

*Full Length Research Paper*

# The effect of guar meal (germ fraction) and $\beta$ -mannanase enzyme on growth performance and plasma lipids in broiler chickens

Mohayayee, Mohammad<sup>1</sup> and Karimi, Kazem<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Science, School of Agriculture, Ghaemshahr Branch, Islamic Azad University, Ghaemshahr, Iran.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Science, School of Agriculture, Varamin-Pishva Branch, Islamic Azad University, Varamin, Tehran, Iran.

Accepted 13 December, 2011

A completely randomized design experiment was conducted to assess the effect of  $\beta$ -mannanase enzyme on a commercial broiler chickens strain fed with different levels of guar meal germ fraction (GM) Each treatment was assigned to 4 replicate for a total of 24 pens, consisting of 15 chicks per pen, in randomized complete block design. Experimental groups include: control diet without GM, low level of GM (2, 4 and 6% in starter, grower and finisher diets respectively), intermediate level of GM (4, 6 and 8% in starter, grower and finisher diets respectively), intermediate GM+ $\beta$ -mannanase enzyme, high levels of GM (6, 9 and 12% in starter, grower and finisher diets respectively) and high GM+ $\beta$ -mannanase enzyme. Body weight gain (BWG), feed intake (FI) and feed:gain ratio (F:G) were measured weekly. At the end of the experiment (42 day of age), blood samples were collected for the determination of plasma triglycerides, total cholesterol, high density lipoprotein (HDL), low density lipoprotein (LDL) and then the chicks were killed for carcass dressing. BWG, FI, F:G ratio and plasma lipids were affected by experimental groups ( $P < 0.05$ ). Experimental groups had no significant effects on relative weight of carcass and relative weight of giblets except for abdominal fat ( $P > 0.05$ ). In the control, low GM and intermediate GM+ $\beta$ -mannanase groups, BWG, FI, F:G ratio, relative weight of carcass and giblets and plasma lipids were better than other treatments. High GM in broilers diet deleteriously affected growth performance, FI, F:G ratio and plasma lipids. Result indicates that optimal levels of guar meal are low level without  $\beta$ -mannanase and intermediate level with  $\beta$ -mannanase without adverse effects on growth performance or plasma lipids of broiler chickens.

**Key words:** Guar meal,  $\beta$ -mananase, plasma lipid, performance, broiler chicken.

## INTRODUCTION

Guar plant (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba*) is a drought-tolerant legume that can be grown in unsuitable conditions. The guar bean is used as a vegetable for humans in Asia. Guar was used primarily as a thickening

agent because of the high content of galactomannan gum found in their seeds (Chenault et al., 2002). Guar gum is a highly viscous galactomannan polysaccharide (Lee et al., 2003) and is used as a stiffener in soft ice cream, a stabilizer for cheeses, instant puddings and whipped cream substitutes. Burnett (1966) and Lee et al. (2003a, b) found that the guar gum residues in the meal can increase the viscosity of digester, thereby reduce the growth and feed efficiency. Gum residue increases intestinal viscosity, which decreases the nutrient absorption in the gastrointestinal tract (Rainbird et al., 1984). The increase in viscosity can reduce glucose absorption up to 35% and water absorption up to 40% (Rainbird et

---

Corresponding author. E-mail: Karimikazem@gmail.com, Dr.karimi\_kazem@iauvaramin.ac.ir. Tel: +982166844683, +989194449056.

**Abbreviations:** GM, Guar meal; BWG, body weight gain; FI, feed intake; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein.

