THE AWARENESS AND PATTERN OF RISK FACTORS FOR INFERTILITY AMONG INFERTILE WOMEN AT AHMADU BELLO UNIVERSITY TEACHING HOSPITAL ZARIA.

\*Gabriel Dogbanya<sup>1</sup>, Adebiyi G Adesiyun<sup>1</sup>, Umma S Bawa<sup>1</sup>, Nana H Madugu<sup>1</sup>

Ahmadu Bello University Teaching Hospital Zaria. Dept of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. Nigeria.

## ABSTRACT BACKGROUND

Infertility is a major reproductive health challenge in developing countries and many risk factors have been identified. Awareness of these factors can be important in preventive management.

**Aim**: To determine the awareness of infertility risk factors in patients.

## **METHODS**

The study involved 220 patients attending the infertility clinic of ABUTH. Using interviewer administered structured questionnaire, data was collected on sociodemographic, gynecological, obstetrics and other history.

#### **RESULT**

The mean age of respondents was  $29.2 \pm 2.5$  years. Primary and secondary infertility accounted 36.8% and 63.20% respectively. Coital exposure was  $\leq 2$  in 48.1% of the patients. 45% has menstrual irregularity and 59.5% had been treated for STI in the past. There is history of surgery in 25% and 71.5% were either overweight or obese and 3.2% had female genital mutilation. Of those with

secondary infertility 33.6% were un-booked in their last pregnancy and the outcome was spontaneous miscarriage in 40.9%, induced miscarriage was 12.7% and stillbirth in 14.5%.On awareness of risk factors, 33.6%, had no knowledge of risk factors.

#### **CONCLUSION**

The study shows avoidable and preventable risk factors are still prevalent in our setting and there is a lack of sufficient awareness to drive preventive measures

**Keywords:** Infertility, pattern, risk factors, awareness.

Cite this article as: Gabriel Dogbanya, Adebiyi G Adesiyun, Umma S Bawa, Nana H Madugu, Ahmadu Bello University Teaching Hospital Zaria. Dept of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Nigeria. The Awareness and Pattern of Risk Factors for Infertility Among Infertile Women at Ahmadu Bello University Teaching Hospital Zaria.

Afrimedic Journal 2023; 9(1): 1-10.

#### INTRODUCTION

The desire to have children is strong in a patriarchal society like ours, but for a sizeable minority, it is not easily fulfilled. Infertility is a major reproductive health scourge in Africa. The awareness of infertility risk factors among infertile women, is an essential step to safeguard fertility and its identification will enable modifiable factors to be addressed.

Declining fertility and increased infertility has led researchers worldwide to assess fertility awareness¹ Between 8 and 12% of couples around the world have difficulty conceiving at some points in their lives² Primary infertility is more common in the developed country³ while secondary infertility rate is high in Sub-Saharan Africa .² Primary infertility is a serious reproductive healthcare concern among many Nigerian women with associated psychological impact.⁴ Mokhar et al, in their work on risk factors of infertility, in

Alexandria Egypt reported a prevalence of 80% and 20%, for primary and secondary infertility respectively. A study in Guinea by Leno and fellow researchers reported a prevalence of 17.8%, with primary infertility 5.4.%, while secondary infertility 12.4%.

Panti and colleagues in their research on the profile of infertility in a teaching hospital in North West Nigeria found a prevalence rates of 15.7%, with primary and secondary infertility 32.8% and 67.2% respectively,7 Oguejiofor et al reported 26.8% and 55.% for primary and secondary infertility respectively,8 while Obuna and others, reported 65% prevalence of secondary infertility.9 This similar to findings by in Delta state. Nigeria by Odunybun and other workers, 10 who found an incidence of 32%, of which 58.9%, had secondary infertility. Various risk factors have been identified in patients presenting with infertility. These range from obesity, lifestyle, age, environment, sexually transmitted infection, family history, and exposure to radiation, chemotherapy, unsafe abortion, home delivery, previous surgery, infectious disease such as tuberculosis and HIV 7,8,11,12,13,14

The amount and quality of information available to a patient is a crucial factor, in the evaluation and success of their managements. Poor fertility awareness may be a contributing factor to infertility, however studies have shown there is poor awareness regarding the causes of infertility among the general population. Highlighting the risk factors of infertility and the awareness of it, in our environment, Zaria, North Western Nigeria, will be of benefits in addressing the whole problem of infertility in Nigeria, Africa and the world at large.

