# The relationship between gender and cultural beliefs of malaria into typhoid progression among rural rice farmers in Central Kenya

### Dawit Woldu

University of Houston Clear Lake College of Human Sciences and Humanities, Anthropology and Cross-cultural and Global Studies.

### Abstract:

**Background:** Women have an increased risk of contracting malaria in Kenya and the developing world because of gender roles and the cultural belief systems about disease progression and treatment. Cultural belief systems about illness progression have important implications for gender-based health intervention.

**Objectives:** The main objective of this research is to explore how rice farming Kikuyu ethnic group in the Mwea division of central Kenya cultural beliefs about malaria into typhoid progression. It also aims to examine the association between gender and malaria into typhoid progression cultural belief system.

**Design:** The study employs cross-sectional study design (N=250). Variables related to demographic and cultural beliefs on malaria into typhoid progression were collected using a structured questionnaire.

**Results:** More than 62% of women and 47% of men interviewed adhere to malaria into typhoid progression belief system. Multivariable logistic analysis shows women are more than twice as likely than men to believe in malaria into typhoid progression (aOR 2.15; 95% CI 1.21, 3.79, p < 0.009).

**Conclusion:** The study demonstrates the underlying cultural factors related to the gender disparity in the appropriate knowledge on cultural belief system of malaria into typhoid progression which could influence the overall women's health outcomes in developing countries.

Keywords: Malaria; Typhoid; illness progression; cultural beliefs; gender disparity in Health.

**DOI:** https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v24i3.13

**Cite as:** Woldu D. The relationship between gender and cultural beliefs of malaria into typhoid progression among rural rice farmers in Central Kenya. African Health Sciences. 2024;24(3). 90-97. https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v24i3.13

# Introduction

Malaria continues to be the major cause of morbidity and mortality in many sub-Saharan African countries. Despite remarkable progress against malaria globally, Africa accounts for 90% of malaria cases and 92% of malaria deaths as recently as 2015.<sup>1,2</sup> Women and children are at greater risk of contracting malaria than other demographic groups.<sup>3</sup> Access to anti-malaria drugs and prevention continues to be poor in the region with 69% of pregnant women not receiving 3 doses of IPTp, an intermittent preventive malaria treatment drug.<sup>1</sup> According to the Presidential Malaria Initiative 2016 report, malaria re-

# Corresponding author:

Dawit Woldu, University of Houston Clear Lake College of Human Sciences and Humanities, Anthropology and Cross-cultural and Global Studies Email: woldu@uhcl.edu

mains a major health problem in Kenya with 80% of the population at risk of contracting the disease. Infectious pattern modelling has shown an association between malaria and typhoid which provides a robust co-existence of the two illnesses in vulnerable communities in Africa.<sup>4,5</sup> Agricultural communities, like the one in Mwea, are especially at a greater risk of malaria than other economic sectors because they live in a mosquito prone environment. The gendered nature of rice and other agricultural farming in Kenya and the region put women at greater malaria risk than their men counter parts<sup>3</sup>. Many communities in sub-Saharan Africa are forced to use local and culturally based resources to deal with the threat of malaria. Home-based treatment and the use of herbal-based traditional healers are the primary care providers in many remote villages.6-9

This study adopts a cultural explanatory models of illness theoretical framework.<sup>10,11</sup> This theoretical framework assumes there is culturally embedded ways of identifying

African Health Sciences © 2024 Woldu D. Licensee African Health Sciences. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative commons Attribution License (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/BY/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

and experiencing illness process.<sup>10</sup> It provides a framework how lay people within a specific culture group understand and explain illness causes, symptoms and treatment.<sup>10,11</sup> Different cultures have unique perceptions about the disease prognosis, causes, and treatment. Theunderstanding of how people perceive and diagnose malaria becomes even more important in societies where self-treatment of illness is common. Recognition of the illness, definition, and management are situated in a socio-cultural context and reflect social class, age, gender, and level of education.<sup>7,12,13</sup> The gender factors play both at the cultural perception and diagnosis of the illness<sup>7,14</sup> and the negative consequences of these cultural perceptions.<sup>3,6</sup> Over the years, anthropologists have identified many potential challenges for clinicians and epidemiologists because the indigenous etiology of the illness might not be compatible with the clinical and epidemiological definition of malaria. Many cultures in the African continent overlap malaria symptoms with other infectious illnesses varying by gender and age.15-18