al., 1984). Poor growth observed after guar meal feeding is attributed to the residual gum contained in guar meal. Although, feeding high levels of non digestible polysaccharide has been associated with decrease in nutrient utilization, numerous investigations has shown some useful physiological functions of galactomannans, such as those found in guar beans. These functions include decrease in plasma cholesterol (Frias and Sgarbieri, 1998; Favier et al., 1998; Yamamoto et al., 2000; Maisonnier et al., 2001), postprandial plasma glucose (Fairchild et al., 1996; Ou et al., 2001), postprandial hypotension in type 2 diabetes patients (Groop et al., 1993; Russo et al., 2003), inhibition of colonization of pathogenic gastrointestinal bacteria (Bengmark, 1998), and enhance macrophage activity (Duncan et al., 2002). Guar meal is a relative inexpensive high protein meal and has two parts; germ fraction with high protein content and hull fraction with low protein content. Germ and hull fractions of guar meal contain different concentrations of residual gum that remain after gum extraction from the guar bean. Protein contents of American guar meal are varying from 33 to 45% depending on fraction type (Van Etten et al., 1961; Couch et al., 1967; Nagpal et al., 1971; Conner, 2002). Verma and McNab (1984) reported that approximately 88% of the nitrogen content in guar meal was true protein, with an arginine content approximately twice as soybean meal, although the methionine and lysine contents have been reported to be inadequate for optimal rat growth (VanEtten et al., 1961). The use of guar meal in poultry feed has been limited because of reported adverse effects, which include diarrhea, growth rate suppressing and mortality increase, when fed at relatively high levels (Sathe and Bose, 1962; Couch et al., 1967; Verma and McNab, 1982; Patel and McGinnis, 1985). Since that guar meals germ fraction's energy, protein, methionine and phosphorus is higher than in soybean meal, addition of guar meal as a partial replacement for soybean meal in poultry diets may be a useful economic strategy for decreasing feed costs while maintaining production levels, but some of the anti-nutritional agents in guar meal limit the usage of high levels of this meal in broiler diets. Guar gum, trypsin inhibitor, saponins, polyphenols and hemagglutinins are some of the anti-nutritional agents in guar meal (Verma and McNab, 1982; Conner, 2002; Lee et al., 2003a). High content of galactomannan gum in guar meal can increase intestinal viscosity, suppress growth and reduce feed efficiency (Gutierrez et al., 2007; Burnet, 1966; Lee et al., 2003a, b). Hemicell is a fermentative product of *Bacillus lentus*. The most active ingredient is  $\beta$ -mannanase enzyme, which hydrolyzes  $\beta$ -mannan (Zou et al., 2006). Supplementation of the broiler's diet with  $\beta$ -mannanase enzyme decreased intestinal viscosity and increased growth and feed efficiency (Lee et al., 2003b). Patel and McGinnis (1985) also showed that autoclaving or adding hemicellulase enzyme improved growth performance of chickens fed guar meal. Verma and McNab (1982) found

negative effects of guar meal which were more prominent in younger birds than older birds and found that the inclusion of enzymes such as hemicellulase or  $\beta$ -mannanase improved feed utilization. Toasting the meal, steam pelleting of the diets and supplementations with no methionine improved the performance.

Therefore, the objectives of this study were to evaluate the possibility of replacing soybean meal with guar meal by-product (germ fraction) in traditional corn-soy diets with or without of  $\beta$ -mannanase enzyme inclusion and measuring growth performance, carcass characteristics and plasma lipids of broiler chickens.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Birds, housing and cares

Three hundred and sixty (360) one-day-old male broiler chicks of commercial strain (Ross 308) were randomly assigned to 6 dietary treatments with 4 replicate pens of 15 chicks each. Each pen was one square meter and covered with wood shaving. The house temperature was initially maintained at 32°C and reduced gradually 2.8°C every week to reach a constant temperature of 20 to 22°C at 28 day of age. A continuous lighting was used for the first 3 days and a 23:1 h light:dark cycle was applied for the rest of the experimental period. Birds were allowed free access to the feed and fresh water throughout the experiment. Performance parameter such as BWG, FI and FI:BWG (F:G) ratio were measured weekly.

### Diets characteristics

Diets were formulated according to the recommended nutrient by Ross 308 manual for broiler chicks and were offered in mash form. The compositions of the basal diet and experimental diet are shown in Table 1. Habituate diet to guar meal 2% fed to boilers from day 1 to 7 because some of guar meal's limitations, especially high content of galactomannan gum. The starter, grower and finisher diets were provided similar nutrient and fed *ad libitum* from 1 to 10, 11 to 28 and 29 to 42 days of age, respectively.

### Sampling and measurements

Two birds close to the average pen weight of chicks were randomly selected and 2 ml of blood was withdrawn by bronchial vein puncture into heparinised syringes at 42 day of age. Plasma was separated by centrifugation and stored at -20°C, then transported to Laboratory for assay. Afterwards the chickens were slaughtered for dressing parameters and thereafter relative weight of carcass, breast, thigh, heart, pancreas, spleen, liver, abdominal fat, gizzard and bursa of fabricious were determined. Triglycerides (TG), total-cholesterol (TC), high density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL) and low density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL) concentrations (expressed as mg/dl plasma) were estimated in plasma samples by using enzymatic kits (Biocin, Germany).

