Awareness and use of yam minisett technique in Tolon district in Northern Region of Ghana

R. Adu-Gyamfi, A. Shamhuna, and J. Fearon,

Faculty of Agriculture, University for Development Studies Tamale, Ghana

Corresponding Author: raphpat205@yahoo.com

Abstract

Yam minisett technique was introduced in the 1980s to improve availability of yam planting materials. However, few farmers have adopted the technique leading to an inefficient seed yam system in Ghana. A field survey to assess the awareness and use of yam minisett technique was undertaken in six communities in Tolon District of Northern Region of Ghana. Structured questionnaires were administered in the six selected yam producing communities. Results showed that all the farmers were aware of the minisett technique. Their level of awareness and sources of information on the minisett technique were however varied. Fifty-five per cent (55%) of the respondents obtained their information from their colleague farmers, 35% from extension officers and 10% indicated that the information was passed on from their parents. Over forty percent (43.3 %) of the farmers have adopted the technique. Lack of technical details of the minisett technique emerged as the greatest problem militating against adoption of the technology. Adopters' main problem was low sprouting rate or difficulty in sprouting. In order to encourage uptake of the minisett technology among farmers, it is recommended that extension agents should educate farmers on the know-how that they lack especially on measures to improve sprouting.

Key words: Yam minisett technique, adoption, Northern region, Ghana

Sensibilisation et utilisation de la technique d'igname par mini-fragmentation dans le quartier de Tolon dans la région du nord du Ghana

Résumé

La production d'gname par mini-fragmentation a été introduit dans les années 1980 pour améliorer la disponibilité de matériel de plantation d'igname. Cependant, peu d'agriculteurs ont adopté la technique conduisant à un système inefficace d'igname au Ghana. Une enquête sur le terrain visant à évaluer la connaissance et l'utilisation de la technique d'igname par mini-fragmentation a été entreprise dans six communautés du district de Tolon, dans la région nord du Ghana. Des questionnaires structurés ont été administrés dans les six communautés productrices d'ignames sélectionnées. Les résultats ont montré que tous les répondants étaient au courant de la technique minisett. Les sources d'information et niveau de sensibilisation des agriculteurs à la technique mini-fragmentation étaient variées. Plus de quarante pourcent des répondants ont obtenu leur source d'information auprès de leurs collègues agriculteurs, 35% ont obtenu leurs informations auprès des agents de vulgarisation et 10% des répondants ont eu leurs informations auprès de leurs parents. 43,3% des

répondants ont adopté la technique. Le manque de détails techniques de la technique minifragmentation est apparu comme le plus grand problème qui s'oppose à l'adoption de la technologie. Le principal problème des adoptants était un faible pourcentage de germination ou une difficulté à germer. Afin de permettre à plus de gens d'utiliser la technologie d'igname par mini-fragmentation, il est recommandé que les agents de vulgarisation enseignent aux agriculteurs le savoir-faire qui leur manque, surtout sur les mesures pour accroître la germination.

Mots-clés: technique d'igname par mini-fragmentation, adoption, région du Nord, Ghana.

Introduction

Yam (*Dioscorea* spp) is an important tuber crop in West Africa. In Ghana, yam is one of the major staple food crops. It is the most important food crop in terms of output value, and contributes about 17% of agriculture component of Gross Domestic Product (GDP). It plays a key role in guaranteeing household food security (Kenyon and Fowler, 2000). Yam plays an important role in the cultural lives of certain communities in the yam belt as production activities and arrival of new yam are accompanied by certain ritualism, tradition and fanfare (Tetteh and Saakwa, 1991). Yam production all over the world was 57.7 million metric tons, with a chunk of this coming from sub-Saharan Africa (FAOSTAT, 2015). In 2015 a total of 430,000 ha of land was used to cultivate yam and the annual production was estimated at 7.3 million metric tons (MoFA, 2016).

