The importance of blood parameters in the detection of intestinal metaplasia and early diagnosis of gastric cancer

Ayşe Kefeli^{1*}, Feyyaz Onayrılı^{2*}, Fahri Gokcal³

- 1. Tokat Gaziosmanpasa University, Gastroenterology Department, Gaziosmanpasa, Tokat, Turkiye.
- 2. Tokat Gaziosmanpasa University, Internal Medicine, Gaziosmanpasa, Tokat, Turkiye.
- 3. Good Samaritan Medical Center, Tufts University School of Medicine, Surgery department, Boston, MA, USA. ORCID numbers: Ayşe Kefeli -0000-0002-1876-2586, Feyyaz Onayırlı- 0000-0001-5106-4571, Fahri Gokcal 0000-0003-0832-7991

* First Co-authors

Abstract

Background: We aimed to determine whether complete blood count(CBC) parameters, such as the white blood count(W-BC), hemoglobin(Hb), platelet(PLT), red cell distribution width(RDW), mean platelet volume(MPV), platelet distribution width(PDW), neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio(NLR), platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio(PLR), and monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio(MLR), have a predictive value in the detection of gastric cancer(GC) and intestinal metaplasia(IM).

Methods: While proven GC, IM, and healthy control(HC) patients were included, patients with the comorbid disease were excluded, and univariate analyses compared three groups. The receiver operating characteristic(ROC) curve analysis was run for CBC parameters. The area under the curve(AUC) was evaluated, and a cut-off value was determined. The sensitivity and specificity of each parameter were considered.

Results: The GC, IM, and HC groups consisted of 72(33%), 73(34%), and 72(33%) patients, respectively. RDW, PLT, NLR, PLR, and MLR were significant between GC and IM. The highest AUC (0.727) was obtained for the PLT yielding a 56.9% sensitivity and 79.4% specificity at a cut-off value of 151.8. The AUC of RDW was found as 0.691 and 0.626 for pairwise comparisons of GC-HC and IM-HC, respectively. At a cut-off value of 13.4, PLR yielded 70.8% sensitivity and 61.1% specificity in the detection of GC, while 64.4% sensitivity and 51.1% specificity for IM.

Conclusion: CBC parameters, such as RDW, PLT, NLR, PLR, and MLR, have value in detecting GC. RDW also has diagnostic value in helping to detect IM. PLR can help to distinguish patients with GC from those with IM. These inexpensive, easily accessible parameters may help in the timely diagnosis of GC and IM.

Keywords: Gastric cancer; intestinal Metaplasia; Monocyte to lymphocyte ratio; red cell distribution; hemoglobin; platelet; neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio; platelet-lymphocyte ratio; inflammation.

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v24i3.25

Cite as: Kefeli A, Onayruh F, Gokcal F. The importance of blood parameters in the detection of intestinal metaplasia and early diagnosis of gastric cancer. African Health Sciences. 2024;24(3). 205-215. https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v24i3.25

Introduction

Gastric cancer (GC) is an insidious disease with late manifestations. GC is the 4th most common cancer worldwide (7.8% of cancers) and the third leading cause of cancer related death $(9.7\%)^{1}$. Since the prognosis of gastric carcinoma depends on the stage of diagnosis, late diagnosis may lead to an increase in mortality and

Corresponding author:

Fahri Gokcal, One Pearl Street, Brockton, MA, USA, 02301, Phone: +1 508 584 4104 Fax: +1 508 584 4105 E-mail: fahridr@gmail.com morbidity. Regarding symptomatology, the most common initial symptom is a vague feeling of discomfort and fullness in the epigastrium. Consequently, the disease is often at an advanced stage when diagnosed.

Clinical and epidemiological studies showed that inflammation is crucial in the pathogenesis of GC^2 . Intestinal metaplasia (IM) is considered a precancerous condition and one of the histopathological changes in the inflammatory cascade, known as Correa³. IM independently confers risk for the development of GC4. Therefore, diverse efforts have been made to detect premalignant or malign gastric lesions as early as possible.

The current guideline recommends screening the people at risk may decrease GC mortality by allowing early

frican (b) Construction of the Creative commons.org/licenses/BY/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

