

Full Length Research Paper

The effects of different levels of vitamin-E and organic selenium on performance and immune response of laying hens

Nemat Ziaei^{1*}, Nasroallah Moradi kor² and Ebrahim Esfandiar Pour³

¹Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Jiroft, Iran.

²Young Researchers and Elites Club, Kerman Branch, Islamic Azad University, Kerman, Iran.

³Department of Animal Science, Rezvan junior college of Agriculture, Kerman province, Kerman, Iran.

Accepted 5 June, 2013

The aim of this study was to determine the effects of different vitamin-E and organic selenium (selenomethionine) levels on performance and immune response of laying hens. A total of 270 laying hens (65-weeks old Lohman-LSL Lite) were assigned to nine experimental diets consisting of nine replicates (cage) and six hens per cage. A 3×3 factorial arrangement including three levels of vitamin E (0, 125 and 250 mg/kg diet from α -tocopherol acetate) and three levels selenium (0.0, 0.50 and 0.75 mg/kg diet from selenomethionine) was employed for six weeks trial period. The hens performance including hen-day egg production%, feed intake, egg mass (g/hen/day) and feed conversion ratio (FCR, g feed: g egg) were measured. Antibody production against sheep red blood cells (SRBC) also was measured. The general linear model procedure of SAS software was used for data analysis and differences among treatment means was determined using the Duncan's multiple-range test. The results show that the inclusion of vitamin E and selenium had a significant effect on production performance of laying hens ($P < 0.05$). In addition, vitamin E and selenium supplements improved immune response of laying hens and a more positive effect was observed when 0.75 mg/kg selenium and 250 mg/kg vitamin E was added to the diet. From the results of the present study, it could be concluded that utilization of organic selenium plus vitamin E in diets was effective for improving the performance and immune system of laying hens.

Key words: Vitamin-E, selenomethionine, laying hen, performance, immune system.

INTRODUCTION

Vitamins and minerals are vital nutrients that are involved in both metabolic and physiological processes, which are critical for human and animal health and animal feed production. It has been well-documented that in formulating feed, nutritionists have to take into account several factors including stress management and immunity enhancement (Linge, 2005; Moradi Kor et al., 2012). In

birds, free radical generation and lipid peroxidation are responsible for the development of various diseases as well as for a decrease in bird's productivity and product quality (Mcdowell, 2000; Surai and Dvorska, 2001). Vitamin E, a fat soluble vitamin, functions as a chain breaking antioxidant which prevents free radical induced oxidative damage by trapping reactive oxyradicals in

*Corresponding author. E-mail: Nemat.Ziaei@ujroft.ac.ir. Tel: +98-348-3260061.

biological membranes (Packer, 1991; Salman et al., 2007). Vitamin E is essential for growth performance, health and maintenance of tissue integrity in poultry. Vitamin E, a fat-soluble vitamin, is an intracellular antioxidant for all cells. It prevents oxidation of unsaturated lipids within cells and protects cell membrane from oxidative damages (Halliwell and Gutteridge, 1999).

Vitamin E is a metabolic nutrient that has received a lot of attention with respect to its importance to the immune response in poultry. However, poultry cannot synthesize vitamin E, therefore, vitamin E requirements must be given from dietary sources (Puthpongsiriporn et al., 2001). In a study done by Canan et al. (2007), egg production in laying hens in a heat stressed group and a non-heat stressed group both increased significantly with the supplementation of dietary vitamin E. Puthpongsiriporn et al. (2001) and Aljamal (2011) showed that supplementation of vitamin E significantly increased egg production in laying hens exposed to heat stress. Vitamin E functions in biological systems primarily as an agent that protects against free radicals (Kaneko, 1989). Apart from its protective effect on lipid peroxidation, the immunoregulatory effects of dietary vitamin E on humoral and cell-mediated immunity are well known (Gu et al., 1999).

Vitamin E improves the action of phagocytic cells, the responsiveness of antibodies to mitogens, and passive immune transfer (Tizzard, 1987). Selenium is an essential component of selenium dependent glutathione peroxidase enzymes, which are antioxidant enzymes that destroy free radicals produced during normal metabolic activity (Payne and Southern, 2005). Selenium has a profound impact on immune function, health and productivity and is associated with protein in animal tissues (Wang and Bao-Hua, 2008). On the other hand, Se deficiency is a global problem related to an increasing susceptibility of animals and humans to various diseases (Heindl et al., 2010). Generally, a deficiency of selenium and/or vitamin E has little effect on the magnitude of the total or the specific antibody responses of domestic species (Finch and Turner, 1996). Due to the fact that selenium is a trace element and add very little to ration, and in powder form not mixes well, in result may some animals use more that is poisoning and some other use lower that causing deficiency.