Public health and Anthropological studies from the developing world underline the importance of indigenous cultural construction of illness and illness experience. Previous socio-cultural studies of malaria, in Africa, focused on the local understanding of the disease severity and cross-cultural understanding of malaria. Specifically, these studies focused on how societies understand the causes, diagnosis, and treatment of malaria along gender and age. For example, mothers in the coastal regions of Kenya assign three stages of malaria symptoms in children into mundane, mild, and severe.7 However, this malaria recognition process does not apply to adults. Similarly, studies by Kamat<sup>14</sup> and Langwick<sup>19</sup> in Tanzania showed that Degedege, a clinical malaria but locally considered a different illness, only impacts children. Some cultures may classify clinical malaria symptoms into several distinct illnesses. Most often laypeople in several cultures in different cultures in Africa overlap malaria symptoms with pneumonia, typhoid, and some diarrheal diseases.<sup>20,21</sup> In this case they name the illness as malaria or typhoid depending on the salient symptom combination that defines a specific illness. In some cultures, malaria is lumped into a larger illness category.<sup>15,22</sup> While understanding of the cultural construction of the disease causes, recognition, and treatment is very important, our cultural understanding of the progression of one illness into a different illness along gender lines is poorly understood. There is very limited research both in Kenya and other African cultures that shows the progression of malaria into other forms of diseases and the gender dynamics associated with it.

This study draws a quantitative approach to examining the indigenous beliefs of malaria etiology to illustrate how indigenous cultural understanding of malaria to typhoid progression is constructed among the Kikuyu of central Kenya along gender lines. Communities in Mwea understand malaria and typhoid as an illness in a continuum of severity rather than separate illnesses with different causes and symptoms.<sup>6</sup> The study hypothesizes there is a gender difference about the cultural understanding of malaria to typhoid progression.

### Materials and methods

Setting: The study is based on a 13 month-long study of a cross-sectional survey study. I conducted this research in collaboration with the Kenyan Medical Research Institute (KEMRI). This research was conducted in Mwea Division of Central Kenya about 100km northeast of Nairobi. The research site lays on the swampy rice fields at the bottom of Mount Kenya. Malaria is endemic and the number one health problem in this division.<sup>3</sup> Mwea has a conducive climatic conditions for the breeding of malaria vectors, with an annual rain fall range of 1200-1600mm per year with some seasonal variability.23 The site is located at about 1162 meters above sea level with annual mean temperature of 19.7-23.9 degree Celsius.24 Mwea represents the economic and cultural hub of the surrounding rural communities with rapid urbanization and economic expansion. Much of the economic growth and urbanization is driven by government and community sector supported rice irrigation. About 90% of Kenyan rice supplies comes from this division. It is a relatively culturally diverse but heavily inhabited by Kikuyu speakers. Despite the presence of modern hospitals and private pharmacies, most people in rural areas self-treat infections and other illness.3

**Research Design:** The study uses a cross-sectional non-randomized study. The data collection took place in two phases over a 13-month period between 2010-2013.

A cross-sectional study was conducted to understand the level of community congruency to the malaria to typhoid progression cultural belief system. More specifically, its main objective was to explore the association between the different exposure variables with the outcome variable of interest. Furthermore, the objective was to examine the variables that best predict malaria to typhoid progression.

This cross-sectional survey employed a purposive sampling with 250 informants, with an equal number of men and women from three non-irrigated villages, four irrigated villages, and the Mwea town. The survey questionnaire collected data on socio-cultural, ecological, and cultural health beliefs.

Compliance with Ethnical Standards: Interview questionnaires and consent forms were translated to local languages (Kiswahili and Kikuyu) by a local language expert. All participants were briefed on the goal and purpose of the study and were asked to participate in the study voluntarily. Informed consent was obtained from all individuals included in the study. No names or other identifying information were included in the questionnaire and participants were guaranteed confidentiality of information. Interviews were collected in a secured place ithin private residences or workplaces. Most of the interviews were conducted in Swahili and Kikuyu with a few in English. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the author's University Institutional Review Board (IRB) and KEMRI's Scientific Steering Committee and Ethical Review Committee.