detection and treatment, often by endoscopy instead of more invasive surgery. However, routine endoscopic screening of patients with non-specific symptoms in intermediate or low-incidence countries is not recommended due to its cost-effectiveness and invasiveness⁴. In order to detect GC or premalignant lesions with endoscopy, which patient requires screening should be determined with easily accessible and applicable tests. Screening for the disease with low-cost and simple blood tests may be a reasonable option⁵. For this purpose, several biomarkers have been studied to determine who should be screened first, with or without alarm symptoms. Also, various parameters obtained from the complete blood count (CBC) test, which is easily accessible, inexpensive, and practical, have been studied. Some parameters, such as red cell distribution width (RDW), mean platelet volume (MPV), neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio (NLR), and platelet/ lymphocyte ratio (PLR), have been found as associated with tumor development, progression, and survival^{2, 3, 6}. MPV of these, has been suggested as an inflammatory marker in various inflammatory diseases, malignity particularly in gastric cancer² and also including nasal polyposis⁷, rheumatoid arthritis8 obesity9 infections10, and type 2 diabetes mellitus¹¹. In addition, RDW is linked with inflammation in thyroiditis¹², autoimmune hepatitis¹³, and chronic kidney disease14. Elevated RDW levels also have been associated with gastric cancer^{15,16}. Looking at the literature, several studies has revealed a significant association between NLR and inflammatory diseases such as Inflammatory Bowel Disease(IBD)17 Hashimoto's disease¹⁸, NAFLD¹⁹, thyroid conditions²⁰, COVID-19²¹, and survival of the patients with gastric cancer²². Similarly, PLR was suggested as a marker of inflammation in pancreatitis²³, IBD²⁴, type 2 diabetes mellitus²⁵, cancer²⁶ and gastric cancer²⁷. Lastly, MLR, is another hemogram-derived marker, considered an inflammatory predictor in diabetic nephropathy28, IBD29, malignancy30, and gastric cancer³¹. However, the literature on using of these parameters in the early detection of GC and IM is still scarce³².

Therefore, in this study, we aimed to determine whether CBC parameters, such as the white blood count (WBC), hemoglobin (Hb), platelet (PLT), RDW, MPV, Platelet Distribution Width (PDW), NLR, PLR, Monocyte Lymphocyte Ratio (MLR), have a predictive value in the detection of GC and IM.

Materials and Method

We retrospectively reviewed the patients who under-

went an upper gastrointestinal system endoscopic biopsy at a tertiary health center between 1 June 2014 and 15 December 2021. Patients who had a reported histopathological result of GC or IM and those who did not have GC or IM were selected for this study.

Patients with the following conditions were excluded from the study; hypertension, diabetes mellitus, antiplatelet or anti-inflammatory treatment, hyperlipidemia, thyroid diseases, autoimmune diseases, rheumatological diseases, heart disease, chronic liver disease, chronic kidney disease, and those with a previous history of malignancy. Statistical analyses were run on the GC, IM, and HC patient groups.

Patient demographics (age, gender) and the following CBC parameters were recorded; the WBC, Hb, neutrophil, lymphocytes, monocyte, PLT, RDW, MPV, and PDW. An NLR, PLR, and MLR values were calculated separately as the absolute counts of neutrophils, platelets, and monocytes divided by the absolute lymphocytes count.

The CBC results were obtained before the endoscopic biopsy was used for this study. Venous blood samples were drawn into ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) tubes (5 mL). All samples were processed within 2 hours after vein puncture using an automated hematology analyzer in our hospital. Normal values for studied blood parameters ranged as follows: (WBC): $4.6-10.2 \times 103/\mu$ l, neutrophil: $2.0-6.9 \times 103/\mu$ l, lymphocyte: $0.6-3.4 \times 103/\mu$ l, monocyte: $< 0.9 \times 103/\mu$ l, lymphocyte: $0.6-3.4 \times 103/\mu$ l, monocyte: $< 0.9 \times 103/\mu$ l, MPV: 7.8-11 fl, RDW: 11.6-17.2%, PDW: 8.3-25 fl.

Statistical analysis

Statistical assessments were performed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences for Windows, Version 25.0, Armonk, NY, USA: IBM Corp.). Qualitative data were expressed as frequency and percentage [n (%)], and quantitative data were expressed as mean and standard deviation (SD), based on their distribution that assessed by running Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests. The Chi-square test was used for qualitative variables, One Way ANOVA (F test) was used for quantitative variables, and The post hoc Tukey HSD test was used for pairwise comparisons. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were performed; the area under the curve (AUC) and 95% confidence interval were calculated for the studied CBC parameters. The sensitivity and specificity values were calculated according to cut-off points identified as the value closest to 1 in the ROC curves. A p-value <0.05 was considered significant.

The data were entered into the G-Power package program to calculate the study's sample size. The total sample size was calculated as at least 189 individuals, with a power of 95% and a Type I error (alpha) of 0.05.

Results

After inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied to 3000 patients who underwent upper gastrointestinal

system endoscopic biopsy, two hundred seventeen patients were included in this study; the GC, IM, and HC groups consisted of 72(33%), 73(34%), and 72(33%) patients, respectively. The comparison of patient demographics and CBC parameters is given in Table 1. There was no difference between the groups in terms of gender (p= 0.843). The average age of the GC group was higher than those in the HC group in the pairwise comparison (p= 0.015).

