

African Art Heritage: Repatriation Strategies, its Challenges, Impact on Cultural Preservation and Best Practices

Onibere Victor & Edewor U. O. Nelson

Abstract

As the colonial era witnessed a substantial plundering of cultural artifacts from Africa and their retention in Western Museums over the last century, there has been an increasing global recognition of the importance of repatriating these artworks to their countries of origin. This study examines the diverse repatriation strategies being employed to address the complex challenges surrounding the return of African artworks. It delves into the historical context, legal frameworks, and ethical considerations that inform repatriation efforts. Additionally, it highlights the role of museums, governments, and international collaborations in implementing repatriation initiatives. By analyzing case studies and engaging with scholarly debates, this study aims to provide insights into the multifaceted nature of repatriation strategies for African art heritage and their implications for the preservation and promotion of her cultural diversity.

Keywords: Repatriation, African art, Heritage, Strategies, Cultural preservation

Introduction

The repatriation of African art heritage has emerged as a prominent and contentious issue in the field of art history and cultural studies. The colonial era witnessed a significant plundering of cultural artifacts from Africa, leading to their dispersal across museums, private collections, and institutions worldwide. As awareness grows regarding the historical and cultural significance of these artworks, there has been an increasing global recognition of the importance of repatriating them to their countries of origin (Bryson, 2019).

This study aims to explore the diverse approaches and strategies being employed in the repatriation of African art heritage. By examining the historical context, legal frameworks, and ethical considerations surrounding repatriation efforts, this research sheds light on this complex issue's multifaceted nature. Moreover, this exploration of repatriation strategies will provide insights into the implications of such initiatives for preserving and promoting cultural diversity (Anquandah, 2020; Awhefeada, 2016; Emama, 2024).

The return of African art heritage involves navigating a range of challenges and considerations. One crucial aspect to examine is the historical context in which these artworks were acquired. During the colonial period, African cultural artifacts were often looted or acquired through exploitative practices (Glover, 2018). The impact of these actions on African societies and the subsequent importance of repatriation efforts cannot be underestimated. Understanding this historical context helps establish the moral and ethical imperative for the return of these artworks (Picton, 2017;).

Legal frameworks play a crucial role in shaping and guiding repatriation strategies. International agreements and conventions, such as the UNESCO Convention on the

Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property (1970), provide a framework for addressing issues of illicit trafficking and ensuring the return of stolen or unlawfully acquired cultural objects (Okpewho, 2018). These legal instruments underscore the significance of international cooperation and collaboration in repatriation efforts (Bille, 2021).

Additionally, the involvement of museums, governments, and other stakeholders is pivotal in implementing effective repatriation initiatives. Museums have a responsibility to reassess their collections and engage in dialogue with African nations regarding the return of artworks (Benthall, 2022). Governments play a vital role in advocating for repatriation, negotiating with international institutions, and establishing guidelines for the return of cultural artifacts (Ezra, 2019). By analyzing case studies and engaging with scholarly debates, this study aims to contribute to the ongoing discourse on repatriation strategies for African art heritage. Thus providing comprehensive understanding of the complexities involved in repatriation efforts and their implications for cultural preservation and diversity.

The Concept of Repatriation and its Significance

Repatriation is a concept that holds significant importance in the context of cultural heritage, particularly in addressing historical injustices and restoring ownership of cultural artefacts to their countries of origin. Repatriation involves the return of cultural objects, artworks, or artefacts that were acquired through various unethical means, such as colonization, looting, or illegal trade, to their rightful owners or communities.

The import of repatriation lies in its ability to restore dignity, sovereignty, and cultural identity to affected communities (Appiah, 2016). It acknowledges the historical wrongs of colonization and recognizes the importance of cultural diplomacy in shaping individual and collective identities. Repatriation enables communities to reconnect with their cultural past, strengthen cultural practices, and preserve their heritage for future generations.

