

Full Length Research Paper

Screening of resistance genes to fusarium root rot and fusarium wilt diseases in F₃ family lines of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) using RAPD and CAPs markers

Bahattin Tanyolaç* and Cengiz Akkale

Department of Bioengineering, Ege University, 35100 Bornova, Izmir Turkey.

Accepted 25 January, 2010

Fusarium diseases constitute most of the loss in tomato production worldwide, because it spreads on all geographic fields that it is so hard to find a place without fusarium infestation. Thus, the best way to produce tomato is developing resistant cultivars against Fusarium species. In cultivar developing, molecular marker assisted techniques replaced traditional breeding techniques which are high cost and time consuming for breeders. In this study RAPD and CAPS markers were used to screen tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) lines against resistance genes *Frl* and *I-2*, respectively. Results showed that out of 115 plants, 42 were homozygous resistant, 38 were heterozygous resistant and 35 were homozygous susceptible. Under the light of this information, the forthcoming cultivar development studies will be carried out.

Key words: *Fusarium oxysporum*, marker assisted selection, tomato root rot, CAPs, RAPD.

INTRODUCTION

Cultivated tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) is one of the world's most important crops due to the high value of its fruits both for fresh market consumption and in numerous types of processed products (Giovanni et al., 2004). World volume of production has increased approximately 10% since 1985, reflecting a substantial increase in dietary use of the tomato. One of the main constraints to tomato cultivation is damage caused by pathogens, including viruses, bacteria, nematodes and fungi, which cause severe losses in production (Barone et al., 2007).

The soil-borne fungus *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* (FORL) causes fusarium crown and root rot of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.), often

referred to as 'crown rot' (Fazio et al., 1998). *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* inhabits most tomato-growing regions worldwide, causing tomato production yield losses (Staniasek et al., 2007). Today, it has an extensive presence in all continents (Menzies and Jarvis, 1994; Brayford, 1996). Crown rot develops primarily in cool climates in both field and greenhouse tomatoes. Substantial crop losses in infected fields have given the disease international attention. The host range of this pathogen comprises at least 36 other species (Menzies et al., 1990). The first symptom of fusarium wilt in gardens and fields is usually the golden yellowing of a single leaflet or shoot, or a slight wilting and drooping of the lower leaves on a single stem. Yellowed and wilted leaflets drop early. Affected plants turn to bright yellow, wilt, dry up, and usually die before maturity, producing few, if any, fruit.

The control of the pathogen spread mainly in three strategies: husbandry practices, application of agro-chemicals and use of resistant varieties (Barone and Frusciante, 2007). Resistant varieties are mostly produced by crossing resistant wild types and existing cultivars

*Corresponding author. E-mail: bahattin.tanyolac@ege.edu.tr.

Abbreviations: RAPD, Randomly amplified polymorphic DNA; CAPS, cleaved amplified polymorphic sequences; FORL, *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici*; dNTP, deoxynucleoside 5'-triphosphate; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; bp, nucleotide base pair.

developed for their properties like good taste, shape and color. A molecular marker linked to resistance would be useful for tomato improvement programmes (Staniaszek et al., 2007).

The virulence profile of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* isolates affecting tomatoes has been grouped into three races according to their ability to infect a set of differential cultivars carrying distinct resistance loci. Three fusarium wilt resistance loci have been genetically characterized in *Lycopersicon* species. The locus I, from *L. pimpinellifolium* (Just) Mill. 'PI 79532' (Bohn and Tucker, 1940) controls resistance to race 1. Isolates capable of infecting cultivars with the locus I were shortly identified (Alexander and Tucker, 1945) and a new disease resistance locus (I-2) was characterized in the accession 'PI 126915', which is a natural hybrid between *L. esculentum* and *L. pimpinellifolium* (Alexander and Hoover, 1955). A third race able to infect cultivars carrying both I and I-2 loci was reported first in Australia (Grattidge and O'Brien, 1982) and a new resistance locus (named I-3) was identified in the wild species *L. pennellii* (Corr.) D'Arcy. Races 1 and 2 are distributed throughout the world whereas race 3 has a more limited geographic distribution (Reis et al., 2005). Staniaszek et al. (2007) developed a marker, TAO1₉₀₂ to identify tomato genotypes possessing the I-2 gene, which confers resistance to *F. lycopersici* race 2. Fazio et al. (1998) found the marker UBC194, a 10-mer primer, to be closely linked to *Frl* gene, a single dominant gene (*Frl*) on chromosome 9 that confers resistance to fusarium crown and root rot (crown rot) incited by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici*.

In this study, tomato lines were screened for I-2 resistance gene by TAO1₉₀₂ CAPS marker, for *Frl* resistance gene by UBC 194 RAPD marker and those which found to be homozygous resistant were determined to be used as resistant lines.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

DNA extraction

Total genomic DNA of the 115 F₃ plants, derived from 10 F₂ populations, and were used for DNA extraction. Fresh young leaves of the lines were subjected to extraction, in 200 mg of fresh tissue, using the procedure described by Doyle and Doyle (1987).