#### Variables

#### **Dependent Variables**

The dependent variable was a question that assessed an individual's beliefs about malaria to typhoid progression. Based on the responses, the variable was dichotomized as "Yes" and "No"; "Yes" implying individuals' belief in malaria to typhoid progression and "No" indicating individuals do not believe in malaria to typhoid progression.

#### Independent variables

The study assessed several exposures including age (<30,

30-55, >55), gender (female, male), level of education (no education, primary education, secondary education or more), belief in non-mosquito causes (yes or no), bednet use (all the time, sometimes, never); and distance to the hospital (>1 hour, 2 hours > 2 hours), and Self ascribed Socio-economic Status (SES) (high/middle and low income). The study employed socially, and locally meaningful SES measurement based on previous studies, in which respondents were asked to which of the locally used SES category they belong to.<sup>3,6</sup>

#### Analysis

The survey data was assessed using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC). Descriptive statistics were used to summarize and describe the distribution of different variables. Using chi-square test statistic, bivariate analysis was performed to determine association between outcome and all the exposure variables. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to determine exposures that were significantly associated with the outcome of interest. To control for confounding, all variables were retained in the multivariable logistic regression regardless of statistical significance in the bivariate analysis. Odds ratio (OR), 95% confidence interval (95% CI), and p-value were determined for each of the independent variables. P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Potential multicollinearity was assessed using variance inflation factor and tolerance. Results revealed that the regression analysis was not prone to multicollinearity.

#### Results

The purposive analytical sample included 250 study participants. Overall, 49.6% study participants were 31-55 years old, 50.4% were male, 51.2% had primary level education, 57.3% belonged to middle/high income category, 16.1% lived in urban areas, 40.3% did not possess any land, 3.2% did not use bed net, 55.2% believed the etiology of malaria was not mosquito related, and 54.8% believed in malaria to typhoid progression (Table 1). The analysis shows 62.7% of females and 47.2% males believe malaria to typhoid progression. In ,the bivariate analysis gender and bed net use were significantly associated with the belief in malaria to typhoid transmission (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Characteristics of the study sample by Malaria to Typhoid progression (n=248)

	Overall	Malaria to typhoid		
		No	Yes	
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	p value
Age			. /	0.872
<30 years	71 (28.6)	32 (45.1)	39 (54.9)	
31 - 55 years	123 (49.6)	54 (43.9)	69 (56.1)	
>55 years	54 (21.8)	26 (48.2)	28 (51.8)	
Gender	. ()	_== ()	(	0.015
Female	123 (49.6)	46 (37.4)	77 (62.6)	
Male	125 (50.4)	66 (52.8)	59 (47.2)	
Education			(,,,_)	0.404
None	25 (10.1)	15 (60.0)	10 (40.0)	
Primary	127 (51.2)	53 (41.7)	74 (58.3)	
Secondary	86 (34.7)	39 (45.4)	47 (54.6)	
Tertiary	10 (4.0)	5 (50.0)	5 (50.0)	
Self-ascribed SES	( )	~ /	( )	0.209
Low income	106 (42.7)	43 (40.6)	63 (59.4)	
Middle/high income	142 (57.3)	69 (48.6)	73 (51.4)	
Residence	· · · ·		( )	0.322
Non irrigated	89 (35.9)	35 (39.3)	54 (60.7)	
Irrigated	119 (48.0)	56 (47.1)	63 (52.9)	
Urban	40 (16.1)	21 (52.5)	19 (47.5)	
Land in hectars	( )		( )	0.581
No land	100 (40.3)	44 (44.0)	56 (56.0)	
1-2 hectares	87 (35.1)	37 (42.5)	50 (57.5)	
>2 hectares	61 (24.6)	31 (50.8)	30 (49.2)	
Bed net use				0.031
0	8 (3.2)	5 (62.5)	3 (37.5)	
1	24 (9.7)	17 (70.8)	7 (29.2)	
2	28 (11.3)	10 (35.7)	18 (64.3)	
3	188 (75.8)	80 (42.6)	108 (57.4)	
Time to travel to clinic/hospital				0.078
Less than 1 hour	203 (81.9)	97 (47.8)	106 (52.2)	
About 2 hours	45 (18.1)	15 (33.3)	30 (66.7)	
Wait for care				0.218
Less than 1 hour	97 (39.1)	45 (46.4)	52 (53.6)	
About 2 hours	75 (30.2)	28 (37.3)	47 (62.7)	
More than 2 hours	76 (30.7)	39 (51.3)	37 (48.7)	
Non mosquito causes				0.078
No	111 (44.8)	57 (51.4)	54 (48.6)	
Yes	137 (55.2)	55 (40.2)	82 (59.8)	
Malaria to typhoid	、 <i>/</i>	. ,	、 <i>,</i>	
No	112 (45.2)			
Yes	136 (54.8)			