Selenium is an essential trace mineral in poultry for body development, maintenance of glutathione peroxidase activity (Whitacre et al., 1986) and avoidance of exudative diathesis (Noguchi et al., 1973). Selenium necessity for proper glutathione peroxidase enzyme function, which is an antioxidant enzyme destroying free radicals is produced during normal metabolic activity in the organism (Moradi Kor et al., 2012). Selenium is the only trace element that is necessary for the growth and efficiency of animals. It is an important essential mineral for the health of people and animals and one of the antioxidants, which improve the ability of the organism to protect itself. In addition, it protects some ingredients of

food, primarily lipids and vitamins, from undesirable oxidation. Along with vitamin E, it has a positive influence on the technological characteristics of meat thanks to its anti-oxidation properties.

Selenium plays an important role in the antioxidant defense system due to its requirement by the selenium dependent GSHPx, which is involved in cellular antioxidant protection. It has been suggested that there is a synergistic relationship between selenium and vitamin E, because GSHPx continues the work of vitamin E by detoxifying hydroperoxides. Recent understanding of antioxidant system functions and new discoveries regarding the GSHPx enzyme family are the basis for further development in the selenium nutrition of poultry (Surai, 2002). Selenium is a constituent of the cytosolic enzyme glutathione peroxidase and facilitates the action of vitamin E in reducing peroxy radicals. In chickens, absorption of vitamin E is impaired by severe selenium deficiency and selenium alleviates vitamin E deficiencies by permitting higher levels of vitamin E to be absorbed (Machlin, 1991). There are reports of beneficial effects from selenium supplementation on the weight gain of lymphoid organs (Kukreja and Khan, 1997).

Metabolic functions of selenium are similar to vitamin E. A well known synergy exists between selenium and vitamin E. Selenium and vitamin E, both act as the primer antioxidant by suppressing oxidative damages. Marsh et al. (1981) reported that body weights decreased in chicks fed with vitamin E and selenium deficient diets. Selenium deficiency, especially together with the low level of vitamin E in chickens, is responsible for the arising of diseases such as exudative diathesis (Noguchi et al., 1973) and pancreatic atrophy (Thompson and Scott, 1970). Devore et al. (1983) demonstrated that dietary supplementation of 0.25 ppm selenium substantially increased GSH-Px activity in breast and leg muscles. Lipid accumulation leads to oxidative stress which may contribute to peroxidation of LDL. These peroxidative fatty acids and reactive oxygen species induce hepatic damage. Some researchers have reported that antioxidant supplementation causes significant improvement in blood lipid parameters of humans (Jain et al., 1996; Kacmaz et al., 1997; Miller et al., 1997). However, another study has reported that there were no changes in plasma lipoprotein concentrations after antioxidant supplementation (Brown et al., 1994). Organic selenium had an advantage in reducing oxidative stress in birds (Mahmoud and Edens, 2003).

Organic selenium is an effective antioxidant and hypolipidemic agent in normal hamsters (Vinson et al., 1998). Several animal studies have also shown that vitamin E supplementation affects lipoprotein metabolism by reducing serum triacylglycerols (Oriani et al., 1997) and total cholesterol, and increasing HDL-cholesterol levels. Selenium and vitamin E are inter-related; hence complete protection of living cells requires both vitamin E and selenium in the diet. The present study was conducted to evaluate the effect of different levels of Vitamin-E (α -

Table 1. Amounts of vitamin E and selenium added to the diet (mg/ kg diet).

| Treatment | Selenium | Vitamin E |
|-----------|----------|-----------|
| S0E0 | 0 | 0 |
| S1E0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| S2E0 | 0.75 | 0 |
| S0E1 | 0 | 125 |
| S1E1 | 0.5 | 125 |
| S2E1 | 0.75 | 125 |
| S0E2 | 0 | 250 |
| S1E2 | 0.5 | 250 |
| S2E2 | 0.75 | 250 |

Table 2. Ingredients and chemical composition of the diets.

