

## AN ASSESSMENT OF EXISTING COMMON TRADITIONAL METHODS OF WATER PURIFICATION

<sup>1</sup> Idika N. <sup>2</sup> Odugbemi, T., <sup>2</sup> Ogunsola F. T.

<sup>1</sup> Nigerian Institute of Medical Research P.M.B. 2013, Yaba, Lagos. <sup>2</sup> College of Medicine, University of Lagos, Idi-Araba Lagos.

**Classical water purification methods include boiling, filtration, irradiation and the use of chemicals while traditional water purification methods in use are boiling, filtration, sedimentation, long storage and solar radiation. Waterborne diseases are more common in the rural communities where potable water supply coverage is usually low. Therefore, this study was designed to assess and modify existing water purification methods in use in the rural communities so as to encourage their regular use.**

Water samples collected from various sources serving six rural communities in Agege, Epe and Ikorodu Local Government areas of Lagos State were purified using each of the traditional methods. Viable counts were carried out on each of the water samples before and after the purification process. Water samples contamination with known pathogens were also included in the test.

The boiling method was the most efficient giving 100% decontamination after three minutes of continuous boiling. The solar method gave varying degrees of decontamination of the water samples (42-100%) depending on the turbidity of the water and the type of container used for the test. The long storage method and the cloth filtration methods decontaminated the water by (0.6-4.2%) and 41% respectively.

The solar water purification method should be encouraged. Turbid water samples should be cloth filtered prior to exposure to the sun for maximum efficiency.

### INTRODUCTION

It is often said, "water has no enemy" This emphasizes the importance of water to living things. For men, access to potable water greatly affects disease burden. The focus of the water decade (1981 – 1990) activities in developing areas of the world was on changing the overall emphasis from capital intensive projects to low cost locally maintained alternative technologies (1). Therefore building on traditionally known and used water treatment practices is expected to have the potentials for reducing morbidity and mortality rate of waterborne diseases. Water treatment is purifying water to a level safe for drinking, free of all pathogens and toxic substances, having pleasant appearance and being tasteless and odourless (2). The presence of 10 or less coliforms in 100ml of water in unchlorinated water is usually disregarded (3). Classical purification methods in use are filtration, boiling, long storage, irradiation, the use of metals like silver and copper, use of oxidants such as the halogens and halogen compounds, ozone, hydrogen peroxide and potassium permanganate.

Traditional methods of water purification include cloth filtration, sedimentation and boiling. Coagulants of plant and soil origins have been used for water purification in developing countries in form of such fluvial clays earth from termite hills, seed of *Moringa olifera* (2), potash alum (trona) (4,5). Trona, a naturally occurring grey or yellowish white deposit used locally as tenderizer, oil-emulsifier, preservative and a food condiment that is alkaline (pH 9.0) and water soluble is made up of hydrated acid sodium carbonate  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3 \cdot \text{NaHCO}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . Trona has also been reported to contain potassium, chloride and sulphite ions (5).

Storage of water reduces the number of bacteria by 90% in five to ten days (6). Pioneering studies in Beirut reported that the near ultra-violet region (A)

of the sun in tropical and sub-tropical regions destroyed 99.9% of Coliforms in water contained in transparent plastic or glass bottles in 90 minutes provided the volume of the water was three litres or less (7,8,9). Pathogens such as *Salmonella typhi*, *Shigella spp*, and *Vibrio Cholerae* were reported to be more sensitive to the sun rays than coliforms (10,11). The minimum dosage of solar intensity recommended to inactivate vegetative bacteria is 0.44KWh/m<sup>2</sup>, (12) and in Nigeria an average solar intensity of 3.7KWh/m<sup>2</sup> per day in the semi-arid areas of the country.