Abbreviations: SES: Socioeconomic status, n: Frequency, % : Percentage;

P-values are calculated using chi-square test

Both the unadjusted and adjusted logistic regression analysis found a significant association between gender and belief about malaria to typhoid progression. The odds of belief in malaria to typhoid transmission were higher among females compared to males (crude OR, 95% CI) (1.87, 1.13 - 3.11), p= 0.015. Even after adjusting for potential confounders, the odds of belief in malaria to typhoid transmission were higher among females compared to males (adjusted OR, 95% CI) (2.15, 1.21 - 3.79), p=0.009 (Table 2).. There was no significant association between the outcome variable and education, SES, residence, age, land ownership, wait time for care, time to travel to the clinic, and non-mosquito causes.

Table 7 Fastan	and an interd wi	th Malania to	Trunhaid	mean and and	atudar	vominhlas
Table 2. Factors	associated wi	ui maiana to	i i ypnoid	progression and	study	variables
			~ .			

Age       Reference       Reference $31 - 55$ years $1.05 (0.58, 1.89)$ $0.875$ $1.14 (0.60, 2.16)$ $0.692$ >55 years $0.88 (0.44, 1.80)$ $0.733$ $1.49 (0.63, 3.56)$ $0.365$ Gender       Reference       Reference       Reference         Male       Reference       Reference       Reference         Female $1.87 (1.13, 3.11)^*$ $0.015$ $2.15 (1.21, 3.79)^{**}$ $0.009$ Education       Reference       Reference       Reference       Primary $2.09 (0.87, 5.02)$ $0.098$ $2.22 (0.78, 6.32)$ $0.136$ Secondary $1.81 (0.73, 4.47)$ $0.200$ $2.81 (0.91, 8.70)$ $0.073$ Tertiary $1.50 (0.34, 6.56)$ $0.590$ $3.08 (0.60, 15.97)$ $0.180$ SES       Low income       Reference       Reference       Reference	
$\leq$ 30 years         Reference         Reference           31 - 55 years         1.05 (0.58, 1.89)         0.875         1.14 (0.60, 2.16)         0.692           >55 years         0.88 (0.44, 1.80)         0.733         1.49 (0.63, 3.56)         0.365           Gender         Male         Reference         Reference         Reference           Female         1.87 (1.13, 3.11)*         0.015         2.15 (1.21, 3.79)**         0.009           Education         Reference         Reference         Reference         Primary         2.09 (0.87, 5.02)         0.098         2.22 (0.78, 6.32)         0.136           Secondary         1.81 (0.73, 4.47)         0.200         2.81 (0.91, 8.70)         0.073           Tertiary         1.50 (0.34, 6.56)         0.590         3.08 (0.60, 15.97)         0.180           SES         Low income         Reference         Reference         Reference	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
>55 years         0.88 (0.44, 1.80)         0.733         1.49 (0.63, 3.56)         0.365           Gender         Male         Reference         Reference         Reference           Female         1.87 (1.13, 3.11)*         0.015         2.15 (1.21, 3.79)**         0.005           Education         Reference         Reference         Reference         Primary         2.09 (0.87, 5.02)         0.098         2.22 (0.78, 6.32)         0.136           Secondary         1.81 (0.73, 4.47)         0.200         2.81 (0.91, 8.70)         0.073           Tertiary         1.50 (0.34, 6.56)         0.590         3.08 (0.60, 15.97)         0.180           SES         Low income         Reference         Reference         Reference	
Gender         Reference         Reference           Female         1.87 (1.13, 3.11)*         0.015         2.15 (1.21, 3.79)**         0.009           Education         Reference         Reference           Primary         2.09 (0.87, 5.02)         0.098         2.22 (0.78, 6.32)         0.136           Secondary         1.81 (0.73, 4.47)         0.200         2.81 (0.91, 8.70)         0.073           Tertiary         1.50 (0.34, 6.56)         0.590         3.08 (0.60, 15.97)         0.186           SES         Low income         Reference         Reference	į
