

Afr. J. Biomed. Res. Vol. 27 (January 2024); 1-11

Systematic Review

# Effectiveness of Interprofessional Care on Hypertension in Lowand Medium-income Countries of Africa

# Adejare A.<sup>1,2</sup>, Useh U.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Lifestyle Diseases Research Entity, Faculty of Health Sciences, North-West University, Mafikeng, South Africa. <sup>2</sup>Cardiovascular Unit, Department of Physiology, College of Medicine, University of Lagos, Lagos, Nigeria.

### ABSTRACT

The burden of hypertension is becoming worrisome in a resource-constrained continent like Africa. There is a dearth of information on the extent of the present use of interprofessional care in the continent, its effectiveness and factors that could limit its use. This systematic review sought to describe the extent as well as the effectiveness of the use of interprofessional care in the management of hypertension across the African regions. A comprehensive literature search was conducted on Pubmed, Google Scholar and ScienceDirect. The search covered the period from 1993 to 2023 (30 years period). This review was done in line with the guidelines set out by Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement. A total of 35 articles were included in the review out of which the East African region had 10 (29%), Central African 3 (9%), North African 4 (11%), Southern African 7 (20%) and West Africa 11 (31%). The assessment of team cooperation, institutional or government support, right operational environment, free inter-professional communication, cost-effectiveness in patient management and easy access to care for the patients in the articles was generally affirmative to indicate effectiveness of interprofessional care. A few cases of interprofessional conflicts were also reported. Interprofessional care of hypertension is proven to be beneficial and effective in the management of hypertension in Africa but grossly under-reported. Its use may be threatened by lack of team cooperation, occurrence of conflicts between the health workers and inadequate support from government among other factors.

Keywords: African regions, effectiveness, hypertension, interprofessional care, review

\*Author for correspondence: Email: <u>53719999@mynwu.ac.za</u>; Tel: +27639724512

Received: January 2023; Accepted: May 2023

DOI: https://doi.org/10.4314/ajbr.v27i1.1

#### © 2024 The Author(s).

This article has been published under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0), which permits noncommercial unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the following statement is provided. "This article has been published in the African Journal of Biomedical Research"

#### INTRODUCTION

Sustained increase in blood pressure, also called hypertension has been widely reported as the most important independent risk factor for most non-communicable diseases like stroke, heart failure and chronic kidney disease (Alwan, 2011; Gafane-Matemane *et al.*, 2023). Most morbidities and mortalities due to these non-communicable diseases occur in Africa, a continent of more than 50 countries and about a billion people (Owolabi *et al.*, 2023). In Sub-Saharan Africa for example, it has been estimated that these diseases would contribute even more to the overall mortality in the region by 2030 (Mathers and Loncar, 2006; Atiim and Elliott, 2016). A recent report indicate that hypertension ranks highest among the contributors of disability-adjusted life-years in Africa (Mensah, 2013). Another report attributed this large morbidity to diet and recent lifestyle changes to suit what is obtainable in the developed or high-income countries (Miranda *et al.*, 2008).

While there are several approaches to reduce the everincreasing new cases of hypertension in Africa like targetedbased strategies, population-based methods, increase awareness and restriction of intake of processed foods (Carey *et al.*, 2018), one missing link is the role of interprofessional or collaborative care (IPC) in the management of hypertension. IPC according to WHO is the kind of care in which "multiple health workers from different professional backgrounds work together with patients, families, carers, and communities to deliver the highest quality of care" (WHO, 2010). A report by Omboni *et al.* (2019) showed that an interdisciplinary collaborative care is effective and efficient for improving control of hypertension and better management of patient's health. Another report by Isetts *et al.* (2016) highlighted how a physician-pharmacist collaborative model could significantly improve patient's health and the management of their cardiovascular disease particularly hypertension. This points to the fact that coordinated collaborative response between health workers is needed in the management of hypertension (Maher *et al.*, 2012). Interprofessional team models involving pharmacists, doctors, nurses, physiotherapists, psychologists and other health workers are not only feasible but would also give better management of the factors around hypertension care (Carter *et al.*, 2015). The world health organization (WHO) encourages initiatives that would encourage the delivery of effective and efficient health system and services (WHO, 2017).

In developed countries of the world, team management of patients with hypertension has been shown to improve access, coverage, quality and timeliness of health services and patient outcome (Pfaff *et al.*, 2014). Integrated care has also been shown to help decrease mortality, morbidity, and length of stay in the hospital (Liljas et al., 2019). IPC is a patient-centered care (D'Amour *et al.*, 2005) that gives all the team members the opportunity to work together and coordinate healthcare management activities that would improve the management of hypertension especially in Africa (Bridges *et al.*, 2011; D'Amour *et al.*, 2005).

It is important to note that the assessment of the effectiveness of a collaborative care usually involve the (a) relational factors, which concerns team relationship issues of power, hierarchy, leadership, roles and (b) processes, that focus on the systematic processes of collaboration such as time, space, routines, rituals, information and communication technology, and task shifting; (c) organizational factors, like institutional structures, management processes, supports or litigation postures; and the (d) contextual factors, that relate to sociocultural, socioeconomic, and political environment of the organization (Mischo-Kelling *et al.*, 2015; Reeves *et al.*,2014). For any team care that involve one or two of the health workers, these factors determine the kind of rapport they would have to give excellent patient-centered care especially in the management of hypertension.

While there are several reports indicating the effectiveness of IPC on hypertension in developed countries (Harris, *et al.*, 2016; Peduzzi *et al.*, 2015; Rice *et al.*, 2010; WHO, 2013); and have recommended the usefulness of the initiative in the delivery of primary (WHO, 2013) and integrated healthcare (Gaboury *et al.*, 2011), there is a dearth of report on the effectiveness of IPC in the management of hypertension in the low and medium income countries especially in Africa. This systematic review sought to describe the situation as well as evaluate the effectiveness of IPC in Africa with the hope to recommend or otherwise, the implementation of the method in the management of hypertension in Africa.