| Ingredient (%) | S0E0 | S1E0 | S2E0 | S0E1 | S1E1 | S2E1 | S0E2 | S1E2 | S2E2 |
|----------------------------|----------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| Vitamin E (mg/kg) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 250 | 250 | 250 |
| Selenium (mg/kg) | 0 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.75 |
| Corn | 61.73 | 61.73 | 61.73 | 61.73 | 61.53 | 61.49 | 61.38 | 61.27 | 61.04 |
| Soybean meal | 21.12 | 21.12 | 21.12 | 21.12 | 21.12 | 21.12 | 21.12 | 21.12 | 21.12 |
| Oil | 2.23 | 2.23 | 2.23 | 2.23 | 2.23 | 2.23 | 2.23 | 2.23 | 2.23 |
| Dicalcium phosphate | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| Oyster shell | 9.10 | 9.10 | 9.10 | 9.10 | 9.10 | 9.10 | 9.10 | 9.10 | 9.10 |
| Common salt | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Vit & Min premix | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| DL-Methionine | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| Sand | 3.97 | 3.91 | 3.47 | 3.97 | 3.00 | 2.26 | 2.63 | 2.63 | 2.63 |
| Calculated analysis | | | | | | | | | |
| Crude protein (%) | 13.27 | 13.27 | 13.27 | 13.27 | 13.27 | 13.27 | 13.27 | 13.27 | 13.27 |
| Ether extract (%) | 4.38 | 4.38 | 4.38 | 4.38 | 4.38 | 4.38 | 4.38 | 4.38 | 4.38 |
| Crud fiber (%) | 2.63 | 2.63 | 2.63 | 2.63 | 2.63 | 2.63 | 2.63 | 2.63 | 2.63 |
| Calcium (%) | 3.82 | 3.82 | 3.82 | 3.82 | 3.82 | 3.82 | 3.82 | 3.82 | 3.82 |
| Available phosphorus (%) | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 |
| ME (Kcal/kg) | 2752 | 2752 | 2752 | 2752 | 2752 | 2752 | 2752 | 2752 | 2752 |

tocopheryl acetate) and organic selenium (seleno-methionine) supplementation on performance and immune response of laying hens.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Location and experimental design

This study was conducted in the Rezvan junior college aviculture farm in Kerman province (latitude 25° 55'N, longitude 53° 26' E, altitude 1755 m) from April to June 2012. A total of 270 laying hens (65-weeks old Lohman-LSL Lite) were assigned to nine experimental diets with five replicates (cage) and nine hens per cage. A 3x3 factorial arrangement including three levels of vitamin E (0, 125, 250 mg/kg diet from α -tocopherol acetate) and three levels of selenium (0, 0.5 and 0.75 mg/kg diet from seleno-methionine, Javaneh Khorasan company, Iran) was employed for six weeks trial period (Table 1). Each replicate consisted of two adjoining cages with three hens per individual cage for a total of

three hens per replicate. Before the start of experiment, all hens were fed basal diet for two weeks and were similar in body size and production. Layers were fed experimental diets for 42 days. The laying hen received a diet with three concentrations of supplemental vitamin E and selenium in a corn-soyabean basal diet (Table 2). These levels of supplementation were selected based on the optimum recommendation level in some researches. The composition of basal diet is shown in Table 2. During the experiment, hens fed approximately 120 (g/day) and water was offered *ad-libitum*. The hens performance including hen-day egg production %, feed intake and egg mass (g/hen/day) was measured. Feed conversion (FCR, g feed: g egg) was also calculated as the ratio of gram of feed consumed per gram of egg weight produced. At the 4th week of the experiment, 5 hens were randomly selected from each group (1 from each replicate) and injected with 0.2 ml of 9% suspension of sheep erythrocytes (SRBC) in phosphate buffer saline. One week after SRBC injection, 3 mL blood was taken from selected hens using jugular venipuncture, and serum was separated and evaluated for antibody titer. Haemagglutination inhibition (HI) test was used for determining antibody titer sera (Salk, 1944).

Table 3. Effects of dietary Vitamin E and Selenium supplementation on egg production (EP) and egg mass (EM) of laying hens.

| Treatment | Trait | | | | | |
|-------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Egg production (%) | | | Egg mass (g egg/hen/day) | | |
| | 1-3 weeks | 4-6 weeks | Overall | 1-3 weeks | 4-6 weeks | Overall |
| S0E0 | 76.1±1.03 ^b | 77.2±1.32 ^c | 76.7±1.17 ^c | 50.3±0.89 | 50.5±1.22 ^b | 50.4±1.05 ^b |
| S1E0 | 75.0±1.23 ^b | 77.6±0.98 ^c | 76.3±1.10 ^c | 50.7±1.07 | 51.8±1.27 ^b | 51.2±1.17 ^b |
| S2E0 | 76.9±0.79 ^b | 77.9±0.75 ^c | 77.4±0.77 ^c | 50.6±1.22 | 51.8±1.09 ^b | 51.2±1.15 ^b |
| S0E1 | 78.4±1.25 ^b | 81.6±1.23 ^b | 80.0±1.24 ^b | 50.8±1.0 | 51.9±1.48 ^b | 51.3±1.28 ^b |
| S1E1 | 80.2±0.98 ^b | 84.2±1.62 ^b | 82.2±1.30 ^b | 52.4±1.0 | 53.4±0.97 ^b | 52.9±0.99 ^{ab} |
| S2E1 | 85.9±0.83 ^a | 85.4±0.93 ^b | 85.6±0.88 ^{ab} | 51.4±0.85 | 55.2±0.81 ^{ab} | 53.3±0.83 ^{ab} |
| S0E2 | 85.7±1.43 ^a | 88.3±1.22 ^{ab} | 87.0±1.32 ^a | 52.4±1.12 | 57.2±1.72 ^a | 54.8±1.42 ^{ab} |
| S1E2 | 87.5±1.68 ^a | 89.1±1.38 ^{ab} | 88.3±1.53 ^a | 53.4±1.58 | 58.6±1.38 ^a | 56.0±1.48 ^a |
| S2E2 | 87.6±1.05 ^a | 91.6±1.41 ^a | 89.6±1.23 ^a | 53.7±1.71 | 59.3±1.01 ^a | 56.5±1.36 ^a |
| SEM | 0.343 | 0.453 | 0.362 | 0.438 | 0.629 | 0.578 |
| Sources | | | P Values | | | |
| Vitamin E | 0.438 | 0.619 | 0.428 | 0.238 | 0.275 | 0.312 |
| Selenium | 0.381 | 0.738 | 0.624 | 0.384 | 0.281 | 0.352 |
| Interaction | 0.034 | 0.041 | 0.038 | 0.528 | 0.027 | 0.047 |

