

Predictors of smoking initiation among schoolchildren in Tunisia: a 4 years cohort study

*Harrabi I¹, Chahed H¹; Maatoug J¹, Gaha J¹, Essoussi S², Ghannem H¹,

1. Service of Epidemiology and Biostatistics University Hospital Farhat Hached, Sousse, Tunisia

2. Service of Paediatrics University Hospital Farhat Hached, Sousse, Tunisia

Abstract

Objective: To identify predictors of smoking initiation among non smoking Tunisian school children; and to propose efficient antismoking strategies in order to prevent smoking initiation.

Methods: It was a cohort study surveying prospectively for four years pupils attending schools in Sousse city in Tunisia. 441 non smoking pupils aged 13-15 years attending secondary schools in Sousse.

Data were collected by a self administered questionnaire during class session. Two ways cross tabulation, univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were the main analytical methods.

Results: 57.1% of the surveyed population were girls, 42.9 % were boys. 63% had at least one of their peers who smoked. Before 1999, 16.6% had already tried to smoke, 29.5% had already experienced alcohol. In 2003, smoking prevalence was 17%. 4.8% were girls; 33.3% of boys; $p < 0,001$). 69.9 % of these smokers declared that they would carry on smoking during the following five years. Predictors which were highly associated with smoking initiation were previous experimentation with alcohol and tobacco, having a smoking best friend, lack of sensitization from the part of the school, believing that smoking makes one feel cool and that tobacco shouldn't be forbidden in public places.

Conclusion: Intervention programs should target young children to avoid experiencing the first cigarette. Multidisciplinary management including community and school based intervention highlighted by mass media campaigns may provide schoolchildren with skills to resist smoking peers prompts to adopt unhealthy habits such as smoking.

Key words: Epidemiology, smoking initiation, adolescent, follow- up study

African Health Sciences 2009; 9(3): 147-152

Introduction

Smoking is one of the leading public health problems worldwide¹. According to the world health organisation, tobacco use, known as the first cause of preventable death and associated to cancer, cardiovascular and lung diseases² caused 4 million deaths in the year 2000. This figure is expected to rise to 10 millions by the year 2030, as tobacco use spreads (particularly in the developing countries)^{3,4}. In fact, according to the FAO report, total cigarette consumption increased in developing countries by 3.7 percent per annum, a rate almost ten times higher than

that of developed countries. Moreover, world cigarette production increased from 3 million tonnes in 1970 to 5.6 million tonnes in 2000 due to increasing production in developing countries. However, in developed countries, cigarette production shows only a small increase from 2 million tonnes in 1971 to 2.5 million tonnes in 1991⁵. In 1996, Peto et al estimated that unless current trends changed, some 30-40% of the 2.3 billion children and teenagers in the world would become smokers in early adult life and about 250 million of these future smokers will die because of smoking⁶. Effective measures, adopted in developed countries to limit the spread of smoking, have proved to make a difference by reducing, or at least slowing the increase in tobacco use: Prevalence of smoking adults has decreased⁷. However the smoking rate among teenagers remains high without any sign of decrease^{8,9,10}. Manufacturers have benefited from the globalization of trade, creating big increases in domestic tobacco consumption and imports of tobacco products in many developing nations³. Worldwide, the tobacco industry

*Correspondence author:

Dr. Imed Harrabi

Service of Epidemiology and Biostatistics

University Hospital Farhat Hached

4000 Sousse, Tunisia

E-mail: imed_harrabi@yahoo.fr

Fax: 0021673224899

Tel: 0021695078222

spends tens of billions of dollars a year on marketing. The global tobacco industry now exploits the developing world by lobbying tactics perfected in the developed world including pressuring governments to block marketing restrictions and tax increases¹¹.

In Tunisia, tobacco use is a well established behaviour. In fact, smoking prevalence among adults was estimated at 30.4% in 1996, 55.6% among men versus 5.2% among women¹². Adolescent smoking prevalence was estimated at 12.1% (21.3% among boys versus 3.3% among girls) (13); age of initiation was estimated at 15 years old¹⁴.