## METHODS

**Framework:** The widely used population, intervention, comparison and outcome (PICO) framework was incorporated in the search for relevant peer-reviewed articles used for this

systematic review. A comprehensive literature search was conducted on Pubmed, Google Scholar and ScienceDirect (Carroll *et al.*, 2013). The search covered the period from 1993 to 2023 (30 years period). This review was done in line with the guidelines set out by Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement (Moher *et al.*, 2009). While author AA carried out the comprehensive review, critical assessment of the reviewed articles was done by author UU. The discrepancies that occurred during the review and assessment processes were resolved by a second joint review and assessment by the authors.

Search criteria: Some carefully selected search terms were used to get these relevant articles. Some of these terms include "interprofessional care", OR "team care", OR "collaborative care", "multidisciplinary care", OR "integrative care", AND "high blood pressure", OR "sustained increase in blood pressure", OR "hypertension", AND "elderly population", OR "older people", AND "North Africa region", OR "Central African region", OR "West African region", OR "East African region", OR "Southern Africa region", OR "Sub-Sahara Africa", OR "African countries", OR "Low- and Middle-Income Countries". Others include "health workers", OR "physician-nurse", OR "community health workers", OR "physician-pharmacist", OR "pharmacist-nurse", OR "health workers", AND "effectiveness", OR "efficacy" OR "efficiency", OR "usefulness". We performed a forward and backwards search on Google Scholar to get additional relevant articles. This iterative approach helped to get some other published peer-reviewed articles which could not be gotten directly through the search engines.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria: At the very beginning of the online search for the articles, the criteria for inclusion and exclusions were clearly stated. This was strictly followed all through the course of the search. Some of the criteria are described in Table 1. Only full peer-reviewed articles published in English language between 1993 and 2023 (30 years period) were considered to get a broad and definite role or impact of IPC in the management of hypertension in Africa. Articles that defined hypertension as sustained increase in blood pressure above >140 mmHg for systolic and >90 mmHg for diastolic were also included. Studies that used both manual or automatic sphygmomanometer to measure blood pressure were considered and included in the study as well. Given the focus of this review on hypertension specifically, the effectiveness of the integrated care on related cardiovascular diseases were excluded. Articles on interprofessional care for communicable or infectious diseases were equally excluded. Studies that reported the effect of the collaborative care on hypertension outside of Africa were removed. Peer-reviewed articles in French, Arabic and other African languages were excluded. Other excluded studies include: studies done in animals, abstracts of articles, book of proceedings, short communications and letters to editors. With these inclusion and exclusion criteria, a total of 35 articles were included in the final review. Also, a very strict quality assessment of the selected articles was carried out using the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) Systematic Review Checklist

(CASP, 2018; Pace *et al.*, 2012). All included studies were found to be valid and of very good quality.

After separation of the articles along the regions where the study was carried out, an excel sheet was used to extract data on the first author and the year of publication, study design used by the researchers, country where the study was carried out, the team members and indicators of the relationship between the team as evaluated from a critical study and disaggregation of the methods, results, discussion and limitations in the articles



#### Figure 1:

PRISMA flow chart of the selection process

#### Table 1:

SN	Criteria	Population / Setting	<b>Regions of Africa</b>
1	Inclusion	Intervention	IPC or integrative care for
		focus	hypertension
		Type of	Full text articles, peer-
		study	reviewed articles
		design	
2	Exclusion	Nature of	IPC for communicable
		study	diseases
			IPC for study outside of Africa
			Studies in animals
			Abstracts
			Book of proceedings
			Short communications
			Systematic reviews and meta-
			analysis
			Letters to editors

#### RESULTS

**Outcome of the screening:** As illustrated in Figure 1, the schema of the selection process of the 35 articles used for this review are shown. Through the three databases used for this search, we identified 140 articles. However, through other sources like checking of the references used in the articles downloaded, we got additional 3 articles making a total of 143 articles. Out of this total number, a total of 41 articles were found to be duplicates. This category was removed. Another 22 articles were found to be studies done outside of Africa. This category was equally excluded. After a thorough screening of the articles, another 45 articles were removed due to reports being in other languages, or studies on other related cardiovascular diseases like heart failure, metabolic syndrome, chronic kidney diseases, left ventricular hypertrophy, diabetes mellitus and other conditions.

 Regional and country distribution of the articles: 10 articles

 (29%) of the 35 articles used for the review were from the East

 Afr. J. Biomed. Res. Vol. 27, No.1 (January) 2024

African region. One article is from Tanzania, one from Ethiopia, four from Kenya, three from Uganda and one jointly from Kenya and Uganda. Central Africa was represented by three studies. One study was from Rwanda while the other two were from Cameroun. This region has the least number of studies considered for this review. The next region represented in this review is the North African region. The region had two studies from Tunisia and an international collaborative study from Algeria, Morocco, and Tunisia. This region accounted for 11% of the total number. The Southern African region had most of the studies from South Africa and just one study from Eswatini. For the West African region, 11 studies (31%) out of the total number came from Nigeria and Ghana. One of the studies was an intercontinental study conducted in Nigeria and China. Figures 2 and 3 illustrate this country and regional representations respectively.

Assessment of individual publications and the interrelationship between the health care providers in the studies from the East African region: Altogether, there were 10 studies selected for review from the East African region. These were studies that reported the interactions between at least two health care professions



#### Table 2:

Assessment of individual publications and the interrelationship between the health care providers in the studies from the East African region

Author, year	Method of data collection	Team cooperation	Clarity on operation	Disagreement on who to manage hypertensive patients	Institutional/ government support	Operational environment	Leadership	Free communication	Cost- effectiveness	Easy acces to care
(Bovet <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	Population-based survey	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
(Hareri <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	Cross-sectional study	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
(Katende <i>et al.</i> , 2014)	Pilot study	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
(Khabala <i>et al.</i> , 2015)	Retrospective descriptive study	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
(Some <i>et al.</i> , 2016)	Descriptive, retrospective review of routinely collected clinic data	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
(Vedanthan <i>et al.</i> , 2016)	Informant interviews	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
(Pastakia <i>et al.</i> ,2013)	Feasibility study	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
(Heller <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2020)	Fidelity evaluation of care	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
(Green <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	Qualitative study	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
(Chamie <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	Multi-disease campaign offering lab testings	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