Statistical analysis

The general linear model procedure of SAS software was used for data analysis and differences among treatment means determined using the Duncan's multiple-range test. As all two-way interactions of the study were significant, analyses of simple main effects were performed using the LSMEANS/ SLICE feature in the PROC MIXED statement. Significant differences at each slice level were further analyzed using LSMEANS/ DIFF of the PROC MIXED procedure.

RESULTS

The effect of different levels of supplemental vitamin E and selenium on egg production and egg mass of laying hens during the entire six weeks period of the study are presented in Table 3. The results indicate that inclusion of vitamin E and selenium had a significant effect on egg production and egg mass ($P < 0.05$). Percentage of egg production was higher during the second three weeks compared to that in first three weeks. Egg production was higher in S2E2 treatment compared with other treatments. Egg mass (g egg/hen/day) was higher during the second three weeks compared to that in first three weeks. Egg mass was higher in S2E2 treatment compared with that of other treatments. Egg production and Egg mass increased with increasing the levels of vitamin E and selenium.

Values of the same column with no common superscripts are significantly different ($P < 0.05$). S0E0 0 mg/kg vitamin E and 0 mg/kg selenium, S1E0 0.5 mg/kg selenium and 0 mg/kg vitamin E, S2E0 0.75 mg/kg selenium and 0 mg/kg vitamin E, S0E1 0 mg/kg selenium and 125 mg/kg vitamin E, S1E1 0.5 mg/kg selenium and 125 mg/kg vitamin E, S2E1 0.75 mg/kg selenium and 125 mg/kg vitamin E, S0E2 0 mg/kg selenium and 250 mg/kg

vitamin E, S1E2 0.5 mg/kg selenium and 250 mg/kg vitamin E, S2E2 0.75 mg/kg selenium and 250 mg/kg vitamin E, SEM standard error of the mean.

The effects of different levels of supplemental vitamin E and selenium on feed intake and feed conversion ratio of laying hens during the entire six weeks period of the study is shown in Table 4. The results indicate that inclusion of vitamin E and selenium had a significant effect on feed conversion ratio ($P < 0.05$). Results from this table showed that inclusion of vitamin E and selenium had not a significant effect on feed intake ($P > 0.05$). Supplementation of diets with vitamin E and selenium decreased feed intake in second three weeks but this difference was not significant ($P > 0.05$, Table 4). Feed intake was lower in S2E2 treatment compared with other treatments of second 3 weeks. In addition supplementation of diets with vitamin E and selenium significantly decreased feed conversion ratio. Feed conversion ratio was lower in the second three weeks compared to that of the first 3 weeks. Feed conversion ratio decreased with increasing the levels of vitamin E and selenium.

The effects of different levels of supplemental vitamin E and selenium on egg weight and antibody titer against SRBC of laying hens during the entire six-weeks period of the study is shown in Table 5. Results from this table indicated that inclusion of vitamin E and selenium had a significant effect on egg weight and antibody titer against SRBC ($P < 0.05$). Egg weight was higher during the second 3 weeks when compared with egg weight in first 3 weeks. In addition egg weight was higher in S2E2 treatment compared with that of other treatments. Egg weight increased with increasing dietary vitamin E and selenium. The hens receiving the diet containing 0.75 mg/kg selenium and 250 mg/kg vitamin E had significantly higher titers of total, IgM and IgG antibodies than that of those

Table 4. Effects of dietary Vitamin E and Selenium supplementation on feed intake (FI) and Feed conversion ratio (FCR) of laying hens.