### Experimental variables

Experimental variables included (1) performance parameters such as BWG, FI and F:G ratio (2) Blood parameters such as triglycerides, total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol and (3) dressing parameters such as relative weight of carcass, breast, thigh, heart, pancreas, spleen, liver, abdominal fat, gizzard

**Table1.** Compositions of experimental diets by low, intermediate, high or no levels of guar meal.

| Composition (g.kg <sup>-1</sup> )    | Starter   |         |       |              |      | Grower  |       |              |      | Finisher |      |              |       |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|---------|-------|--------------|------|---------|-------|--------------|------|----------|------|--------------|-------|
|                                      | Habituate | Control | Low   | Intermediate | High | Control | Low   | Intermediate | High | Control  | Low  | Intermediate | High  |
| Corn                                 | 560       | 577.4   | 591.5 | 603          | 615  | 577.4   | 591.5 | 603          | 615  | 631      | 678  | 695          | 725   |
| Soybean meal                         | 340       | 347     | 320   | 299          | 280  | 347     | 320   | 299          | 280  | 296      | 205  | 175          | 115   |
| Guar meal                            | 20        | 0       | 20    | 40           | 60   | 0       | 40    | 60           | 80   | 0        | 60   | 90           | 120   |
| Fish meal                            | 40        | 20      | 17    | 10           | 0    | 20      | 17    | 10           | 0    | 0        | 0    | 0            | 0     |
| Plant oil                            | 0         | 15      | 10    | 5            | 0    | 15      | 10    | 5            | 0    | 33       | 17   | 10           | 0     |
| Shell                                | 15.5      | 14.9    | 15.5  | 15.81        | 16.6 | 14.1    | 14.7  | 15           | 15.8 | 12.95    | 13.2 | 13.28        | 13.58 |
| Di Calcium phosphate                 | 14        | 14.5    | 14.5  | 15.2         | 16   | 14.5    | 14.5  | 15.2         | 16   | 15.5     | 14.6 | 14.3         | 13.56 |
| Lysine                               | 1.2       | 1.4     | 1.82  | 2.4          | 2.9  | 1.4     | 1.82  | 2.4          | 2.9  | 1.1      | 2.2  | 2.57         | 3.31  |
| Methionine                           | 1.3       | 1.8     | 1.68  | 1.59         | 1.5  | 1.8     | 1.68  | 1.60         | 1.5  | 1.65     | 1.2  | 1.05         | 0.75  |
| Common Salt                          | 2         | 2       | 2     | 2            | 2    | 2       | 2     | 2            | 2    | 2        | 2    | 2            | 2     |
| Sodium bicarbonate                   | 1         | 1       | 1     | 1            | 1    | 1       | 1     | 1            | 1    | 1        | 1    | 1            | 1     |
| Minerals + vitamins                  | 5         | 5       | 5     | 5            | 5    | 5       | 5     | 5            | 5    | 5        | 5    | 5            | 5     |
| Cocciidiuasetate                     | 0         | 0       | 0     | 0            | 0    | 0.5     | 0.5   | 0.5          | 0.5  | 0.5      | 0.5  | 0.1          | 0.5   |
| Antibiotic(Lincomysin)               | 0         | 0       | 0     | 0            | 0    | 0.3     | 0.3   | 0.3          | 0.3  | 0.3      | 0.3  | 0.3          | 0.3   |
| Hemicell( $\beta$ -mannanase source) | -         | -       | -     | -/+          | -/+  | -       | -     | -/+          | -/+  | -        | -    | -/+          | -/+   |
| <b>Calculated analysis</b>           |           |         |       |              |      |         |       |              |      |          |      |              |       |
| ME (Kcal.Kg)                         | 2836.2    | 2950    | 2950  | 2950         | 2950 | 3015    | 3015  | 3015         | 3015 | 3100     | 3100 | 3100         | 3100  |
| Crude protein (%)                    | 22.07     | 21      | 21    | 21           | 21   | 20.1    | 20.1  | 20.1         | 20.1 | 18.3     | 18.3 | 18.3         | 18.3  |
| Calcium (%)                          | 1         | 0.92    | 0.92  | 0.92         | 0.92 | 0.86    | 0.86  | 0.86         | 0.86 | 0.85     | 0.85 | 0.85         | 0.85  |
| Available phosphor (%)               | 0.5       | 0.46    | 0.46  | 0.46         | 0.46 | 0.43    | 0.43  | 0.43         | 0.43 | 0.42     | 0.42 | 0.42         | 0.42  |
| Metionine (%)                        | 0.54      | 0.54    | 0.54  | 0.54         | 0.54 | 0.5     | 0.5   | 0.5          | 0.5  | 0.47     | 0.47 | 0.47         | 0.47  |
| Metionine + Cystein (%)              | 0.9       | 0.8     | 0.8   | 0.8          | 0.8  | 0.7     | 0.7   | 0.7          | 0.7  | 0.6      | 0.6  | 0.6          | 0.6   |
| Lysine (%)                           | 1.38      | 1.3     | 1.3   | 1.3          | 1.3  | 1.3     | 1.3   | 1.3          | 1.3  | 1.05     | 1.05 | 1.05         | 1.05  |