One major problem militating against increased yam production is non-existence of formal seed sector where one can go and buy planting materials. Yam farmers have to save up to 30% of the previous harvest that should have been eaten or sold for income as planting materials for the next season (Orkwor and Asadu, 1997, Kambaska *et al.*, 2009). The cost of planting materials alone constitutes about 33 to 50% of the total production of yam in sub Saharan Africa (Asare-Bediako *et al.*, 2007; Kambaska *et al.*, 2009).

The high cost of planting materials among others necessitated other production methods using materials with minimum cost and high efficiency. Yam minisett technique has been found to produce setts at higher efficiency (Okonmah, 1980, Alvarez and Hahn, 1984, Okoli *et al.*, 1985; Otoo *et al.*, 1985; Igwilo and Okoli, 1988).

Despite the fact that efforts had been made through concerted extension services to make farmers aware of the yam minisett technique and to encourage them to practice it, the yam seed system available is the traditional yam milking. A study was therefore undertaken at Tolon district in Northern region of Ghana to evaluate farmers' awareness and application of the yam minisett technique. The objectives of the study were to assess the degree of awareness and use of the yam minisett technology by farmers and to assess problems farmers encounter with the technology.

Materials and Methods *The study area*

This study was carried out in Tolon District of Northern Region. The area is located within latitude 9°25'N and longitude of 00° 58'W. The district experiences an annual rainfall of about 1000 and 1200 mm, which usually starts in April and ends in October and the peak of the rain usually occurs between August and September. The relative humidity is mostly high (78.8%) in the morning and low (46.0%)

during the night. Temperatures are uniformly high (34.5°C) in the dry season and low (23.4°C) in the wet season. (SARI, 2010).

Sampling, data collection and analysis

The study adopted a combination of both probability and non-probability sampling techniques. Purposive sampling technique was used to select yam producing communities in the Tolon District. Six communities were purposively selected; Tingoli, Tuunaayili, Cheyohi, Daasuyilli, Waribogu Kukoo, and Gbulahagu. Ten yam farmers were randomly selected from each community giving a total of 60 respondents.

Data collection was done using structured questionnaires, based on farmers' awareness of the minisett technique, their source(s) of information and problems with the use of the technique as well as general adoption behaviour. Descriptive analysis of the data was done using Statistical Package for Social Scientist (SPSS) (Version 16).

Results

Demographic characteristics of respondents

The respondents were mostly males (91.7%), majority of whom were in their youthful age (Table1). The results also show that farmers who were 40 years and above constitute 40% of the total number of respondents. Majority of the farmers had not received any form of formal education. In other words, only 25% of the respondents had some form of formal education (Table 1).

Farmers' sources of yam planting materials

Apart from the yam minisett technique, the study showed that a greater percentage of the farmers obtained their planting materials through milking alone (Table 2). Other respondents also bought seed yam to supplement what they obtained through milking while a third group of farmers exchanged labour for seed yam to supplement their own

(inadequate) production of planting materials (Table 2).

Farmers' sources of awareness

The study showed that all of the respondents were aware of the minisett technique. There were three main sources of information on the minisett technique. About 55% of respondents obtained their information from their colleague farmers, 35% of the respondents had the information from agricultural extension officers and 10 %, through their parents.

Majority of the respondents became aware of the technique through their colleague farmers (Table 3). All the respondents in Tuunaayili became aware of the technique through information received from other farmers. This source of information was however very low

Table 1:Age and educational profile of respondents

| Age (years) | Percentage of respondents | Level of Edu- cation | Percentage of respondents |
|----------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 18 - 25 | 3.4 | None | 75 |
| 26 - 40 | 56.6 | Basic | 20 |
| 41- 60 | 38.3 | Secondary | 5 |
| 60 + | 1.7 | Tertiary | 0 |
| Total | 100 | Total | 100 |
| | | | |

Table 2: Farmers means of getting yam planting materials

| Means of planting materials | Percentage of respondents |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Milking | 75 |
| Milking and Buying | 8.3 |
| Milking and Labour | 16.7 |
| Total | 100 |

at Tingoli and Cheyohi (Table 3) as most of them got their information from agricultural extension agents. About a quarter of respondents in Daasuyili also received information form extension agents. Farmers from these communities have associations (Yam Farmers' Association) which helped them to get access to extension agents.