#### Table 3:

Assessment of individual publications and the interrelationship between the health care providers in the studies from the Central African region

Author, year	Method of data collection	Team cooperation	Clarity on operation	Disagreement on who to manage hypertensive patients	Institutional /government support	Organizational culture	Operational environment	Leade rship	Free communi cation	Cost- effective ness	Easy access to care
(Ngoga <i>et al.</i> , 2019)	Retrospective descriptive cohort study	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
(Labhardt <i>et al.</i> , 2010)	Feasibility study	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
(Kengne <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2009)	Population-based epidemiological survey	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

### Interprofessional care in hypertension

#### Table 4:

Assessment of individual publications and the interrelationship between the health care providers in the studies from the North African region

Author, year	Method of data collection	Team	Clarity	Disagreement on who	Institutional	Operational	Leadership	Free	Cost-	Easy
		cooperation	on	to manage	/government	environment		communication	effectiveness	access
			operation	hypertensive patients	support					to care
(Amor <i>et al.</i> , 2021)	Screening campaign	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
(Abid <i>et al.</i> , 2022)	National observational cross- sectional multicenter study	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
(Nejjari <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	International, multicenter, epidemiological, cross- sectional study	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
(Soubra and Elba, 2023)	Cross-sectional study	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

#### Table 5:

Assessment of individual publications and the interrelationship between the health care providers in the studies the Southern African region

Author, year	Method of data collection	Team cooperation	Clarity on operation	Disagreement on who to manage hypertensive patients	Institutional /government support	Operational environment	Leadership	Free communication	Cost- effectiveness	Easy access to care
(Coleman <i>et al.</i> , 1998)	Lab testing and measurements in the hospital	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
(Fairall <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2016)	Pragmatic Cluster Randomized Control Trial	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
(Morris- Paxton <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2018)	Community health screening	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Limited	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
(Rampamba <i>et al.</i> , 2019)	Operational research project with quasi- experimental design	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Limited	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sharp <i>et al.</i> , 2020	Observational study	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Limited	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
(Madela <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2020)	Cross-sectional	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
(Doede <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2017)	Interview, focus group	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

These interactions could be in the form of direct taking care of patients, lab testing, administration of questionnaires or referral to a physician for follow up. In this region, some of the methods of data collection used include population-based survey, cross-sectional survey, informant interviews and descriptive retrospective review of routinely collected clinical data. The team members were majorly clinicians, nurses and other health care workers. There was team cooperation, institutional or government support, right operational environment, free inter-professional communication, costeffectiveness in patient management and easy access to care for the patients in all the studies except the study by Green et al. (2020), where there appeared to be friction between the professionals on the clarity of their operations in the management of the hypertensive patients. There was also a report from one of the professionals that the approach may not be cost effective for the patients.

Assessment of individual publications and the interrelationship between the health care providers in the studies from the Central African region: Altogether, there were just 3 studies selected for review from the Central African region. The study design was retrospective descriptive cohort design in the study from Rwanda. The other two studies from Cameroun were feasibility study and population-based epidemiological study. Just like in the East African region, the team members were majorly clinicians, nurses and other health care workers. It is worthy of note that at one of the centers, the services of specially trained non-physician clinicians were reported. There was team cooperation, institutional or government support, right operational environment, free inter-professional communication, costeffectiveness in patient management and easy access to care for the patients in all the studies from this region.

Assessment of individual publications and the interrelationship between the health care providers in the studies from the North African region: There was an international multi-center epidemiological cross-sectional study involving Algeria, Morocco and Tunisia. The team members were primary care physicians and cardiologists. There were two other studies from Tunisia. One was just a screening campaign while the other was a national observational cross-sectional multi-center study that had cardiologists, nephrologists, endocrinologists and other specialist physicians as team members. Like the previous two regions, there was team cooperation, institutional or government support, right operational environment, free interprofessional communication, cost-effectiveness in patient management and easy access to care for the patients in all the studies from the region.

Assessment of individual publications and the interrelationship between the health care providers in the studies the Southern African region: The Southern African region had seven studies altogether selected for review. Out of this total number, only one study was from Eswatini. The other six studies were from different provinces of South Africa. In the Southern African region, some of the methods of data collection used include lab testing, randomized control trial, community health screening, operational research, observational study, interview and focus group discussions.

The team members were majorly clinicians, nurses, community health outreach workers, pharmacists, nutritionists and other health care professionals. There was equally team cooperation, institutional or government support, free interprofessional communication, cost-effectiveness in patient management and easy access to care for the patients in all the studies. Of note however is the limited operational environment that could hinder inter-professional collaboration as reported by Morris-Paxton et al. (2018), Rampamba et al. (2019) and Madela et al., (2020). There wasn't any reported case of disagreement on who to manage hypertensive patients in all the studies.

Assessment of individual publications and the interrelationship between the health care providers in the studies from the West African region: Altogether, there were eleven studies (31%) selected for review from the West African region. These were studies that reported the interactions between at least two health care professions as previously mentioned. Majority of these studies were from Nigeria. There was also an intercontinental study involving Nigeria and China. In this region, some of the methods of data collection used include non-randomized studies, community screening, exploratory uncontrolled pre-post intervention study, cluster randomized designs, cohort study, mixed method case study and cluster randomized trial. The team members as we have it in the other regions were majorly pharmacists, clinicians, nurses and other health care workers. There was team cooperation, institutional or government support, right operational environment, free inter-professional communication, cost-effectiveness in patient management and easy access to care for the patients in most of the studies. However, one of the studies by Ajisegiri et al. 54 reported obvious lack of team cooperation, lack of clarity on modus operandi in the health system structure, in adequate government support among other factors that could strain the relationship between the health workers thereby undermining interprofessional collaboration in the management of hypertension.