Unfortunately, no prospective study was conducted to analyse the evolution of Tunisian teens' smoking behaviour, attitudes and knowledge. These study objectives were to identify factors that lead Tunisian school children to start smoking and to propose efficient antismoking strategies in order to prevent smoking initiation and to build tobacco control coalitions.

Methods

Population and study design

It is a cohort study surveying, prospectively for 4 years, 453 students attending schools in Sousse city.

Baseline data were obtained as a part of a randomised investigation about the cardiovascular diseases risk factors among 13-15 year old pupils attending schools in Sousse city (Tunisia) in 1999. The total initial number of students was 789. After four years (2003), the questionnaire was filled in by pupils present in their classrooms at that day.

Among those who completed the questionnaire in 2003, there were 453 pupils who had already completed the questionnaire in 1999. There was no statistical difference between the baseline population characteristics and the cohort population reviewed in 2003. Non smokers were pupils who didn't smoke even a cigarette during the last year. Those who had smoked at least some puffs were considered as smokers. Our interest was in those who were not smokers in 1999. They represented 441 pupils.

Data collection

Data were collected anonymously by a self administrated questionnaire during a class session with the collaboration of teachers and parents. This survey assessed: socioeconomic characteristics, smokers in the pupil's household and at school, alcohol consumption, past experimentation with tobacco before 1999, attitude

toward smoking, knowledge about harmful effects of tobacco. None of the pupils explicitly refused to participate in this survey.

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using the SPSS software. The χ^2 statistic or t tests were used as appropriate. Statistical significance was determined at the $p < 0.05$ level throughout. A univariate and multivariate logistic regression models were used to identify baseline predictor variables for smoking status over the four years follow up. The dependent variable was to be smokers in 2003.

Results

Demographic data:

Our study population was composed of 441 pupils aged between 13 and 15 years old (57.1% were girls, 42.9% were boys). All of them were initially not smokers in 1999.

75.1% had more than three brothers and sisters. The majority (93%) were living in an intact nuclear family where both parents were present. Less than 40% of these pupils had both working parents. More than 75% had an individual bedroom. 4.5% were sedentary; 95.7% watched TV daily whereas only 20% had a PC at home.

Before 1999, 16.6% have already tried to smoke; 29.5% have already started drinking alcohol. 63% of these students had at least one of their siblings who smoked as shown in table 1.

Table 1 : Baseline socioeconomic characteristics of the studied population

Variable at baseline	Total		Girls		Boys	
	N (%)	Smokers (%)	N (%)	Smokers (%)	N (%)	Smokers (%)
Sex						
Male	189 (42.9)	33.30%	-	-	-	-
Female	252(57.1)	4.80%				
Age						
13	295(66.9)	15.90%	166(65.9)	4.80%	129 (68.3)	30.20%
14	95(21.5)	21.10%	52 (20.6)	7.70%	43(22.8)	37.20%
15	51(11.6)	15.70%	34 (13.5)	0%	17(9)	47.10%
Brothers/ sisters number						
<3	110(75.1)	12.70%	76 (30.2)	1.30%	34(18)	38.20%
e"3	331(75.1)	18.40%	176(69.8)	6.30%	155(82)	32.30%
Parents are present						
No	31(7)	19.40%	20 (7.9)	15%	11(5.8)	27.30%
Yes	410 (93)	16.80%	232(92.1)	3.90%	178 (94.2)	33.70%
Sleep alone						
No	109(24.7)	24.80%	206 (81.7)	3.90%	126 (66.7)	31.70%
Yes	332(75.3)	14.50%	46 (18.3)	0.70%	63 (33.3)	36.50%
Pocket money value						
<5dinars	308(69.8)	10.40%	202(80.2)	2%	106(56.1)	26.40%
5 to 10 dinars	92(20.9)	27.20%	39(15.5)	15.40%	53(28)	35.80%
> 10 dinars	41(9.3)	43.90%	11 (4.4)	18.20%	30 (15.9)	53.30%
Overweight						
No	343(77.8)	15.20%	193(76.6)	4.10%	150 (79.4)	29.30%
Yes	98(22.2)	23.50%	59 (23.4)	6.80%	39 (20.6)	48.70%
Sedentarity						
No	421(95.5)	28.50%	240 (95.2)	4.60%	181 (95.8)	33.10%
Yes	20(4.5)	20%	12(4.8)	8.30%	8(4.2)	37.50%
Have a personal computer						
No	353(80)	14.20%	214 (84.9)	4.20%	139 (73.5)	29.50%
Yes	88(20)	28.40%	38(15.1)	7.90%	50 (26.5)	44%
To show TV						
No	19(4.3)	5.30%	12(4.8)	0%	7 (3.7)	14.30%
Yes	421(95.7)	17.60%	240(95.2)	5%	181(96.3)	34.30%
Ever smoke before 1999						
No	368(83.4)	0.40%	197 (78.5)	0.50%	68(36)	0%
Yes	73(16.6)	42.30%	54 (21.5)	20.40%	121(64)	52.10%
Ever drink alcohol						
No	310 (70.5)	5.16%	216 (85.7)	0.90%	94 (50)	14.90%
Yes	130(29.5)	44.61%	36 (14.3)	27.80%	94(50)	51.10%
Smoking siblings						
No	160 (37)	15%	81(32.9)	3.70%	79(42.5)	26.60%
Yes	272 (63)	18.40%	165(67.1)	5.50%	107(57.5)	38.30%