**Aim:** To determine the awareness and pattern of risk factors among infertile women.

#### **METHODOLOGY:**

This was a cross-sectional prospective study carried out among infertile clients presenting at the reproductive/fertility clinic of Ahmadu Bello University Teaching Hospital (ABUTH) Shika, Zaria.

**Methods**: Two hundred and twenty women who presented to the infertility clinic, during the study period August 20<sup>th</sup>, 2015 to August 20<sup>th</sup> 2016, were included in the study. The history of infertility, other gynecological history, medical, surgical and obstetric history as applicable, were elicited from the patients and the BMI measured. The information gotten are then transferred into a well-structured questionnaire that captures the various aspects of the history including awareness of these factors.

#### SAMPLE SIZE DETERMINATION

The sample size was calculated using Fischer's statistical formula for cross sectional study.

 $N = z^2pq/d^2$ 

N = minimum sample size

Z = standard normal deviate for a normal distribution and is taken as 95%. CI =1.96 from Z table.

P = Proportion or prevalence from previous study. 15.4% <sup>9</sup>

Q = 1-p 1-0.154 = 0.846

D = 0.05 CI/ degree of precision.

$$N = \frac{(1.96)^2 \times 0.154 \times 0.846}{0.05^2} = 200$$

With 10% attrition rate 220 respondents were sampled.

# **RESULT TABLES.**

**Table** 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of the patients.

	Frequency (n=220)	Percent (%)
Age		
<21	7	3.2
21-25	61	27.7
26-30	33	15
31-35	112	50.9
36-40	6	2.7
41-45	1	0.5
Mean age		29.2±2.5
Age at marriage		
<16	40	18.2
16-20	72	32.7
≥21	108	49.1
Order of Marriage		
First	181	82.3
Second	35	15.9
Third	3	1.4
Fourth	1	0.5
Literacy Level		
No Education	27	12.3
Primary	33	15
Secondary	67	30.5
Tertiary	77	35
Others	16	7.3
Occupation		
Unemployed house	116	52.7
wife		
Trader	32	14.5
Civil servant	39	17.7
Professional	14	6.4
Others	19	8.6
Religion		
Christianity	76	34.5
Islam	144	65.5
Marital Status		
Married	201	91.4
Single	9	4.1
Divorced/separated	10	4.5

**Table 2 Reproductive Profile** 

Previous live birth	Frequency (n=220)	Percent (%)
0	93	42.3
1	56	25.5
2	32	14.5
3	18	8.2
4	11	5
≥5	10	4.5
Outcome of last pregnancy		
Miscarriage	90	40.9
Induced abortion	28	12.7

Live birth	70	31.8
Still Birth	32	14.5
Previous miscarriage		
0	121	55
1	47	21.4
2	38	17.3
3	10	4.5
4	4	1.8
Previous induced abortion		
0	180	81.8
1	35	15.9
2	3	1.4
3	1	0.5
4	1	0.5

Table 1 and 2 shows the socio-demography and reproductive profile of clients. The mean age is 29.2±2.5. It also shows 50.9% of the patient married at an age less than 20 years, 12.3% had no education and 52.7% are house wives. In patients with secondary infertility, the outcome of the last pregnancy was miscarriage in 40.9% induced abortion 12.7%, while 14.5% had stillbirth.

Table 3. BMI, Type and Duration of infertility.

	Frequency (n=220)	Percent	P value= 0.000
BMI			
<18.5	7	3.2	
18.5-24.99	55	25	
25.0-29.99	84	38.2	
30.0-34.99	52	23.6	
35-39.9	17	7.7	
≥40	5	2.3	
Previous pregnancies			
0	81	36.8	
≥1	139	63.2	
Duration of Infertility			
1year	27	12.3	
2 years	90	40.9	
3-5years	75	34.1	
>5years	28	12.7	

In table 3, about 71.8% of the patients were either overweight or in the obese category. Primary infertility was 36.8%, while secondary infertility was 63.2%.