Furthermore, repatriation plays a crucial role in rectifying power imbalances and addressing the unequal distribution of cultural wealth. It challenges the notion of cultural property as commodities and promotes a more equitable approach to the ownership and display of cultural artefacts (Sullivan, 2005). Thus it serves as a means of cultural justice, ensuring that communities have control over their cultural heritage and are actively involved in its interpretation and preservation and sharing. In such dimensions it also fosters intercultural dialogue, understanding, and collaboration. Also it encourages museums, governments, and communities to engage in meaningful partnerships that promote knowledge exchange, cultural appreciation, and mutual respect (Harrison, 2019). By returning cultural objects to their places of origin, repatriation allows for the interpretation and display of artefacts within their cultural context, enriching the understanding of diverse cultural practices and histories. While repatriation holds significant significance, it is important to note that the process of repatriation is complex and multifaceted. It involves legal, ethical, and logistical challenges, including issues of provenance, ownership rights, and the establishment of proper infrastructure for the preservation and display of repatriated objects (Fforde, 2011). However, despite these challenges, the concept of repatriation remains an

essential aspect of cultural heritage discourse, promoting restitution, justice, and the preservation of cultural diversity.

Insights into Effective Repatriation Strategies and Alternative Methods

Effective repatriation strategies for African art involve collaboration between African governments, museums, and international organizations (Gerster, 2020). One strategy is to establish partnerships between African museums and foreign museums to facilitate the return of stolen or illegally acquired artefacts (Humphris, 2019). This involves the identification and documentation of stolen or looted objects, negotiation with foreign institutions, and the establishment of legal frameworks for the repatriation process (Humphris, 2019). Another strategy is the establishment of cultural centres in Africa that can serve as repositories for repatriated artefacts and as centres for research and education (Abungu, 2020).

However, there are also alternative methods to repatriation that can be effective in preserving African art heritage. One method is digital repatriation, which involves the creation of digital copies of artefacts that can be shared with museums, scholars, and the general public (O'Flynn, 2019). Digital repatriation can help to preserve and disseminate knowledge about African art without the need for physical repatriation (O'Flynn, 2019). Another method is the establishment of cultural exchanges, where African artefacts are loaned to foreign museums for temporary exhibitions (Gerster, 2020). This can promote intercultural dialogue and understanding while allowing African communities to maintain ownership of their cultural heritage (Gerster, 2020).

Repatriation Strategies and their Effectiveness

Repatriation strategies play crucial roles in addressing the historical injustices of colonialism and restoring cultural heritage to its countries of origin. These strategies aim to repatriate stolen or looted artefacts and promote the preservation and ownership of African art within its cultural context. The effectiveness of repatriation strategies has been a topic of debate and exploration in recent years. Some of these key repatriation strategies are;

- a) **Bilateral negotiations between African countries and former colonial powers or museums that hold African art collections.** These negotiations involve discussions and agreements on the return of specific artworks to their countries of origin (Abungu, 2020). Bilateral negotiations have resulted in successful repatriations, such as the return of the Benin Bronzes from the British Museum to Nigeria (Abungu, 2020). These repatriations serve as examples of the effectiveness of direct negotiations in achieving the return of cultural heritage.
- b) **Establishment of restitution committees or advisory bodies.** These bodies provide guidance and expertise in identifying stolen or looted artefacts, facilitating the repatriation process, and ensuring the appropriate restitution of cultural heritage (Dokolo, 2020). These committees, comprising international experts and representatives from African countries, contribute to the effectiveness and transparency of repatriation efforts.
- c) **Advocacy and public pressure.** This approach has been instrumental in promoting the effectiveness of repatriation strategies. Civil society organizations, activists, and scholars have raised awareness about the importance of repatriation and have pushed for the return of African cultural

heritage (Gerster, 2020). Their efforts have generated public discourse and influenced the decisions of museums and governments regarding repatriation.

However, there are challenges and limitations to the effectiveness of repatriation strategies such as legal complexities, including issues of ownership and provenance which can hinder repatriation processes (Dokolo, 2020). Additionally, resource constraints, limited infrastructure, and inadequate capacity in African countries to house and preserve repatriated artifacts may pose challenges to their successful return and long-term conservation. It is also important to consider the multiplicity of perspectives and interests involved in repatriation discussions. While African countries advocate for the return of their cultural heritage, museums and collectors may resist repatriation, citing concerns about the preservation and accessibility of the artworks (Gerster, 2020). Balancing these divergent interests and finding mutually beneficial solutions are essential for the effectiveness of repatriation strategies.