Table 1. Demographic characteristics and la	aboratory parameters across t	he study groups
---	-------------------------------	-----------------

	Gastric	Intestinal	Healthy			
	Cancer	Metaplasia	Control			Pairwise
	(n=72)	(n=73)	(n=72)	f	р	Comparison
Age, year, mean \pm SD	60.47 ± 10.5	57.5 ± 10.3	55.1 ± 12.2	4.292	0.015	GC-HC
Sex, male, n (%)	38 (52.8)	35 (47.9)	36 (50)	0.341	0.843	-
WBC, $\times 10^{3}/\mu l$, mean \pm SD	7.9 ± 3.3	7.1 ± 1.8	7.3 ± 2	2.409	0.092	-
Hb, g/dl, mean \pm SD	$12.2\pm2.4\ 5$	13.4 ± 2	13.7 ± 1.9	10.108	<0.001	GC-IM, GC-H
PLT, $\times 10^{3}/\mu l$, mean \pm SD	314.7 ± 103.6	260.9 ± 63	267.3 ± 58.2	10.184	<0.001	GC-IM, GC-H
RDW, %, mean ± SD	$15.4\pm3.3\ 3$	14.5 ± 2.3	13.7 ± 2.1	6.939	0.001	GC-HC, IM-H
MPV, fl, mean \pm SD	8.9 ± 1.4	$9.3\;2\pm 1.1\;2$	9.2 ± 1.3	1.307	0.273	-
PDW, %, mean ± SD	$14.4\pm3.4\ 3$	14.7 ± 3.4	14.5 ± 3.4	0.051	0.951	-
NLR, mean ± SD	2.9 ± 2	2.1 ± 1.3	2.2 ± 1.24	5.808	0.003	GC-IM, GC-H
PLR, mean \pm SD	177.1 ± 76.3	123.8 ± 57.7	132.7 ± 55.5	14.409	<0.001	GC-IM, GC-H
MLR, mean \pm SD	0.34 ± 0.19	0.27 ± 0.12	0.289 ± 0.16	3.548	0.030	GC-IM, GC-H

WBC White Blood Cell, Hb Hemoglobin, PLT Platelet, RDW Erythrocyte Distribution Width, MPV Mean Platelet Volume, PDW Platelet Distribution Width, NLR Neutrophil Lymphocyte Ratio, MLR Monocyte Lymphocyte Ratio, PLR Platelet Lymphocyte Ratio, fOne-Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) The post hoc Tukey HSD test was used for pairwise comparisons.

When gastric cancer, IM, and HC groups were compared with the Post Hoc Tukey HSD test, there was no difference between paired comparison groups regarding WBC, MPV, and PDW. There was a difference in RDW between GC and HC groups, higher in GC and between IM and HC groups in IM. For Hb, PLT, NLR, PLR, and MLR, there was a difference between GC and IM and GC and HC groups, with a higher difference in GC.

The ROC curve analysis results for the CBC parameters of GC and IM groups are presented in Table 2 and Figure 1. When we used the cut-off value of 154 for the PLT, the sensitivity was calculated as 22.2%, and the specificity was 98.6% (AUC: 0.64). When the cut-off value for NLR was taken as 2.28, the sensitivity was calculated as 59.7%, and the specificity was 78.1% (AUC: 0.69). When the cut-off value for PLR was taken as 151.8, the sensitivity was 56.9%, and the specificity was 79.5% (AUC: 0.72). When the cut-off value for MLR was taken as 0.027, the sensitivity was calculated as 61.1%, and the specificity was 61.6% (AUC: 0.62).



Figure 1. ROC analysis curves of complete blood count parameters between Gastric Cancer-Intestinal Metaplasia Groups (AUC Area under the curve, PLT Platelet, NLR Neutrophil Lymphocyte Ratio, MLR Monocyte Lymphocyte Ratio, PLR Platelet Lymphocyte Ratio)

 Table 2. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve results for the complete blood count parameters between gastric Cancer-Intestinal Metaplasia groups

Parameters	Cut-Off	AUC	SE	95% CI	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	р
RDW,%	14.6	0.581	0.0479	0.496-0.662	51.39	71.23	0.091
PLT,x103/µl	154	0.640	0.0457	0.556-0.718	22.22	98.63	0.002
NLR	2.28	0.699	0.0442	0.617-0.772	59.72	78.08	<0.001
PLR	151.8	0.727	0.0417	0.647-0.798	56.94	79.45	<0.001
MLR, %,	0.027	0.620	0.0467	0.535-0.669	61.11	61.64	0.010

AUC Area under the curve, SE Standard error, CI Confidence Interval, PLT Platelet, NLR Neutrophil Lymphocyte Ratio, PLR Platelet Lymphocyte Ratio, MLR Monocyte Lymphocyte Ratio

The ROC curve analysis results for the CBC parameters of GC and HC groups are given in Table 3A and Figure 2. When the cut-off value for RDW was taken as 13.4, the sensitivity was calculated as 70.8% and the specificity as 61.1% (AUC: 0.691). When the cut-off value for PLT was taken as 275.7, the sensitivity was calculated as 62.5% and the specificity as 63.8% (AUC: 0.63). When the cut-off value for NLR was taken as 0.305, the sensitivity was calculated as 58.33% and the specificity as 72.2% (AUC: 0.64). When the cut-off value for

PLR was taken as 143.6, the sensitivity was calculated as 61.1% and the specificity as 70.8% (AUC: 0.67). When the cut-off value for MLR was taken as 0.3, the sensitivity was calculated as 50% and the specificity as 70.8% (AUC: 0.602).

The ROC curve analysis results for the CBC parameters of IM and HC groups are given in Table 3B and Figure 3. When we used 13.4 as a cut-off value of erythrocyte distribution width, the sensitivity was found as 64.3% and the specificity as 51.1% (AUC: 0.62).