Habituate diet used for all chicks at first week of age. Starter, grower and overall phase included the 1 to 24, 25 to 49 and 1 to 42 days of broiler's rearing period. ME, Metabolizable energy.

and bursa of fabricious.

### Statistical analysis

A completely randomized design was used to investigate the effects of the treatments. Analysis of variance was calculated with the general linear model procedure (GLM) of the Statistical Analysis Systems Institute Inc. (SPSS, 2005). Differences between measurements were compared by the Duncan's multiple range tests (Duncan, 1955) following ANOVA, and values were considered statistically different at  $P < 0.05$ . The homogeneity of variance was checked.

## RESULTS

### Performance

Results of BWG can be seen in Table 2 at starter, grower and overall phases of this experiment. At the starter phase, the treatments have no significant effects on BWG ( $P > 0.05$ ). At the grower phase, low GM diet and High GM ( $\pm$ enzyme) diets reduced BWG compared to the control group by 7 and 13% respectively but both intermediate GM ( $\pm$ enzyme) diets did not reduce BWG.

At overall phase, low GM diets did not reduce BWG compared to the control group but enzyme-less intermediate GM diet and both high GM ( $\pm$ enzyme) diets reduced BWG compared to the control group ( $P < 0.01$ ). In this case, enzyme-less intermediate GM diet reduced BWG by 7% but this reduction was removed when this diet was supplemented with  $\beta$ -mannanase enzyme. Enzyme-less high GM diet reduced BWG by 11%, however, this reduction has not been removed when this diet was supplemented with  $\beta$ -mannanase enzyme.

Table 2 shows the effects of dietary treatments on the averages of FI in starter, grower and overall phases of rearing period. FI was not affected by experimental groups at starter phase. There was a significant effect of dietary GM on the broiler chicks FI at grower phase ( $P < 0.01$ ), whenever the birds were fed on high GM ( $\pm$ enzyme) diets, they have lower FI (5 and 6% in  $\pm$ enzyme diets respectively) rather than control diet. There was a significant effect of dietary GM on the FI of broiler chicks at overall phase too ( $P < 0.05$ ); whenever the birds were fed on high GM ( $\pm$ enzyme) diets, they have lower FI (by 4%) rather than the control diet. However, this reduction continued (by 3%) when this diet was supplemented with  $\beta$ -mannanase enzyme. There were no significant differences between other dietary groups, rather than the control group at overall phase.

Results of F:G ratio averages are shown in Table 2 in starter, grower and overall phases of rearing period. There were significant effects of GM on F:G ratio during starter ( $P < 0.05$ ), grower ( $P < 0.01$ ) and overall phases ( $P < 0.05$ ). During starter phase, F:G ratio in the enzyme-less intermediate and high GM diets were higher than the control diet, however, F:G ratios returned and became

similar to the control diets when these diets were supplemented with  $\beta$ -mannanase enzyme. At grower phase, the highest F:G ratios was seen in high GM ( $\pm$ enzyme) diets so that enzyme supplementation could

not improved this ratio. At overall phase, F:G ratio in enzyme-less intermediate GM diet was higher than the control group but it was improved when this diet was supplemented with  $\beta$ -mannanase. In the enzyme-less high GM diet, F:G ratio was higher than other groups and  $\beta$ -mannanase enzyme addition could not improved this ratio, too.