The Role of Repatriation Strategies and Challenges in Safeguarding African Artistic Legacy

Repatriation strategies can play significant roles in cultural preservation by restoring cultural artifacts to their countries of origin and ensuring their safekeeping (Okeke, 2018). The return of cultural objects to their countries of origin not only restores a sense of dignity and ownership to the people of those countries but also helps to preserve their cultural heritage (Barron & Lutz, 2016). Repatriation can also lead to the creation of cultural institutions that can help to safeguard cultural artefacts. For example, the repatriation of the Benin Bronzes from the British Museum to Nigeria has led to the conceptualization of the Edo Museum of West African Art, which is dedicated to preserving and showcasing West African art (Okeke, 2018).

Furthermore, repatriation strategies can help to raise awareness about the importance of cultural preservation. The repatriation of cultural objects often generate media attention and public interest, which can raise awareness about the need to preserve cultural heritage and the consequences of cultural theft (Ting, 2019). The effectiveness of repatriation strategies in safeguarding African artistic legacy is a topic of ongoing debate and discussion. While repatriation has the potential to return cultural artefacts to their rightful owners and preserve African cultural heritage, there are also concerns about the practicality and effectiveness of these strategies (Kasfir, 2018). One of the main challenges facing repatriation efforts is the complex and often contentious issue of ownership. Many African cultural artefacts were looted during the colonial period and are currently held in museums and private collections around the world.

The process of identifying rightful ownership can be difficult and time-consuming, and there is often disagreement among stakeholders about who has the right of claim to these cultural artefacts (Ting, 2019). Another challenge is the issue of cultural heritage preservation. Repatriation efforts may not necessarily lead to the preservation of African cultural heritage, as many African countries lack the resources and infrastructure needed to properly care for and protect these artefacts (Okeke, 2018). Despite these challenges, there have been some successful repatriation efforts that have led to the return of cultural artefacts to their rightful owners. For example, in 2018, France returned 26 cultural artefacts to Benin as part of a broader effort to return looted artefacts to their countries of origin (Aljazeera, 2018). More research

and collaboration among stakeholders will be needed to develop effective strategies for repatriation and cultural preservation. These include collaborative research, documentation, and capacity-building initiatives. Collaborative research projects involving African and Western scholars can provide valuable insights into the cultural significance and context of African cultural artefacts, which can inform efforts to preserve and protect these objects (Morton, 2018). Documentation, such as digitization and the creation of online databases, can also help to preserve and disseminate information about African cultural heritage, even if the physical artefacts are not returned (Koroma, 2019). Capacity-building initiatives can involve training local museum staff and conservators in preservation techniques and best practices to improve the care and protection of cultural artefacts within their own countries.

The Role of Museums, Galleries, and Auction Houses in Repatriation

Museums, galleries, and auction houses play significant roles in the repatriation of African cultural artefacts. In the past, Western art institutions acquired many African artworks through various means, including purchase, donation, and colonial conquests. However, the current debate on the repatriation of these objects has put pressure on these institutions to revisit their collection policies and repatriate objects that were acquired through questionable means. Museums have been at the center of the repatriation debate due to their large collections of African artefacts. These institutions have been accused of holding onto looted and stolen artworks and of perpetuating colonialism by exhibiting these objects without proper context or representation of the communities they originated from. Western Museums have responded to these criticisms by establishing restitution committees, initiating dialogue with source communities, and implementing repatriation policies.

Similarly, galleries and auction houses have also come under scrutiny for their role in the sale and trade of African artworks. Many artworks sold at these institutions have been identified as having been looted or stolen from African communities. As a result, these institutions have been called upon to implement stricter acquisition policies and to verify the provenance of the artworks they sell. Overall, museums, galleries, and auction houses have a responsibility to ensure that their collections and sales do not perpetuate the exploitation of African cultural heritage. By implementing more transparent and responsible policies, these institutions can contribute to the repatriation and preservation of African artworks for future generations.