Adoption of the yam minisett technique

Adoption of the minisett technique was 43.3% among the respondents (26 of them), suggesting that non adopters were in the majority (56.7%). Usage of the technique was widespread in Tingoli, where all respon-

Table 3: Sources of farmers' information on yam minisett technique

| Sources of information (% of respondents) | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--|
| Communities | Collea- gue farm- ers | Extension officers | Par- ents | |
| Tuunaayili | 100 | 0 | 0 | |
| Daasuyili | 70 | 30 | 0 | |
| Tingoli | 10 | 90 | 0 | |
| Cheyohi | 10 | 90 | 0 | |
| WariboguKukoo | 80 | 0 | 20 | |
| Gbulahagu | 60 | 0 | 40 | |

dents applied the technique and accounting for 38.5% of the adopters. This was followed by Cheyohi (Table 4). About a third of the respondents representing 11.5% of the adopters were from Daasuyili. Gbulahagu and Waribogu Kukoo were found to have the lowest adoption rate. None of the respondents from Tuunaayili had adopted the minisett technique.

Table 4: Adoption of yam minisett by farmers

| Communities | Percentage of respondents) | |
|---------------|----------------------------|--|
| Tuunaayili | 0 | |
| Daasuyili | 30 | |
| Tingoli | 100 | |
| Cheyohi | 90 | |
| WariboguKukoo | 20 | |
| Gbulahagu | 20 | |

Reasons for non-adoption of yam minisett technique

Lack of knowledge in the technical details of the minisett technique was the major reason given by 56.6% of the respondents for not adopting it (Table 5). Some farmers mentioned high labour demand for aspects of the technique, such as sprouting at the nursery and crop management on the field. While a few farmers (3%) maintained that, the minisetts often do not sprout well, others submitted that the process required two production seasons to produce ware yam, thus depriving their families of ware yam for the first year. A few of the respondents said the minisett technique does not fit into their farming practices.

Table 5: Reasons for non-adoption of yam minisett technique by respondents

| Reasons for non-adoption | Percentage of respondents |
|---|---------------------------|
| Lack the technical details | 82.0 |
| Labour intensive nature | 6.0 |
| The minisetts do not sprout w | vell 3.0 |
| It requires 2 seasons to produ ware yam | 6.0 |
| It does not fit into farming practices of farmers | 3.0 |

Problems associated with the minisett technique

Farmers who had adopted the technique enumerated various problems they encounter when practicing in the field. Prominent among them is the challenge of low sprouting or difficulty in getting successful sprouts in the field (Table 6). Lack of or inadequate technical know-how and high cost of the technique, each accounts for about one-fifth of the problems encountered by farmers. Other problems identified in the study area include non-uniformity of sprouting and high rate of rot (Table 6).

Table 6: Problems farmers encounter with the use of the minisett technique.

| | Domonutoro |
|--|---------------------------|
| Problems | Percentage of respondents |
| Low and difficulty in sprouting of minisetts | 42.3 |
| Lack of technical know-h of minisett technique | 19.3 |
| Cost of the technique | 19.2 |
| Non-uniform sprouting of minisetts | 15.4 |
| High rotting of minisetts | 3.8 |

Discussions

Farmers sources of planting material

Milking or pricking is one of the major means of obtaining planting material by yam farmers (Onwueme 1978; Okonmah, 1980; Adu-Gyamfi and Blay, 2009). In absence of one's own pricked yam sett there are other means of generating planting material such as cutting ware yam into setts and cutting of heads of ware yam. However, due to the cost of ware yam at the time of planting these options cannot be relied on. Farmers either buy left over of another farmer's sett or offer their labour to a farmer in exchange for setts. These were the options that were practiced in the Tolon district (Table 2).