The fruits of *Xylopea aethiopica* are sometimes put into jars of water to purify the water (13). The leaves of *Ocimum gatissium*, *Psidium guajava* (guava) and *Anacardium occidentale* (cashew) are used in the management of diarrhoea in the eastern part of Nigeria (14). *Terminalia avicennoides*, was reported to possess vibriocidal properties (15) and *Lennea welwischii* and *Phyllanthus discoideus* were reported to show anti-bacteria activities against the *Enterobacteraceae* (16). The need to purify water in our rural communities and other developing countries is of utmost importance in the reduction of morbidity and mortality due to waterborne diseases, this study was therefore designed to search for and validate simple, cheap and practicable methods of water purification using locally available materials.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Water samples:** water samples from wells, river, stream, and pond sources serving six rural communities in Lagos State which in an earlier study were found to be contaminated were coded S1-S10 a potable water sample coded SC served as control. All the samples were purified by each of the purification methods as described. Water samples were contaminated in the laboratory with  $1.5 \times 10^4$ /ml *E. coli* (ATCC 25922).

**Boiling:** one hundred millilitres of each water sample were heated to 100°C and 1ml each was withdrawn at the start of boiling, after 1,2,3,4 and 5 min-

\*Corresponding Author

utes. Viable counts of all the samples were performed as described by Miles and Misra (17) using nutrient agar, blood and Maconkey agar (Oxoid) and incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs.

**Filtration:** one hundred millilitres of each water sample were filtered through sterile white cotton material and viable counts performed on the filtrates.

**Long storage:** two and a half litres of each water sample were stored in sterile clay pots and plastic containers with fitted lids at room temperature. Viable counts were then performed on the water samples withdrawn from each container after 2, 5, 10, 15, 21 days of storage.

**Addition of local materials:** Plants parts used (bark, leaves, or seeds, table 1) were weighed, washed in distilled water, rinsed in methylated spirit and dried in the oven at 60°C for 30 minutes and then macerated in a clean sterile mortar. The plant parts were then put the water samples.

Samples to give a final concentration of 1% w/v and left for 4hrs before viable counts were performed. Viable count were repeated after 24hrs. For Trona (potash alum), various concentration, 0.05, 0.1, 0.25 and 1.0% and for aluminium sulphate (alum) 50mg/lit concentrations were tested likewise.

**Use of sunlight:** water samples S1 – S10 put in plastic and glass bottles, (1.5L) were kept in the sunlight for 4hrs. A duplicate set of bottles was left in a cupboard in the laboratory away from sunlight for the same period of time as controls. Viable counts were performed on all samples and repeated after 24hrs.

Large volume of water (8L) put in different wide shallow containers (enamel, aluminium and plastic), and covered with thin clean transparent polythene sheets, knotted firmly at the sides were also exposed to sunlight. Some known water pathogens like *Salmonella typhi*, *Shigella dysenteriae*, *Vibrios cholerae*, (local strains), *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922) were introduced into the water samples and the solar decontamination process repeated. On cloudy days, the containers were half filled and exposed to the low intensity of sunlight for solar decontamination. The containers were aerated by shaking them at intervals.

**Solar and cloth filtration:** samples S1 – S10 were passed through a clean white cloth for filtration. The filtrates were then put in the enamel container and purified by the solar decontamination method.

Botanical Name (Family)	Voucher Sample	Local Name	Plants part
<i>Lennea welwitschii</i> (Hievn) Engl. (Anacardiaceae)	LUTH 020	Orira (Y)	Bark
<i>Phyllanthia dixoideus</i> Mueli-Arg (Euphorbiaceae)	LUTH 2021	Ashasha(Y)	Bark
<i>Terminalia avicennoides</i> (Combretaceae)	LUTH 376	Idi (Y)	Bark
<i>Moringa oilefiera</i>	IDIKA 1	Ewe igbale (Y)	Seed
<i>Xylopea aethiopica</i> (Annonaceae)	IDIKA 2	Uda(I)	Fruit
<i>Momordica foetida</i>	IDIKA 3	Ejirin (Y)	Leaves
<i>Ocimum gratissimum</i>	IDIKA 4	Efirin (Y)	Leaves
<i>Ocimum gratissimum</i>		Nchanwu(I)	
<i>Parinari spp</i>	IDIKA 5	Abere (Y)	Leaves
<i>Agerantum conyzoide</i>	IDIKA 6	Imisu (Y)	Leaves
<i>Psidium guajava</i>	IDIKA 7	Guava (E)	Leaves & stem

**Table 1: Parts of Local herbs selected for testign water purification ability**

**KEY:** Y = Yoruba I = Igbo E = English

## RESULTS

**Boiling method:** A 100% decontamination of all the water samples tested was obtained after three minutes of boiling (Table 2).