PCR conditions

Extracted DNA was diluted as 5 ng per 1 µL. RAPD analysis was performed according to Fazio et al. (1998). The nucleotide sequence for UBC 194 primer is: 5'-AGGACGTGCC-3'. The marker was amplified in 15 µL reaction volume containing 0.8 mM dNTPs, 0.067 mM of primer, 2.6 mM MgSO₄, 100 mM KCl, 100 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 200 mM Tris HCl (pH 8.75), 1% Triton X-100, 1 mg/ml BSA and , 0.2 units of *Taq* polymerase with 40 ng template DNA.

The PCR parameters were: 94°C for 30 s followed by 36 cycles of 94°C for 25 s, 35°C for 45 s, 72°C for 60 s and a final extension time of 5 min at 72°C, using an MJ THERMAL CYCLER PTC-225

(Gradient).

The forward and reverse primers for TAO1 (Staniaszek et al., 2007) were:

f: 5'-GGGCTCCTAATCCGTGCTTCA-3'
r: 5'-GGTGGAGGATCGGGTTTGTTC-3'

The marker TAO1₉₀₂ was amplified in 15 µL reaction volume of 2 mM dNTPs, 0.67 mM of each primer, 2.67 mM MgSO₄, 100 mM KCl, 100 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 200 mM Tris HCl (pH 8.75), 1% Triton X-100, 1 mg/ml BSA and 0.2 units of *Taq* polymerase with 40 ng template DNA. The PCR parameters were: 94°C for 60 s followed by 40 cycles of 93°C for 15 s, 62°C for 20 s, 72°C for 60 s and a final extension time of 5 min at 72°C, using an MJ THERMAL CYCLER PTC-225 (Gradient). Two parallel amplifications were performed for each sample in order to determine the marker (901 kb) and restriction reaction.

PCR products were digested with 5 units of *FokI* restriction endonuclease (New England BioLabs Inc®) in a 20 µL reaction volume containing recommended buffer (NEBuffer 4) for 1 h at 37°C and an enzyme inactivation step was performed for 20 min at 70°C.

Gel electrophoresis and imaging

Amplification products were resolved using 2% agarose gel under 3 V/cm potential and 100 mA current in TAE buffer for 3 h. Gels were stained with ethidium bromide for 30 min and visualized under UV light using Kodak 2D Imaging System.

RESULTS

Amplification with RAPD primer

According to Fazio et al. (1998), amplification with UBC 194 primer gives a marker (590 bp) linked to *Frl* gene. In this study, none of the 115 samples revealed the 590 bp marker (Figure 1) so they were determined to be *Frl* negative.

Amplification with CAPS primers

In contrast to RAPD analysis, amplification with TAO1 primers revealed the 902 bp fragment for 80 out of 115 plants (Figure 2). A size of 902-bp-long fragment of the TAO1 marker was found to be polymorphic in resistant tomato lines (Staniaszek et al., 2007). This shows that these plants have the resistance gene (*I-2*). But, to understand better the genotypic structure whether they are homozygous or heterozygous, further analysis was carried out by digestion of the PCR products with *FokI* restriction endonuclease (Figure 3).

Restriction with *FokI*

Restriction fragments of 390 and 410 bp with *FokI* digestion of TAO1₉₀₂ revealed that in the homozygous-resistant parent lines were A241 and A238 (Staniaszek et al., 2007). After digestion with *FokI*, some of the samples




Figure 1. PCR products amplified with UBC 194 primer. None of the samples show the *Frl* linked marker 590 bp fragment.




Figure 2. PCR products resolved in 2% agarose gel of samples coded as 1 - 24. White arrows show the 902 bp pair product and black one is size marker of 1000 bp.




Figure 3. PCR products digested with *FokI* restriction endonuclease of samples coded as 1 - 24. White arrows show the restriction products of the amplified fragments indicating the homozygous resistant samples. S: Susceptible, HR: Heterozygous resistant, R: Homozygous resistant.

revealed 390 and 410 bp fragments as reported by Staniazsek et al. (2007). These fragments show that both alleles from parents related to the *I-2* gene are present in the sample, thus the lines were considered to be from a

homozygous resistant plant. Forty-two (42) plants showed these restriction fragments. Full list of the lines was given in Table 1 showing the positive and negative results.

DISCUSSION

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*, formerly *L. esculentum*) is one of the most widely grown vegetable crops in the world. It is used as a fresh vegetable and can also be processed and canned as a paste, juice sauce, powder or as a whole (Barone and Frusciante, 2007). One of the devastating disease, is fusarium wilt, caused by three races of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici*, is one of the most important diseases of tomato (*L. esculentum*). Races 1 and 2 are distributed worldwide, whereas race 3 has a more limited geographic distribution (Reis et al., 2005). *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* (FORL) causes fusarium crown and root rot of tomato often referred to as 'crown rot' (Fazio et al., 1998) which also gives a substantial damage to crops.