Table 3. A-) Cut-off values of complete blood count parameters between gastric cancer and healthy control groups

Parameters	Cut-Off	AUC	SE	95% CI	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	р
RDW,%	13.4	0.691	0.0440	0.608 - 0.765	70.83	61.11	<0.001
PLT, x103/µl	275.7	0.630	0.0466	0.546 - 0.709	62.50	63.89	0.005
NLR	0.305	0.640	0.0466	0.556 - 0.719	58.33	72.22	0.003
PLR	143.6	0.679	0.0447	0.596 - 0.754	61.11	70.83	< 0.001
MLR,%	0.3	0.602	0.0474	0.518 - 0.683	50	70.83	0.030

B-) Th	ne cut-off va	lue of ery	throcyte	distribution width	between Intestin	al Metaplasia-hea	althy control groups
Parameters	Cut-off	AUC	SE	95% CI	Sensitivity	Specificity	р
DW	13.4	0.626	0.0465	0.542-0.705	64.38	51.11	0.007*

AUC Area under the curve, SE Standard error, Cl Confidence Interval, PLT Platelet, NLR Neutrophil Lymphocyte Ratio, PLR Platelet Lymphocyte Ratio, MLR Monocyte Lymphocyte Ratio



 0
 20
 40
 60
 80
 100
 0
 20
 20
 50
 50
 100

 Figure 2. ROC analysis curves of complete blood count parameters between gastric cancer and healthy control Groups

 (AUC Area under the curve, RDW Erythrocyte Distribution Width, PLT Platelet, NLR Neutrophil Lymphocyte Ratio, PLR Platelet Lymphocyte Ratio, MLR Monocyte Lymphocyte Ratio)



Figure 3. ROC analysis curve of erythrocyte distribution width between intestinal metaplasia and healthy control groups (*AUC* Area under the curve, *RDW* Erythrocyte Distribution Width)

Discussion

Recently, the most researched subject has been the relationship between malignancy and inflammation, based on the fact that cancer may be the result or cause of inflammation^{3, 33}. The lack of reliable specific tumor markers for diagnosing GC remains a challenge in providing a better prognosis for effective GC treatment based mainly on early diagnosis. This study aimed to determine the value of various hemogram parameters in the early diagnosis of GC and IM.

Cytokines and significantly IL-6 induce thrombopoietin production in hepatocytes³⁴. It is thought that IL-6 is secreted locally from tumor cells and mainly by the immune system. Circulating tumor cells use PLTs as shields to escape from T and natural killer cells in the immune system, and PLTs are used to attach to the endothelium in metastatic carcinomas³⁵. Thrombocytosis has been associated with poor prognosis and short survival in many malignancies such as gastrointestinal, breast, lung, ovary, and renal cell carcinoma³⁶. For example, in an extensive study consists 1953 GC patients, thrombocytosis (based on over 400 thousand in this study) was seen at a rate of 6.4% and was associated with a higher T stage, lymph node positivity, and worse survival³⁷. In another study conducted with 63 GC patients, thrombocytosis was found to be 11.4%, and it was associated with worse 1-year and 3-year survival. In addition, in this study, thrombocytosis was positively associated with lymph node involvement and depth of

invasion³⁸. In our study, similar to previous studies, the number of PLTs was higher in the GC group than in the IM and HC groups, and no statistically significant difference was found between IM and HC. In our study, which supports previous studies, a significant difference was found between GC and HC and between GC and IM in terms of thrombocytosis, but the PLT value was found to be insignificant in predicting IM.

It is well known that IL-6 can cause carcinogenesis through several signal pathways involved in carcinogenesis and metastasis. IL-6 induces the proliferation and differentiation of early progenitor cells, firstly megakaryocyte progenitors. This process causes platelet activation and aggregation³⁹. Mean platelet volume and PDW are early indicators of PLT activation. Since PDW is less affected by the increase in the size of a small number of PLTs, it is more specific as an indicator of PLT activation³⁹. Not only gastric cancer has been assosiatedelevated MPV, but also several neoplastic disorders have been associated with MPV^{3,40}.

In a study including 194 patients with GC, 191 patients with gastric ulcers, and 185 control groups, it was found that MPV levels increased and PDW levels decreased in patients with gastric ulcers and in the control group compared to GC⁴¹. Increased MPV in gastric ulcers can be attributed to chronic inflammation, and decreased MPV in GC can be attributed to increased consumption of large PLTs⁴². However, in some studies, it has been reported that MPV is positively related to thrombopoietin and IL-6 levels, which are cytokines that regulate megakaryocyte ploidy and increase in patients with GC^{2,43}. In our study, unlike other studies, MPV did not reveal a difference between all three groups. Differences in results can be attributed to measurement methods and sample sizes. Platelets distribution width is PLT heterogeneity and originates from megakaryocyte heterogeneity. In the aforementioned study, which included 194 patients with GC, 191 patients with gastric ulcers, and 185 control groups, PDW was found to be increased in the GC group, but its mechanism was not fully elucidated. Contrary to this study, our study did not reveal a difference between the three groups in the level of PDW, as in MPV.