### Carcass dressing

Results of broilers carcass dressing in 42 day old broiler chickens are shown in Table 3. Experimental treatments had no significant effect on relative weight of carcass and relative weight of giblets except for abdominal fat ( $P > 0.05$ ). Abdominal fat in high GM ( $\pm$ enzyme) diets was higher than the control and low GM diets by 22 and 16% on average, respectively.

### Blood parameters

Results of blood parameters in 42 day old chicks are shown in Table 4. Intermediate and high GM ( $\pm$ enzyme) diets increased plasma triglycerides by 7% on average compared to the control group. Plasma cholesterol concentrations in enzyme-less intermediate and high GM diets were higher than the control group, however, it became similar to the control group when the were birds fed on these diets supplemented with  $\beta$ -mannanase enzyme. There was a tendency ( $p = 0.05$ ) for LDL to be affected by experimental groups. Plasma HDL was higher than the control group in the birds fed on the enzyme-less diet and contained high levels of GM but when  $\beta$ -mannanase enzyme was added to this diet, the mentioned parameter reduced and reached to the control groups.

## DISCUSSION

In the present study, we found that adding of 2, 4 and 6% GM (in starter, grower and finisher, respectively) to the enzyme-less diets or 4, 6 and 8% GM (in starter, grower and finisher, respectively) only to the enzyme supplemented diets of broilers had no adverse effects on BWG of broilers at overall phase. However, enzyme-less diets that contained 4, 6 and 8% GM (in starter, grower and finisher, respectively) such as high GM diets without or with enzyme can decrease the BWG in overall phase. In brief, it concluded that from a WG point of view, there were some limitations for addition of intermediate or high levels of GM in the broilers diets and it can be possible to

**Table 2.** The effect of experimental treatments on body weight gain (g), feed intake(g) and F:G ratio in experimental periods.

| Guar meal level             | Body weight gain (gr.day) |                        |                       | Feed intake (gr.day) |                       |                       | F:G ratio          |                     |                     |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|                             | 24-Jan                    | 25-42                  | Jan-42                | 24-Jan               | 25-42                 | Jan-42                | 24-Jan             | 25-42               | Jan-42              |
| Control <sup>a</sup>        | 803.75                    | 1554.75 <sup>a</sup>   | 2358.25 <sup>a</sup>  | 1233.25              | 2882 <sup>ab</sup>    | 4115.25 <sup>a</sup>  | 1.53 <sup>b</sup>  | 1.85 <sup>c</sup>   | 1.74 <sup>c</sup>   |
| Low                         | 779.25                    | 1450 <sup>bc</sup>     | 2229 <sup>abc</sup>   | 1230.50              | 2801 <sup>bc</sup>    | 4031.50 <sup>ab</sup> | 1.57 <sup>ab</sup> | 1.93 <sup>abc</sup> | 1.81 <sup>abc</sup> |
| Medium                      | 751                       | 1457.25 <sup>abc</sup> | 2208.25 <sup>bc</sup> | 1207.50              | 2848.50 <sup>ab</sup> | 4056 <sup>ab</sup>    | 1.61 <sup>a</sup>  | 1.95 <sup>abc</sup> | 1.83 <sup>ab</sup>  |
| Medium+ Enzyme <sup>b</sup> | 763.25                    | 1519.50 <sup>ab</sup>  | 2282.75 <sup>ab</sup> | 1204                 | 2911 <sup>a</sup>     | 4115 <sup>a</sup>     | 1.57 <sup>ab</sup> | 1.91 <sup>bc</sup>  | 1.80 <sup>bc</sup>  |
| High                        | 759.5                     | 1346.25 <sup>d</sup>   | 2105.50 <sup>c</sup>  | 1223                 | 2740 <sup>dc</sup>    | 3963 <sup>b</sup>     | 1.61 <sup>a</sup>  | 2.03 <sup>a</sup>   | 1.88 <sup>a</sup>   |
| High+ Enzyme                | 807.5                     | 1359.75 <sup>dc</sup>  | 2167.25 <sup>bc</sup> | 1272.25              | 2708.75 <sup>d</sup>  | 3981 <sup>b</sup>     | 1.57 <sup>ab</sup> | 1.99 <sup>ab</sup>  | 1.83 <sup>ab</sup>  |
| SEM                         | 4.91                      | 5.98                   | 8.01                  | 5.86                 | 5.01                  | 7.36                  | 0.03               | 0.05                | 0.04                |
| P Value                     | 0.2                       | 0.001                  | 0.004                 | 0.3                  | 0.001                 | 0.042                 | 0.02               | 0.008               | 0.015               |

Different superscripts within a column indicate a significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ). a: Control diet without guar meal; b:  $\beta$ -mannanase SEM: standard error of the means.