A phenotypic selection for *F. lycopersici* resistance is a complex and time-consuming process in tomato (Lindhout, 2002). DNA marker technology has been used in commercial plant breeding programmes since the early 1990s, and has proved helpful for the rapid and efficient transfer of useful agronomically important traits into desirable varieties and hybrids (Lindhout, 2002; Tanksley et al., 1989). Rapid death of the infected plants means that each F_2 tomato seedling is a unique genotype for any resistance bioassay. Identification of homozygote for the *I-2* gene is especially important when F_2 plants are to be used in further selection. Knowledge of the genetic state for *I-2* in F_2 individuals via testing of F_3 seedlings involves much more extensive disease screening (Staniaszek et al., 2007).

In this study, F_3 segregation populations were screened for *I-2* resistance gene by TAO1₉₀₂ CAPS marker and for *Frl* resistance gene by UBC 194 RAPD marker. These analyses revealed that none of the lines screened were resistant to the soil-borne fungus *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* (FORL) while most of them had the *I-2* gene which confers resistance to *F. lycopersici* race 2. We found that not all of the lines which had the resistance gene were homozygous. Even, there came out polymorphisms among the lines in F_3 population. In lines 2, 3 and 12, most of the plants were homozygous resistant while the remaining were homozygous susceptible. In lines 4 and 6, all the plants were homozygous susceptible. The line number 1, 5, 9 and 10 were heterozygous resistant. These information show that, susceptible or resistant, these lines have homogenous genotypes among the plants. But lines 7, 8 and 11 show a more mixed structure. In line 7 and 11, 6 of the plants are homozygous resistant and the remaining are susceptible. In the line 8, 4 of the samples are homozygous resistant, 2 heterozygous resistant and 2 susceptible.

According to Table 1, homozygous resistant lines which show a homogenous structure, can be selected and used in further studies to combine various resistance genes against different pathogens like nematodes,

viruses and bacteria in the same thus obtaining an optimum line for cultivating.

REFERENCES

- Alexander LJ, Hoover MM (1955). Disease resistance in wild species of tomato. Ohio Agric. Exp. Stn Res. Bull. p. 752.
- Alexander LJ, Tucker CM (1945). Physiological specialization in the tomato wilt fungus *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *Lycopersici*. J. Agric. Res. 70: 303-313.
- Barone A, Frusciante L (2007). Molecular marker-assisted selection for resistance to pathogens in tomato, Marker-Assisted Selection, Current status and future perspectives in crops, livestock, forestry and fish [Book]. pp. 153-164.
- Bohn GW, Tucker CM (1940). Studies on fusarium wilt of the tomato, I. Immunity in *Lycopersicon pimpinellifolium* Mill. and its inheritance in hybrids. Missouri Agric. Exp. Stn Res. Bull. p. 311.
- Brayford D (1996). *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici*. Mycopathology. 133: 61-63.
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL (1987). A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. Phytochem. Bull. 19: 11-15.
- Fazio G, Stevens MR, Scott JW (1998). Identification of RAPD markers linked to fusarium crown and root rot resistance (*Frl*) in tomato. Euphytica. 105: 205-210.
- Giovanni CD, Orco PD, Bruno A, Ciccarese F, Lotti C, Ricciardi L (2004). Identification of PCR-based markers (RAPD, AFLP) linked to a novel powdery mildew resistance gene (*ol-2*) in tomato. Plant Sci. 166: 41-48.
- Grattidge R, O'Brien RG (1982). Occurrence of a third race of fusarium wilt of tomatoes in Queensland. Plant Dis. 66: 165-166.
- Lindhout P (2002). The perspectives of polygenic resistance in breeding for durable disease resistance, Euphytica. 124: 217-226.
- Menzies JG, Jarvis WR (1994). The infestation of tomato seed by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici*. Plant Pathol. 43: 378-386.
- Menzies JG, Koch C, Seywerd F (1990). Additions to the host range of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici*. Plant Dis. 74: 569-572.
- Reis A, Costa H, Boiteux LS, Lopes CA (2005). First Report of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* Race 3 on Tomato in Brazil. Fitopatol. Bras. 30(4): 426-428.
- Staniaszek M, Kozik EU, Marczewski W (2007). A CAPS marker TAO1902 diagnostic for the *I-2* gene conferring resistance to *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* race 2 in tomato. Plant Breeding. 126(3): 331-333.
- Tanksley SD, Young ND, Paterson AH, Bonierbale MW (1989). RFLP mapping in plant breeding: new tools for an old science. Biotechnology. 7: 257-264.