| Treatment | Trait | | | | | |
|-------------|------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | Feed intake (g Feed/hen/day) | | | Feed conversion ratio (FCR) | | |
| | 1-3 Weeks | 4-6 Weeks | Overall | 1-3 Weeks | 4-6 Weeks | Overall |
| S0E0 | 117.6±1.02 | 116.0±1.22 | 116.8±1.12 | 2.0±0.75 ^b | 2.3±1.22 ^b | 2.2±0.98 ^b |
| S1E0 | 117.2±1.61 | 116.1±0.85 | 116.7±1.23 | 2.2±0.81 ^b | 2.2±1.02 ^b | 2.2±0.91 ^b |
| S2E0 | 117.4±1.09 | 117.1±1.23 | 117.3±1.16 | 2.1±0.92 ^b | 2.2±0.91 ^b | 2.1±0.91 ^b |
| S0E1 | 118.4±1.08 | 117.4±1.06 | 117.9±1.07 | 2.4±1.08 ^b | 2.2±1.33 ^b | 2.3±1.20 ^b |
| S1E1 | 117.3±0.99 | 116.9±1.51 | 117.1±1.25 | 2.3±0.81 ^b | 2.2±0.79 ^b | 2.2±0.81 ^b |
| S2E1 | 119.5±1.23 | 115.4±0.84 | 117.5±1.03 | 2.1±1.24 ^b | 2.0±1.75 ^b | 2.1±1.49 ^b |
| S0E2 | 117.9±1.31 | 115.9±1.13 | 116.9±1.22 | 2.4±1.61 ^b | 1.2±1.40 ^a | 2.2±1.50 ^b |
| S1E2 | 118.1±1.55 | 114.2±1.27 | 116.1±1.41 | 2.1±1.17 ^b | 1.9±1.58 ^a | 2.0±1.37 ^b |
| S2E2 | 119.4±1.73 | 114.7±1.12 | 117.1±1.42 | 2.0±1.13 ^b | 1.9±1.12 ^a | 1.9±1.12 ^a |
| SEM | 0.489 | 0.365 | 0.255 | 0.189 | 0.082 | 0.055 |
| Sources | P Values | | | | | |
| Vitamin E | 0.547 | 0.684 | 0.328 | 0.234 | 0.429 | 0.462 |
| Selenium | 0.651 | 0.722 | 0.491 | 0.371 | 0.432 | 0.573 |
| Interaction | 0.763 | 0.692 | 0.624 | 0.569 | 0.039 | 0.047 |

Means (±SD) within a column showing different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05).

Table 5. Effects of dietary Vitamin E and Selenium supplementation on egg weight (EW) and antibody titer against SRBC of laying hens.

| Treatment | Trait | | | | | |
|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | Egg weight (g) | | | Antibody titer against SRBC | | |
| | 1-3 weeks | 4-6 weeks | Overall | IgM | IgG | Total |
| S0E0 | 59.3±1.06 ^b | 59.7±1.32 ^b | 59.5±1.19 ^b | 2.0±1.02 ^b | 2.1±1.42 ^c | 2.3±1.14 ^c |
| S1E0 | 60.3±1.36 ^b | 59.9±1.21 ^b | 60.1±1.28 ^b | 2.2±0.88 ^b | 2.3±1.07 ^c | 2.6±0.78 ^c |
| S2E0 | 60.1±0.99 ^b | 61.4±0.73 ^b | 60.8±0.86 ^b | 2.2±1.29 ^b | 3.1±0.89 ^c | 3.4±1.09 ^c |
| S0E1 | 59.2±1.48 ^b | 61.5±1.52 ^{bb} | 60.4±1.51 ^b | 2.4±1.48 ^b | 3.4±1.08 ^c | 3.5±1.28 ^c |
| S1E1 | 60.4±1.52 ^{ab} | 63.2±1.02 ^{ab} | 61.8±1.27 ^b | 2.5±0.81 ^b | 4.3±0.85 ^b | 5.0±0.83 ^b |
| S2E1 | 62.5±0.86 ^{ab} | 63.3±0.68 ^{ab} | 62.9±0.77 ^{ab} | 2.6±0.97 ^b | 4.5±1.52 ^b | 5.4±0.96 ^b |
| S0E2 | 63.4±1.40 ^a | 64.4±1.14 ^{ab} | 63.9±1.27 ^{ab} | 2.8±1.19 ^b | 5.3±1.34 ^a | 6.2±1.56 ^a |
| S1E2 | 64.3±1.38 ^a | 66.2±1.26 ^a | 65.3±1.32 ^a | 2.8±1.38 ^a | 5.4±1.52 ^a | 6.6±1.28 ^a |
| S2E2 | 64.4±1.12 ^a | 66.6±1.61 ^a | 65.5±1.36 ^a | 3.0±1.21 ^a | 5.7±1.39 ^a | 7.7±1.51 ^a |
| SEM | 0.238 | 0.312 | 0.245 | 0.069 | 0.183 | 0.285 |
| Sources | P Values | | | | | |
| Vitamin E | 0.637 | 0.356 | 0.468 | 0.352 | 0.447 | 0.653 |
| Selenium | 0.521 | 0.458 | 0.382 | 0.421 | 0.558 | 0.345 |
| Interaction | 0.046 | 0.028 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.038 | 0.019 |

Means (±SD) within a column showing different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05).

fed the other diets (P<0.05). The titer of total antibody, IgM and IgG significantly increased with increasing dietary vitamin E and selenium.