Red cell distribution width is a simple hemogram parameter, an indicator of anisocytosis that measures the size heterogeneity of erythrocytes⁴⁴. Red cell distribution width is mainly used in the differential diagnosis of iron deficiency anemia and thalassemia and to exclude iron deficiency anemia⁴⁵. On the other hand, with more recent studies, it has been suggested that it can be used as a diagnosis or prognostic marker of various malignancies. It has been clearly shown that RDW is a reliable biomarker of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality and an indicator of oxidative stress, infections, and active, acute, and chronic inflammatory states^{46,47}. However, there is minimal data regarding the evaluation of RDW as a biomarker of malignancy⁴⁸. To the best of our knowledge, two studies evaluated the benefit of RDW as an additional factor in increasing the diagnostic accuracy of anemia as a screening method in colorectal cancer, and their results are inconsistent^{49,50}. In addition, studies are showing that RDW is also valuable in determining the prognosis of several malignancies^{51,52}. In our study, supporting the literature, RDW was higher in the GC and IM groups compared to the HC group, but there was no difference between the GC and IM groups. The reason for the enlargement of the erythrocyte distribution in malignancy is thought to be the increase in inflammation accompanied by carcinogenesis, decreased erythropoietin, decreased iron release from reticuloendothelial macrophages, and shortened erythrocyte lifespan due to related inflammatory markers. Again, these results suggest that RDW can be used to detect patients with GC and IM.

How the immune system affects the development and spread of cancer has always been one of the complex

subjects to explain in immunology. Currently, the immune system plays a dual role in malignancy: it not only suppresses tumor growth by destroying or inhibiting malignant cells but also promotes tumor progression by selecting tumor cells better suited to survive in an immune-competent host. It is thought to promote tumor spread by creating conditions within the tumor microenvironment that facilitate tumor growth⁵³. Although the exact mechanism is unknown, neutrophil and PLT-related inflammation and decreased lymphocyte-dependent antitumoral cellular immune response are significant in carcinogenesis. Therefore, it is known that lymphocytes decrease while neutrophils and PLT increase in patients with MC. In this context, high inflammatory markers NLR and PLR have been associated with poor prognosis in the colon, ovary, and GC. In studies on this subject, these parameters have diagnostic power and distinguish patients with GC from those without cancer6. Similar to these findings, in our study, there was a difference between GC and IM and GC and HC groups for NLR and PLR., but no difference between IM and HC. These results suggest that inflammatory markers such as NLR and PLR may help to detect GC but not in detecting IM.

Several studies have shown that macrophages contribute to the growth and metastasis of solid tumors⁵⁴. The macrophages adopt a trophic role, facilitating angiogenesis, matrix degradation, and tumor cell motility, which are part of the metastatic process. The macrophages also produce many compounds that may contribute to malignancy, such as mutagenic oxygen, nitrogen radicals, and some angiogenic factors55. Although the potential mechanisms are not fully known, monocytes inhibit the immune system by improving the tumor microenvironment through cytokines and positively affect tumor proliferation, angiogenesis, and progression. On the other hand, lymphocytes mediate cell-mediated immunity against tumors. Several studies have associated increased lymphocyte levels with a better prognosis in some solid tumors^{56,57}. In a study of 91 patients with advanced GC, low MLR-monocytes and high lymphocyte levels were associated with easier R0 resection after neoadjuvant therapy, better prognosis, disease-free, and overall survival⁵⁸. Increased monocyte and decreased lymphocyte counts in cancer patients may indicate decreased ability to stop tumor progression, indicating that LMR or MLR may be a good reflection of cancer progression⁵⁹. However, there was no difference between IM and HC in our study, but it was between GC

and IM and GC and HC. Therefore, it is thought that MLR may help to detect GC, but it will not help detect IM in the precursor lesion.

In the current literature, there are few studies on the detection of IM, a precursor lesion of gastric cancer, in which all three groups of GC, IM, and HC are included, so the data are limited. Kahramanoglu et al., in a study with all three groups, found that Hb levels were statistically different between all three groups. Mean WBC, NLR, PLR, and MLR levels were different between GC and IM groups, and RDW, WBC, MPV, PDW, NLR, PLR, and MLR levels were different between GC and HC groups. Erythrocyte distribution width, MPV, and PDW levels differed between IM and HC groups. Thus, it has been argued that these values may help to detect IM32. In our study, similar to the above, there was a difference between the NLR, PLR, MLR, GC, and IM groups. Unlike the above study, between gastric cancer and IM, Hb was different while PLT was no while WBC was. While hemoglobin, PLT, and RDW were no differences between the GC and HC groups in the other study, they were higher in favor of GC in our study. Like the above study, RDW was found to be different between the IM and HC groups, being higher in IM. The differences in the results show a need for more extensive studies on this subject.