Analysis of Successful Repatriation Cases and Their Impact on Cultural Preservation

Successful repatriation cases have had a significant impact on cultural preservation, providing opportunities for communities to regain control over their cultural heritage and promote its long-term safeguarding. These cases demonstrate the positive outcomes of repatriation efforts and highlight the importance of returning cultural objects to their countries of origin. One notable successful repatriation case is the return of the Benin Bronzes from various Western museums to Nigeria. These intricately crafted bronze sculptures were looted during the British Punitive Expedition of 1897 and dispersed to museums and private collections around the world. The successful repatriation of some of these artefacts to Nigeria has allowed for their proper conservation, exhibition, and interpretation within their cultural context (Abungu, 2020). The return of the Benin Bronzes (Figure 1) has not only revitalized cultural practices and rituals associated with these artworks but also

strengthened the sense of cultural identity and pride among the communities in Nigeria (Abungu, 2020).



Figure 1, Sixteenth-Century Head of a Queen mother of Benin loan to the Royal Academy of Arts in London by the National Commission for Museums and Monuments returned to Nigeria on January 16, 2013.
PIUS UTOMI EKPEI/AFP/AFP/Getty Images
Retrieved from:
<https://edition.cnn.com/2018/06/29/africa/nigerias-stolen-treasures/index.html>

Another significant case is the repatriation of the Maqdala treasures (figure 2) from the United Kingdom to Ethiopia. These treasures, including manuscripts, royal regalia, and religious artefacts, were looted during the 1868 British military expedition in Ethiopia. The successful repatriation of these objects have contributed to the preservation of Ethiopia's cultural heritage and has had a profound impact on the country's national identity (Tadesse, 2020). The treasures are now housed in the National Museum of Ethiopia, allowing for their proper conservation, research, and public display, fostering a sense of pride and connection among Ethiopians (Tadesse, 2020).



Figure 2. Maqdala Treasures [(i) A royal wedding dress, (ii) an 18th Century gold crown and (iii) a gold chalice, taken from Ethiopia (formerly Abyssinia) by the British army in 1868]
Retrieved from:
<https://www.ethioembassy.org.uk/ambassador-teferi-reaffirms-ethiopias-claim-to-maqdala-treasures-at-the-va/>

The impact of these successful repatriation cases extends beyond the individual objects themselves. They serve as catalysts for broader discussions on cultural heritage, colonial legacies, and the decolonization of museum practices. These cases have raised awareness about the importance of restitution, leading to policy changes and increased dialogue among museums, governments, and communities (Gerster, 2020). They have also prompted museums to reassess their collection policies and practices, adopting more ethical and responsible approaches to acquisition and display (Gerster, 2020).

The successful repatriation cases have also inspired other countries and communities to pursue the return of their looted or stolen cultural artefacts. They have set a precedent for restitution efforts and have empowered communities to assert their rights to cultural heritage (Gerster, 2020). These cases have demonstrated that repatriation can contribute to cultural preservation by reestablishing connections between communities and their cultural objects, fostering cultural revival and continuity.

Challenges of Repatriation and Alternative Strategies

The repatriation of cultural artefacts is accompanied by various challenges that can hinder the process and necessitate the exploration of alternative strategies. These challenges range from legal complexities and provenance issues to resource constraints and differing perspectives among stakeholders. Addressing these challenges is crucial to ensure the successful return of cultural heritage to its rightful

owners and promote equitable and sustainable solutions. One of the primary challenges of repatriation is the legal and ethical complexity surrounding the ownership and provenance of cultural artefacts. Determining rightful ownership often involves extensive research and documentation to establish the history of an object, including its acquisition, transfer, and subsequent possession (Fforde, 2011). Provenance research can be a time-consuming and challenging task, particularly for objects with incomplete or unclear documentation. Resolving these legal complexities requires collaboration between source communities, museums, governments, and legal experts to navigate complex international laws and establish frameworks for restitution (Dokolo, 2020).