**Table 3.** The effect of experimental treatments on relative weight of carcass dressing(g/100g body weight).

| Guar Meal level                    | Body weight gain |                        |                       | Feed intake |                       |                       | F:G ratio          |                     |                     |
|------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|                                    | Starter          | Grower                 | Overall               | Starter     | Grower                | Overall               | Starter            | Grower              | Overall             |
| Control <sup>a</sup>               | 803.75           | 1554.75 <sup>a</sup>   | 2358.25 <sup>a</sup>  | 1233.25     | 2882 <sup>ab</sup>    | 4115.25 <sup>a</sup>  | 1.53 <sup>b</sup>  | 1.85 <sup>c</sup>   | 1.74 <sup>c</sup>   |
| Low                                | 779.25           | 1450 <sup>bc</sup>     | 2229 <sup>abc</sup>   | 1230.50     | 2801 <sup>bc</sup>    | 4031.50 <sup>ab</sup> | 1.57 <sup>ab</sup> | 1.93 <sup>abc</sup> | 1.81 <sup>abc</sup> |
| Intermediate                       | 751              | 1457.25 <sup>abc</sup> | 2208.25 <sup>bc</sup> | 1207.50     | 2848.50 <sup>ab</sup> | 4056 <sup>ab</sup>    | 1.61 <sup>a</sup>  | 1.95 <sup>abc</sup> | 1.83 <sup>ab</sup>  |
| Intermediate + Enzyme <sup>b</sup> | 763.25           | 1519.50 <sup>ab</sup>  | 2282.75 <sup>ab</sup> | 1204        | 2911 <sup>a</sup>     | 4115 <sup>a</sup>     | 1.57 <sup>ab</sup> | 1.91 <sup>bc</sup>  | 1.80 <sup>bc</sup>  |
| High                               | 759.50           | 1346.25 <sup>d</sup>   | 2105.50 <sup>c</sup>  | 1223        | 2740 <sup>dc</sup>    | 3963 <sup>b</sup>     | 1.61 <sup>a</sup>  | 2.03 <sup>a</sup>   | 1.88 <sup>a</sup>   |
| High + Enzyme                      | 807.50           | 1359.75 <sup>dc</sup>  | 2167.25 <sup>bc</sup> | 1272.25     | 2708.75 <sup>d</sup>  | 3981 <sup>b</sup>     | 1.57 <sup>ab</sup> | 1.99 <sup>ab</sup>  | 1.83 <sup>ab</sup>  |
| SEM                                | 4.91             | 5.98                   | 8.01                  | 5.86        | 5.01                  | 7.36                  | 0.03               | 0.05                | 0.04                |
| P Value                            | 0.2              | 0.001                  | 0.004                 | 0.3         | 0.001                 | 0.042                 | 0.02               | 0.008               | 0.015               |

Different superscripts within a column indicate a significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ). a: Control diet without guar meal; b:  $\beta$ -mannanase SEM: standard error of the means.

**Table 4.** The effect of experimental treatments on plasma lipids.

| Guar meal level        | Triglyceride | Cholesterol | LDL   | HDL     |
|------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------|---------|
| Control a              | 99.07b       | 110.06b     | 53.57 | 33.60b  |
| Low                    | 102.99ab     | 114.86ab    | 55.87 | 36.43ab |
| Intermediate           | 105.108a     | 117.40a     | 58.27 | 37.08ab |
| Intermediate+ Enzyme b | 104.83a      | 114.13ab    | 58.01 | 34.82ab |
| High                   | 107.84a      | 119.31a     | 57.29 | 38.74a  |
| High+ Enzyme           | 106.69a      | 115.76ab    | 56.50 | 35.48ab |
| SEM                    | 0.30         | 0.37        | 0.27  | 0.24    |
| P Value                | 0.001        | 0.006       | 0.05  | 0.02    |

Different superscripts within a column indicate a significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ).a: Control diet has no guar meal; b:  $\beta$ -mannanase SEM: standard error of the means. HDL, High density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein

overcome these problems by use of a proper enzyme but only in intermediate GM diets and not in high GM diets. These results are similar to the findings of Gutierrez et al. (2007) which reported that addition of 5% guar by product to hen diets did not have adverse effects on the growth performance. Lee et al. (2005) showed that addition of  $\beta$ -mannanase in diet with intermediate levels of guar meal improved growth performance and BWG that was similar

with the result of this research.