DISCUSSION

Results from the current study indicate that inclusion of vitamin E and selenium had a significant effect on production performance of laying hens (P<0.05). These

results are in agreement with the finding of Nasiroleslami and Torki (2011) who demonstrate that the diet supplementation by vitamin E have beneficial effect on performance of laying hens during the period of 71 to 81 week of age. Moradi Kor et al. (2012) demonstrated that under heat stress vitamin E and selenium supplementation decreased FCR in laying hens. Our results are in contrast with the finding of Osman et al. (2010) who reported that the dietary organic selenium supplementa-

tion did not have significant effect on egg production in laying hens. In addition, Puthongsiriporn et al. (2000) reported that under environmental stress, feed intake, egg production decreased in birds fed with vitamin E and C. Grobas et al. (1997) using two levels of Vitamin E (13 and 263 mg/kg) observed no difference in egg production, egg weight, feed conversion and shell thickness in ISA brown laying hens.

Mohiti Asli et al. (2007) reported that diet inclusion of vitamin E did not significantly affect on Egg weigh and FCR. Mohiti Asli et al. (2010) found that FCR and egg production were not significantly influenced by vitamin E and organic and inorganic selenium supplementation during heat stress. Scheideler and Froning (1996) supplemented layer (Babcock B-300) with 50 mg/kg Vitamin E in the diet and observed 2% improvement in egg production during peak production. The differences between previous reports and the present study may be partly related to differences in hens' age and environmental condition. The effect of dietary selenium and vitamin E and their different combination on body weight gain, food consumption, food conversion efficiency, leucocyte migration inhibition and antibody production was studied in broilers (Swain and Johri, 2000; Swain et al., 2000a; b). It was reported that the dietary supplementation of selenium significantly increased the egg production and hatchability and decreased the percentage of infertile eggs and early dead embryos. Selenium and vitamin E have been increasingly recognized as an essential element in biology and medicine.

Antibody production against SRBC in laying hens that fed high level of vitamin E and selenium supplementation was greater than other treatment ($p < 0.05$). Serological data from the present study showed the effectiveness of vitamin E and selenium supplementation on systemic immunity.

The results of this experiment was similar to the finding of Mohiti Asli et al. (2007) who indicated that vitamin E could stimulate a protective immune response sufficiently to enhance resistance to microbial pathogens. Dietary selenium and vitamin E stimulates immune response in poultry against bacterial and viral infections (Morandi et al., 1993), improve reproductive performance (Barreto et al., 1997) of broiler breeders as well as to increase economic returns (Ganpule and Manjunatha, 2003). Selenium and Vitamin E have been found to alter immunocompetence in various species.

The etiology of their stimulatory roles in the immune response is unknown; however, it may be related directly to their antioxidant properties (Ghazi Harsini et al., 2012). Spallholz et al. (1973) demonstrated that high dietary selenium enhanced serum immunoglobulin G (IgG) and immunoglobulin M (IgM) antibody titers in mice challenged with sheep red blood cells. Vitamin E has been implicated in stimulation of serum antibody synthesis, particularly IgG antibodies (Ghazi Harsini et al., 2012).

Conclusion

The present investigation suggested that the combination of vitamin E and selenium at a level of 250 and 0.75 mg/kg diet, respectively can improve production performance and immune responses of laying hens. Thus, supplementation of vitamin E and selenium at levels above recommended as nutritional requirements for improve humoral and cellular immunity. In addition, improving performance and immune responses of laying hens by vitamin E and selenium supplementation is relatively a novel result, so the antioxidative effect of vitamin E and selenium could be the subject of further investigations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to staff of aviculture laying hens for their useful and kind helps. Also we would like to have a special thank to the director of the Rezvan junior college for providing a friendly environment and research facilities.