Common teams by country: Figure 4 illustrates the pooled percentage distribution of healthcare professionals in the countries. Of note is the dominance of only physicians in Tanzania, Tunisia and Algeria. In these countries, majority of health care professionals that manage patients with hypertension are clinicians only. In Uganda, Kenya, and South Africa, there is a balanced mix of all the healthcare professionals involved in the management of hypertensive patients. In Cameroun and Nigeria, there appeared to be a reduction in the number of the physicians managing hypertensive patients. In Tunisia, Rwanda, Egypt, Eswatini and Ghana, other non-medical health care professionals like counsellors, community health workers, psychologists were not engaged. This shows a clear uneven distribution of health care professionals involved in the management of hypertension in the continent. The pooled percentage at regional level as illustrated in Figure 5 shows a fair representation of all the healthcare professionals in all the regions except the North Africa region where the physicians dominate medical practice and appear to be fully in charge of patients with hypertension.



#### Other health workers

Figure 4: Percentage distribution of health care professionals in the countries



Figure 5:

Percentage distribution of health care professionals in the African regions

### DISCUSSION

In the African continent as a whole or the regions specifically, it appears the extent of the use of IPC in the management and care of hypertension is possibly grossly under-reported considering the eventual number of articles used for this review. Health care providers in the West African, Southern African and Eastern African regions appear to either collaborate more than their counterparts in the Northern African and Central African regions or possibly there are fewer reports from the latter. This systematic review is one of the first to make such inter-regional comparison of the situation in Africa. Another possibility however is for it not to be commonly practiced. The rivalry between physicians, pharmacists, nurses and other health professionals has been there from time immemorial (Jin *et al.*, 2014; Hashmi *et al.*, 2017).

The variables used in the assessment of the interaction between healthcare professionals in this review such as team cooperation, institutional or government support, right operational environment, free inter-professional communication, cost-effectiveness, are vital as they directly influence the outcome of such interactions between the health care workers (Supper *et al.*, 2015; van Dongen *et al.*, 2016). In this review, except in few studies, reports showed that most health workers in different regions of the continent believe team cooperation can actually improve delivery of patient care in the management of hypertension. Ansa *et al.* (2020) in line with our observation opined that attitudes and behaviors as indicated by mutual interprofessional cooperation could have significant influence on the level or extent of interaction between health care workers. This was also the view of El-Awaisi *et al.* (2021) and Hlongwa and Rispel (2021).

Interprofessional care aims to render quality costeffective patient-centered care, improved patient health, enhanced patient experience and improved work life of providers and staff (Wagner et al., 2017) in an obviously complex healthcare system associated with the African regions (Barker and Oandasan, 2005). This explains why it's often regarded as the gold-standard approach to manage hypertension (Galletta et al., 2016). This systematic review describes the current state of interprofessional collaboration in the management of hypertension in different regions of Africa. It showed that health care professionals harmoniously work together from the simple assessment of the level of team collaboration, team cooperation, institutional or government support, right operational environment, free inter-professional communication, cost-effectiveness in patient management and easy access to care for the patients. Earlier studies had shown that such collaborations lead to improvement in management outcomes of patients with hypertension (Hwang et al., 2017; Useh and Akindele, 2018). To corroborate this point of view, another study by Boykin et al. (2018) had earlier reported the ability of such interprofessional collaborations to significantly reduce hospital readmission and improvement in the quality of care rendered to hypertensive patients. There are also reports of expanded health care benefits. There was an advocacy for the inclusion of clinical pharmacists in clinical care teams in the United States due to the observed improvement in health outcomes (Chisolm-Burns et al., 2010; Doherty and Crowley, 2013). Several other reports had highlighted the ability of IPC especially between physicians, pharmacists and nurses to cause significant improvement in hypertension care (Albsoul-Younes et al., 2011; Margolis et al., 2013). These benefits explain why interprofessional care would not only increase job satisfaction of all team members but also reduce stress while significantly improving the quality of care to patients (Morgan et al., 2015; Fiscella and McDaniel, 2018).

The study by Ajisegiri et al. (2022) highlighted the possible lack of collaboration between the professionals is some quarters. This is evident from the obvious lack of team cooperation, occurrence of conflicts between the health workers, rivalry of who should manage hypertensive patients and inadequate support from government. All these are factors that cannot be ruled out in an African setting. While some of the health workers might not want to disclose this fact, it represents what transpires often between the healthcare professionals. In agreement with this assertion, previous studies had reported weak communication between the health care professionals, and this was attributed to hierarchical differences (Aveling et al., 2015; Wami et al., 2016). Most health workers believe interprofessional collaboration could be very useful in the management of hypertension but some of them expressed concern about obvious interprofessional conflicts, rivalry, leadership tussle, lack of clarity on who to rightly manage hypertensive patients. These conflicts may be due to different interests, expectations, goals, styles and experiences of the team members (Nakata and Im, 2010). It could also be due to arrogance (Jin *et al.*, 2014; Hashmi *et al.*, 2017), lack of adequate knowledge (Khan *et al.*, 2020a), bad government policies (Hashmi *et al.*, 2017) and inadequate training (Khan *et al.*, 2020b). To avoid these conflicts, it was recommended by Vestergaard and Nørgaard (2018) that health care professionals need to work together in an atmosphere of mutual respect and shared values. Two South African studies also indicated that interprofessional care is highly influenced by collaborative leadership style and practices (Mathole *et al.*, 2018; Hlongwa and Rispel, 2021).

The kind of subjective assessment of each study used for this review makes it difficult to decide conclusively that IPC is effective on hypertension in the African continent. It is therefore pertinent to urgently carry out a wholistic interregional multi-center cross-sectional assessment of the situation. Another major concern is the number of articles used for the review. It is often believed that the more the number of studies reviewed, the more the robustness of the review and its validity. Despite these limitations, this systematic review serves as an eye-opener as its findings can provide insights into the measures that could make interprofessional care of hypertension effective in Africa.

In conclusion, interprofessional care of hypertension is proven to be beneficial and effective in the management of hypertension in Africa. However, its use may be threatened by lack of team cooperation, lack of understanding of roles played by individual professions, occurrence of conflicts between the health workers, rivalry of who should manage hypertensive patients and inadequate support from government among several other factors. There would be an urgent need to carry out a more robust inter-regional multicenter studies to confirm some of the observations made in this review.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge and appreciate the administrative support from Mrs Mpho Merakeng and Mrs Tlotlo Monakwane of Lifestyle Disease Research Entity, Faculty of Health Sciences, North-West University, Mahikeng, South Africa.