**Solar decontamination method:** water samples S1 – S10 were decontaminated by 40-94% while laboratory water samples contaminated with known pathogens were decontaminated by 95.4-100% (Table and 3).

Method	Duration	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	S7	S8	S9	S10	SC
Boiling	At boiling	0	8	7	24	3	3	6	4	5	20	0
	1 min	0	3	4	10	3	0	2	0	1	10	0
	2 min	0	2	0	6	1	0	0	0	0	3	0
	3 min	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cloth filtration	2 days	97	272	280	480	364	386	196	280	308	288	0
	5 days	70	210	280	350	270	300	120	200	190	350	0
	10 days	50	150	180	320	200	280	100	180	170	300	0
	15 days	40	150	200	320	202	280	80	150	170	280	2
Long storage (clay pot)	21 days	80	170	200	350	200	350	60	150	200	200	8
	5 days	95	180	200	400	200	350	60	150	200	200	8
	10 days	82	210	230	380	300	320	120	300	200	380	0
	15 days	80	190	200	380	280	300	100	250	200	320	7
Long storage (Plastic can)	21 days	100	186	200	400	280	250	100	250	210	320	4
	5 days	150	180	200	450	250	250	120	250	200	400	2
	10 days	80	190	200	380	280	300	100	250	200	320	7
	15 days	100	186	200	400	280	250	100	250	210	320	4
Solar	4 hrs	6	10	60	300	200	30	15	10	12	12	0
	4hrs	220	220	50	70	100	50	50	120	20	50	0
	24hrs	200	200	40	50	50	60	50	100	20	40	0
	24hrs	200	200	40	50	50	60	50	100	20	40	0
0.5% Potash alum	4hrs	220	220	50	70	100	50	50	120	20	50	0
24hrs	200	200	40	50	50	60	50	100	20	40	0	
viable count prior to purification	100	280	290	500	500	380	400	500	350	300	500	0

TABLE 2  
Viable Counts cfu/ml of water

Comparison of the effects of the different purification methods employed on the water samples from the six communities in Lagos.

After filtration of S1-S10 with cotton cloth, 80-96% solar decontamination was obtained. Water samples in aluminium and enamel containers were decontaminated by 93-100% (Table 4) solar and air combination used by cloudy days gave 98.2-100% decontamination (Table 5) of the water pathogens.

Type of container	Viable counts cfu/ml of water			
	Day temp.	HEC	HST	HSD
1.5L Plastic Bottle	36°C	0(100)	0(100)	0(100)
1.5L glass jar	36°C	10(98)	8(98.4)	10(98)
1.5L Plastic Bottle	32°C	0(100)	4(99.2)	0(100)
1.5L glass jar	32°C	18(98)	20(96)	18(98)
1.5L Plastic Bottle	30°C	10(98)	10(98)	8(98.4)
1.5L glass jar	30°C	22(95)	12(97.6)	2(99.6)
viable counts of samples prior to purification		480	500	500

TABLE 4:  
Effect of four hours exposure of Laboratory contaminated water samples to sunlight

Key:  
HEC - Water contaminated with 150 x 10<sup>4</sup> E.coli  
HST - Water contaminated with 150 x 10<sup>4</sup> S. Typhi.  
HSD - Water contaminated with 150 x 10<sup>4</sup> S. dysenteriae  
( ) = % Bacterial Reduction.

Purification method	Duration	Viable counts cfu/ml of water				
		HEC	HST	HSD	HVI	HVO
Boiling	At boiling	0	95	4	2	2
	1 min	0	10	0	1	1
	2 min	0	3	0	0	0
	3 min	450	0	0	0	0
Cloth filtration	2 days	400	300	300	370	380
	5 days	150	300	300	350	350
	10 days	220	250	280	290	300
	15 days	250	250	280	250	280
Long storage in Plastic container	2 days	350	350	300	400	380
	5 days	200	300	280	370	320
	10 days	250	280	280	300	300
	15 days	250	250	300	300	300
Solar energy	4 hrs	25	10	15	0	0
	4 hrs	80	100	300	200	150
	24hrs	20	95	60	50	40
	24hrs	20	95	60	50	40
viable count prior to purification		470	510	612	500	508

TABLE 3  
The effect of the difference purification methods on water pathogens Introduced into sterilized water from a well.