REFERENCES

- Aljamal A (2011). The Effect of Vitamin E, Selenomethionine And Sodium Selenite Supplementation In Laying Hens. Thesis and Dissertations In Anim. Sci, University of Nebraska –Lincoln.
- Barreto S L T, Hossain SM, Mourao G B (1997). Effect of dietary vitamin E on productive and reproductive performance of broiler breeders. *Arquivo-Brasileiro-de-Medicina-Veterinaria-e-Zootecnia* 49: 453–463.
- Brown KM, Morrice PC, Duthie GG (1994). Vitamin E supplementation suppresses indices of lipid peroxidation and platelet counts in blood of smokers and nonsmokers but plasma lipoprotein concentrations remain unchanged. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 60: 383-387.
- Canan SB, Erhan MK, Keles MS, Kocyigit R (2007). Effect of dietary vitamin E on performance, plasma and egg yolk vitamin E levels and lipid oxidation of egg in heat stressed layers. *J. Appl. Biol. Sci.* 1(Suppl. 3): 19-23.
- DevoreVR, Colnago GL, Jensen LS, Grene BE (1983). Thiobarbiturik asit values and glutathione peroxidase activity in meat from chickens fed a selenium-supplementd diet. *J. Food Sci.* 48:300-302.
- Finch JM, Turner RJ, (1996). Effects of selenium and vitamin E on the immune responses of domestic animals. *Res. Vet. Sci.* 60: 97-106.
- Ganpule S P, Manjunatha BP (2003). Antioxidant nutrition starts with breeders. *Feed-Mix* 11: 14–16.
- Ghazi Harsini SH, Habibiyan M, Moeini MM, Abdolmohammadi AR (2012). Effects of dietary selenium, vitamin E, and their combination on growth, serum metabolites, and antioxidant defense system in skeletal muscle of broilers under heat stress. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* 148:322–330.
- Grobas S, Mendez J, de Blas C, Matoes GG (1997). Influence of dietary vitamins E and A on performance, egg quality and / -tocopherol content of yolks. *Poult. Sci.* 76 (Suppl. 1): 371 (abstr.).
- Gu JY, Wakizono Y, Sunada Y, Hung P, Nonaka M, Sugano M, Yamada K (1999). Dietary effect of tocopherols and tocotrienols on the immune function of spleen and mesenteric lymph node lymphocytes in Brown Norway rats. *Biosci., Biotechnol. Biochem.* 63: 1697–1702.
- Halliwell B, Gutteridge JMC (1999). *Free Radicals in Biology and Medicine*. Third edition, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Heindl J, Ledvinka Z Tůmová E, Zita L (2010). The importance, utilization and sources of selenium for poultry. *Scientia. Agric. Bohem.* 41(1): 55–64.
- Jain SK, McVie R, Jaramillo JJ, Palmer M, Smith T, Meachum ZD, Little

