Length of Incubation Time on Methionine Secretion**

Organisms	Methionine secreted by the various organisms (g methionine/litre of medium)					
	24 h	48 h	72 h	96 h	120 h	144 h
<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>	1.60	2.04	3.00	<u><i>3.48</i></u> <sup>1</sup>	3.45	3.10
<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp.	1.45	1.90	2.89	<u><i>3.30</i></u>	3.22	2.98
<i>Leuconostoc</i> sp.	0.50	1.51	<u><i>2.76</i></u>	2.26	2.20	1.65
<i>Corynebacterium</i> sp.	1.5	<u><i>2.48</i></u>	2.45	2.30	2.20	2.07
<i>Bacillus</i> sp.	0.50	1.20	<u><i>1.35</i></u>	1.30	1.25	1.22

<sup>1</sup> Figures in italics and underlined indicate the highest secretion for the treatment

**Table 6: Quantity of Methionine Secreted by Each Organism when offered Optimum medium Components and Optimum Incubation Time**

Organisms	Medium Contents for Optimum Methionine Secretion <sup>1</sup>				Optimum Incubation Time (hr)	Methionine Secreted g/L
	Glucose	(NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> g / L	KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub>		
<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>	10	20	0.5	1.5	96	3.48
<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp.	10	20	0.5	1.5	96	3.30
<i>Leuconostoc</i> sp.	10	20	1.0	3.0	72	2.76
<i>Corynebacterium</i> sp.	10	20	0.75	2.0	48	2.48
<i>Bacillus</i> sp.	10	5	1.0	3.0	72	1.35

<sup>1</sup> Medium components were added to a basal medium with: 0.5 g of glucose and 5 g of yeast extract per litre

## REFERENCES

- 1 **Okafor N, Umeh C, Ibenegbu C, Obizoba I and M Nnam** Improvement of garri quality by the inoculation of microorganisms into cassava mash. *Int. J Fd Microbiol* 1998a; **40**: 43 - 49.
- 2 **Okafor N, Umeh C and C Ibenegbu** Amelioration of garri, a cassava based fermented food by the inoculation of microorganisms secreting Lysine. *Int. J Fd Microbiol* 1998b; **40**: 48 – 51.
- 3 **Oyewole OB** Characteristics and significance of yeasts' involvement in cassava fermentation for 'fufu' production *Int. J Fd Microbiol*, 2001; **65**: 213-218.
- 4 **Borton B** *Human Nutrition*. New York: McGraw-Hill. 1978.
- 5 **Gelenberg AJ** Tyrosine for the Treatment of Depression. *Am. J Psych* 1980; **137**: 622-3.
- 6 **Kelly TL, Neaga OR, Schwahn BC, Rozen R and JM Trasler** Infertility in 5,10-Methylenetetrahydrofolate Reductase (MTHFR)-Deficient Male Mice is Partially Alleviated by Lifetime Dietary Betaine Supplementation *Bio. Reprod* 2005; **72**: 667-677.
- 7 **Chay BP, Flor CF, Galvez T and GP William** Methionine Production by batch fermentation from various carbohydrates. *ASEAN Fd J* 1992; **7**: 34 – 37.
- 8 **Gomes J and D Kumar** Production of L-Methionine by Submerged Fermentation: A review, *Enz. Microbial Technol.*, In press: accepted Jan 2005.
- 9 **Okafor N** Micro-organisms associated with dead insect larvae in Nigeria, *Nature*, 1965; **208**: 1015-1016.
- 10 **Holt JG, Krieg NR, Sneath PHA Staley, JT and ST Williams** *Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology*. Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, Maryland 1994.
- 11 **Rosen HA** modified ninhydrin colorimetric analysis for amino acids. *Arch Biochem and Biophys* 1957; **67**:10-15.

- 12 **Odunfa S and EY Adewuyi** Optimization of process condition for the fermentation of African Locust bean (*Parkia biglobosa*), Effect of time, temperature and humidity. *Chem Microbiol Techn Leben*. 1985; **19**: 6-10.
- 13 **Abe MD and RC Lindsay** Evidence for a streptococcal role in Nigerian acidic cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) fermentations. *J. Fd Prot*. 1978; **41**: 781 -783.
- 14 **Amoa- Awua WKA, Appoh F and M Jakobsen** Lactic Acid Fermentation of cassava into agbelima. *Int. J Fd Microbiol* 1996; **31**: 87 – 98.
- 15 **Collar P and S Levi** A two-stage fermentation of cassava. *Nature*, 1959; **183**: 620-621.
- 16 **Okafor N** Microorganisms Associated with Cassava Fermentation for Garri Production. *J Appl.Bact* 1977; **42**: 279 – 284.