Feeding of high levels of guar meal germ fraction in broilers diet because of high content of galactomannan gum cause increased intestinal viscosity and decreased FI that was similar to the research of Lee et al. (2003a), this that indicated the use of high levels of guar meal (germ or hull) in feeding broiler chickens deleteriously affected FI. Use of high levels of guar meal germ fraction

in broilers diet because of high content of galactomannan gum resulted in intestinal viscosity enhancement, reduction of FI and finally rise of feed conversion ratio, and these contradicted the results of Lee et al (2003a) that found feeding with high levels of guar meal germ fraction had no significant effect on feed conversion ratio between experimental treatments. Mehri et al. (2010) found that inclusion of 500 or 700 g/ton  $\beta$ -glucanase enzyme had no any effects on FI but 900 g/ton reduced FI in broilers fed corn-soybean based diets. They did not evaluate the effects of guar meal but in the present study, we found that enzyme addition did not reduce FI in intermediate or high GM diets.

The increase of FI in the broilers fed high guar treatments indicated that there were some unfavorable characteristics in guar by-products and these characteristics were not enough for reduction of FI in broilers when added at low levels, which is supported by previous data (Gutierrez et al., 2007). Feed conversion ratios for broilers fed high GM (with or without  $\beta$ -mannanase) or intermediate GM without  $\beta$ -mannanase were significantly higher than for the control group, although, no significant differences were detected among other guar treatments and the control.

In carcass dressing, only abdominal fat were significantly affected by experimental treatments that probably it can be due to energy and protein balance deficiency. Lee et al. (2005) reported that use of low levels of guar meal germ fraction in broiler's feeding resulted in higher carcass weight, breast weight and breast efficiency than broilers fed with higher levels of this meal that were similar with the results of present research (Table 3).

Of blood parameters, triglycerides, cholesterol and HDL were significantly affected by experimental treatments, these probably were because of the eating of high levels of guar meal germ fraction. NSPs like guar gum destroy the intestinal micro-flora and this effect on amino acid intestinal-hepatogenic cycle maybe caused to increase HDL.

Results indicate that optimal levels of guar meal are low level without  $\beta$ -mannanase and intermediate level with  $\beta$ -mannanase without adverse effects on growth performance or blood parameters of broiler chickens. The addition of guar by-products as a partial replacement for soybean meal in poultry diets may be a useful economic strategy for decreasing feed costs while maintaining production levels. The results of this study suggest that guar meal can be fed to broilers at low levels up to 5% of the diet or intermediate levels supplemented with mannanase- which proposed in the present study-without unfavorable effects on performance, FI, dressing characteristics and blood parameters.

## Conclusion

In this study, we conclude that high GM diets have some

adverse effects on broilers performance, abdominal fat and blood parameters as like as intermediate GM diets and some of these problems can be overcome by the use of an appropriate enzyme but only in intermediate GM diets and not in high GM diets.