Smoking status

In 2003, smokers prevalence was 17% (4.8% of girls, 33.3% of boys; $p < 10^{-3}$). The mean of tobacco consumption was 2.51 (+/- 0.99 SD) cigarette per day. Over 69, 9% of these adolescents who started smoking in 2003, declared that they would remain smokers in the following 5 years (72.1% of smoking boys, 58.3% of smoking girls; $p = 0.34$). Regular

smokers represented 22.7% (16.7% of girls, 61.3% of boys; $p = 0.005$).

Predictors of smoking initiation

Based on the univariate analysis, thirteen potential predictors of smoking initiation were retained for multivariate analysis. In Table 2, we reported the independent factors that remained significantly

associated with smoking initiation in multivariate analysis. Predictors of smoking were: sex, pocket money value, previous experience with alcohol and tobacco, having a smoking best friend, lack of sensitization from the part of school, believing that smoking makes one feel

cool and that tobacco shouldn't be forbidden in public places. Neither family nor teacher smoking nor mass media influence was significantly associated with smoking initiation adjusted on age and gender.

Table 2: Multivariate logistic regression analysis: independent predictors of smoking initiation among school children in Sousse, Tunisia 1999-2003

Independent variables	OR	95% CI	P
Sex (M/F)	7.75	[3.96 – 15.14]	<10 ⁻³
Pocket money value			
<5 dinars	ref		
5 to 10 dinars	2.33	[1.24 – 4.37]	0.008
> 10 dinars	3.93	[1.81 – 8.52]	0.001
Sleep alone (yes/no)	1.32	[0.73 – 2.40]	0.348
Weekend sport practice (yes/no)	1.25	[0.68 – 2.27]	0.463
Have a personal computer (yes/no)	1.56	[0.81 – 3.01]	0.177
Ever smoke before 1999 (yes/no)	2.37	[1.24 – 4.56]	0.009
Ever drink alcohol (yes/ no)	11	[5.82 - 20.91]	<10.3
Best friend smokes (yes/no)	5.42	[2.51 – 11.69]	<10.3
Awareness from school (no/yes)	2.81	[1,37 – 5.75]	0.005
Forbidden smoking (no/yes)	2.81	[1.54 -5.13]	0.001
Tobacco makes feel cool (yes/no)	2.41	[1.04 – 5.57]	0.03
Tobacco is harmful (no/yes)	1.99	[0.92 – 4.32]	0.08

This was the first reported study in the Tunisian context that tried to describe the smoking initiation process in a sample of Tunisian pupils. We found that sex, pocket money value, alcohol use, past experience with tobacco, having a best friend smoker, lack of sensitization from school, thinking that smoking made one feel cool and that tobacco should be forbidden in public places, were highly associated with smoking initiation in our study.