Resource constraints pose another significant challenge to repatriation efforts. Many African countries face limited financial and infrastructural resources to receive, preserve, and display repatriated artefacts (Fforde, 2011). The lack of suitable storage facilities, conservation expertise, and exhibition spaces can hinder the successful return and long-term preservation of cultural heritage. Addressing these resource constraints requires investment in capacity-building initiatives, including training programs, infrastructure development, and funding support for the sustainable management of repatriated objects (Dokolo, 2020). Additionally, differing perspectives and interests among stakeholders can complicate repatriation discussions. Museums and collectors may resist repatriation, citing concerns about the preservation, accessibility, and universal value of the artworks (Gerster, 2020). Balancing the interests of various parties and finding mutually beneficial solutions is essential to navigating these challenges. Alternative strategies that prioritize collaboration, such as long-term loans, partnerships, or virtual restitution, can be explored to ensure access to cultural heritage while respecting the concerns of both source communities and holding institutions (Gerster, 2020).

Furthermore, digital repatriation and the use of technology offer alternative approaches to address the challenges of physical repatriation. Digital initiatives can facilitate the sharing and access to cultural heritage by creating virtual collections and interactive platforms that engage source communities and the wider public (Cameron & Kenderdine, 2007). Digital repatriation can provide opportunities for remote access, knowledge exchange, and the preservation of fragile or sensitive objects.

The Legal and Ethical Complexities of Repatriation

The repatriation of cultural artefacts is accompanied by significant legal and ethical complexities, as the process involves navigating international laws, ownership rights, and the consideration of moral obligations. These complexities arise due to the historical context of acquisition, conflicting legal frameworks, and differing perspectives among stakeholders. Addressing these complexities is crucial to ensure the ethical and legal return of cultural heritage to its rightful owners and communities.

One of the key legal complexities in repatriation is determining the rightful ownership of cultural artefacts. Proving ownership often requires thorough research and documentation to establish the history of an object, including its acquisition, transfer, and subsequent possession (Fforde, 2011). However, historical circumstances such as colonialism, looting, and illicit trade have led to ambiguous or incomplete records, making it challenging to ascertain ownership rights. Resolving these complexities may involve collaboration between source communities, legal experts, and institutions

to conduct provenance research, analyze historical documentation, and adhere to relevant international laws (Dokolo, 2020).

Another legal consideration in repatriation is the existence of international laws and conventions that govern the transfer of cultural artefacts. These legal frameworks, such as the UNESCO Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export, and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property (1970), provide guidelines for restitution and the protection of cultural heritage (Fforde, 2011). However, the implementation and enforcement of these laws vary among countries, leading to discrepancies in approaches to repatriation. Negotiating and navigating these legal frameworks requires international cooperation, diplomatic efforts, and the development of bilateral or multilateral agreements (Dokolo, 2020).

Ethical considerations also play a crucial role in repatriation. The ethical complexities arise from the recognition of historical injustices, the rights of source communities, and the ethical responsibilities of museums and collectors. Repatriation seeks to rectify the cultural loss and injustices resulting from colonialism, looting, and other forms of cultural exploitation (Appiah, 2016). It recognizes the moral imperative of returning cultural heritage to its rightful owners, allowing communities to reconnect with their cultural identities and practice self-determination. Ethical frameworks that prioritize respect for cultural rights, community engagement, and the acknowledgement of past wrongs guide repatriation efforts (Appiah, 2016).

Balancing legal requirements and ethical considerations in repatriation is complex, as it involves reconciling different legal systems, cultural perspectives, and historical narratives. The involvement of legal experts, cultural heritage professionals, and stakeholders is essential to navigate these complexities and ensure a fair and just repatriation process that respects the legal rights and ethical responsibilities involved.

Best Practices for Repatriation and Alternative Strategies

Best practices for repatriation and alternative strategies in cultural heritage preservation are essential for ensuring the ethical and sustainable return of cultural artifacts to their countries of origin while promoting collaboration and knowledge exchange. These practices aim to address historical injustices, respect cultural rights, and foster a more inclusive and equitable approach to heritage management.

1. **Transparent Provenance Research:** Conduct comprehensive and transparent provenance research to establish the rightful ownership and historical context of cultural artefacts (Brodie, 2015). This involves examining historical records, archives, and documentation to trace the object's origin and history of acquisition.