Male         Reference         Reference           Female         1.87 (1.13, 3.11)*         0.015         2.15 (1.21, 3.79)**         0.009           Education         Reference         Reference           None         Reference         0.098         2.22 (0.78, 6.32)         0.136           Secondary         1.81 (0.73, 4.47)         0.200         2.81 (0.91, 8.70)         0.073           Tertiary         1.50 (0.34, 6.56)         0.590         3.08 (0.60, 15.97)         0.186           SES         Low income         Reference         Reference	
Female         1.87 (1.13, 3.11)*         0.015         2.15 (1.21, 3.79)**         0.009           Education         Reference         Reference         0.015         0.015         0.015         0.015         0.015         0.015         0.015         0.015         0.015         0.015         0.015         0.015         0.009 <td></td>	
Education         Reference         Reference           Primary         2.09 (0.87, 5.02)         0.098         2.22 (0.78, 6.32)         0.136           Secondary         1.81 (0.73, 4.47)         0.200         2.81 (0.91, 8.70)         0.073           Tertiary         1.50 (0.34, 6.56)         0.590         3.08 (0.60, 15.97)         0.186           SES         Low income         Reference         Reference	)
None         Reference         Reference           Primary         2.09 (0.87, 5.02)         0.098         2.22 (0.78, 6.32)         0.136           Secondary         1.81 (0.73, 4.47)         0.200         2.81 (0.91, 8.70)         0.073           Tertiary         1.50 (0.34, 6.56)         0.590         3.08 (0.60, 15.97)         0.186           SES         Low income         Reference         Reference	
Primary         2.09 (0.87, 5.02)         0.098         2.22 (0.78, 6.32)         0.136           Secondary         1.81 (0.73, 4.47)         0.200         2.81 (0.91, 8.70)         0.073           Tertiary         1.50 (0.34, 6.56)         0.590         3.08 (0.60, 15.97)         0.186           SES         Reference	
Secondary         1.81 (0.73, 4.47)         0.200         2.81 (0.91, 8.70)         0.073           Tertiary         1.50 (0.34, 6.56)         0.590         3.08 (0.60, 15.97)         0.180           SES         Low income         Reference         Reference	j
Tertiary         1.50 (0.34, 6.56)         0.590         3.08 (0.60, 15.97)         0.180           SES         Low income         Reference         Reference	,
SES Low income Reference Reference	)
Low income Reference Reference	
Middle/high income 0.72 (0.43, 1.20) 0.210 0.72 (0.40, 1.27) 0.252	
Ruralurban	
Non irrigated Reference Reference	
Irrigated 0.73 (0.42, 1.27) 0.267 1.11 (0.54, 2.29) 0.772	
Urban 0.59 (0.28, 1.24) 0.164 0.51 (0.21, 1.26) 0.144	;
Land in hectars	
No land Reference Reference	
1-2 hectars 1.06 (0.59, 1.90) 0.840 1.14 (0.60, 2.18) 0.685	į
>2 hectars 0.76 (0.40, 1.44) 0.401 0.82 (0.40, 1.66) 0.576	j
Bednet use	
0 Reference Reference	
1 0.69 (0.13, 3.69) 0.661 0.82 (0.13, 5.18) 0.835	į
2 3.00 (0.59, 15.26) 0.186 3.42 (0.59, 19.93) 0.171	
3 2.25 (0.52, 9.69) 0.276 2.57 (0.51, 12.87) 0.252	,
Time to travel to clinic/hospital	
Less than 1 hour Reference Reference	
About 2 hours         1.83 (0.93, 3.61)         0.081         1.36 (0.61, 3.05)         0.449	1
Wait for care	
Less than 1 hour Reference Reference	
About 2 hours         1.45 (0.79, 2.69)         0.234         1.41 (0.70, 2.84)         0.341	
More than 2 hours         0.82 (0.45, 1.50)         0.520         0.76 (0.38, 1.49)         0.416	1
Non mosquito causes	
No Reference Reference	
Yes 1.57 (0.95, 2.61) 0.079 1.50 (0.84, 2.67) 0.173	