**Table** 4. Events at the last delivery in secondary infertile clients.

	Frequency (n=220)	Percent	P value= 0.000
Booking status			
Booked	140	63.6	
Un-booked	74	33.6	
Prenatal care	6	2.7	
Supervision at the last delivery			

At home without supervision	62	28.2
At home under supervision	30	13.6
At a Primary Care Centre	36	16.4
At a secondary/Tertiary Centre	92	41.8
Mode of last delivery		
Spontaneous Vaginal Delivery	186	84.5
Instrumental Vaginal Delivery	6	2.7
Caesarean Section	28	12.7
Labour outcomes		
Uneventful	124	56.4
Complicated by PROM	38	17.3
MRP	5	2.3
АРН/РРН	17	7.7
Prolong Labour	18	8.2
Obstructed Labour	14	6.4
Still Birth	4	1.8
4b. Materials used for adsorbing blood during the last delivery		
Disposal pad	146	66.4
Rag re-used after washing and drying	25	11.4
Had use an inappropriate material before obtaining serial pad	36	16.4
Others	13	5.9
Use of indigenous intra-vaginal medicine postpartum		
Yes	15	6.8
No	205	93.2

In table 4a and b, shows 33.6% were un-booked and 28.2% laboured at home without supervision and while 84.6 had spontaneous vaginal delivery 12.7% had caesarean section and 16.4% of the clients used an inappropriate material for adsorbing lochia in the puerperal period

**Table** 5. Coital and Menstrual Pattern.

P value
0.000
0.000
0.500
0.000

In terms of coital exposure, patients with 2 or less were 48.1% while 45% had history of menstrual irregularity

**Table** 6. Contraceptive usage and other risk factors of infertility.

	Frequency (n=220)	Percent	P value
Past contraceptive usage			0.000
None	173	78.6	
<b>′es</b>	47	21.4	
Type of contraceptive used			0.003
Pills	15	31.9	
Injectable	17	36.2	
Barriers	6	12.8	
IUCD	4	8.5	
Others	5	10.6	
	5	10.0	0.000
Previous recurrent miscarriages	40	40.2	0.000
Yes	40	18.2	
No	180	81.8	
Post abortion sepsis			
Yes	26	11.8	
No	194	88.2	
Post abortion care			
Yes	31	14.1	
No	189	85.9	
Present history of abnormal vaginal discharge			0.106
Yes	98	44.5	0.200
No	122	55.5	
	122	JJ.J	0.696
Chronic pelvic pain	113	Γ1.4	0.686
Yes	113	51.4	
No	107	48.6	
Past treatment for STI			0.005
Yes	131	59.5	
No	89	40.5	
Use inappropriate absorbing material during menses			
Yes	66	30	
No	154	70	
Previous Surgery	-	-	
Yes	55	25	
No	165	75	
	103	/5	0.001
Type of surgery	40	22.7	0.001
Caesarean section	18	32.7	
Salpingectomy	7	12.7	
Appendectomy	2	3.6	
Myomectomy	9	16.4	
Other abdominal surgery	19	34.5	
Female genital mutilation			0.000
Yes	7	3.2	
No	213	96.8	
Family history of infertility in siblings			
Yes	2/	15 5	
	34	15.5	
No .	186	84.5	
Cigarette Smoking			
Yes	9	4.1	
No	211	95.9	
Alcohol ingestion			
Yes	4	1.8	
No	216	98.2	
Type of family setting			
Monogamous	142	64.5	
•			
Polygamous	78	35.5	
Number of Sexual partners			
One	207	94.1	
Two	4	1.8	
Three or more	9	4.1	

Only 21.4% of the patients have used contraceptive in the past. There were 11.8% cases of post abortion sepsis while 59.5% had a history of previous treatment for STI. There was history of previous surgery in 25.0%, of which caesarean section was 8.2% and 3.2% had female circumcision.