Key:  
HEC - Water contaminated with E. coli (150 x 10<sup>4</sup>/ml)  
HSD - Water contaminated with Shigella dysenteriae (150 x 10<sup>4</sup>/ml)  
HST - Water contaminated with Salmonella typhi (150 x 10<sup>4</sup>/ml)  
HVO - Water contaminated with V. cholerae (Ogawa) (150 x 10<sup>4</sup>/ml)  
HVI - Water contaminated with V. cholerae (Inaba) (150 x 10<sup>4</sup>/ml)

**Addition of local plant and natural compounds:** Local plant parts and soil materials like limestone used in this study failed to exhibit anti-bacterial activity. Aluminium sulphate (alum) at 50mg/L, the concentration used in water treatment, did not destroy the bacteria in water. However iron at 0.5% w/v concentration was found to be inhibitory to the bacteria in the water samples by 50-80% and by 78.7-96% after 4 and 24hrs respectively (Table 2). Water pathogens showed 40-80% decontamination (Table 3).

	Viable counts cfu/ml of water				
Plastic Bottle	0(490)	100	25(490)	94.9	0.781
	0(500)	100	20(500)	96.0	0.775
	0(220)	100	17(220)	92.3	2.694
	2(400)	99.5	100(400)	75.0	3.076
Enamel Basin	0(490)	100	20(400)	95.8	0.781
	0(500)	100	14(500)	97.2	2.775
	0(220)	100	10(220)	95.5	2.694
	0(300)	100	40(400)	90.0	3.076

TABLE 5:  
The effect of the combination of Solar Irradiation and Oxygen (Aeration) on the Decontamination of Water.  
Values in bracket are viable counts of water samples prior to exposure to sunlight

Key:  
½ FC = Half filled container  
FC = Completely filled container  
1 = Irradiation

**Long storage method:** the water samples in clay pots and plastic containers showed in average bacterial reduction of 41% after 5 days of storage (Table 2). The counts remained constant or increase in some cases by the 21<sup>st</sup> day of storage.

**Cloth filtration method:** the bacterial count in the water samples tested was reduced by 0.6 –4.2% using this method (Table 2).

## DISCUSSION:

This study has shown boiling as the most efficient of the five methods tested. Though a very effective methods of destroying bacteria, viruses, spores, cercaria, amoeba cyst, worms and parasitic eggs (2) it alters the taste of water and consumes a large amount of fuel, and leads to deforestation where wood is used (2). The fumes can be injurious to health by causing damage to the lungs and eyes (2). It is pertinent to note that *S. typhi* and *S. dysenteriae* survived after 1 min and 2min of boiling respectively suggesting that water should be allowed to boil for at least five minutes for effective water purification. It is also expensive as a report from India stated that boiling drinking water required about 33% of the income of most of the inhabitants(18).

The efficiency of the solar purification method in this study agrees with the views of Odeyemi that peasants living in cholera endemic areas may achieve considerable reduction in the incidence, prevalence, morbidity and mortality of waterborne diseases by merely exposing their domestic water supplies to solar radiation for about 5 hours(19). In this study, the effect of the solar radiation on turbid water samples was very much lower than its effects on the laboratory contaminated water samples. This is probably due to the exerted attenuating effects on the transmission of the sun rays by the particles present in the water which tend to shield and protect the bacteria as was earlier explained by Odeyemi (20). This was confirmed by our finding where a combination of the cloth filtration and solar decontamination methods yielded better results than either method when used alone. This study also validated the solar and air combination for water purification on cloudy days.

The local herbs used in this study failed to exhibit anti-bacteria property. It is possible that their active ingredients are not water soluble. On the other hand, trona which decontaminated the water samples was found to increase the blood pressure of rats in separate study (21).