#### REFERENCES

Abid, L., Hammani, R., Abdesselem, S., Boudiche, S., Hédi, B.S., Sayahi, K., Bahloul, A., Chamtouri, I., Charfeddine, S., Rais, L. and Drissa, M., (2022): Design and Rationale of the National Observational Multicentric Tunisian Registry of Hypertension: Protocol for Evaluating Hypertensive Patient Care in Clinical Practice. JMIR Research Protocols, 11(9), p.e21878.

Adams, O., Shengelia, B., Stilwell, B., Larizgoitia, I., Issakov, A., Kwankam, S.Y., & Siem T Jam, F. (2002). Provision of personal and non-personal health services: Proposal for monitoring (WHO/EIP/OSD/DP 02.25).

Adeyemo A, Tayo BO, Luke A, Ogedegbe O, Durazo-Arvizu R, Cooper RS. (2013). The Nigerian antihypertensive adherence trial: a community-based randomized trial. J Hypertens. Jan;31(1):201-7. doi: 10.1097/HJH.0b013e32835b0842. PMID: 23137954; PMCID: PMC3530610.

Adler, A.J., Laar, A., Prieto-Merino, D., Der, R.M., Mangortey, D., Dirks, R., Lamptey, P. and Perel, P., (2019): Can a nurse-led community-based model of hypertension care improve hypertension control in Ghana? Results from the ComHIP cohort study. *BMJ open*, *9*(4), p.e026799.

**Marfo, A.F. and Owusu-Daaku, F.T., (2016)**: Evaluation of a pharmacist-led hypertension preventative and detection service in the Ghanaian community pharmacy: an exploratory study. International Journal of Pharmacy Practice, 24(5), pp.341-348.

Ajisegiri, W.S., Abimbola, S., Tesema, A.G., Odusanya, O.O., Peiris, D. and Joshi, R., (2022): The organisation of primary health care service delivery for non-communicable diseases in Nigeria: a case-study analysis. PLOS Global Public Health, 2(7), p.e0000566.

Albsoul-Younes, A.M., Hammad, E.A., Yasein, N.A. and Tahaineh, L.M., (2011): Pharmacist-physician collaboration improves blood pressure control. Saudi medical journal, 32(3), pp.288-292.

Alwan, A., (2011): Global status report on noncommunicable diseases 2010. World Health Organization.

Haj Amor, S., Beaney, T., Saidi, O., Clarke, J., Poulter, N.R., Ben Alaya, N. and Ben Romdhane, H., (2021). May Measurement Month 2019: an analysis of blood pressure screening results from Tunisia. *European Heart Journal Supplements*, 23(Supplement\_B), pp.B144-B146.

Ansa, B.E., Zechariah, S., Gates, A.M., Johnson, S.W., Heboyan, V. and De Leo, G., (2020): September. Attitudes and behavior towards interprofessional collaboration among healthcare professionals in a large academic medical center. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 8, No. 3, p. 323). MDPI.

Atiim, G.A. and Elliott, S.J., (2016): The global epidemiologic transition: noncommunicable diseases and emerging health risk of allergic disease in sub-Saharan Africa. *Health Education & Behavior*, 43(1\_suppl), pp.37S-55S.

Aveling, E.L., Kayonga, Y., Nega, A. and Dixon-Woods, M., (2015): Why is patient safety so hard in low-income countries? A qualitative study of healthcare workers' views in two African hospitals. Globalization and health, 11(1), pp.1-8.

**Oparah, A.C., Adje, D.U. and Enato, E.F., 2006.** Outcomes of pharmaceutical care intervention to hypertensive patients in a Nigerian community pharmacy. International Journal of pharmacy practice, 14(2), pp.115-122.

**Barker, K.K. and Oandasan, I., 2005**. Interprofessional care review with medical residents: lessons learned, tensions aired–a pilot study. Journal of Interprofessional Care, 19(3), pp.207-214.

Bovet, P., Gervasoni, J.P., Mkamba, M., Balampama, M., Lengeler, C. and Paccaud, F., 2008. Low utilization of health care services following screening for hypertension in Dar es Salaam (Tanzania): a prospective population-based study. BMC public health, 8, pp.1-8.

**Boykin, A., Wright, D., Stevens, L., & Gardner, L. (2018).** Interprofessional care collaboration for patients with heart failure. American Journal of Health-System Pharmacists, 75(1), e45-e49.

Bridges, D.R., Davidson, R.A., Odegard, P.S., Maki, I.V., & Tomkowiak, J. (2011). Interprofessional collaboration: Three best practice models of interprofessional education. Medical Education Online, 16.

**Carey, R.M., Muntner, P., Bosworth, H.B. and Whelton, P.K., 2018.** Prevention and control of hypertension: JACC health promotion series. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 72(11), pp.1278-1293.

**Carroll, C., Booth, A., Leaviss, J. and Rick, J., 2013.** "Best fit" framework synthesis: refining the method. *BMC medical research methodology*, *13*(1), pp.1-16.

**Carter, B.L., Coffey, C.S., Ardery, G., Uribe, L., Ecklund, D., James, P., Egan, B., Weg, M.V., Chrischilles, E. and Vaughn, T., 2015.** Cluster-randomized trial of a physician/pharmacist collaborative model to improve blood pressure control. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 8(3), pp.235-243.

CASP, U., 2013. Critical Appraisal Skills Programme. CASP qualitative checklist, https://casp-uk.net/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/CASP-Qualitative-Checklist-2018.pdf (accessed 14 February 2020).

Chisholm-Burns, M.A., Lee, J.K., Spivey, C.A., Slack, M., Herrier, R.N., Hall-Lipsy, E., Zivin, J.G., Abraham, I., Palmer, J., Martin, J.R. and Kramer, S.S., 2010. US pharmacists' effect as team members on patient care: systematic review and meta-analyses. Medical care, pp.923-933.

**Coleman, R., Gill, G. and Wilkinson, D., 1998.** Noncommunicable disease management in resource-poor settings: a primary care model from rural South Africa. Bulletin of the World Health Organization, 76(6), p.633.