- RL (1996). The effect of modest vitamin E supplementation on lipid peroxidation products and other cardiovascular risk factors in diabetic patients. *Lipids*. 31: 87-90.
- Kacmaz M, Ozturk HS, Cete S, Kavutcu M, Durak I (1997). The effects of smoking on antioxidant defence system and membrane free fatty acid content of erythrocytes and plasma lipid parameters: protective role of antioxidant vitamins. *Nutr. Res.* 17: 931-940.
- Kaneko JJ (1989). *Clinical Biochemistry of Domestic Animals*, (Academic Press, New York): 806-811.
- Kukreja R, Khan A (1997). Effect of experimental selenium deficiency and its supplementation on lymphoid organs. *Indian J. Exp. Biol.* 35: 952-956.
- Linge P (2005). The use of probiotic and yeast derivatives in India. *World Poult. Sci.* 21:12-15.
- Machlin LJ (1991). Vitamin E. In: LJ Machlin (ed.), *Handbook of Vitamins*, (Marcel Dekker, New York): 99-145.
- Mahmoud KZ, Edens FW (2003). Influence of selenium sources on age-related and mild heat stress-related changes of blood and liver glutathion redox cycle in broiler chickens (*Gallus domesticus*). *Comparative Bioch. Physiol. Part B.* 136: 921-934.
- Marsh JA, Dietert RR, Combs GF (1981). Influence of dietary selenium and Vitamin E on the humoral immune response of the chick. *Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine.* 66: 228.
- McDowell LR (2000). Reevaluation of the metabolic essentiality of the vitamins. *Asi-Aus. J. Anim. Sci.* 13: 115-125.
- Miller ER, Appel LJ, Levander OA, Levine DM (1997). The effect of antioxidant vitamin supplementation on traditional cardiovascular risk factors. *J. Cardiovasc Risk.* 4: 19-24.
- Mohiti Asli M, Hosseini SA, Lotfollahian H, Shariatmadari F (2007). Effect of probiotics, yeast, vitamin E and vitamin C supplements on performance and immune response of laying hen during high environmental temperature. *Inter. J. Poult. Sci.* 6 (12): 895-900.
- Mohiti Asli M, Shariatmadari F, Lotfollahian H (2010). The influence of dietary vitamin E and selenium on egg production parameters, serum and yolk cholesterol and antibody response of laying hen exposed to high environmental temperature. *Ar. Gef.* 74 (1): 43-50.
- Moradi Kor N, Ziaei N, Sadeghi S, Akbari M (2012). Influence of dietary sodium selenite and vitamin E (E-Selenovit) supplementation on performance and immune response of laying during high environmental temperature. *Anna. Bio. Res.* 3 (2):842-849.
- Morandi C, Cavinato U, Vanini G (1993). Immune response in poultry and vitamin E. *Rivista-di-Avicoltura* 92: 29-31.
- Nasiroleslami M, Toriki M (2011). Egg quality characteristics and productive performance of laying hens fed diets supplemented by *Echinacea purpurea* Extract, Immunofin and Vitamin E. *Glob Vet.* 7 (3): 270-275.
- Noguchi T, Cantor AH, Scott ML (1973). Mode of action of selenium and Vitamin E in prevention of exudative diathesis in chicks. *J. Nutr.* 103:1502-1511.
- Oriani G, Salvatori G, Maiorano G, Belisario MA, Pastinese A, Manchisi A, Pizzuti G (1997). Vitamin E nutrition status and serum lipid pattern in normal rabbits. *J. Anim. Sci.* 75: 402-408.
- Osman AMR, AbdelWahed HM, Ragab MS, (2010). Effects of supplementing laying hens diets with organic selenium on egg production, egg quality, fertility and hatchability. *Egypt Poult. Sci.* 30: 893-915.
- Packer L (1991). Protective role of vitamin E in biological system. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 53:1050-1055.
- Payne RL, Southern LL (2005). Comparison of inorganic and organic selenium sources for broilers. *Poult. Sci.* 84: 898-902.
- Puthongsiriporn U, Scheideler SE, Shell JL, Beck MM (2001). Effect Of Vitamin E And C Supplementation On Performance, In Vitro Lymphocyte Proliferation, And Antioxidant Status Of Laying Hens During Heat Stress. *Poult. Sci.* 80: 1190-1200.
- Salk JE (1944). A simplified procedure for titrating haemagglutinating capacity of influenza virus and corresponding antibody. *J. Imun.* 49: 87-98.
- Salman M, Selçuk Z, ÖHakan M (2007). Effect of vitamin E and selenium on performance, plasma and tissue Gsh-Px activity in broilers. *J.I.V.S.* 3:25-34.
- Scheideler SE, Froning W (1996). The combined influence of dietary flaxseed variety, level, form, and storage conditions on egg production and composition among Vitamin E-supplemented hens. *Poult. Sci.* 75: 1221-1226.
- Spallholz JE, Martin JL, Gerlach ML, Heinzerling RH (1973). Enhanced IgM and IgG titers in mice fed selenium. *Infect. Immun.* 8:841-849.
- Surai PF, Dvorska EJ (2001). Dietary organic selenium and eggs: From improvements in egg quality to production of functional food. *Proce of IX European symposium on the quality egg and egg products*, Sep. 9-12, Kusadasi, Turkey.
- Surai PF (2002). *Selenium. Natural Antioxidants in Avian Nutrition and Reproduction*, Nottingham, Nottingham University Press, UK.
- Swain BK, Johri TS (2000). Effect of supplementation of different combinations of different levels of selenium and vitamin E on relative weight of some organs and serum enzyme level in broilers. *Indian J. Poult. Sci.* 35: 66-69.
- Swain B K, Johri TS, Majumdar S (2000b). Effect of supplementation of vitamin E, selenium and their different combinations on the performance and immune response of broilers. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 41: 287-292.
- Swain BK, Johri TS, Swain P, Shrivastav AK (2000c). Effect of supplementation of different combinations of some selected nutrients on the performance and immune response of broilers. *Indian J. Poult. Sci.* 35: 247-251.
- Thompson JN, Scott ML (1970). Dmpaired lipid and Vitamin E absorbtion related to atrophy of the pancreas in selenium - deficient the chicks. *J. Nutr.* 100:797-809.
- Tizzard I (1987). Disturbances in Immune Function, Deficiencies and Tumour. In: *An Introduction to Veterinary Immunology*, (W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia). 470-478.
- Vinson JA, Stella JM, Flanagan TJ (1998). Selenium Yeast is an effective in vitro and in vivo antioxidant and hypolipemic agent in normal hamsters. *Nutr. Res.* 18: 735-742.
- Wang Y, XU BH (2008). Effect of different selenium source (sodium selenite and selenium yeast) on broiler chickens. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 44:306-314.
- Whitacre ME, Combs GF, Combs SB, Parker RS (1986). Influence of dietary Vitamin E on nutritional pancreatic atrophy in selenium-deficient chicks. *J. Nutr.* 117:460-467.