Awareness and adoption of the minisett technique

Awareness of the vam minisett technique was very high for all the communities, and this may be due to their closeness to Savanna Agricultural Research Institute (SARI) hence had first-hand information on the said techniques from the researchers. In some communities these farmers served as a secondary source of information for their children and other farmers. Extension agents were also mentioned as a principal source and these might have come from SARI or Ministry of Food and Agriculture. Personal communication with a researcher indicated that in the 1980s research officers from SARI introduced farmers of nearby communities to the minisett technique through demonstration exercise. These trained farmers may have transferred the knowledge to their children and other farmers and that may account for high percentage of peer farmers being source of information to the respondents. Research institutions have been known to be major sources of knowledge to farmers. Oguntade et al. (2010) reported that intensive training by extension agents from IITA increases farmers understanding and adoption rate of the minisett technique in Oyo State in Nigeria. Over the years some of the farmers abandoned it or hardly practised the technology.

In Nigeria, awareness among yam farmers was not as high as found in Tolon district. Okoro (2008) reported awareness of 46.6 % nationwide in Nigeria. In Tolon district, the awareness was 100 among the respondents and adoption rate was 43.3 % as compared to below 30 % in Nigeria (Ogbodu, 1995; Iwueke, 1990; Okoro, 2008). It is worthy to note that Okoro did his study nationwide while this study was limited to one district.

Reasons for non-adoption of the minisett technique

One of the major reasons given by non-users

of the minisett technique was lack of knowledge on the technical details of the technique. Toluwase et al. (2017) reported that complexity of the minisett technique had negative correlation with adoption of yam minisett technique in Ekiti state. Labour required for sterilization of media and nursing the yam cuttings before they were planted on the field was a challenge. Such labour intensiveness deters farmers. Majority of the respondents in Tuunaayili, Gbulahagu and Waribogu Kukoo got to know the minisett technique through their colleague farmers. The expertise of these farmers would not be adequate for them to transfer the know-how of the technique to other farmers. Oguntade et al. (2010) reported that the main obstacle to the adoption of minisett technique in Nigeria was inadequate knowledge and labour requirements. Farmers prefer intercropping yam on mounds with other crops and with minisetts growing on ridges they find it inappropriate to intercrop with other crops. Such intercrops like okra, Cajanus and some leafy vegetables are usually intercropped with yam to provide shade.

Problems farmers encounter with the use of yam minisett technique

Respondents enumerated a number of problems that militate against the adoption and application of the minisett technique. Low and difficulty in sprouting of minisetts, non-uniformity of sprouting are the main hindrances to the use of the technique. Rotting of minisetts was also mentioned but it was not a big problem, only about four percent of the respondents mentioned it. However, Adu-Gyamfi and Blay (2009) have reported rotting in Pona and Labreko minisetts to be a major problem, 6.0% and 13.3% rotting respectively. The principal problem militating against the use of the technique given by the respondents are basically the same as those given by respondents in minisett studies conducted in Nigeria (Iwueke et al., 1991,

Anuebunwa *et al.*, 1998, Okoro, 2008, Bolarinwa and Oladeji, 2009).

Conclusion

The results of this study revealed that the awareness of the minisett technique in the study area was very high and the percentage using it was high (43.3%). Non-adopters attribute their failure to use the technique mainly to lack of knowledge on the technical details of the technique. Adopters' main problem was difficulty or low sprouting of the minisetts. In order to get more people to adopt yam minisett technology it is recommended that extension agents should teach farmers the technique that will lead to high percentage sprouting.

References

Adu-Gyamfi, R & Blay E.T. 2009. Influence of storage duration and growth regulators on sprouting and field performance of yam (*Dioscorea rotundata* L.) minisett. Journal of Root Crops 35 (2): 219-225.

Alvarez, M.N. & Hahn, S.K. 1984. Seed yam production. In: Tropical Root Crops, Production and uses in Africa, Proceed. 2nd Triennal Symp.Internat.Soc., Trop. Root Crops Africa Branch. Douala, Cameroon, 14-19 August 1983, Terry, E.R., Doku, E.V., Arena, O.B. & Mahungu, N.M. (eds.), p. 129-132.