**D'amour, D., Ferrada-Videla, M., San Martin Rodriguez, L. and Beaulieu, M.D., 2005**. The conceptual basis for interprofessional collaboration: Core concepts and theoretical frameworks. Journal of interprofessional care, 19(sup1), pp.116-131.

Doede, A.L., Allen, T.E., Ja'Lynn, S.G., Herbst, A.G., Hlungwani, M.C., Ramakuela, N.J., Xie, A.X. and Campbell, C.L., 2017. Community health workers and the management of noncommunicable diseases among rural health clinics in Limpopo Province, South Africa: a pilot study. Family & community health, 40(4), pp.338-346.

**Doherty, R.B. and Crowley, R.A., 2013.** Principles supporting dynamic clinical care teams: an American College of Physicians position paper. Annals of internal medicine, 159(9), pp.620-626.

**El-Awaisi, A., Awaisu, A., Aboelbaha, S., Abedini, Z., Johnson, J. and Al-Abdulla, S.A., 2021.** Perspectives of healthcare professionals toward interprofessional collaboration in primary care settings in a Middle Eastern Country. Journal of multidisciplinary healthcare, pp.363-379.

Liljas, A. E. M., Brattström, F., Burström, B., Schön, P., & Agerholm, J. (2019). Impact of Integrated Care on Patient-Related Outcomes Among Older People - A Systematic Review. International journal of integrated care, 19(3), 6. https://doi.org/10.5334/ijic.4632

Fairall, L.R., Folb, N., Timmerman, V., Lombard, C., Steyn, K., Bachmann, M.O., Bateman, E.D., Lund, C., Cornick, R., Faris, G. and Gaziano, T., 2016. Educational outreach with an integrated clinical tool for nurse-led non-communicable chronic disease management in primary care in South Africa: a pragmatic cluster randomised controlled trial. *PLoS medicine*, *13*(11), p.e1002178.

Fiscella, K. and McDaniel, S.H., 2018. The complexity, diversity, and science of primary care teams. *American Psychologist*, 73(4), p.451.

**Gaboury, I., Lapierre, L.M., Boon, H., & Moher, D. (2011).** Interprofessional collaboration within integrative healthcare clinics through the lens of the relationship-centered care model. Journal of Interprofessional Care, 25: 124–130.

**Gafane-Matemane, L.F., Mokwatsi, G.G. and Boateng, D., 2023**. Hypertension management in sub-Saharan Africa: an overview of challenges and opportunities for telemedicine. *Conn Health Telemed*, 2(1), pp.9-22.

Galletta, M., Portoghese, I., Carta, M.G., D'Aloja, E. and Campagna, M., 2016. The effect of nurse-physician collaboration on job satisfaction, team commitment, and turnover intention in nurses. *Research in nursing & health*, 39(5), pp.375-385.

Green, A.S., Lynch, H.M., Nanyonga, R.C., Squires, A.P., Gadikota-Klumpers, D.D., Schwartz, J.I. and Heller, D.J., 2020. Assessing providers' approach to hypertension management at a large, private hospital in Kampala, Uganda. *Annals of global health*, 86(1).

Hareri, H.A., Abebe, M. and Asefaw, T., 2013. Assessments of adherence to hypertension managements and its influencing factors among hypertensive patients attending black lion hospital chronic follow up unit, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia-a cross-sectional study. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research*, 4(3), p.1086.

Harris, M.F., Advocat, J., Crabtree, B.F., Levesque, J.F., Miller, W.L., Gunn, J.M., Hogg, W., Scott, C.M., Chase, S.M., Halma, L. and Russell, G.M., 2016. Interprofessional teamwork innovations for primary health care practices and practitioners: evidence from a comparison of reform in three countries. Journal of multidisciplinary healthcare, pp.35-46.

Hashmi, F.K., Hassali, M.A., Khalid, A., Saleem, F., Aljadhey, H. and Bashaar, M., (2017): A qualitative study exploring perceptions and attitudes of community pharmacists about extended pharmacy services in Lahore, Pakistan. BMC health services research, 17(1), pp.1-9.

Heller, D.J., Balzer, L.B., Kazi, D., Charlebois, E.D., Kwarisiima, D., Mwangwa, F., Jain, V., Kotwani, P., Chamie, G., Cohen, C.R. and Clark, T.D., (2020): Hypertension testing and treatment in Uganda and Kenya through the SEARCH study: An implementation fidelity and outcome evaluation. *PloS one*, *15*(1), p.e0222801.

**Hlongwa, P. and Rispel, L.C., (2021):** Interprofessional collaboration among health professionals in cleft lip and palate treatment and care in the public health sector of South Africa. Human resources for health, 19(1), pp.1-9.

Hwang, A.Y., Gums, T.H., & Gums, J.G. (2017). The benefits of physician-pharmacist collaboration. The Journal of Family Practice, 66(12), E1-E8.

**Isetts, B.J., Buffington, D.E., Carter, B.L., Smith, M., Polgreen, L.A. and James, P.A., 2016.** Evaluation of pharmacists' work in a physician-pharmacist collaborative model for the management of hypertension. *Pharmacotherapy: The Journal of Human Pharmacology and Drug Therapy*, *36*(4), pp.374-384.

Jin, X., Azhar, S., Murtaza, G., Xue, F., Mumtaz, A., Niu, H., Taha, A. and Zhang, Y., 2014. Quantitative study evaluating perception of general public towards role of pharmacist in health care system of Pakistan. Age (mean±SD, 31.53±10.8), 15(126), pp.42-4. Katende, G., Groves, S. and Becker, K., 2014. Hypertension education intervention with Ugandan nurses working in hospital outpatient clinic: a pilot study. *Nursing research and practice*, 2014. Kengne, A.P., Sobngwi, E., Fezeu, L., Awah, P., Dongmo, S. and Mbanya, J.C., 2009. Setting-up nurse-led pilot clinics for the management of non-communicable diseases at primary health care level in resource-limited settings of Africa. *Pan African Medical Journal*, 3(1).