In this study which was performed to determine the value of hemogram parameters in defining the risk groups in order to detect gastric cancer at an early stage, sensitivity and specificity were found to be 70.8%, 61.1% for RDW, 62.5%, 63.8% for PLT, %58.3, 72.2 for NLR, 61.1%, 70.8% for PLR, 50%, 70.8% for MLR respectively, compared to the HC group in predicting GC when ROC curve analysis was performed. Significant p values showed that these parameters could be valuable in defining the risk groups. Using these parameters may improve the cost-effectiveness by preventing evaluation unnecessary expensive techniques (gastroscopy and/or biopsy).

Our study has some limitations. First, the retrospective design made our results difficult to interpret. Second, it was single center study. Finally, last limitation was the relatively small study population. However, we think that valuable findings of present study are important to be enlisted in medical literatur.

Conclusion

Our study showed that Hb, RDW, PLT, NLR, PLR, and MLR have diagnostic values, suggesting that these pa-

rameters can distinguish patients with GC from HCs. The increase in RDW is valuable in the prediction of GC as well as in the detection of IM. Thus, high-risk groups can be determined using simple, inexpensive, easily accessible hemogram parameters, and upper GI endoscopy can be performed on suitable patients. Herewith, the chance of curative surgery can be obtained, and patient health and health expenditures can be improved with early diagnosis.

Funding

No funding was received for this study.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Parkin DM, Bray F, Devesa S. Cancer burden in the year 2000. The global picture. European journal of cancer. 2001;37: 4-66. PubMed

2. Kilincalp S, Ekiz F, Basar O, Ayte MR, Coban S, Yilmaz B, et al. Mean platelet volume could be possible biomarker in early diagnosis and monitoring of gastric cancer. Platelets. 2014;25(8):592-4.

3. Zhou X, Xu L, Huang Z, Zhang L, Zhang H, Zhu W, et al. The hematologic markers as prognostic factors in patients with resectable gastric cancer. Cancer Biomark. 2016;17(3):359-67.

4. Pimentel-Nunes P, Libanio D, Marcos-Pinto R, Areia M, Leja M, Esposito G, et al. Management of epithelial precancerous conditions and lesions in the stomach (MAPS II): European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE), European Helicobacter and Microbiota Study Group (EHMSG), European Society of Pathology (ESP), and Sociedade Portuguesa de Endoscopia Digestiva (SPED) guideline update 2019. Endoscopy. 2019;51(4):365-88.

5. Hamashima C. Current issues and future perspectives of gastric cancer screening. World J Gastroenterol. 2014;20(38):13767-74.

6. Pietrzyk L, Plewa Z, Denisow-Pietrzyk M, Zebrowski R, Torres K. Diagnostic power of blood parameters as screening markers in gastric cancer patients. Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention. 2016;17(9):4433-7.

7. Aktas G, Sit M, Tekce H, Alcelik A, Savli H, Simsek T, et al. Mean platelet volume in nasal polyps. The West Indian medical journal. 2013;62(6):515-8

8. Cakır L, Aktas G, Mercimek OB, Enginyurt O, Kaya Y, Mercimek K. Are red cell distribution width and mean platelet volume associated with rheumatoid arthritis. Biomed Res. 2016;27(2):292-4.) 9. Aktas G, Kocak MZ, Duman TT, Erkus E, Atak BM, Sit M, et al. Mean Platelet Volume (MPV) as an inflammatory marker in type 2 diabetes mellitus and obesity. Bali Medical Journal. 2018;7(3):650-3.),

10. Aktaş G, Çakıroğlu B, Şit M, Üyetürk U, Alçelik A, Savlı H, et al. Mean platelet volume: a simple indicator of chronic prostatitis. Acta Medica Mediterranea. 2013; 29: 551-4

11. Cakir L, Aktas G, Enginyurt O, Cakir SA. Mean platelet volume increases in type 2 diabetes mellitus independent of HbA1c level. Acta Medica Mediterranea. 2014;30(2):425-8

12. Aktas G, Sit M, Dikbas O, Tekce BK, Savli H, Tekce H, et al. Could red cell distribution width be a marker in Hashimoto's thyroiditis? Experimental and clinical endocrinology & diabetes: official journal, German Society of Endocrinology [and] German Diabetes Association. 2014;122(10):572-4

13. Ustaoglu M, Aktas G, Avcioglu U, Bas B, Bahceci BK. Elevated platelet distribution width and red cell distribution width are associated with autoimmune liver diseases. European journal of gastroenterology & hepatology. 2021; 33:905-8

14. Tekce H, Kin Tekce B, Aktas G, Tanrisev M, Sit M. The evaluation of red cell distribution width in chronic hemodialysis patients. International journal of nephrology. 2014;2014:754370

15. Montagnana M, Danese E. Red cell distribution width and cancer. Annals of translational medicine. 2016;4(20):399.

16. Saito H, Shimizu S, Shishido Y, Miyatani K, Matsunaga T, Fujiwara Y. Prognostic significance of the combination of preoperative red cell distribution width and platelet distribution width in patients with gastric cancer. BMC cancer. 2021;21(1):1317.