## REFERENCES

- Bengmark S (1998). Immunonutrition: Role of biosurfactants, fiber, and probiotic bacteria. *Nutrition*, 14: 585-594.
- Burnett GS (1966). Studies of viscosity as the probable factor involved in the improvement of certain barleys for chickens by enzyme supplementation. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 7: 55-75.
- Chenault Edith A, Cartwright L, Sij J (2002). Guar meal could be used as chicken feed. *AgNews news and public affairs Texas A&M university system agriculture program.*
- Conner SR (2002). Characterization of guar meal for use in poultry rations. PhD Dissertation Texas A&M University, College Station, TX.
- Couch JR, Lazano JA, Creger CR (1967). Soy protein guar meal and excess calcium in nutrition of commercial layers. *Poult. Sci.* 46: 1248.
- Duncan CJ, Pugh N, Pasco DS, Ross SA (2002). Isolation of a galactomannan that enhances macrophage activation from the edible fungus *Morchella esculenta*. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 50: 5683-5685.
- Fairchild RM, Ellis PR, Byrne AJ, Luzio SD, Mir MA (1996). A new breakfast cereal containing guar gum reduces postprandial plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in normal weight human subjects. *Br. J. Nutr.* 76: 63-73.
- Favier ML, Bost PE, Demigne C, Remesy C (1998). The cholesterol-lowering effect of guar gum in rats is not accompanied by an interruption of bile acid cycling. *Lipids*, 33: 765-771.
- Frias Dario, Sgarbieri ACVC (1998). Guar gum effects on food intake, blood serum lipids and glucose levels of Wistar rats. *Plant Foods Hum. Nutr.* 53: 15-28.
- Groop PH, Aro A, Stenman S, Groop L (1993). Long-term effects of guar gum in subjects with non-insulin-dependent diabetes-mellitus. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 58: 513-518.
- Gutierrez O, Zhang C, Cartwright AL, Carey JB, Bailey CA (2007). Use of Guar By-Products in High-Production Laying Hen Diets. *Poult. Sci.* 86: 1115-1120.
- Lee JT, Bailey CA, Cartwright AL (2003). Guar meal germ and hull fractions differently affect growth performance and intestinal viscosity of broiler chickens. *Poult. Sci.* 82: 1589-1595.
- Lee JT, Bailey CA, Cartwright AL (2003a). Guar meal germ and hull fractions differently affect growth performance and intestinal viscosity of broiler chickens. *Poult. Sci.* 82: 1589-1595.
- Lee JT, Bailey CA, Cartwright AL (2003b).  $\beta$ -mannanase ameliorates viscosity-associated depression of growth in broiler chickens fed guar germ and hull fractions. *Poult. Sci.* 82: 1925-1931.
- Lee JT, Connor-Appleton S, Bailey CA, Cartwright AL (2005). Effects of Guar Meal By-Product with and Without  $\beta$ -Mannanase Hemicell on Broiler Performance. *Poult. Sci.* 84: 1261-1267.
- Maisonnier S, Gomez J, Carre B (2001). Nutrient digestibility and intestinal viscosities in broiler chickens fed wheat diets, as compared to maize diets with added guar gum. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 42: 102-110.
- Mehri M, Adibmoradi M, Samie A, Shivvazad M (2010). Effects of  $\beta$ -Mannanase on broiler performance, gut morphology and immune system. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 9(37): 6221-6228.
- Ou SY, Kwok KC, Li Y, Fu L (2001). In vitro study of possible role of dietary fiber in lowering postprandial serum glucose. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 49: 1026-1029.
- Patel MB, McGinnis J (1985). The effect of autoclaving and enzyme supplementation of guar meal on the performance of chicks and laying hens. *Poult. Sci.* 64: 1148-1156.
- Rainbird AL, Low AG, Zebrowska T (1984). Effect of guar gum on glucose and water absorption from isolated loops of jejunum in conscious growing pigs. *Br. J. Nutr.* 52: 489-498.
- Russo A, Stevens JE, Wilson T, Wells F, Tonkin A, Horowitz M, Jones KL (2003). Guar attenuates fall in postprandial blood pressure and slows gastric emptying of oral.

- Sathe BS, Bose S (1962). Studies on the utilization of industrial and farm by-products in growing poultry rations. *Ind. J. Vet. Sci.* 32: 74-84.
- VanEtten CH, Miller RW, Wolff IA, Jones Q (1961). Amino acid composition of twenty-seven selected seed meals. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 9: 79-82.
- Verma SVS, McNab JM (1982). Guar meal in diets for broiler chickens. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 23: 95-105.
- Verma SVS, McNab JM (1984). Chemical, biochemical and microbiological examination of guar meal. *Ind. J. Poult. Sci.* 19: 165-170.
- Yamamoto Y, Sogawa I, Nishina A, Saeki S, Ichikawa N, Iibata S (2000). Improved hypolipidemic effects of xanthin gum-galactomannan mixtures in rats. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* 64: 2165-2171.
- Zou XT, Qiao XJ, Xu ZR (2006). Effect of  $\beta$ -Mannanase (Hemicell) on Growth Performance and Immunity of Broilers. *Poult Sci.* 85: 2176-2179.