Khabala, K.B., Edwards, J.K., Baruani, B., Sirengo, M., Musembi, P., Kosgei, R.J., Walter, K., Kibachio, J.M., Tondoi, M., Ritter, H. and Wilkinson, E., 2015. Medication Adherence Clubs: a potential solution to managing large numbers of stable patients with multiple chronic diseases in informal settlements. *Tropical Medicine & International Health*, 20(10), pp.1265-1270.

Khan, N., McGarry, K., Naqvi, A.A. and Holden, K., 2020b. Doctors' perceptions, expectations and experience regarding the role of pharmacist in hospital settings of Pakistan. International journal of clinical pharmacy, pp.1-18.

Khan, N., McGarry, K., Naqvi, A.A., Iqbal, M.S. and Haider, Z., 2020a. Pharmacists' viewpoint towards their professional role in healthcare system: a survey of hospital settings of Pakistan. BMC health services research, 20(1), pp.1-15.

Labhardt, N.D., Balo, J.R., Ndam, M., Grimm, J.J. and Manga, E., 2010. Task shifting to non-physician clinicians for integrated management of hypertension and diabetes in rural Cameroon: a programme assessment at two years. *BMC health services research*, 10(1), pp.1-10.

James, S., Sewpaul, R., Reddy, P., Madela, S. and Madela, S., 2020. Early detection, care and control of hypertension and diabetes in South Africa: A community-based approach. *African Journal of Primary Health Care and Family Medicine*, *12*(1), pp.1-9.

Maher, D., Ford, N. and Unwin, N., 2012. Priorities for developing countries in the global response to non-communicable diseases. *Globalization and health*, 8(1), pp.1-8.

Margolis, K.L., Asche, S.E., Bergdall, A.R., Dehmer, S.P., Groen, S.E., Kadrmas, H.M., Kerby, T.J., Klotzle, K.J., Maciosek, M.V., Michels, R.D. and O'Connor, P.J., 2013. Effect of home blood pressure telemonitoring and pharmacist management on blood pressure control: a cluster randomized clinical trial. *Jama*, *310*(1), pp.46-56.

**Mathers, C.D. and Loncar, D., 2006.** Projections of global mortality and burden of disease from 2002 to 2030. *PLoS medicine*, *3*(11), p.e442.

Mathole, T., Lembani, M., Jackson, D., Zarowsky, C., Bijlmakers, L. and Sanders, D., 2018. Leadership and the functioning of maternal health services in two rural district hospitals in South Africa. *Health Policy and Planning*, *33*(suppl\_2), pp.ii5-ii15.

Mendis, S., Johnston, S.C., Fan, W., Oladapo, O., Cameron, A. and Faramawi, M.F., 2010. Cardiovascular risk management and its impact on hypertension control in primary care in low-resource settings: a cluster-randomized trial. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 88(6), pp.412-419.

Mensah, G.A., 2013. Descriptive epidemiology of cardiovascular risk factors and diabetes in sub-Saharan Africa. *Progress in cardiovascular diseases*, 56(3), pp.240-250.

Miranda, J.J., Kinra, S., Casas, J.P., Davey Smith, G. and Ebrahim, S., 2008. Non-communicable diseases in low-and middleincome countries: context, determinants and health policy. *Tropical Medicine & International Health*, *13*(10), pp.1225-1234.

Mischo-Kelling, M., Wieser, H., Cavada, L., Lochner, L., Vittadello, F., Fink, V., & Reeves, S. (2015). The state of interprofessional collaboration in Northern Italy: A mixed methods study. Journal of Interprofessional Care, 29(1), 79-81.

Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D.G. and PRISMA Group\*, 2009. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Annals of internal medicine*, *151*(4), pp.264-269.

Morgan, S., Pullon, S. and McKinlay, E., 2015. Observation of interprofessional collaborative practice in primary care teams: an integrative literature review. *International journal of nursing studies*, *52*(7), pp.1217-1230.

Morris-Paxton, A.A., Rheeder, P., Ewing, R.M.G. and Ewing, D., 2018. Detection, referral and control of diabetes and hypertension in the rural Eastern Cape Province of South Africa by community health outreach workers in the rural primary healthcare project: Health in Every Hut. *African Journal of Primary Health Care and Family Medicine*, *10*(1), pp.1-8.

Nakata, C. and Im, S., 2010. Spurring cross-functional integration for higher new product performance: A group effectiveness perspective. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 27(4), pp.554-571.

Nejjari, C., Arharbi, M., Chentir, M.T., Boujnah, R., Kemmou, O., Megdiche, H., Boulahrouf, F., Messoussi, K., Nazek, L. and Bulatov, V., 2013. Epidemiological Trial of Hypertension in North Africa (ETHNA): an international multicentre study in Algeria, Morocco and Tunisia. Journal of hypertension, 31(1), pp.49-62.

Ngoga, G., Park, P.H., Borg, R., Bukhman, G., Ali, E., Munyaneza, F., Tapela, N., Rusingiza, E., Edwards, J.K. and Hedt-Gauthier, B., 2019. Outcomes of decentralizing hypertension care from district hospitals to health centers in Rwanda, 2013– 2014. *Public health action*, 9(4), pp.142-147.

Ogedegbe, G., Plange-Rhule, J., Gyamfi, J., Chaplin, W., Ntim, M., Apusiga, K., Iwelunmor, J., Awudzi, K.Y., Quakyi, K.N., Mogaverro, J. and Khurshid, K., 2018. Health insurance coverage with or without a nurse-led task shifting strategy for hypertension control: A pragmatic cluster randomized trial in Ghana. *PLoS medicine*, *15*(5), p.e1002561.

**Omboni, S., Tenti, M., & Coronetti, C. (2019).** Physicianpharmacist collaborative practice and telehealth may transform hypertension management. *Journal of human hypertension*, *33*(3), 177–187.

Orji, I.A., Baldridge, A.S., Omitiran, K., Guo, M., Ajisegiri, W.S., Ojo, T.M., Shedul, G., Kandula, N.R., Hirschhorn, L.R.,

**Huffman, M.D. and Ojji, D.B., 2021.** Capacity and site readiness for hypertension control program implementation in the Federal Capital Territory of Nigeria: a cross-sectional study. *BMC health services research*, 21(1), pp.1-12.