2. **Collaboration and Dialogue:** Foster collaboration and dialogue between countries of origin, museums, and other stakeholders to facilitate repatriation discussions (Perry, 2019). This includes open communication, negotiation, and mutual respect for cultural perspectives and rights.

3. **Capacity Building:** Provide support and capacity-building initiatives to countries of origin to enhance their ability to preserve, manage, and interpret repatriated cultural artefacts (UNESCO, 2010). This may involve training programs, technical assistance, and knowledge exchange to develop local expertise and infrastructure.

4. **Cultural Exchange and Loan Programs:** Facilitate cultural exchange and loan programs between museums and institutions to promote temporary exhibitions and

collaborations (O'Keefe, 2017). This allows for cultural artefacts to be shared while respecting the sovereignty and ownership rights of countries of origin.

5. Digital Repatriation and Virtual Museums: Explore digital repatriation initiatives and the creation of virtual museums to provide access to cultural artefacts while mitigating risks associated with physical repatriation (Mason & Thomas, 2017). This approach ensures broader accessibility while respecting cultural sensitivities and security concerns.

6. Community Engagement and Ownership: Involve local communities and indigenous groups in repatriation and decision-making (UNESCO, 2019). This includes acknowledging their cultural rights, seeking their input on repatriation efforts, and facilitating community ownership and stewardship of cultural heritage.

7. International Guidelines and Conventions: Adhere to international guidelines and conventions, such as the UNESCO Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export, and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property (UNESCO, 1970), that provide frameworks for repatriation and cultural heritage preservation.

By adopting these best practices, repatriation efforts can be carried out in a manner that respects cultural diversity, promotes collaboration, and contributes to the preservation and revitalization of cultural heritage.

Conclusion

The repatriation of African art heritage is a complex and multifaceted endeavor that involves navigating historical, legal, ethical, and institutional challenges. This study has explored various strategies and approaches employed in repatriation efforts, shedding light on the importance of returning cultural artifacts to their countries of origin. The historical context of colonial plundering and exploitation underscores the moral imperative for repatriation, emphasizing the need to rectify historical injustices.

Legal frameworks, such as international agreements and conventions, provide a crucial foundation for addressing issues of illicit trafficking and facilitating the return of stolen or unlawfully acquired cultural objects. International cooperation and collaboration are essential in navigating the complexities of repatriation, highlighting the significance of ongoing dialogue and partnerships between museums, governments, and African nations. By analyzing case studies and engaging with scholarly debates, this study has provided valuable insights into the repatriation strategies for African art heritage. It is important to recognize that repatriation is not a one-size-fits-all solution and requires careful consideration of individual circumstances, including the willingness and capacity of receiving communities to house and preserve the repatriated artworks. Continued research, dialogue, and collaboration are necessary to navigate the complexities and ensure the successful implementation of repatriation strategies, leading to the preservation and promotion of Africa's rich cultural heritage for future generations.

References

Abungu, G. H. O. (2020). Repatriation, Restitution and Return of Cultural Heritage in Africa: Challenges and Opportunities. In S. Abdelgadir & S. Haile (Eds.), *Archaeological Heritage in a Modern World* (pp. 133-148). Routledge.