Abbreviations: SES: Socioeconomic status, OR: Odds ratio, CI: Confidence interval; \* p<0.05; \*\* p<0.01

#### Discussion

The findings of the present study underscore malaria into typhoid progression is a widely held cultural belief system among the Kikuyu communities of Mwea with a significant of gender differences. However, this cultural belief system is not supported by clinical and scientific studies. This means people who believe on malaria into typhoid progression could potentially self-treat the illness with wrong medication that could have a negative health outcome. Self-treatment is the most common treatment seeking behavior in rural Kenya and countries in the region.<sup>3,9</sup> Previous studies validate this finding by showing gender-based differences in the perception and experiences of illnesses.<sup>3,7,22</sup> Earlier studies from Kenya and the region also support the results of this study by showing women of low socio-economic and educational status, with limited access to outside information (restricted to local cultural knowledge), are more likely to experience worse health outcomes and lack of reliable knowledge about diseases than women of higher socio-economic and educational status.<sup>26-28</sup> These social and cultural factors potentially contribute to this gender disparity and the adherence of culturally ingrained health belief systems. Gender roles and expectations in many cultures lead to gender inequity in health.<sup>29-31</sup> Furthermore, the cultural and institutional inequalities limit women's access to other knowledge sources and restricts them to culturally prescribed knowledge.<sup>32</sup> Lack of decision-making power, limited economic opportunity, and power inequalities also add to the overall gender disparity in health.<sup>33</sup> In the current study, compared to females, males were more likely to have secondary or tertiary education and report higher self-ascribed SES, although these gender differences were not statistically significant.

The study findings that women have higher adherence to malaria to typhoid progression beliefs than men is also consistent with other studies that show there is a greater social expectation that women have to stick to culturally prescribed ideas and practices. <sup>34,35</sup> In many cultures around the world, women are expected to be loyal to their culture and follow it accordingly. Women who do not adhere to culturally prescribed ideas and beliefs are in many cases stigmatized or ostracized by both men and women in society.<sup>36</sup> Women are not given the cultural and social space to question or do things outside of the cultural norm. The findings are important, confirming how gender inequality in health is woven in a web of oppressive cultural, and social systems that are associated with negative women health outcomes.<sup>37</sup> Not only are traditional gender roles putting women at a greater health risk, but their inability to access information outside of their cultural space and questioning established cultural beliefs contributes to this elevated health risk.33 Access to education for women in Kenya and many other developing countries is limited compared to their male counterparts.<sup>6,32</sup> The results of this study show how the extent and nature of indigenous cultural ideas help create a unique knowledge about the progression of one illness into another disease with significant gender differences.

This study is crucial to broaden our knowledge of the social, cultural, and structural forces that create gender health disparity in rural communities in the developing world. Belief systems are central to individual response to the treatment process. In most cases, culture labels the illness and defines the treatment.<sup>8</sup> An individual's compliance with treatment is a function of their cultural belief congruency to the prescribing medical system.<sup>10,38</sup> Belief systems decide the course of treatment-seeking steps individuals should take. The study also provides vital contribution to the cultural context and the social meaning associated with malaria that has critical implications on gender-based health intervention strategies. This will play a major role in developing gender targeted health inter-

vention and control in rural communities in the developing world. This study will also have contribution in the advancement of social theories and concepts to understand social process to gender health disparity.

However, this study is not without limitation. The study is based on self-reporting which might impact the validity of the study findings. Furthermore, this is not a randomized study; therefore, selection and social desirability related biases might impact the quality of the study results. Finally, the study did not do a lab test to rule out co-confection of malaria and typhoid based on gender that has the potential to bias the study result.