**Owolabi, M., Olowoyo, P., Mocumbi, A., Ogah, O. S., Odili, A.** *et al.* (2023). African Control of Hypertension through Innovative Epidemiology and a Vibrant Ecosystem (ACHIEVE): novel strategies for accelerating hypertension control in Africa. *Journal of human hypertension*, 10.1038/s41371-023-00828-8.

Ozoemena, E.L., Iweama, C.N., Agbaje, O.S., Umoke, P.C., Ene, O.C., Ofili, P.C., Agu, B.N., Orisa, C.U., Agu, M. and Anthony, E., 2019. Effects of a health education intervention on hypertensionrelated knowledge, prevention and self-care practices in Nigerian retirees: a quasi-experimental study. *Archives of Public Health*, 77, pp.1-16.

Pace, R., Pluye, P., Bartlett, G., Macaulay, A.C., Salsberg, J., Jagosh, J. and Seller, R., 2012. Testing the reliability and efficiency of the pilot Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) for systematic mixed studies review. *International journal of nursing studies*, 49(1), pp.47-53.

Pastakia, S.D., Ali, S.M., Kamano, J.H., Akwanalo, C.O., Ndege, S.K., Buckwalter, V.L., Vedanthan, R. and Bloomfield, G.S., 2013. Screening for diabetes and hypertension in a rural low income setting in western Kenya utilizing home-based and community-based strategies. *Globalization and health*, *9*, pp.1-9.

**Peduzzi, M., Orchard, C., & Leonello, V.M. (2015).** Interprofessional education and collaborative practice in Primary Health Care. Revista da Escola de Enfermagem da USP, 49(2).

**Pfaff, K.A., Baxter, P.E., Jack, S.M., & Ploeg, J. (2014).** Exploring new graduate nurse confidence in interprofessional collaboration: A mixed methods study. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 51, 1142–1152.

**Rampamba, E.M., Meyer, J.C., Helberg, E.A. and Godman, B., 2019.** Empowering hypertensive patients in South Africa to improve their disease management: a pharmacist-led intervention. *Journal of research in pharmacy practice*, 8(4), p.208.

Reeves, S., McMillan, S.E., Kachan, N., Paradis, E., Leslie, M., & Kitto, S. (2014). Interprofessional collaboration and family member involvement in intensive care units: Emerging themes from a multisited ethnography. Journal of Interprofessional Care. Advanced online publication.

Rice, K., Zwarenatein, M., Conn, L.G., Kenaszchuk, C., Russell, A., & Reeves, S. (2010). An intervention to improve interprofessional collaboration and communications: A comparative qualitative study. Journal of Interprofessional Care, 24(4), 350-361.

Some, D., Edwards, J.K., Reid, T., Van den Bergh, R., Kosgei, R.J., Wilkinson, E., Baruani, B., Kizito, W., Khabala, K., Shah, S. and Kibachio, J., 2016. Task shifting the management of noncommunicable diseases to nurses in Kibera, Kenya: does it work?. *PloS one*, *11*(1), p.e0145634.

Soni, J.S., Oparah, A.C., Usifoh, S.F., Oseji, F.O., Aghohuwa, M.A. and Epelle, P., 2020. The Roles of Pharmacists in Optimizing

Care for Hypertensive Patients in Hospital and Community Pharmacies in Edo State, South-South Nigeria. *East and Central African Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 23(1), pp.28-35.

**Sorbor, G.F. and Dapar, M.P., 2020.** Impact of clinical pharmacistled structured medication review services on treatment outcomes on hypertensive patients: a pilot study. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Advanced Studies*, 7, p.7.

Soubra, L. and Elba, G., 2023. Pharmacist role in hypertension management in the community setting: questionnaire development, validation, and application. *Patient preference and adherence*, pp.351-367.

Supper, I.O.M.C.Y.L., Catala, O., Lustman, M., Chemla, C., Bourgueil, Y. and Letrilliart, L., 2015. Interprofessional collaboration in primary health care: a review of facilitators and barriers perceived by involved actors. *Journal of public health*, *37*(4), pp.716-727.

Useh, U. and Akindele, M.O., 2018. Interprofessional Care for Chronic Diseases of Lifestyle. *African Journal of Biomedical Research*, 21(2), pp.101-105.

Van Dongen, J.J.J., Lenzen, S.A., van Bokhoven, M.A., Daniëls, R., van der Weijden, T. and Beurskens, A., 2016. Interprofessional collaboration regarding patients' care plans in primary care: a focus group study into influential factors. *BMC family practice*, *17*, pp.1-10.

Vedanthan, R. and Tuikong, N., 2016. Ko er C, Blank E, Kamano JH, Naanyu V, Kimaiyo S, Inui TS, Horowitz CR, Fuster V: Barriers and Facilitators to Nurse Management of Hypertension: A Qualitative Analysis from Western Kenya. *Ethn Dis*, 26(3), pp.315-322.

**Vestergaard, E. and Nørgaard, B., 2018.** Interprofessional collaboration: An exploration of possible prerequisites for successful implementation. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, *32*(2), pp.185-195.

Wagner, E.H., Flinter, M., Hsu, C., Cromp, D., Austin, B.T., Etz, R., Crabtree, B.F. and Ladden, M.D., 2017. Effective team-based primary care: observations from innovative practices. *BMC Family Practice*, *18*(1), pp.1-9.

Wami, S.D., Demssie, A.F., Wassie, M.M. and Ahmed, A.N., 2016. Patient safety culture and associated factors: A quantitative and qualitative study of healthcare workers' view in Jimma zone Hospitals, Southwest Ethiopia. *BMC health services research*, *16*(1), pp.1-10.

World Health Organization (2017). The World Health Report 2000-Health systems: Improving performance. Retrieved from http://www.who.int/whr/2000/media\_centre/press\_release/en/.

**World Health Organization, 2010**. *Framework for action on interprofessional education and collaborative practice* (No. WHO/HRH/HPN/10.3). World Health Organization.

**World Health Organization. (2013).** Interprofessional collaborative practice in primary health care. Retrieved from www.who.int/hrh/resources/IPE\_SixCaseStudies.pd