	Yes	A1 -	tale di taran	T. 1.1	.1.1
	Yes	No	I don't know	Total	chi-square
Knowledge of infertility risk factors	131(59.5)	15(6.8)	74(33.6)	220(100)	X <sup>2</sup> =91.755**, df=2
					P-value =0.000
Do you know that some of them can be	113(86.3)	4(3.1)	14(10.7)	131(100)	X <sup>2</sup> =166.275**, df=2
prevented/modified					P-value =0.000
Which of these are risk factors:					
Age	92(70.2)	6(4.6)	33(25.2)	131(100)	X <sup>2</sup> = 88.595**, df=2
					P-value =0.000
Low body weight	52(39.7)	18(13.7)	61(46.6)	131(100)	X <sup>2</sup> =23.557**, df=2
					P-value =0.000
High body weight	57(43.5)	14(10.7)	60(45.8)	131(100)	X <sup>2</sup> =30.336**, df=2
					P-value =0.000
Smoking	55(42)	14(10.7)	62(47.3)	131(100)	X <sup>2</sup> =30.794**, df=2
					P-value =0.000
Alcohol	54(41.2)	14(10.7)	63(48.1)	131(100)	X <sup>2</sup> =31.160**, df=2
					P-value =0.000
Surger _Myomectomy	37(28.2)	9(6.9)	85(64.9)	131(100)	$X^{2}$ =67.664**, df=2
					P-value =0.000
Surgery _Caesarean Section	17(13)	15(11.5)	99(75.6)	131(100)	X <sup>2</sup> =105.221**, df=2
					P-value =0.000
Surgery _Other Abdominal surgeries	14(10.7)	13(9.9)	104(79.4)	131(100)	X <sup>2</sup> =125.053**, df=2
					P-value =0.000
STI's	83(63.4)	7(5.3)	41(31.3)	131(100)	X <sup>2</sup> =66.382**, df=2
					P-value =0.000
ГВ	35(26.7)	14(10.7)	82(62.6)	131(100)	X <sup>2</sup> =55.527**, df=2
					P-value =0.000
HIV	32(24.4)	27(20.6)	72(55)	131(100)	X <sup>2</sup> =27.863**, df=2
	47/05.0)	45(44.5)	50(50.7)	404/400)	P-value =0.000
Home delivery	47(35.9)	15(11.5)	69(52.7)	131(100)	X <sup>2</sup> =33.771**, df=2
	CE(40 C)	44/40.7\	F2/20 7\	424/400)	P-value =0.000
Induced abortion	65(49.6)	14(10.7)	52(39.7)	131(100)	X <sup>2</sup> =32.168**, df=2
Naissauriana voith un usadisal attautian	(2/47.2)	0/6 0)	CO(45 0)	121/100\	P-value =0.000
Miscarriage with no medical attention	62(47.3)	9(6.9)	60(45.8)	131(100)	X <sup>2</sup> =41.328**, df=2
Delayed menarche	36(27.5)	11(8.4)	84(64.1)	131(100)	P-value =0.000 X <sup>2</sup> =63.038**, df=2
Delayeu menarche	30(27.3)	11(0.4)	04(04.1)	131(100)	A=63.038**, df=2 P-value =0.000
Infrequent menstrual flow	56(42.7)	6(4.6)	69(52.7)	131(100)	P-value =0.000 X <sup>2</sup> =50.672**. df=2
mirequent mensuluai now	30(72.7)	0(4.0)	05(32.7)	131(100)	A =50.672**, dt=2 P-value =0.000
Chemotherapy	34(26)	9(6.9)	88(67.2)	131(100)	X <sup>2</sup> =74.672**, df=2
	3 1(20)	3(0.5)	00(07.2)	131(100)	P-value =0.000
Radiotherapy	32(24.4)	11(8.4)	88(67.2)	131(100)	X <sup>2</sup> =72.672**, df=2
	J=(=)	(3.1)	00(02)	101(100)	P-value =0.000
ntercourse<3 times per week	39(29.8)	49(37.4)	43(32.8)	131(100)	X <sup>2</sup> =1.160**, df=2
p		(37)	(==.0)	()	P-value =0.000
Do you think ignorance plays a role in some	93(71)	38(29)	-	131(100)	X <sup>2</sup> =23.092**, df=1
causative factors of infertility	- 1	\ /		- \/	P-value =0.000
Do you know about the treatment options	86(65.6)	45(34.4)	-	131(100)	X <sup>2</sup> =12.832**, df=1
available for infertility	,	,		()	P-value =0.000