# Conclusion

Cultural belief systems play an important role in diseases diagnosis and treatment in many developing countries. The current result from this study emphasizes the urgency for integration of cultural and indigenous beliefs in our response to diseases. Significant gender-based differences in malaria to typhoid progression perceptions in this study highlights the need for gender-focused infectious diseases intervention in Mwea and other communities in the region. Many factors could influence women's health inequalities that includes lack of appropriate knowledge about malaria and typhoid. Access to knowledge, education and resources is not gender neutral. In many societies in the world, especially in the developing world, women's access to knowledge, education, and resources is very limited which negatively impacts their overall health outcomes. The results from this study may benefit in devising appropriate infectious diseases prevention and control programs that specifically target women and other vulnerable populations among rural communities in the developing world.

# **Declaration of Interest**

There are no competing financial or personal interests that influence the work reported on this paper.

# Funding

The data collection and manuscript writing of this study was funded by University of Florida Graduate Minority program. The content is exclusively the responsibility of the author and does not necessarily suggest the official views of the University of Florida or the authors current institution.

### Acknowledgement

I thank the University of Florida Office of Graduate Minority Programs for funding this study. I also thank Dr. Zelalem Haile, College of Osteopathic medicine at Ohio University for helping me analyze the data. I also thank my colleagues Dr. Christine Kovic and Dr. Giazu Enciso Dominguez for providing me important feedback. I am grateful to the Kenyan Medical Research Institute (KEM-RI) for supporting this study and hosting me during my fieldwork. I would like also to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable and constructive comments.

### References

1. WHO U, Mathers C. Global strategy for women's, children's and adolescents' health (2016-2030). Organization. 2017;2016(9).

2. Ackumey MM, Gyapong M, Pappoe M, Kwakye-Maclean C, Weiss MG. Illness meanings and experiences for pre-ulcer and ulcer conditions of Buruli ulcer in the Ga-West and Ga-South Municipalities of Ghana. *BMC Public Health.* 2012;12(1):264.

3. Woldu DO, Haile ZT. Gender roles and perceptions of malaria risk in agricultural communities of Mwea Division in Central Kenya. *Women & Health.* 2015;55(2):227-243.

4. Eze E, Ukwah B, Okafor P, Ugwu K. Prevalence of malaria and typhoid co-infections in University of Nigeria, Nsukka District of Enugu State, Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 2011;10(11):2135-2143.

5. Mushayabasa S, Bhunu CP, Mhlanga NA. Modeling the transmission dynamics of typhoid in malaria endemic settings. *Applications and Applied Mathematics: An International Journal*. (AAM). 2014;9(1):9.

6. Woldu DO. The influence of socio-cultural and environmental factors on malaria risk and management in Mwea division of Central Kenya: University of Florida; 2013.

7. Mwenesi H, Harpham T, Snow RW. Child malaria treatment practices among mothers in Kenya. *Social Science & Medicine*. 1995;40(9):1271-1277.

8. Erickson PI. Ethnomedicine: Waveland Press; 2007.

9. Mukungu N, Abuga K, Okalebo F, Ingwela R, Mwangi J. Medicinal plants used for management of malaria among the Luhya community of Kakamega East sub-County, Kenya. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*. 2016;194:98-107.

10. Kleinman A, Eisenberg L, Good B. Culture, illness, and care: clinical lessons from anthropologic and cross-cultural research. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 1978;88(2):251-

258.

11. Lynch E, Medin D. Explanatory models of illness: a study of within-culture variation. *Cognitive Psychology*. 2006;53(4):285-309.

12. Essé C, Utzinger J, Tschannen AB, et al. Social and cultural aspects of malaria'and its control in central Côte d'Ivoire. *Malaria Journal.* 2008;7(1):224.

13. Nuwaha F. Factors influencing the use of bed nets in Mbarara municipality of Uganda. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 2001;65(6):877-882.

14. Kamat VR. Dying under the bird's shadow: Narrative representations of Degedege and child survival among the Zaramo of Tanzania. *Medical Anthropology Quarterly*. 2008;22(1):67-93.

15. Nichter M. Global health: Why cultural perceptions, social representations, and biopolitics matter. Tuscon, Arizona: University of Arizona Press; 2008.

16. Font F, Alonso Gonzalez M, Nathan R, et al. Diagnostic accuracy and case management of clinical malaria in the primary health services of a rural area in South-Eastern Tanzania. *Tropical Medicine & International Health.* 2001;6(6):423-428.