Anuebunwa, F. O., Ugwu, B. O., Iloka, A. W., Ikeorgu, J. E. C. & Udealor, A. 1998. Extent of adoption of improved yam minisett technology by farmers in the major yam growing areas of Nigeria. A Research report submitted to NARP Abuja by NRCRI Umudike.

Asare-Bediako, E., Showwenmimo, F. A., Opoku-Asiama, Y. & Amewowor, D. H. K. A. 2007. Improving sprouting ability of white yam minisetts (*Dioscorea alata Poir*) Var. Pona and Dente using different disinfectants and drotectants in

- sterilised saw dust. J. Applied Science 7(20): 3131-3134
- Bolarinwa, K.K. & Oladeji, J.O. 2009. Adoption and relevance of yam minisett technology practices to farmers indigenous practices in rain forest and derived savannah zone of Nigeria (2009). Journal of Applied Science Research 5(12) 2461-2465
- FAOSTAT 2015. Available at http://faostat.org.
- Igwilo, N. & Okoli, O. O. 1988. Evaluation of yam cultivars for seed yam production using the minisett technique. Field Crops Research 19: 8189
- Iwueke, C. C. 1990. Adoption behaviours of famers towards yam minisetts technique in Imo state of Nigeria. The Nigerian Agricultural Journal 25 (2): 186-189.
- Iwueke, C. C., Okereke, H. E. & Iloka, A.W. 1991. Appraisal of yam minisett tech nique by farmers in South eastern State of Nigeria. Annual Report of National Root Crop Research Institute, Umudike.
- Kambaska, K. B., Santilata, S., Trinanth, M. & Debashrita, P. 2009. Response of Vine Cuttings to Rooting in Different Months in Three Dioscorea species. J. Natural Science 7(12): 48-51.
- Kenyon, L. & Fowler, M. 2000. Factors affecting the uptake and adoption of output of crop protection research on yams in Ghana. Natural Resource International Limited. U.K, pp. 15-25.
- Ministry of Food and Agriculture (MoFA) Ghana. 2016. Statistics Research and Information Directorate. Agriculture in Ghana: Facts and figures, pp.12-13
- Ogbodu, B. C. 1995. Report on extension

- activities in Enugu state of Nigeria. Proceedings of the 10th Annual Zonal farming systems Research and extension workshop, Umudike. December 1995.
- Oguntade, A. E., Thomson, O. & Theresas, I. 2010. Economics of seed yam production using minisett technique in Oyo state, Nigeria. Libyan Agriculture Research Center Journal International 2 (6): 244-248
- Okoli, O.O. & Akoroda, M.O. 1995. Providing seed tubers for production of food yams in Africa. African Journal of Root and Tuber Crops 1(1): 1-6
- Okonmah, L.U. 1980. Rapid multiplication of yams. Internat. Inst. Trop. Agr., Man. Ser. No. 5, p. 21.
- Okoro, J. K. 2008. Awareness and use of the rapid seed yam multiplication technology by farmers in Nigeria's yam belt. PAT 2008; 5 (1): 22-29
- Onwueme, I.C. 1978. The Tropical Tuber Crops. The Pitman press, Bath, Britain.
- Otoo, J.A., Osiru, D.S.O., Ng, S.Y. & Hahn, S.K. 1985. Improved technology for seed yam production, IITA, Ibadan
- Orkwor, G. C. & Asadu C. L. A. 1997. Agronomy. In: Food Yams: Advances in Research, Orkwor, G. C., Asiedu, R. and Ekanayake, I. J. (eds.). NRCRI/IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria, pp. 105-141.
- SARI (Savannah Agriculture Research Institute) 2010. Annual Report.
- Toluwase, S. O. W., Adejumo, J.A. & Kolapo, A. 2017. Determinants of yam minisett technology adoption among rural farmers in Ekiti State, Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural Economics, Extension and Rural Development: 5(2): 606-611