## **DISCUSSION:**

The expectations of children following marriage, makes infertility a dreaded outcome in our society, hence the need to

identify the risk factors that predisposes and the awareness to drive health seeking for solution. Our study found 36.8% of infertile patients had primary infertility, while 63.2% had secondary infertility. It is in consonance with the findings of Panti et al, from Sokoto,<sup>7</sup> Odunvbun in Abraka<sup>10</sup> and Oguejiofor and fellow workers in Nnewi<sup>8</sup> which had reported a higher rate of secondary infertility. It also agrees with previous submissions of secondary infertility being higher in sub-Saharan Africa, than in developed world.<sup>2,3,6.</sup> This high prevalence has been attributed to a high risk of sexually transmitted infection.<sup>2</sup>

The mean age of clients was 29.2±2.5 years and the age at marriage, in 50.9% of the clients is ≤ 20years. Our result agrees with the findings of Dhont<sup>19</sup> and Odunvbun,<sup>8</sup> but at variance with age reports by Sule et al.<sup>2</sup> This is not surprising, as there is a high rate of early marriage in Northern Nigeria, where the study was carried out.

Our study also found bad obstetric outcomes, in those with secondary infertility, as 42.3 % had history of miscarriage or preterm birth with no live birth and the outcome of last pregnancy was spontaneous miscarriage in 40.9% and stillbirth in 14.5%, while 12.7% had induced miscarriage. This finding concur with Dhont et al, in Kigali who reported clients with secondary infertility are more likely to report an adverse pregnancy outcome. 19 Our study also found poor prenatal care and antenatal care, as only 2.7% had prenatal care while 33.6% were un-booked in their last pregnancy. This is in keeping with a study which showed cases were likely not to have had prenatal care. 19

We also found poor coital exposure as a factor as 48.1% had  $\leq$  2 coital exposure per week. This agrees with study Audu et al,<sup>16</sup> which showed poor coital exposure as a risk factor. This is not uncommon in polygamous nature of the setting the study was carried out.

Our study found menstrual irregularities in 45%. dysmenorrhea 47.7%, dyspareunia 25.9% and chronic pelvic pain 51.4%, while 59.5% had a history of past treatment of STI, 44.5% abnormal vaginal discharge and 30% had used inappropriate materials during menstruation. This correlates with the finding of Panti et al,<sup>7</sup> who had reported on these risk factors, which are very prevalent in our setting. There was history of previous surgery 25% of the patients. Of these, accounted for myomectomy 34.5%, caesarean section 32.7%, salpingectomy 12.7%, while appendectomy was 3.6%. This agrees with studies on surgical risks on fertility.7,21

Our study also found 71.8% of the patients were either overweight or obese, 4.1% smokes and 1.8% imbibe alcohol. These findings agree with previous reports on these riskfactors. <sup>4,11,12</sup> The low smoking and alcohol consumption reflects our deeply religious and conservative setting.

On awareness of the risk factors, our study found about 33.6% of the patients don't know about the risk factors, 70.2% identified age as a risk, 42% smoking, while 63.4% identified STI as a risk factor. This is similar to the finding of Obasi et al, <sup>14</sup> however as other researchers have reported, <sup>1,15,16,18</sup>more still need to be done on awareness of risk factors in infertile patients.

## **CONCLUSION**

Our study shows modifiable risk factors are still prevalent in our setting and more proactive measures are needed to drive awareness of these risk factors, to help reduce the scourge in our environment.

## **REFERENCE:**

Ren Yue, Yue Xie, Quilian Xu, Miaochen Long, Ying Zheng, Lin Li, Niu Changmin Niu. University students' fertility awareness and its influencing factors: a systematic review. Reproductive Health. 2023; 20:85.