Some limitations of this study should be considered. First, short intervals between waves should be employed in longitudinal studies on adolescent behaviours such as tobacco initiation¹⁵. Second, all measurements were self reported meaning that information bias could have occurred. Some studies suggest that adolescents who smoke appear to deny this, even when biochemical measures classify them as smokers. We have remade such insufficiency in our study by optimised measurement conditions and ensuring anonymity.

Smoking initiation occurs habitually during adolescence^{16,17}. It becomes an established behaviour as initiation age is earlier^{18,19}. Several predictors identified in others studies conducted in developed countries, seemed to enhance the likelihood of initiating smoking in these countries^{15,17,19,20,21,22}. Predictive factors of smoking initiation, identified in

the current report, have been reported and well documented in previous studies such as alcohol consumption⁷, having a best friend smoker^{21,23,24,29} and lack of sensitization from school¹⁹. In fact, alcohol consumption was usually associated with smoking initiation^{7,19}. However numerous studies suggested that tobacco use usually preceded alcohol consumption²⁵ and that nicotine may facilitate the addictive effects of other substances such as alcohol²⁶.

Furthermore, peers who exhibited risk behaviour such as drinking and smoking were likely to influence each another to engage in that behaviour: initiating smoking accelerated more rapidly among pupils whose best friend smoked because throughout adolescence, youngsters experience feelings of uncertainty about self-image and see themselves very much in the eyes of their peers. Therefore associating with friends will be easier when one's behaviours are congruent with others.

These findings suggest providing young peoples with skills to resist peers prompts to adopt health risk behaviour such as tobacco and alcohol consumption by encouraging teens to avoid high risk peers and environments.

On the other hand, our study tried to identify predictors of smoking initiation specific to our socioeconomic condition and our beliefs.

Contrary to most studies conducted in developed countries, smoking initiation rate was higher among boys than girls. This difference is probably related to our Arabic social norms that accept such deviant behaviour from a boy and consider it as a sign of rebelliousness and of transition to adult life, whereas girls who smoke are considered as promiscuous and liberated ladies.

Furthermore, pocket money value was identified as an important predictor of smoking. In fact rich pupils were more likely to start smoking because they had an easier financial access to tobacco. Finally, lack of awareness about hazard effect of smoking from school and believing that tobacco should not be forbidden in public places were also associated with a higher risk of smoking initiation. Through these findings, we conclude as in other studies that school is an important source of information about harmful effects of smoking¹⁹. Moreover, restriction of smoking in major public places shows teenagers that being non smoker is the normal and the referential adult attitude.

Other variables such as having smokers in the family, teacher smoking, sensitization about hazard effect of smoking from the family or mass media were not shown to have a significant association with smoking initiation in our study.

Conclusion

In conclusion and through our findings, multilevel influences on youth smoking behavior had been identified. Thus, anti-tobacco interventions should address the problem at all levels: the individual, the family, the social environment and through legislation policies. Intervention programs should target young children to avoid experiencing the first cigarette during pre-adolescence^{19,29}. According to Nebot M et al, intention to smoke in the future increased significantly the risk of later smoking initiation³⁰. Thus providing young people with skills to resist smoking can be efficiently achieved through a multidisciplinary management including community and school based intervention highlighted by mass media campaigns. Several studies had shown, prospectively, a durable reduction of smoking and more sensitization about hazard effect of smoking while such strategy was adopted^{27,28}. Moreover Adolescents' current smoking and future smoking expectations are linked to marketing exposure even in limited settings, suggesting the need

for comprehensive controls to eliminate the function of marketing in promoting adolescent.