## CONCLUSION

Boiling and solar methods were found to be suitable for purifying domestic water in the rural areas. However solar method being simple, practicable and cheap is therefore recommended for use in the rural communities. The use of potash alum (trona) which is cheap and effective would require further studies on its subsequent toxicological effect *in vivo* using animal models such as rats. The other methods were not found suitable in this study.

## REFERENCES

1. Taylor S. Clean Water for all Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA) 1985. Hull. Quebec. Canada
2. Heber, G. simple methods for the treatment of drinking water. A publication of DT Zentrum for Tedin Eusommener Entwicklungs technologien – GATE in Dt. Gresfyr Techn Eusommener Biet (GTZ) 1985. GmbH/ Gabriele Heber, Brausher e.g. Wiesbaden: Vieweg.
3. Khan M.U. Alum Potash in water to prevent cholera. Lancet 1984 2: 1032 (Letter).
4. Khin New, O.O. Khin-Sann-Aung and Myat-Thida. Effectiveness of Potash Alum Decontaminating Household Water. Diarrhoea. Dis. Res, 1993. 11(3): 171-174.
5. Osagie, O.L. The preliminary investigation of Kanwa (trona) as a pharmaceutical Excipient B. Pharm. Dissertation 1989. University of Lagos, Nigeria.
6. Taylor, E.W. the examination of water and water supplies J.A. Churchill Ltd. 104 Gloucester Place, W.I. (Thresh, Beale and Suckling) 7<sup>th</sup> edition 1958.
7. Acra, A., Karahagopian, Y., Raffoul, Z. and Dajani R. Disinfection of Oral Rehydration Solutions by sunlight The Lancet 1980: 2: 1255-58.
8. UNICEF Solar Disinfection of Drinking water and Oral Rehydration Solution Aleph Beirut UNICEF 1984.
9. Alward, R., Ayoub J. and Brunet, E. Solar Water Disinfection 1994. Article number 225 Brace Research Institute Macdonald Campus of McGill University Ste. Anne de Bellevue Quebec, Canada.
10. Morley, D. Sunlight and Drinking Water. Lancet 1988. 17:86
11. Feachem, R.G. Muller C and Drasar, B. Environmental Aspects of Cholera Epidemiology 11. Occurrence and Survival of *V. cholerae* in the Environment Trop. Dis. Bull. 1981. 78(10): 865-880 (78 Ref):
12. Sobsey, M.D. Inactivation of Health Related Micro – Organisms in Water by Disinfection Processes. Water Sci. and Tech. 1989: 21: 179-196.
13. Dazliel, J.M. Useful Plants of West Africa, Tropical Africa. 1937. Crown Agents for the Colonies, London.
14. Asobie, FC. Igboeli, CC. And Okeibunor, JC. Indigenous perceptions of Childhood Diarrhoea in Enugu Implications for Diarrhoea Prevention. Nig. J. of Paed. 1994. 2 (suppl). 55-65.
15. Akinsinde, K.A. and Olukoya D.K. The vibrocidal activity of some local herbs J. Diarrh. Dis. Res. 1995 June 13(2) 127 – 129.
16. Olukoya, D.K. Idika, N and Odugbemi, T. Antibacterial Activity of some Medicinal Plants from Nigeria J. Ethnopharmacology, 1993, 39: 69-72.
17. Miles, A.A. Misra, S.S. and Irwinc, J.O. Estimation of Bactericidal Power of Hyg. Camb. 1938: 732-749.
18. Kristof N. Sanitation and water raging Third World. New York Times Service 1997.
19. Odeyemi O. Use of Solar radiation for water Disinfection Publication of INRESA Secretariat, 1986 Brace Research Institute, McGill University, Montreal, Canda.
20. Odeyemi, O. An Assessment of Solar Disinfection of Drinking Water in Nigeria Report M.R.P. No. 1C A86/ 120. 1984 The UN. University Tokyo Japan 34.
21. Idika, N; Odugbemi, T; Anigbogu, C; Adegunloye, BJ, Ojuminiji, F; Elesha, S.O. and Azinge, EC. Water purification properties, cardiovascular and metabolic effects of potash alum (trona). Nig. Qt. J. Hosp. Med. (in press).