17. Olaleye B, Williams L, D'alessandro U, et al. Clinical predictors of malaria in Gambian children with fever or a history of fever. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 1998;92(3):300-304.

18. English M, Punt J, Mwangi I, McHugh K, Marsh K. Clinical overlap between malaria and severe pneumonia in African children in hospital. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 1996;90(6):658-662.

19. Langwick SA. Devils, parasites, and fierce needles: Healing and the politics of translation in Southern Tanzania. *Science, Technology, & Human Values.* 2007;32(1):88-117.

20. O'dempsey T, McArdla T, Laurence B, Lamont A, Todd J, Greenwood B. Overlap in the clinical features of pneumonia and malaria in African children. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 1993;87(6):662-665.

21. Ammah A, Nkuo-Akenji T, Ndip R, Deas J. An update on concurrent malaria and typhoid fever in Cameroon. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 1999;93(2):127-129.

22. Mitiku I, Assefa A. Caregivers' perception of malaria and treatment-seeking behaviour for under five children in Mandura District, West Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. *Malar J*. Apr 8 2017;16(1):144.

23. Okech B, Mujuzi G, Ogwal A, Shirai H, Horii T,

Egwang TG. High titers of IgG antibodies against Plasmodium falciparum serine repeat antigen 5 (SERA5) are associated with protection against severe malaria in Ugandan children. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 2006;74(2):191-197.

24. Samejima H, Katsura K, Kikuta M, et al. Analysis of rice yield response to various cropping seasons to develop optimal cropping calendars in Mwea, Kenya. Plant Production Science. 2020/07/02 2020;23(3):297-305.

25. Mickey RM, Greenland S. The impact of confounder selection criteria on effect estimation. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 1989;129(1):125-137.

26. Makayoto LA, Omolo J, Kamweya AM, Harder VS, Mutai J. Prevalence and associated factors of intimate partner violence among pregnant women attending Kisumu District Hospital, Kenya. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*. 2013;17(3):441-447.

27. Kimuna S, Tenkorang EY, Djamba Y. Ethnicity and Intimate Partner Violence in Kenya. *Journal of Family Issues.* 2018:0192513X18766192.

28. Lawoko S, Dalal K, Jiayou L, Jansson B. Social inequalities in intimate partner violence: a study of women in Kenya. *Violence and Victims*. 2007;22(6):773.

29. Van de Velde S, Bracke P, Levecque K. Gender differences in depression in 23 European countries. Cross-national variation in the gender gap in depression. *Social science & Medicine*. 2010;71(2):305-313.

30. Sen G, Östlin P. Gender inequity in health: why it exists and how we can change it: Taylor & Francis; 2008.

31. Bhalotra S, Rawlings SB. Intergenerational persistence

in health in developing countries: The penalty of gender inequality? *Journal of Public Economics.* 2011;95(3-4):286-299.

32. Okeke T, Okafor H, Uzochukwu B. Traditional healers in Nigeria: perception of cause, treatment and referral practices for severe malaria. *Journal of Biosocial Science*. 2006;38(4):491-500.

33. Seidu AA, Aboagye, R.G.,Okyere, J., Agbemavi, W., Akpeke, M., Budu, E.,..& Ahinkorah, B.O. Women's autonomy in household decision-making and safer sex negotiation in Sub-Saharan Africa: An analysis of data from 27 Demographic and Health Surveys. *SSM-Population Health*, 14, 100773. 2021.

34. Kulick D, Meneley A. Fat: The anthropology of an obsession: Jeremy P. Tarcher/Penguin; 2005.

35. Viki GT, Abrams D. But she was unfaithful: Benevolent sexism and reactions to rape victims who violate traditional gender role expectations. *Sex Roles.* 2002;47(5-6):289-293.

36. Counihan CM. Around the Tuscan table: Food, family, and gender in twentieth century Florence: Routledge; 2004.

37. Goicolea I, Ohman A, Vives-Cases C. Intersections between gender and other relevant social determinants of health inequalities. *Glob Health Action*. Jan - Dec 2017;10(sup2):1397909.

38. Sankar A, Neufeld S, Berry R, Luborsky M. Cultural rationales guiding medication adherence among African American with HIV/AIDS. *AIDS Patient Care and STDs*. 2011;25(9):547-555.