References

1. Bartecchi CE, MacKenzie TD, Schrier RW. The human costs of tobacco use. *N Engl J Med.* 1994 Mar 31; 330(13):907-12.
2. Crofton J, Simpson D. Les menaces du tabac sur la santé. Le tabac: une menace pour la planète. Edition 2003, J. Prignot (Belgique). p :6 - 24.
3. Combating the tobacco epidemic the world health report 1999: 65-78.
4. Crofton J, Simpson D. L'épidémie tabagique : la situation actuelle dans le monde. Le tabac: une menace pour la planète. Edition 2003, J. Prignot (Belgique). p :1 - 2.
5. Projections of tobacco production, consumption and trade to the year 2010. FAO report [online], [cited 2008 | - 12- 06]. Available from URL: <<http://www.FAO.org/doc rep/FAO>.
6. Peto R, Lopez AD, Boreham J, Thun M, Heath C Jr, Doll R. Mortality from smoking worldwide. *Br Med Bull.* 1996 Jan; 52 (1):12-21.
7. Wahlgren DR, Hovell MF, Slymen DJ, Conway TL, Hofstetter CR, Jones JA. Predictors of tobacco use initiation in adolescents: a two-year prospective study and theoretical discussion. *Tob Control.* 1997 summer; 6(2):95-103.
8. Viswanathan B, Warren CW, Jones NR, Asma S, Bovet P. Linking Global Youth Tobacco Survey (GYTS) data to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC): the case for the Seychelles. *Prev Med.* 2008 Sep;47 Suppl 1:S33-7. Epub 2008 Feb 15.
9. Everett SA, Husten CG, Warren CW, Crossett L, Sharp D. Trends in tobacco use among high school students in the United States, 1991-1995. *J Sch Health.* 1998 Apr; 68 (4):137-40.
10. Marie Choquet. Le tabagisme des jeunes dans sept pays européens. *BEH n° 21-22/2006*.
11. World health organisation report on the global tobacco epidemic, 2008: The global tobacco crisis. The tobacco industry as disease vector.
12. Fakhfakh R, Hsairi M, Maalej M, Achour N, Nacef T. Tobacco use in Tunisia: behaviour and awareness. *Bull World Health Organ.* 2002; 80(5):350-6.
13. Ministère de La Santé: Direction de la Médecine Scolaire et Universitaire. Enquête Nationale sur la Santé des adolescents Tunisiens 2000 [National Survey on the Health Tunisian Teenagers 2000]. Current report.
14. World health organisation report 1997: Country-specific Tobacco Control Profile.
15. Engels RC, Vitaro F, Blokland ED, de Kemp R, Scholte RH. Influence and selection processes in

- friendships and adolescent smoking behaviour: the role of parental smoking. *J Adolesc.* 2004 Oct;27(5):531-44.
16. Chakaya JM. Tobacco use in developing countries. *East Afr Med J.* 2001 Mar;78(3):113-4.
 17. JarvisMJ: why people smoke. *BMJ* 2004 Jan; 328:277-279.
 18. Burt RD, Dinh KT, Peterson AV Jr, Sarason IG. Predicting adolescent smoking: a prospective study of personality variables. *Prev Med.* 2000 Feb;30(2):115-25.
 19. Sperber AD, Peleg A, Friger M, Shvartzman P. Factors associated with daily smoking among Israeli adolescents: a prospective cohort study with a 3-year follow-up. *Prev Med.* 2001 Aug; 33(2 Pt 1):73-81.
 20. Poulsen LH, Osler M, Roberts C, Due P, Damsgaard MT, Holstein BE. Exposure to teachers smoking and adolescent smoking behaviour: analysis of cross sectional data from Denmark. *Tob Control.* 2002 Sep;11(3):246-51.
 21. O'Loughlin J, Paradis G, Renaud L, Sanchez Gomez L. One-year predictors of smoking initiation and of continued smoking among elementary schoolchildren in multiethnic, low-income, inner-city neighbourhoods. *Tob Control.* 1998 Autumn; 7(3):268-75.
 22. Sargent JD, Dalton M. Does parental disapproval of smoking prevent adolescents from becoming established smokers?. *Pediatrics.* 2001 Dec; 108(6):1256-62.
 23. Landrine H, Richardson JL, Klonoff EA, et al. Cultural diversity in the predictors of adolescent cigarette smoking: the relative influence of peers. *J Behav Med.* 1994 Jun;17(3):331-46.
 24. Conrad KM, Flay BR, Hill D. why children start smoking cigarettes: predictors of onset. *Br J Addict.* 1992 Dec; 87(12):1711-24.
 25. US Department of Health and Human Services. The health consequences of smoking: nicotine addiction. A report of the surgeon general, 1988. Roche Ville, Maryland: public health service, centers