Sule J.O, Erigbali P, Eruom L. Prevalence of Infertility in Women in a South-western Nigerian Community. African Journal of Biomedical Research, 2008.11; 225 – 227. Bhattacharya, S. Infertility. In: Edmond DK(Ed) Dewhurst's Textbook of Obstetrics and Gynaecology for Postgraduates. Blackwell Science, Oxford, 2007; 440-460.

Okafor IA, Saanu OO, Olayemi O, Omigbodun AO. Characterization of primary female infertility in a Nigerian tertiary hospital: A case-control study. African Journal of Reproductive Health.2022; 26:8.

Mohkhar S. et al. Risk Factors for Primary and Secondary Female Infertility in Alexandria: A Hospital Based Case Control Study Journal of the Medical Research Institute JMRI 2006; 27 (4): 255-61.

Leno NN, Kadio KJO, Camara A, Bley ZCR, Ehora DAO, Aganaye A, Delamou A. Prevalence, Associated factors and Psychological consequences of infertility among women of child bearing age in Guinea: Case of a cross-sectional study with an carried five analytical aim out in municipalities of Conakry city in 2021. Research Square 2022.

DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1690048/v1">https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1690048/v1</a>.

Panti AA, Sununu YT, The profile of infertility in a teaching hospital in North West Nigeria. Sahel Med J.2014; 17:7-11. Oguejiofor CB, Obi NC, Okafor OC, Eleje GU, Okafor CG, et al. A 5 Year Retrospective Cross-Sectional Study of the pattern of Infertility in Nnamdi Azikiwe University Teaching Hospital, Nnewi, Nigeria. Gynecol Obstet Open Acc. 2003; 7:166.

Obuna JA. Et al. Clinical Presentation of Infertility in an Outpatient Clinic of a Resource Poor Setting, South-East Nigeria. International Journal of tropical disease & Health.2012; 2(2): 123-131.

Odunvbun WO, Oziga DV, Oyeye LO, Ojeogwu CL. Infertility among infertile couple in a secondary health facility in Delta State, South South Nigeria. Trop J Obstet Gynaecol.2018; 35:244-8.

Abd Elmohsen FR, Elsalam MA, Rammadan SAE, Elmoniem SO. Study Risk factors Associated with Secondary Infertility among infertile women attending infertility clinic. Journal of Nursing Science Benha University.2023. 4(2): 716-731.

Hazlina NHK, Norhayati MN, Bahari IS, Arik NAN. Worldwide prevalence, risk factors and Psychological impact of infertility among women: a Systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open.2022; 12:3.

Enwuru CA, Iwalokun B, Enwuru VN, Oparaugo CT, Ezechi O, Oluwadun A. Fertility Knowledge, care seeking behavioral pattern and attitudes of infertile men in Lagos, Nigeria. International journal of Research in Medical Sciences. 2020; 8(3):901-907.

Obasi SC, Ilo IC, Agbapuonwu NE, Makata NC, Knowledge of the risk factors and prevention f infertility among reproductive age attending fertility clinics in Anambra State. Unizik Journal of Educational research and Policy studies.2022; 10:1. https://unijerps.org

Kshrisagar SP, Shirsath AS. A cross-sectional study of fertile period awareness, knowledge, attitude and Practice in infertile couples seeking fertility assistance. International Journal of reproduction, contraception obstetrics and gynecology. 2018; (7):9.

Singh V, Rajpal G, Majumdar S, Mandal S, Sahu R. awareness, Knowledge and Psychoemotinal aspects of infertility among wen seeking fertility assistance at a tertiary care hospital in Chhattisgarh, a cross sectional study. J Educ Health Promot.2023; 12:3. Kaori L, Fukuhara R, Yokota M, Yokoyama Y. fertility awareness and subclinical infertility among women trying to get pregnant at home. BMC. Women's Health. 2022;22;43.

Ahmed HM, Khan M, Yasmin F, Jawaid H, Khalid H, Anum S. Nawaz F, Hasan AC. Awareness Regarding causes of infertility Among Out-patients at a tertiary Care Hospital in Karachi Pakistan. Cureus.2020; 12(4):7685.

Dhont et al. The risk factor profile of women with secondary infertility: an unmatched case-control study in Kigali, Rwanda BMC Women's Health 2011; 11:32. http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6874/11/32