- Aljazeera. (2018). France Returns Looted African Artefacts to Benin. Retrieved from <https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2018/11/france-returns-looted-african-artefacts-benin-181119190020844.html>
- Anquandah, K. (2020). Repatriating African Art and Restoring Its Dignity. *Art Libraries Journal*, 45(4), 7-13.
- Appiah, K. A. (2016). Is the Repatriation of Cultural Property a Human Right? *Daedalus*, 145(2), 86-98.
- Awhefeada, S. (2016). Anthologies and the Mapping of Africa's Poetic Imagination. *IUP Journal of English Studies*, 11 (4)
- Barron, L., & Lutz, C. (2016). Museums and Repatriation. In *International Encyclopedia of Geography* (pp. 1-5). Wiley-Blackwell.
- Benthall, J. (2022). Museums and the Persistence of Colonialism. *Cultural Anthropology*, 37(1), 27-34.
- Bille, M. (2021). On the (Im) Possibility of Returning African Objects to Africa: A Case Study of the Danish-Nigerian Repatriation Negotiations. *Ethnos*, 86(3), 511-530.
- Brodie, N. (2015). Cultural Property Crime: An Overview and Analysis. In *Handbook of Transnational Crime and Justice* (pp. 187-206). SAGE Publications.
- Bryson, N. (2019). Repatriation and the Question of Justice. *Museum History Journal*, 12(2), 177-185.
- Cameron, F., & Kenderdine, S. (2007). Theorizing Digital Cultural Heritage: A Critical Discourse. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Dokolo, S. (2020). Restitution and the Future of African Cultural Heritage. *Museum International*, 72(1-2), 68-78.
- Ezra, K. (2019). Repatriation as Decolonisation: The Case of the Haida Repatriation of the Ancestors from the American Museum of Natural History. *Museum & Society*, 17(2), 146-162.
- Emama, E.O. (2024). English and the Socio-Cultural Semiotics of Identity in Selected Poems of Tanure Ojaide. *Humanus Discourse*, 4 (3)
- Fforde, C. (2011). Repatriation of cultural property: A vehicle for Social Reconciliation? *International Journal of Heritage Studies*, 17(4), 331-348.
- Gerster, G. (2020). Repatriation: a Critical View on Museums and the Return of Cultural Heritage. *Museum and Society*, 18(2), 227-237.
- Glover, K. (2018). Framing the Legacies of British Colonialism: Museums, Reparations, and Restitution. *Third Text*, 32(1), 72-88.

- Harrison, R. (2019). The Return of Culture: Cultural Objects and the Process of Repatriation. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 48, 489-507.
- Humphris, R. (2019). Repatriation of Cultural Heritage: The Case of the Benin Bronzes. *Journal of Museum Ethnography*, 32, 7-21.
- Koroma, I. (2019). Digitisation of Cultural Heritage Materials: Challenges and Opportunities. *Journal of African Cultural Studies*, 31(3), 329-341.
- O'Flynn, S. (2019). The Ethics of Digital Repatriation. *Journal of Cultural Heritage Management and Sustainable Development*, 9(2), 114-127.
- Okeke, C. (2018). The Role of Repatriation in African Cultural Heritage Conservation: The Case of the Benin Bronzes. *International Journal of Heritage Studies*, 24(3), 261-274.
- O'Keefe, P. J. (2017). Museums and Repatriation. In *The Oxford Handbook of the Archaeology of the Contemporary World* (pp. 225-243). Oxford University Press.
- Kasfir, S. (2018). *African Art and Agency in the Workshop*. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.
- Mason, R., & Thomas, J. (2017). Digital Repatriation, Knowledge Networks, and the Return of Indigenous Cultural Heritage. *Journal of Material Culture*, 22(4), 401-416.
- Morton, B. (2018). Collaborative Research and Repatriation of African Cultural Heritage. *Journal of Black Studies*, 49(5), 449-466.
- Okpewho, O. (2018). Repatriation of African Cultural Heritage: Challenges and Prospects. *Journal of African Cultural Studies*, 30(2), 159-173.
- Perry, S. E. (2019). Collaborative Curation and the Transformative Potential of Repatriation. *American Anthropologist*, 121(3), 656-670.
- Picton, J. (2017). Restitution and Repatriation: The Case for a New Museology. *African Arts*, 50(3), 6-15.
- Sullivan, P. (2005). Cultural heritage and the nation-state. *International Journal of Heritage Studies*, 11(1), 81-92.
- Tadesse, M. (2020). The Maqdala Campaign for Restitution: The Journey, the Act and the Effect. *Museum International*, 72(1-2), 88-101.
- Ting, A. (2019). The Ethics and Challenges of Repatriation. The Conversation. Retrieved from <https://theconversation.com/the-ethics-and-challenges-of-repatriation-125975>
- UNESCO. (1970). Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export, and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property. Retrieved from <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000000077>
- UNESCO. (2010). UNESCO Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape. Retrieved from <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf000018>