17. Langley BO, Guedry SE, Goldenberg JZ, Hanes DA, Beardsley JA, Ryan JJ. Inflammatory Bowel Disease and Neutrophil-Lymphocyte Ratio: A Systematic Scoping Review. Journal of clinical medicine. 2021;10(18)

18. Aktas G, Sit M, Dikbas O, Erkol H, Altinordu R, Erkus E, et al. Elevated neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in the diagnosis of Hashimoto's thyroiditis. Revista da Associacao Medica Brasileira (1992). 2017;63(12):1065-8.

19. Aktas G, Duman TT, Kurtkulagi O, Tel BMA, Bilgin S, Kahveci G, et al. Liver steatosis is associated both with platelet distribution width, neutrophil/ lymphocyte and monocyte/ lymphocyte ratios. Primary Health Care: Open Access. 2020;10:1-4

20. Afsin H, Aktas G. Platelet to Lymphocyte and Neu-

trophil to Lymphocyte Ratios are useful in differentiation of thyroid conditions with normal and increased uptake. Ethiopian Journal of Health Development. 2021;35:1-5

21. Aktas G. Hematological predictors of novel Coronavirus infection. Revista da Associacao Medica Brasileira (1992). 2021;67:1-2

22. Gou M,Qu T,Wang Z,Yan Cho SK, Jung S, Lee KJ, Kim JW. Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio and platelet to lymphocyte ratio can predict the severity of gallstone pancreatitis. BMC gastroenterology. 2018;18(1):18

23. Si Y, Zhang Y, et al. Neutrophil-to- Lymphocyte Ratio (NLR) Predicts PD-1 Inhibitor Survival in Patients with Metastatic Gastric Cancer. Journal of immunology research. 2021;2021:254929

24. Demir AK, Demirtas A, Kaya SU, Tastan I, Butun I, Sagcan M, et al. The relationship between the neutrophil- lymphocyte ratio and disease activity in patients with ulcerative colitis. The Kaohsiung journal of medical sciences. 2015;31:585-90

25. Atak B, Aktas G, Duman TT, Erkus E, Kocak MZ, Savli H. Diabetes control could through platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio in hemograms. Revista da Associacao Medica Brasileira (1992). 2019;65:38-42

26. Atak BM, Kahveci GB, Bilgin S, Kurtkulagi O, Kosekli MA. Platelet to lymphocyte ratio in differentiation of benign and malignant thyroid nodules. Experimental Biomedical Research. 2021;4:148-53.

27. Zhang X, Zhao W, Yu Y, Qi X, Song L, Zhang C, et al. Clinicopathological and prognostic significance of platelet- lymphocyte ratio (PLR) in gastric cancer: an updated meta-analysis. World journal of surgical oncology. 2020;18:191

28. Kocak MZ, Aktas G, Duman TT, Atak BM, Kurtkulagi O, Tekce H, et al. Monocyte lymphocyte ratio As a predictor of Diabetic Kidney Injury in type 2 Diabetes mellitus; The MADKID Study. Journal of diabetes and metabolic disorders.2020;19:997-1002.

29. Okba AM, Amin MM, Abdelmoaty AS, Ebada HE, Kamel AH, Allam AS, et al. Neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio and lymphocyte/monocyte ratio in ulcerative colitis as non-invasive biomarkers of disease activity and severity. Auto- immunity highlights. 2019;10:4

30. Catal O, Ozer B, Sit M, Aktas G, Erkol H. The role of monocyte to lymphocyt ratio in predicting metastasis in rectal cancer. Annals of Medical Research. 2021;28:527-31.

31. Zhu Y, Zhao W, Mao G. Perioperative lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio changes plus CA19-9 in predicting the prognosis of patients with gastric cancer. Journal of gastrointestinal oncology. 2022;13:1007-21 32. Aksoy EK, Kantarci S, Torgutalp M, Akpinar MY, Sapmaz FP, Yalcin GS, et al. The importance of complete blood count parameters in the screening of gastric cancer. Prz Gastroenterol. 2019;14:183-7 PubMed .

33. Chiba T, Marusawa H, Ushijima T. Inflammation-associated cancer development in digestive organs: mechanisms and roles for genetic and epigenetic modulation. Gastroenterology. 2012;143:550-63 PubMed .

34. Stone RL, Nick AM, McNeish IA, Balkwill F, Han HD, Bottsford-Miller J, et al. Paraneoplastic thrombocytosis in ovarian cancer. N Engl J Med. 2012;366:610-8 PubMed .

35. Ho-Tin-Noe B, Demers M, Wagner DD. How platelets safeguard vascular integrity. J Thromb Haemost. 2011;9 :56-65 PubMed .

36. Ho-Tin-Noe B, Carbo C, Demers M, Cifuni SM, Goerge T, Wagner DD. Innate immune cells induce hemorrhage in tumors during thrombocytopenia. Am J Pathol. 2009;175:1699-708 PubMed .

37. Benoy I, Salgado R, Colpaert C, Weytjens R, Vermeulen PB, Dirix LY. Serum interleukin 6, plasma VEGF, serum VEGF, and VEGF platelet load in breast cancer patients. Clin Breast Cancer. 2002;2:311-5 PubMed .

38. Hwang SG, Kim KM, Cheong JH, Kim HI, An JY, Hyung WJ, et al. Impact of pretreatment thrombocytosis on blood-borne metastasis and prognosis of gastric cancer. Eur J Surg Oncol. 2012;38:562-7 PubMed .

39. Sit M, Aktas G, Ozer B, et al. Mean Platelet Volume: An Overlooked Herald Of Malignant Thyroid Nodules. Acta Clin Croat. 2019;58:417-420 PubMed .

39. Psaila B, Lyden D, Roberts I. Megakaryocytes, malignancy and bone marrow vascular niches. J Thromb Haemost. 2012;10:177-88 PubMed .

40. Karagoz I, Aktas G, Yoldas H, Yildiz I, Ogun MN, Bilgi M, et al. Association between hemogram parameters and survival of critically ill patients. J Intensive Care Med. 2019;34:511–3

41. Yun ZY, Li N, Zhang X, Zhang H, Bu Y, Sun Y, et al. Mean platelet volume, platelet distribution width and carcinoembryonic antigen to discriminate gastric cancer from gastric ulcer. Oncotarget. 2017;8:62600-5 PubMed .

42. Kapsoritakis AN, Koukourakis MI, Sfiridaki A, Potamianos SP, Kosmadaki MG, Koutroubakis IE, et al. Mean platelet volume: a useful marker of inflammatory bowel disease activity. The American journal of gastroenterology. 2001;96:776-81.

43. Martin J, Trowbridge E, Salmon G, Plumb J. The biological significance of platelet volume: its relationship to bleeding time, platelet thromboxane B2 production and megakaryocyte nuclear DNA concentration. Thrombosis research. 1983;32:443-60 PubMed.

44. Förhécz Z, Gombos T, Borgulya G, Pozsonyi Z, Prohászka Z, Jánoskuti L. Red cell distribution width in heart failure: prediction of clinical events and relationship with markers of ineffective erythropoiesis, inflammation, renal function, and nutritional state. American heart journal. 2009;158:659-66.

45. Demir A, Yarali N, Fisgin T, Duru F, Kara A. Most reliable indices in differentiation between thalassemia trait and iron deficiency anemia. Pediatrics International. 2002;44:612-6.

46. Karabulut A, Uzunlar B. Correlation between red cell distribution width and coronary ectasia in the acute myocardial infarction. SAGE Publications Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA; 2012;551-2.

47. Agarwal S. Red cell distribution width, inflammatory markers and cardiorespiratory fitness: results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Indian heart journal. 2012;64:380-7.

48. Yeşil A, Şenateş E, Erdem ED, Demirtunç R, Övünç AOK. Red cell distribution width: a novel marker of activity in inflammatory bowel disease. Gut and liver. 2011;5:460.

49. Spell DW, Jones Jr DV, Harper WF, Bessman JD. The value of a complete blood count in predicting cancer of the colon. Cancer detection and prevention. 2004;28:37-42.

50. Speights V, Johnson M, Stoltenberg P, Rappaport E, Helbert B, Riggs M. Complete blood count indices in colorectal carcinoma. Archives of pathology & laboratory medicine. 1992;116:258-60 PubMed .

51. Warwick R, Mediratta N, Shackcloth M, Shaw M, McShane J, Poullis M. Preoperative red cell distribution width in patients undergoing pulmonary resections for non-small-cell lung cancer. European journal of cardio-thoracic surgery. 2014;45:108-13 PubMed .

52. Seretis C, Seretis F, Lagoudianakis E, Gemenetzis G, Salemis NS. Is red cell distribution width a novel biomarker of breast cancer activity? Data from a pilot study. Journal of clinical medicine research. 2013;5:121. 53. Schreiber RD, Old LJ, Smyth MJ. Cancer immunoed-iting: integrating immunity's roles in cancer suppression and promotion. Science. 2011;33:1565-70 PubMed .

54. Pollard JW. Tumour-educated macrophages promote tumour progression and metastasis. Nature Reviews Cancer. 2004;4:71-8.

55. Eo WK, Chang HJ, Won KY, Choi SI, Kim SH, Chun SW, et al. Absolute monocyte and lymphocyte count prognostic score for patients with gastric cancer. World Journal of Gastroenterology: WJG. 2015;21:2668.

56. Milne K, Alexander C, Webb JR, Sun W, Dillon K, Kalloger SE, et al. Absolute lymphocyte count is associated with survival in ovarian cancer independent of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes. Journal of Translational Medicine. 2012;10:1-12.

57. Cao Y, Ni X, Wang Y, et al. Clinical and prognostic significance of combined plasma fibrinogen concentrations and the monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio in patients with ovarian cancer. Ann Transl Med 2019;7:242

58. Quigley DA, Kristensen V. Predicting prognosis and therapeutic response from interactions between lymphocytes and tumor cells. Molecular oncology. 2015;9:2054-62 PubMed .

59. Chen L, Hao Y, Zhu L, Li S, Zuo Y, Zhang Y, et al. Monocyte to lymphocyte ratio predicts survival in patients with advanced gastric cancer undergoing neoadjuvant chemotherapy. OncoTargets and therapy. 2017;10:4007.